



# STATE OF TEXAS TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

#### SECTION I OF III

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE	3
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	11
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	136
SECTION 1: SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS	145
SECTION 2: FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS	149
SECTION 3: FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	153
SECTION II OF III (BOUND SEPARATELY)	
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS – CLA	205
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS – OTHER AUDITORS	288
SECTION III OF III (BOUND SEPARATELY)	
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN	437



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS FEDERAL PORTION OF STATEWIDE SINGLE AUDIT REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019





#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

The Honorable Greg Abbott, Governor
The Honorable Glenn Hegar, Comptroller of Public Accounts
The Honorable Dan Patrick, Lieutenant Governor
The Honorable Dennis Bonnen, Speaker of the House of Representatives
and
Members of the Legislature, State of Texas

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate discretely presented component units and remaining fund information of the State of Texas, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2019, and have issued our report thereon dated February 25, 2020, which contained an unmodified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the consolidated financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2, U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principals, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance), and is not a required part of the consolidated financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements or to the consolidated financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated financial statements as a whole.

As described in Note 1 to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards does not include expenditures of federal awards for four component units of the State of Texas. Each of those component units has its own independent audit in compliance with the Uniform Guidance.

Lisa R. Collier

Lisa R. Collier, CPA, CFE, CIDA First Assistant State Auditor

February 25, 2020

Robert E. Johnson Building 1501 N. Congress Avenue Austia, Texas 78701

P.O. Box 12067 Austin, Texas 78711-2067

> Phone; (512) 936-9500

(512) 936 9400

Internet: www.sao.fexas.gov SAO Report No. 20-319

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

The Honorable Greg Abbott, Governor
The Honorable Dan Patrick, Lieutenant Governor
The Honorable Dennis Bonnen, Speaker of the House of Representatives
Members of the Texas Legislature, State of Texas

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the State of Texas' (the State) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the State's major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2019. The State's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

The State's financial statements include the operations of a blended component unit, Texas A&M Research Foundation (TAMRF), which expended approximately \$64.4 million in federal awards which is not included in the State's schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the year ended August 31, 2019. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of TAMRF because the entity has engaged other auditors to perform an audit in accordance with the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance).

#### Management's Responsibility

Management of the State Agencies and Universities is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the State's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the State's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.



We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our unmodified and modified opinions on compliance for major federal programs. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the State's compliance.

#### Basis for Qualified Opinions

As described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the State did not comply with requirements related to the following:

Agency	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Department of State Health Services	CFDA 93.074 – Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment	2019-009
Health and Human Services Commission	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration		
	CFDA 93.767 Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Medicaid Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-013
	CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration	Eligibility	2019-017

Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the State to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

#### **Qualified Opinions**

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinions paragraph, the State complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of the major programs identified in the Basis for Qualified Opinions paragraph for the year ended August 31, 2019.

#### Unmodified Opinions on Each of the Other Major Federal Programs

In our opinion, the State complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its other major federal programs identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs for the year ended August 31, 2019.

#### Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items:

Agency	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Department of Family and Protective Services	Medicaid Cluster TANF Cluster CCDF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-003
	TANF Cluster	Eligibility	2019-004
Health and Human Services Commission	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children CFDA 93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Medicaid Cluster SNAP Cluster TANF Cluster CCDF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking	2019-006
	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children TANF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-008
	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration	Equipment/Real Property Management	2019-011
	SNAP Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – EBT Reconciliation	2019-012
	Medicaid Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – ADP Risk Analysis and System Security Review	2019-014
	Medicaid Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – Provider Health and Safety Standards	2019-015
	TANF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-018
	TANF Cluster	Eligibility	2019-019
	TANF Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – Child Support Non- Cooperation	2019-020
	TANF Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – Penalty for Refusal to Work	2019-021

Agency	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Health and Human Services Commission (Continued)	TANF Cluster	Reporting Special Tests and Provisions – Penalty for Failure to Comply with Work Verification Plan	2019-022
Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs	CFDA 93.568 – Low Income Energy Assistance	Reporting	2019-023
Texas Education Agency	CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-025
Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board	CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States	Subrecipient Monitoring	2019-026

Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The State's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The State's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

#### Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the State Agencies and Universities is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the State's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. However, as discussed below, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and listed below to be material weaknesses.

Agonov	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Agency	Major Program	Requirement	Number
Department of State Health Services	CFDA 93.074 – Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment	2019-009
Health and Human Services Commission	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children		
	CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration		
	CFDA 93.767 Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-013
	Medicaid Cluster		
	CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration	Eligibility	2019-017

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs and listed below to be significant deficiencies.

Agency	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Department of Agriculture	Food Distribution Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Cash Management	2019-001
	Food Distribution Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Cash Management Eligibility Special Tests and Provision – Accountability for USDA Foods	2019-002

Agency	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Department of Family and Protective Services	Medicaid Cluster TANF Cluster CCDF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-003
	TANF Cluster	Eligibility	2019-004
Health and Human Services Commission Department of Family and Protective Services	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Medicaid Cluster SNAP Cluster TANF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-005
Health and Human Services Commission	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children CFDA 93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Medicaid Cluster SNAP Cluster TANF Cluster CCDF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking	2019-006
	CFDA 93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Medicaid Cluster SNAP Cluster TANF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-007
	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children TANF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-008
	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	Special Tests and Provisions – Compliance Investigation of High- Risk Vendors	2019-010
	CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration	Equipment/Real Property Management	2019-011
	SNAP Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – EBT Reconciliation	2019-012

Agency	Major Program	Compliance Requirement	Finding Number
Health and Human Services Commission (Continued)			2019-014
	Medicaid Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – Provider Health and Safety Standards	2019-015
	CFDA 93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking	2019-016
	TANF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-018
	TANF Cluster	Eligibility	2019-019
	TANF Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – Child Support Non- Cooperation	2019-020
	TANF Cluster	Special Tests and Provisions – Penalty for Refusal to Work	2019-021
	TANF Cluster	Reporting Special Tests and Provisions – Penalty for Failure to Comply with Work Verification Plan	2019-022
Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs	CFDA 93.568 – Low Income Energy Assistance	Reporting	2019-023
Office of Attorney General	Medicaid Cluster	Reporting	2019-024
Texas Education Agency	CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-025
Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board	CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States	Subrecipient Monitoring	2019-026
Texas Workforce Commission	TANF Cluster CCDF Cluster	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	2019-027

The State's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The State's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Albuquerque, New Mexico February 25, 2020

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
Peace Corps					
Peace Corps	08.XXX	PC-15-8-029 003 PC-15-8-029 004	\$ -	\$ 22,414 1,655	\$ 22,414 1,655
Total - CFDA 08.XXX				24,069	24,069
Total - Peace Corps				24,069	24,069
U.S. Department of Agriculture					
U.S. Department of Agriculture	10.XXX	U4129	-	65,443	65,443
Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	10.001		-	119,202	119,202
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	10.025		-	5,014,140	5,014,140
Wildlife Services Pass-Through from Tuskegee University Pass-Through from Tuskegee University	10.028	M1602749 M1602758	-	150,861 1,521 6,923	150,861 1,521 6,923
Total - CFDA 10.028				159,305	159,305
Voluntary Public Access and Habitat Incentive Program	10.093		69,776	7,500	77,276
Biofuel Infrastructure Partnership	10.117		2,907,271	6,846	2,914,117
Market News	10.153		-	7,600	7,600
Market Protection and Promotion	10.163		-	1,042,969	1,042,969
Transportation Services	10.167		-	7,865	7,865
Specialty Crop Block Grant Program - Farm Bill Pass-Through from East Texas Grape and Wine Producers Pass-Through from Nacogdoches Economic Development Corporation Pass-Through from Texas Hill Country Wineries Pass-Through from Texas Nursery & Landscape Association Pass-Through from Texas Pecan Growers Association Pass-Through from Texoma Craft Beverage Alliance Foundation	10.170	M1801722 SC-1718-016 M1801535 M1801045 M1601015 SC-1718-026	1,355,994 - - - - - -	587,075 27,942 25,839 36,429 39,999 (2) 50,975	1,943,069 27,942 25,839 36,429 39,999 (2) 50,975
Total - CFDA 10.170			1,355,994	768,257	2,124,251
Organic Certification Cost Share Programs	10.171		6,281	-	6,281
Trade Mitigation Program Eligible Recipient Agency Operational Funds	10.178		1,644,566	-	1,644,566
Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants Pass-Through from Kansas State University Pass-Through from Kansas State University Pass-Through from Mississippi State University Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Pass-Through from University of Florida - Gainesville	10.200	\$16098 02 \$16098 03 M1801509 3-580570 TAMUEX 3-580650 TAMUEX 1800575091 1800576135 1800577476 1900636745 1800578279	- - - - - - - -	4,000 4,297 10,186 73 38,340 6,734 7,862 3 20,500 6	4,000 4,297 10,186 73 38,340 6,734 7,862 3 20,500
Total - CFDA 10.200			-	92,001	92,001
Higher Education - Graduate Fellowships Grant Program	10.210		-	64,080	64,080
Small Business Innovation Research	10.212		-	2,025	2,025
Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Pass-Through from University of Georgia Pass-Through from University of Georgia Pass-Through from University of Georgia	10.215	RD309-129/S000837 RD309-134/S001077 RD309-134/S001167	- - 4,013 -	18,934 (6) 8,993 973	18,934 (6) 13,006 973

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Agriculture (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		RD309-134/S001168 RD309-137/S001429 RD309-137/S001433 RE671-867/S001695 00001463 00001711 00001713 00001738 00001979	1,569	2,736 44,209 60,685 7,407 26,766 174 4,381 42,834 220	2,736 44,209 60,685 7,407 26,766 1,743 4,381 42,834 220
Total - CFDA 10.215		0000.0.0	5,582	218,306	223,888
1890 Institution Capacity Building Grants	10.216		35,262	122,960	158,222
Higher Education - Institution Challenge Grants Program	10.217		34,247	15,601	49,848
Biotechnology Risk Assessment Research	10.219		34,435	121,276	155,711
Hispanic Serving Institutions Education Grants  Pass-Through from New Mexico State University  Pass-Through from Our Lady of the Lake University	10.223	2015-38422-24068 18-01	- - -	229,186 14,540 11,477	229,186 14,540 11,477
Total - CFDA 10.223			-	255,203	255,203
Agricultural and Food Policy Research Centers	10.291		-	292,265	292,265
Integrated Programs	10.303		-	30,725	30,725
Homeland Security Agricultural Pass-Through from Purdue University  Pass-Through from University of Florida	10.304	F9001538402017 UFDSP00011543 (RECIPIENTS)	-	8,708 30,570	8,708 30,570
Total - CFDA 10.304		(NEON LEWY)		39,278	39,278
Specialty Crop Research Initiative Pass-Through from Clemson University Pass-Through from Michigan State University Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside Pass-Through from University of Florida Pass-Through from University of Georgia	10.309	1938-207-2011761 RC105573TAM S-000719 UFDSP00011161 00001666	128,561 - - - - -	758,987 39,346 124,925 21,400 5,840 20,722	887,548 39,346 124,925 21,400 5,840 20,722
Total - CFDA 10.309			128,561	971,220	1,099,781
Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)  Pass-Through from North Carolina State University	10.310	2015-0097-03	71,507	545,285 11,776	616,792 11,776
Total - CFDA 10.310			71,507	557,061	628,568
Beginning Farmer and Rancher Development Program	10.311		138,573	288,412	426,985
Sun Grant Program	10.320		-	12,187	12,187
Capacity Building for Non-Land Grant Colleges of Agriculture (NLGCA)	10.326		-	177,865	177,865
National Food Safety Training, Education, Extension, Outreach, and Technical Assistance Competitive Grants Program Pass-Through from University of Florida Pass-Through from University of Georgia	10.328	UFDSP00012346 RE584-456-S001568	- -	1,088 84,415	1,088 84,415
Total - CFDA 10.328			-	85,503	85,503
Crop Protection and Pest Management Competitive Grants Program Pass-Through from North Carolina State University Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Pass-Through from University of Florida	10.329	2015-0085-14 2-562180-TAMUS UFDSP00012337	(146)	181,256 2,164 9,998 9,191	181,110 2,164 9,998 9,191
Total - CFDA 10.329			(146)	202,609	202,463

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Agriculture (Continued)					
Farm Operating Loans	10.406		-	21,664	21,664
Outreach and Assistance for Socially Disadvantaged and Veteran Farmers and Ranchers	10.443		30,099	463,838	493,937
Risk Management Education Partnerships	10.460		-	46,665	46,665
Cooperative Agreements with States for Intrastate Meat and Poultry Inspection	10.475		-	5,763,322	5,763,322
Cooperative Extension Service  Pass-Through from Auburn University Pass-Through from Auburn University Pass-Through from Kansas State University Pass-Through from Kansas State University Pass-Through from University of Arkansas Pass-Through from University of Arkansas Cooperative Extension Pass-Through from University of Georgia	10.500	15-ACES-379834-TAMU 18-ACES-379834-TAMU S17124 S19113 21665-05 21667-15 21752-02 31000-14 31000-18 31011-08 RC296013/S001272	58,836 57,854 - - - - - - - -	3,627,436 288,150 110,400 7,812 22,553 (90) (2,552) 840 27,933 20,449 3,840 10,918	3,686,272 346,004 110,400 7,812 22,553 (90) (2,552) 840 27,933 20,449 3,840 10,918
Total - CFDA 10.500			116,690	4,117,689	4,234,379
Smith-Lever Funding (Various Programs)	10.511		-	14,927,748	14,927,748
Agriculture Extension at 1890 Land-grant Institutions	10.512		-	3,089,518	3,089,518
Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program	10.514		-	4,536,659	4,536,659
Renewable Resources Extension Act and National Focus Fund Projects	10.515		-	109,119	109,119
WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557		135,630,253	255,361,141	390,991,394
Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558		456,555,670	3,386,489	459,942,159
State Administrative Expenses for Child Nutrition	10.560		11,285,445	23,693,192	34,978,637
WIC Farmers' Market Nutrition Program (FMNP)	10.572		527,589	42,620	570,209
Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Program	10.576		77,617	3,890	81,507
WIC Grants To States (WGS)	10.578		-	33,752	33,752
Child Nutrition Discretionary Grants Limited Availability	10.579		2,135,992	158,968	2,294,960
Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	10.582		7,968,769	-	7,968,769
Market Access Program	10.601		-	21,058	21,058
Emerging Markets Program	10.603		-	(64)	(64)
Cooperative Forestry Assistance	10.664		-	5,374,364	5,374,364
Forest Legacy Program	10.676		-	30,505	30,505
Forest Health Protection	10.680		-	445,635	445,635
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	10.683		-	1,366	1,366
Good Neighbor Authority	10.691		-	7,590	7,590
State & Drivate Forestry Hazardous Fuel Reduction Program	10.697		-	89,613	89,613
Rural Cooperative Development Grants	10.771		-	202,157	202,157

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Agriculture (Continued)					
Rural Business Opportunity Grants	10.773		-	62,376	62,376
Distance Learning and Telemedicine Loans and Grants	10.855		-	(276,766)	(276,766)
Rural Energy for America Program	10.868		-	(45,116)	(45,116)
Socially-Disadvantaged Groups Grant	10.871		-	165,874	165,874
Rural Development Cooperative Agreement Program Pass-Through from 1890 Universities Foundation	10.890	RE 16-14	-	34,713	34,713
Soil and Water Conservation	10.902		-	47,112	47,112
Environmental Quality Incentives Program	10.912		-	34,871	34,871
Conservation Stewardship Program	10.924		-	7,591	7,591
Technical Agricultural Assistance	10.960		36,550	148,678	185,228
Total - U.S. Department of Agriculture			620,796,583	332,855,535	953,652,118
U.S. Department of Commerce					
U.S. Department of Commerce	11.XXX	DEB-1849551 IPA UTA18-000929 UTA18-000934 UTA18-001504 17IP TDPDIR 0022 TFSAOFR17IPA0005	- - -	184,472 312,383 188,030 26,451 49,864	184,472 312,383 188,030 26,451
Total - CFDA 11.XXX		1852777	<u> </u>	125,111	125,111
Cluster Grants	11.020		33,500	886,311 12,300	886,311 45,800
Economic Development Support for Planning Organizations	11.302		33,300	44,855	44,855
Economic Development Technical Assistance	11.303		_	382,280	382,280
Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms	11.313		265,439	756,412	1,021,851
Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards	11.419		653,133	1,768,997	2,422,130
Pass-Through from Houston Botanic Garden Pass-Through from University of Michigan		19-056-000-M090 NA18 S4190153 3003967308	- -	24,376 14,913	24,376 14,913
Total - CFDA 11.419			653,133	1,808,286	2,461,419
Climate and Atmospheric Research	11.431		1,389	29,287	30,676
Marine Fisheries Initiative Pass-Through from ECS Federal, Inc.	11.433	18001056	-	12,140	12,140
Cooperative Fishery Statistics	11.434		-	46,122	46,122
Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program	11.435		-	149,325	149,325
State and Local Implementation Grant Program	11.549		-	297,534	297,534
Manufacturing Extension Partnership	11.611		-	1,400,692	1,400,692
Minority Business Resource Development	11.802		-	575,148	575,148
MBDA Business Center	11.805			271,125	271,125
Total - U.S. Department of Commerce			953,461	6,671,817	7,625,278

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Defense					
U.S. Department of Defense  Pass-Through from Environmental Research Group, LLC Pass-Through from Georgia Tech Research Institute Pass-Through from Georgia Tech Research Institute Pass-Through from Georgia Tech Research Institute	12.XXX	HE1254-15-C-0002 W81K04-13-D-0008 W81XWH-16-P-0415 39267 D8337-S3(AOS) D8426-S3 (AOS) D8426-S3 (FASOR) JFDMAC-UTA-2015	- - - - - -	1,831,335 1,811,043 5,584 19 56,033 227,457 123,820	1,831,335 1,811,043 5,584 19 56,033 227,457 123,820
Pass-Through from Northrop Grumman Corporation		TO1011 CN#10	-	104,172	104,172
Total - CFDA 12.XXX			-	4,159,463	4,159,463
Procurement Technical Assistance For Business Firms	12.002		-	1,476,460	1,476,460
Flood Control Projects	12.106		-	417,686	417,686
Payments to States in Lieu of Real Estate Taxes	12.112		-	5,204,456	5,204,456
State Memorandum of Agreement Program for the Reimbursement of Technical Services	12.113		-	388,797	388,797
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	12.300		25,948	2,148,599	2,174,547
National Guard Military Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Projects	12.401		-	65,015,406	65,015,406
National Guard ChalleNGe Program	12.404		-	4,312,808	4,312,808
Military Medical Research and Development Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute	12.420	W81XWH-09-1-0212 03		64,662 (215)	64,662 (215)
Total - CFDA 12.420			-	64,447	64,447
Basic Scientific Research	12.431		-	10,000	10,000
The Language Flagship Grants to Institutions of Higher Education	12.550				
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		0054-UTA-19-ARA-280- PO1 0054-UTA-19-POR-280-	-	282,374	282,374
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		PO7 0054-UTA-19-SSA-280-	-	81,385	81,385
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		PO6	-	44,368	44,368
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		0054-UTA-19-SSA-280- PO8 PO9: STUDENT	-	123,142	123,142
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		SUPPORT		10,000	10,000
Total - CFDA 12.550			-	541,269	541,269
Community Economic Adjustment Assistance for Compatible Use and Joint Land Use Studies	12.610		-	221,146	221,146
Economic Adjustment Assistance for State Governments	12.617		997,166	569,350	1,566,516
Troops to Teachers Grant Program	12.620		360,906	-	360,906
Basic, Applied, and Advanced Research in Science and Engineering	12.630		-	48,400	48,400
Air Force Defense Research Sciences Program	12.800	EA0050 44 D	-	14,041	14,041
Pass-Through from United States Air Force		FA8650-14-D- 1725/RADIAEM		41,921	41,921
Total - CFDA 12.800			-	55,962	55,962
Language Grant Program	12.900		-	250,742	250,742
Information Security Grants Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati	12.902	011081-003		279,892 9,761	279,892 9,761
Total - CFDA 12.902	(1)	<b>5</b> )	-	289,653	289,653

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
CyberSecurity Core Curriculum	12.905		-	372,402	372,402
Research and Technology Development	12.910		-	135,728	135,728
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Boulder		1552588; PO #1000490330		328,085	328,085
Total - CFDA 12.910				463,813	463,813
Total - U.S. Department of Defense			1,384,020	86,010,859	87,394,879
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development					
Community Development Block Grants/State's program and Non- Entitlement Grants in Hawaii	14.228		190,184,921	105,944,195	296,129,116
Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231		9,348,072	385,877	9,733,949
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		10,796,836	1,800,101	12,596,937
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	14.241		6,058,032	43,096	6,101,128
ARRA - Tax Credit Assistance Program (Recovery Act Funded)	14.258		1,909,616	-	1,909,616
Housing Trust Fund	14.275		2,328,388	132,820	2,461,208
Project Rental Assistance Demonstration (PRA Demo) Program of Section 811 Supportive Housing for Persons with Disabilities	14.326		1,595,540	58,984	1,654,524
Fair Housing Assistance Program State and Local	14.401			1,454,030	1,454,030
Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			222,221,405	109,819,103	332,040,508
U.S. Department of the Interior					
Regulation of Surface Coal Mining and Surface Effects of Underground Coal Mining	15.250		-	1,602,652	1,602,652
Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation (AMLR)	15.252		-	298,205	298,205
GoMESA	15.435		15,000	3,878,321	3,893,321
Cultural Resources Management	15.511		-	2,821	2,821
Fish and Wildlife Management Assistance	15.608		-	95,677	95,677
Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration	15.614		76,697	489,245	565,942
Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund	15.615		2,445,411	35,018	2,480,429
Clean Vessel Act	15.616		225,400	162	225,562
Sportfishing and Boating Safety Act	15.622		-	1,429	1,429
Coastal Pass-Through from Ducks Unlimited, Inc.	15.630	US-TX-197-14	-	587	587
Partners for Fish and Wildlife	15.631		59,274	223,502	282,776
State Wildlife Grants	15.634		-	275,340	275,340
Service Training and Technical Assistance (Generic Training)	15.649		-	3,138	3,138
Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Units	15.678		-	65,505	65,505
National Land Remote Sensing Education Outreach and Research	15.815		-	21,963	21,963
National Geospatial Program: Building The National Map	15.817		-	249,548	249,548
Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid	15.904 (16	5)	324,423	1,226,653	1,551,076

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of the Interior (Continued)					
Outdoor Recreation Acquisition, Development and Planning	15.916		1,699,958	586,144	2,286,102
National Maritime Heritage Grants	15.925		-	13,023	13,023
Cooperative Research and Training Programs - Resources of the National Park System	15.945		-	30,796	30,796
Emergency Supplemental Historic Preservation Fund	15.957		-	138,108	138,108
Route 66 Corridor Preservation	15.958		-	13,532	13,532
National Ground-Water Monitoring Network	15.980		-	11,150	11,150
Water Use and Data Research	15.981			19,091	19,091
Total - U.S. Department of the Interior			4,846,163	9,281,610	14,127,773
U.S. Department of Justice					
U.S. Department of Justice	16.XXX	M1403201-12 03 13 2018-120	<u>-</u>	238 15,000	238 15,000
Total - CFDA 16.XXX			-	15,238	15,238
Sexual Assault Services Formula Program	16.017		1,057,651	-	1,057,651
Law Enforcement Assistance FBI Advanced Police Training	16.300		-	527,984	527,984
Juvenile Accountability Block Grants	16.523		(1,673)	-	(1,673)
Grants to Reduce Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking on Campus	16.525		1,043	159,719	160,762
OVW Technical Assistance Initiative	16.526		-	4,896	4,896
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention	16.540		818,544	70,280	888,824
Missing Children's Assistance	16.543		51,961	471,707	523,668
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants  Pass-Through from City University of New York	16.560	2016CKBX0013	<u>-</u>	98,714 31,331	98,714 31,331
Total - CFDA 16.560			-	130,045	130,045
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575		168,494,317	7,756,177	176,250,494
Crime Victim Compensation	16.576		-	39,552,319	39,552,319
Crime Victim Assistance/Discretionary Grants Pass-Through from American Bar Association	16.582	2017-VF-GX-K140		(25,147) 41,542	(25,147) 41,542
Total - CFDA 16.582			-	16,395	16,395
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588		10,423,314	1,173,031	11,596,345
Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners	16.593		1,690,001	-	1,690,001
Corrections Training and Staff Development	16.601		-	28,317	28,317
Corrections Technical Assistance/Clearinghouse	16.603		-	78,412	78,412
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606		-	12,801,138	12,801,138
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607		-	14,090	14,090
Project Safe Neighborhoods	16.609		-	1,265	1,265
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710 (1	7)	-	631,420	631,420

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Justice (Continued)					
Juvenile Mentoring Program Pass-Through from National 4-H Council	16.726	M1801530	-	37,706	37,706
Special Data Collections and Statistical Studies	16.734		145,962	-	145,962
PREA Program: Strategic Support for PREA Implementation	16.735		-	77,961	77,961
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738		7,483,539	1,109,841	8,593,380
DNA Backlog Reduction Program	16.741		-	1,843,114	1,843,114
Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grant Program	16.742		644,031	288,568	932,599
Criminal and Juvenile Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program	16.745		-	(277)	(277)
Support for Adam Walsh Act Implementation Grant Program	16.750		-	107,521	107,521
Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive Grant Program Pass-Through from University of Missouri - Kansas City	16.751	270790	-	61,508	61,508
Second Chance Act Reentry Initiative	16.812		-	216,281	216,281
Innovations in Community-Based Crime Reduction Pass-Through from City of Austin	16.817	UTA18-000875	-	49,637	49,637
Emergency Law Enforcement Assistance Grant	16.824		36,259	-	36,259
Justice Reinvestment Initiative	16.827		-	234,000	234,000
National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative	16.833	LITA19 000424: DO			
Pass-Through from City of Austin		UTA18-000424; PO 870018080313916	-	89,286	89,286
Comprehensive Opioid Abuse Site-Based Program	16.838		-	31,459	31,459
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922		<u> </u>	3,516,372	3,516,372
Total - U.S. Department of Justice			190,844,949	71,095,410	261,940,359
U.S. Department of Labor					
Labor Force Statistics	17.002		-	3,674,007	3,674,007
Compensation and Working Conditions	17.005		-	289,182	289,182
Unemployment Insurance	17.225		7,643,638	2,219,633,410	2,227,277,048
Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235		4,138,392	91,655	4,230,047
Trade Adjustment Assistance	17.245		5,564,281	3,299,307	8,863,588
WIOA Pilots, Demonstrations, and Research Projects Pass-Through from Houston - Galveston Area Council	17.261	2818WDR001	753,936	298,593 316,401	1,052,529 316,401
Total - CFDA 17.261			753,936	614,994	1,368,930
Reentry Employment Opportunities Pass-Through from Change Happens	17.270	109868	-	(2,679)	(2,679)
Work Opportunity Tax Credit Program (WOTC)	17.271		-	1,064,357	1,064,357
Temporary Labor Certification for Foreign Workers	17.273		5,493	618,602	624,095
WIOA National Dislocated Worker Grants / WIA National Emergency Grants	17.277		17,546,660	741,381	18,288,041
Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training (TAACCCT) Grants	17.282		-	14,354	14,354

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Labor (Continued)					
Apprenticeship USA Grants	17.285		478,074	349,024	827,098
Hurricanes and Wildfires of 2017 Supplemental National Dislocated Worker Grants	17.286		1,978,699	-	1,978,699
Occupational Safety and Health Susan Harwood Training Grants	17.502		-	108,239	108,239
Consultation Agreements	17.504		-	2,781,006	2,781,006
Mine Health and Safety Grants	17.600		-	256,419	256,419
Total - U.S. Department of Labor			38,109,173	2,233,533,258	2,271,642,431
U.S. Department of State					
Academic Exchange Programs - Undergraduate Programs Pass-Through from International Research & Exchanges Board Pass-Through from International Resources Group, Ltd	19.009	S-ECAGD-18-CA-0022 FY18-YALI-BE-UTA-05	-	184,316 40,950	184,316 40,950
Total - CFDA 19.009			-	225,266	225,266
Environmental and Scientific Partnerships and Programs	19.017		-	134,487	134,487
Investing in People in The Middle East and North Africa Pass-Through from World Learning Pass-Through from World Learning	19.021	S01-SIZ-100-19-CA-008 S12-SIZ-100-16-CA-008	<u>-</u>	43,083 15,009	43,083 15,009
Total - CFDA 19.021			-	58,092	58,092
Public Diplomacy Programs Pass-Through from Partners of the Americas Pass-Through from The Asia Foundation	19.040	100K-257ARG-05 32201 300 000	- - -	279,790 9,995 8,009	279,790 9,995 8,009
Total - CFDA 19.040			-	297,794	297,794
Professional and Cultural Exchange Programs - Citizen Exchanges Pass-Through from Meridian International Center Pass-Through from Meridian International Center	19.415	UTA18-000405 021-0008-2002-02	- -	32,567 68,666	32,567 68,666
Total - CFDA 19.415			-	101,233	101,233
Public Diplomacy Programs for Afghanistan and Pakistan	19.501		-	225,510	225,510
General Department of State Assistance	19.700		-	348,579	348,579
Criminal Justice Systems	19.703		-	51,371	51,371
Trans-National Crime	19.705		-	26,967	26,967
Bureau of Western Hemisphere Affairs (WHA) Grant Programs (including Energy and Climate Partnership for the Americas)  Pass-Through from Americas Small Business Development Center Pass-Through from Center for Promotion of the Micro & Small Business	19.750	IED-6824-2016	119,445 -	315,561 45,058	435,006 45,058
in Central America		S-LMAQM-16-GR-1302		152,588	152,588
Total - CFDA 19.750			119,445	513,207	632,652
Total - U.S. Department of State			119,445	1,982,506	2,101,951
U.S. Department of Transportation					
U.S. Department of Transportation Pass-Through from Center for Transportation and the Environment	20.XXX	UTA15-001174	-	7,080	7,080
Airport Improvement Program	20.106		150,000	52,446,653	52,596,653
Highway Research and Development Program	20.200		-	414,006	414,006
Highway Training and Education	20.215 (19	9)	-	153,024	153,024

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued)					
Motor Carrier Safety Assistance	20.218		-	25,402,556	25,402,556
Commercial Driver's License Program Implementation Grant	20.232		-	917,725	917,725
Motor Carrier Safety Assistance High Priority Activities Grants and Cooperative Agreements	20.237		-	224,258	224,258
Capital Assistance to States - Intercity Passenger Rail Service	20.317		-	5,559	5,559
Metropolitan Transportation Planning and State and Non-Metropolitan Planning and Research	20.505		1,249,122	302,782	1,551,904
Formula Grants for Rural Areas and Tribal Transit Program	20.509		45,932,149	1,159,489	47,091,638
Rail Fixed Guideway Public Transportation System State Safety Oversight Formula Grant Program	20.528		-	702,269	702,269
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) Discretionary Safety Grants and Cooperative Agreements	20.614		-	269,555	269,555
Pipeline Safety Program State Base Grant	20.700		-	5,693,239	5,693,239
University Transportation Centers Program Pass-Through from Portland State University Pass-Through from Washington State University	20.701	NITC2016-UT02 / UT01 237154	<u>-</u>	(20,250) 2,130	(20,250) 2,130
Total - CFDA 20.701			-	(18,120)	(18,120)
Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector Training and Planning Grants	20.703		-	2,416,585	2,416,585
PHMSA Pipeline Safety Program One Call Grant	20.721		-	44,634	44,634
U.S. Merchant Marine Academy	20.807		-	1,682,149	1,682,149
National Infrastructure Investments	20.933		6,190,198	(2)	6,190,196
Total - U.S. Department of Transportation			53,521,469	91,823,441	145,344,910
U.S. Department of the Treasury					
Low Income Taxpayer Clinics	21.008		-	173,956	173,956
Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) Matching Grant Program	21.009		-	90,969	90,969
Resources and Ecosystems Sustainability, Tourist Opportunities, and Revived Economies of the Gulf Coast States	21.015		77,267	492,288	569,555
Equitable Sharing	21.016			1,359,886	1,359,886
Total - U.S. Department of the Treasury			77,267	2,117,099	2,194,366
Office of Personnel Management					
Intergovernmental Personnel Act (IPA) Mobility Program	27.011			313,280	313,280
Total - Office of Personnel Management				313,280	313,280
General Services Administration					
Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property	39.003		10,892,352	1,737,252	12,629,604
Total - General Services Administration			10,892,352	1,737,252	12,629,604

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Pass-Through from CACI National Security Solutions, Inc. Pass-Through from Wyle Laboratories	43.XXX	GN19-0373 SRS REF M1503131 NNJ15HK11B	- - -	70,286 17,201 4,409,671	70,286 17,201 4,409,671
Total - CFDA 43.XXX			-	4,497,158	4,497,158
Science Pass-Through from Astronomical Society of the Pacific Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion	43.001	2017-CQ03 NNX17AD20A	-	479,643 3,723	479,643 3,723
Lab Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		1584609 HST-HF2-51418 001-A	-	111,004 91,653	111,004 91,653
Total - CFDA 43.001			-	686,023	686,023
Aeronautics	43.002		-	116,030	116,030
Education Pass-Through from PAE Applied Technologies, LLC	43.008	M1802806	- -	6,363 97,568	6,363 97,568
Total - CFDA 43.008			-	103,931	103,931
Cross Agency Support	43.009		-	584,284	584,284
Space Technology	43.012	-	-	329,228	329,228
Total - National Aeronautics and Space Administration		-	-	6,316,654	6,316,654
National Endowment For The Humanities					
Promotion of the Arts Grants to Organizations and Individuals	45.024		-	142,330	142,330
Pass-Through from Arts Midwest		19845	-	157	157
Total - CFDA 45.024			-	142,487	142,487
Promotion of the Arts Partnership Agreements	45.025		-	976,500	976,500
Promotion of the Humanities Federal/State Partnership Pass-Through from Humanities Texas	45.129	BRIDGING CULTURES HTX #2019-5633 HTX 2018-5294 HTX-2018-5442 2017-5214 2018-5436 2018-5513 2019-5564 2019-5565 2019-5607 280940 AND 281000 430830	- - - - - - - - 1,000	268 1,000 590 2,038 5,000 2,704 1,250 525 1,500 123 5,412 -	268 1,000 590 2,038 5,000 2,704 1,250 525 1,500 123 5,412 1,000
			1,000		
Promotion of the Humanities Challenge Grants  Promotion of the Humanities Division of Preservation and Access	45.130 45.149		-	1,676 165,566	1,676 165,566
Promotion of the Humanities Fellowships and Stipends	45.149			30,491	30,491
Promotion of the Humanities Research Pass-Through from University of Nebraska at Omaha	45.161	270850	-	8,250	8,250
Promotion of the Humanities Teaching and Learning Resources and Curriculum Development	45.162		22,652	171,641	194,293
Promotion of the Humanities Professional Development	45.163		-	(95)	(95)
Promotion of the Humanities Public Programs	45.164		-	20,555	20,555

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
National Endowment For The Humanities (Continued)					
Promotion of the Humanities Office of Digital Humanities	45.169		-	25,545	25,545
Museums for America	45.301		-	55,677	55,677
Grants to States	45.310		2,878,475	8,820,895	11,699,370
National Leadership Grants	45.312		-	135,235	135,235
Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian Program	45.313		-	254,226	254,226
Peace Corps' Global Health and PEPFAR Initiative Program	45.400	_	<u>-</u>	15,213	15,213
Total - National Endowment For The Humanities		_	2,902,127	10,844,272	13,746,399
National Science Foundation					
National Science Foundation  Pass-Through from Lockheed Martin Corporation  Pass-Through from Venturewell	47.XXX	AST-1755085 NSFDACS1219442 NAID-OR20180530	- - -	144,023 4,166,098 7,873	144,023 4,166,098 7,873
Total - CFDA 47.XXX			-	4,317,994	4,317,994
Engineering	47.041		-	126,574	126,574
Mathematical and Physical Sciences Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology	47.049	S398789	-	225,433 12,947	225,433 12,947
Total - CFDA 47.049			-	238,380	238,380
Education and Human Resources Pass-Through from Twin Cities Public Television Incorporated	47.076	#21301	47,699 -	2,524,091 615	2,571,790 615
Total - CFDA 47.076		-	47,699	2,524,706	2,572,405
Total - National Science Foundation		-	47,699	7,207,654	7,255,353
Small Business Administration					
Small Business Administration	59.XXX	SBAHQ-15-Q-0033 SBAHQ-15-Q-0040 4	-	9,256 22,084	9,256 22,084
Total - CFDA 59.XXX			-	31,340	31,340
Small Business Development Centers	59.037		1,251,592	5,217,131	6,468,723
Veterans Outreach Program	59.044		-	621,883	621,883
State Trade Expansion	59.061	-	363,699	124,592	488,291
Total - Small Business Administration		-	1,615,291	5,994,946	7,610,237
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs					
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs	64.XXX	IPA FRAMPTON; PO# 674- D95033 M1601139	-	16,847 45,129	16,847 45,129
Pass-Through from Enterprise Resource Performance, Inc		480547 VA119A-15-D-0005	-	994,893 19,145	994,893 19,145
Total - CFDA 64.XXX			-	1,076,014	1,076,014
Grants to States for Construction of State Home Facilities	64.005		-	10,789,132	10,789,132
Veterans State Nursing Home Care	64.015		-	70,498,829	70,498,829
VA Grants for Adaptive Sports Programs for Disabled Veterans and Disabled Members of the Armed Forces	64.034		-	1,496	1,496
Veterans Transportation Program	64.035 (22	2)	-	5,307	5,307

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (Continued)		, ,		P	
Burial Expenses Allowance for Veterans	64.101		-	1,240,144	1,240,144
Veterans Information and Assistance	64.115		-	24,481	24,481
All-Volunteer Force Educational Assistance	64.124		-	1,448,000	1,448,000
Veterans Cemetery Grants Program	64.203		-	4,268,395	4,268,395
Total - U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs			-	89,351,798	89,351,798
Environmental Protection Agency					_
State Indoor Radon Grants	66.032		-	21,712	21,712
Surveys, Studies, Research, Investigations, Demonstrations, and Special Purpose Activities Relating to the Clean Air Act	66.034		10,147	1,255,488	1,265,635
Congressionally Mandated Projects	66.202		-	15,858	15,858
Water Pollution Control State, Interstate, and Tribal Program Support	66.419		897,768	2,223,539	3,121,307
State Underground Water Source Protection	66.433		-	631,720	631,720
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations, and Training Grants and Cooperative Agreements - Section 104(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act Pass-Through from Rural Community Assistance Partnership	66.436	83697601	-	4,534	4,534
Water Quality Management Planning Pass-Through from Coastal Bend Bays and Estuaries Program	66.454	1811;1816	465,522	179,599 26,928	645,121 26,928
Total - CFDA 66.454			465,522	206,527	672,049
National Estuary Program	66.456		319,029	354,526	673,555
Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	66.460		417,893	1,252,612	1,670,505
Beach Monitoring and Notification Program Implementation Grants	66.472		-	295,297	295,297
Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Fellowship Program	66.514		-	16,959	16,959
Performance Partnership Grants	66.605		971,246	28,254,480	29,225,726
Environmental Information Exchange Network Grant Program and Related Assistance	66.608		-	46,300	46,300
Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks	66.609		-	33,190	33,190
Consolidated Pesticide Enforcement Cooperative Agreements	66.700		-	959,173	959,173
Pollution Prevention Grants Program	66.708		-	249,218	249,218
Research, Development, Monitoring, Public Education, Outreach, Training, Demonstrations, and Studies  Pass-Through from eXtension Foundation  Pass-Through from eXtension Foundation	66.716	SA-2017-36 SA-2019-37	<u>-</u>	6,167 3,486	6,167 3,486
Total - CFDA 66.716			-	9,653	9,653
Source Reduction Assistance	66.717		-	(9,093)	(9,093)
Superfund State, Political Subdivision, and Indian Tribe Site-Specific Cooperative Agreements	66.802		-	552,350	552,350
Underground Storage Tank (UST) Prevention, Detection and Compliance Program	66.804		-	1,265,763	1,265,763
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Corrective Action Program	66.805		-	2,796,057	2,796,057

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
Environmental Protection Agency (Continued)					
Superfund State and Indian Tribe Core Program Cooperative Agreements	66.809		-	323,451	323,451
State and Tribal Response Program Grants	66.817		-	643,772	643,772
Total - Environmental Protection Agency			3,081,605	41,403,086	44,484,691
Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	77.XXX	31310018C0017	-	21,115	21,115
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Scholarship and Fellowship Program	77.008			150,662	150,662
Total - Nuclear Regulatory Commission				171,777	171,777
U.S. Department of Energy					
U.S. Department of Energy Pass-Through from National Renewable Energy Laboratory Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University	81.XXX	416570 AHQ-9-92092-05 R19843	- - -	159,188 7,579 68,749	159,188 7,579 68,749
Total - CFDA 81.XXX			-	235,516	235,516
State Energy Program	81.041		280,462	2,976,841	3,257,303
Weatherization Assistance for Low-Income Persons	81.042		5,396,024	245,403	5,641,427
Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	81.049		-	54,506	54,506
Transport of Transuranic Wastes to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant: States and Tribal Concerns, Proposed Solutions	81.106		-	489,055	489,055
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research Pass-Through from Consortium for Nonproliferation Enabling Capabilites	81.113	2014-0501-09-F1	-	43,754	43,754
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Information Dissemination, Outreach, Training and Technical Analysis/Assistance	81.117		-	189,190	189,190
Nuclear Energy Research, Development and Demonstration	81.121		-	81,745	81,745
National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Minority Serving Institutions (MSI) Program  Pass-Through from Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University	81.123	C-4970	-	41,630	41,630
Minority Economic Impact	81.137		-	(4)	(4)
Environmental Monitoring/Cleanup, Cultural and Resource Mgmt., Emergency Response Research, Outreach, Technical Analysis	81.214		378,835	768,319	1,147,154
Total - U.S. Department of Energy			6,055,321	5,125,955	11,181,276
U.S. Department of Education					
U.S. Department of Education  Pass-Through from Austin Independent School District  Pass-Through from Austin Independent School District	84.XXX	U422B180065 DC-AM605 UTA18-001596	34,334 - -	314,086 (1) 857	348,420 (1) 857
Pass-Through from Education Service Center Region 17		A19-0059	-	171,479	171,479
Total - CFDA 84.XXX			34,334	486,421	520,755
Adult Education - Basic Grants to States	84.002		56,860,091	2,518,930	59,379,021
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies Pass-Through from Austin Independent School District	84.010	DC-AM607; PO# 313902	1,440,375,701	18,528,860 139,999	1,458,904,561 139,999
Total - CFDA 84.010			1,440,375,701	18,668,859	1,459,044,560
Migrant Education State Grant Program	84.011 (2	4)	49,715,890	1,330,643	51,046,533

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Education (Continued)				•	
Title I State Agency Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children and Youth	84.013		(498,881)	2,068,320	1,569,439
National Resource Centers Program for Foreign Language and Area Studies or Foreign Language and International Studies Program and Foreign Language and Area Studies Fellowship Program	84.015		-	2,116,041	2,116,041
Undergraduate International Studies and Foreign Language Programs	84.016		-	51,314	51,314
Overseas Programs - Group Projects Abroad	84.021		-	5,847	5,847
Overseas Programs - Doctoral Dissertation Research Abroad	84.022		-	55,055	55,055
Higher Education Institutional Aid Pass-Through from Austin Community College	84.031	UTA15-001240 HIGHER EDUCATION	913,111	28,850,935 58,349	29,764,046 58,349
Pass-Through from Higher Education for Development Pass-Through from Laredo Community College Pass-Through from Northeast Texas Community College		FOR DEVELOPMENT P031S120095 440100	-	1,389,182 14,029 344,048	1,389,182 14,029 344,048
Total - CFDA 84.031			913,111	30,656,543	31,569,654
Federal Family Education Loans-(Lenders)	84.032-L		-	4,167,782	4,167,782
Career and Technical Education Basic Grants to States	84.048		95,285,536	9,338,095	104,623,631
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education	84.116				
Pass-Through from Georgia State University Pass-Through from University System of Maryland		SP00012139-10 3 (W/EXT) P116F150201-2017-6	- -	159,649 15,395	159,649 15,395
Total - CFDA 84.116			-	175,044	175,044
Minority Science and Engineering Improvement	84.120		604	363,932	364,536
Rehabilitation Services Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	84.126		7,655,274	203,571,885	211,227,159
Rehabilitation Long-Term Training	84.129		-	661,651	661,651
Migrant Education High School Equivalency Program	84.141		-	1,376,852	1,376,852
Migrant Education College Assistance Migrant Program	84.149		-	1,858,450	1,858,450
Rehabilitation Services Independent Living Services for Older Individuals Who are Blind	84.177		-	2,370,768	2,370,768
Special Education-Grants for Infants and Families	84.181		39,874,677	1,624,777	41,499,454
Supported Employment Services for Individuals with the Most Significant Disabilities	84.187		-	1,538,615	1,538,615
Education for Homeless Children and Youth Pass-Through from Education Service Center Region 10 Pass-Through from Education Service Center Region 10	84.196	UTA17-001062 UTA18-001160	6,314,799	- 8,828 813,525	6,314,799 8,828 813,525
Total - CFDA 84.196			6,314,799	822,353	7,137,152
Graduate Assistance in Areas of National Need	84.200		-	470,165	470,165
Centers for International Business Education	84.220		-	54,391	54,391
Language Resource Centers	84.229		-	159,353	159,353
Eisenhower Professional Development State Grants	84.281		(141)	-	(141)
Charter Schools	84.282		12,022,551	884,369	12,906,920
Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers	84.287		95,067,753	4,789,492	99,857,245

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Education (Continued)					_
State Grants for Innovative Programs	84.298		(329)	-	(329)
Education Research, Development and Dissemination Pass-Through from American Institutes for Research Pass-Through from Loyola University Chicago Pass-Through from RAND Corporation	84.305	439600001 518325-UT AUSTIN 19519581425	- - - 120,000	219 132,455 8,330 71,634	219 132,455 8,330 191,634
Total - CFDA 84.305			120,000	212,638	332,638
Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities  Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University	84.325	3122-018447	-	2,944,004 193,010	2,944,004 193,010
Total - CFDA 84.325			-	3,137,014	3,137,014
Special Education Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities Pass-Through from WestEd	84.326	S00027412 0	<u>-</u>	566,877 409,118	566,877 409,118
Total - CFDA 84.326			-	975,995	975,995
Advanced Placement Program (Advanced Placement Test Fee; Advanced Placement Incentive Program Grants)	84.330		(24,174)	412,189	388,015
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs Pass-Through from San Antonio Independent School District	84.334	208329	3,159,021	13,220,387 9,695	16,379,408 9,695
Total - CFDA 84.334			3,159,021	13,230,082	16,389,103
Child Care Access Means Parents in School	84.335		-	651,673	651,673
Class Size Reduction	84.340		(861)	-	(861)
Credit Enhancement for Charter School Facilities	84.354		-	12,329,382	12,329,382
Rural Education	84.358		7,226,633	429,598	7,656,231
English Language Acquisition State Grants	84.365		118,523,859	2,944,877	121,468,736
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	84.366		1,993,300	331,048	2,324,348
Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants (formerly Improving Teacher Quality State Grants)	84.367	06TX17SEED2017CRWP	154,460,797	7,674,058	162,134,855
Pass-Through from National Writing Project Pass-Through from National Writing Project		PD 09-TX19-SEED2016-ILI 98-TX08SEED2017-	-	4,553 59	4,553 59
Pass-Through from National Writing Project Pass-Through from North East Independent School District Pass-Through from Plainview ISD		CRWPPD PO 824480-0-0901 19-0759	- - -	1,259 7,572 364	1,259 7,572 364
Total - CFDA 84.367			154,460,797	7,687,865	162,148,662
Competitive Grants for State Assessments (formerly Grants for Enhanced Assessment Instruments)	84.368		-	(8,084)	(8,084)
Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities	84.369		3,798,748	19,770,197	23,568,945
Comprehensive Literacy Development	84.371		(2,872)	(152)	(3,024)
Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems	84.372		252,798	1,132,397	1,385,195
School Improvement Grants	84.377		24,942,601	36,561	24,979,162
Special Education Grants to States, Recovery Act	84.391		(1,300)	-	(1,300)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Education (Continued)					
Education Innovation and Research (formerly Investing in Innovation (i3) Fund)  Pass-Through from Austin Independent School District  Pass-Through from National Writing Project  Pass-Through from National Writing Project  Pass-Through from University of Georgia  Pass-Through from University of Georgia	84.411	UTA18-000575 09-TX19-2018I3C3WP 09-TX19-2019I3C3WP 435801 435802	- - - -	20,518 92,169 59,849 7,384 1,205 10,558	20,518 92,169 59,849 7,384 1,205 10,558
Total - CFDA 84.411			-	191,683	191,683
Supporting Effective Educator Development Program	84.423		35,422	408,638	444,060
Student Support and Academic Enrichment Program	84.424		83,324,564	1,005,505	84,330,069
Disaster Recovery Assistance for Education	84.938		186,206,747	250,895	186,457,642
Total - U.S. Department of Education			2,387,636,253	357,315,948	2,744,952,201
Consumer Product Safety Commission					
Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council Comprehensive Plan Component Program	87.051		5,635,153	866,110	6,501,263
Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council Oil Spill Impact Program	87.052		-	28,192	28,192
Total - Consumer Product Safety Commission			5,635,153	894,302	6,529,455
National Archives and Records Administration					
National Historical Publications and Records Grants	89.003			2,058,818	2,058,818
Total - National Archives and Records Administration			-	2,058,818	2,058,818
Denali Commission					
2018 HAVA Election Security Grants	90.404			2,068,712	2,068,712
Total - Denali Commission			-	2,068,712	2,068,712
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	93.XXX	HHSH250201000011C/00	-	3,943,998	3,943,998
Pass-Through from American International Health Alliance Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann - Texas Medical Center Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System Pass-Through from TMF Health Quality Institute		75N91019D00021 U97HA04128 CMSTGCGNE18 CMSUTHSC17 CMSUTHSC18 UTA16-000965	- - - - -	3,270 3,305 23,724 11,605 11,263 40,413	3,270 3,305 23,724 11,605 11,263 40,413
Total - CFDA 93.XXX			-	4,037,578	4,037,578
Strengthening Public Health Services at the Outreach Offices of the U.SMexico Border Health Commission	93.018		5,194	407,808	413,002
Special Programs for the Aging, Title VII, Chapter 3, Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation	93.041		240,960	-	240,960
Special Programs for the Aging, Title VII, Chapter 2, Long Term Care Ombudsman Services for Older Individuals  Pass-Through from City of Houston Health and Human Services  Pass-Through from City of Houston Health and Human Services	93.042	4600009795 4600015194	1,170,118 - -	- 29,849 423,593	1,170,118 29,849 423,593
Total - CFDA 93.042			1,170,118	453,442	1,623,560
Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part D, Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services	93.043		1,507,128	-	1,507,128

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Special Programs for the Aging, Title IV, and Title II, Discretionary Projects	93.048		42,061	-	42,061
Alzheimer's Disease Demonstration Grants to States	93.051		278,071	-	278,071
National Family Caregiver Support, Title III, Part E	93.052		10,298,361	415,798	10,714,159
Training in General, Pediatric, and Public Health Dentistry	93.059		276,814	905,950	1,182,764
Sexual Risk Avoidance Education	93.060		-	347,029	347,029
Laboratory Leadership, Workforce Training and Management Development, Improving Public Health Laboratory Infrastructure	93.065		-	191,980	191,980
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069		2,216,522	1,971,082	4,187,604
Medicare Enrollment Assistance Program	93.071		1,160,822	133,786	1,294,608
Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities - Prevention and Surveillance	93.073		46,372	511,994	558,366
Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	93.074		32,431,020	16,465,240	48,896,260
Cooperative Agreements to Promote Adolescent Health through School- Based HIV/STD Prevention and School-Based Surveillance	93.079		-	93,017	93,017
Blood Disorder Program: Prevention, Surveillance, and Research Pass-Through from American Thrombosis and Hemostasis Network	93.080	5NU27DD001155-04-02	-	57,683 130,305	57,683 130,305
Total - CFDA 93.080			-	187,988	187,988
Prevention of Disease, Disability, and Death by Infectious Diseases	93.084		-	134,667	134,667
Healthy Marriage Promotion and Responsible Fatherhood Grants Pass-Through from Avance, Inc.	93.086	UTA16-000779		911,440 (108)	911,440 (108)
Total - CFDA 93.086			-	911,332	911,332
Advancing System Improvements for Key Issues in Women's Health	93.088		-	341,280	341,280
Guardianship Assistance	93.090		-	9,328,187	9,328,187
Affordable Care Act (ACA) Personal Responsibility Education Program Pass-Through from ETR Associates	93.092	90AP2683-01-00	-	932	932
Food and Drug Administration Research	93.103		3,148	1,653,413	1,656,561
Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)  Pass-Through from Center for Health Care Services  Pass-Through from Central Plains Center	93.104	UTA17-001271 UTA15-000948	2,083,007	154,571 7,201 135,030	2,237,578 7,201 135,030
Total - CFDA 93.104			2,083,007	296,802	2,379,809
Area Health Education Centers	93.107		988,257	2,172,090	3,160,347
Health Education Assistance Loan Program (HEAL)	93.108		-	1,534,008	1,534,008
Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs Pass-Through from Organization of Teratology Informations	93.110	UG4MC27861	256,550	539,124 76,651	795,674 76,651
Total - CFDA 93.110			256,550	615,775	872,325
Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs	93.116		4,862,153	3,086,387	7,948,540
Nurse Anesthetist Traineeship	93.124		-	66,624	66,624

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Cooperative Agreements to States/Territories for the Coordination and Development of Primary Care Offices	93.130		-	233,688	233,688
Injury Prevention and Control Research and State and Community Based Programs  Pass-Through from City of Houston	93.136	NH28CE0023950100	1,853,570	924,539 85	2,778,109 85
Total - CFDA 93.136			1,853,570	924,624	2,778,194
NIEHS Hazardous Waste Worker Health and Safety Training	93.142		-	1,197,436	1,197,436
HIV-Related Training and Technical Assistance	93.145		726,660	1,024,596	1,751,256
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)	93.150		4,467,093	88,916	4,556,009
Coordinated Services and Access to Research for Women, Infants, Children, and Youth Pass-Through from Resource Group	93.153	19UTV00RWD		88,966	88,966
Centers of Excellence	93.157		_	1,329,532	1,329,532
Health Program for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry Pass-Through from American College of Medical Toxicology	93.161	U61TS000238		357,193	357,193
Nursing Workforce Diversity	93.178	00110000230	-	467,089	467,089
Graduate Psychology Education	93.176		-		
Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Projects, State and Local Childhood	93.191		-	282,067	282,067
Lead Poisoning Prevention and Surveillance of Blood Lead Levels in Children	93.197		-	574,668	574,668
Telehealth Programs	93.211		15,393	396,851	412,244
Hansen's Disease National Ambulatory Care Program	93.215		66,912	410,898	477,810
Family Planning Services  Pass-Through from The Women's Health and Family Planning  Association of Texas  Pass-Through from The Women's Health and Family Planning  Association of Texas	93.217	FPHPA006394/066196/64 01 UTA18-001427		336,470 35,876	336,470 35,876
Pass-Through from The Women's Health and Family Planning Association of Texas		UTA19-000498	_	(40,405)	(40,405)
Total - CFDA 93.217		217110 000 100		331,941	331,941
Title V State Sexual Risk Avoidance Education (Title V State SRAE)				001,011	301,511
Program	93.235		3,178,161	892,816	4,070,977
Grants to States to Support Oral Health Workforce Activities	93.236		-	100,508	100,508
State Capacity Building	93.240		-	273,356	273,356
State Rural Hospital Flexibility Program	93.241		-	1,105,640	1,105,640
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance Pass-Through from Baylor University Pass-Through from Community Mental Health Center	93.243	1741159753A1 UTA16-001000 APA/SAMHSA EVITA ROCHA	4,511,233 - -	3,492,699 3,349 34,310	8,003,932 3,349 34,310
Pass-Through from University of California - Irvine			-	14,838	14,838
Total - CFDA 93.243			4,511,233	3,545,196	8,056,429
Advanced Nursing Education Workforce Grant Program	93.247		-	3,187,644	3,187,644
Early Hearing Detection and Intervention	93.251		29,943	177,347	207,290
Poison Center Support and Enhancement Grant	93.253 (2	9)	-	755,965	755,965

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Occupational Safety and Health Program	93.262		-	1,285,058	1,285,058
Immunization Cooperative Agreements	93.268		6,612,343	500,729,559	507,341,902
Viral Hepatitis Prevention and Control	93.270		-	77,341	77,341
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Investigations and Technical Assistance	93.283		279,170	2,050,771	2,329,941
State Partnership Grant Program to Improve Minority Health	93.296		90,000	238,207	328,207
Teenage Pregnancy Prevention Program Pass-Through from ETR Associates	93.297	TP2AH000031-04-00	373,260	2,218,128 3,261	2,591,388 3,261
Total - CFDA 93.297			373,260	2,221,389	2,594,649
Small Rural Hospital Improvement Grant Program	93.301		1,040,919	90,118	1,131,037
PPHF 2018: Office of Smoking and Health-National State-Based Tobacco Control Programs-Financed in part by 2018 Prevention and Public Health funds (PPHF)	93.305		-	1,173,712	1,173,712
Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Information System (EHDI-IS) Surveillance Program	93.314		-	33,000	33,000
Outreach Programs to Reduce the Prevalence of Obesity in High Risk Rural Areas	93.319		-	490,761	490,761
Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)	93.323		3,925,770	7,658,709	11,584,479
State Health Insurance Assistance Program	93.324		2,440,148	43,192	2,483,340
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	93.336		-	104,818	104,818
Public Health Emergency Response: Cooperative Agreement for Emergency Response: Public Health Crisis Response	93.354		1,758,384	4,626,768	6,385,152
Nurse Education, Practice Quality and Retention Grants	93.359		-	1,605,807	1,605,807
Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA), Biodefense Medical Countermeasure Development	93.360		-	7,088,540	7,088,540
Flexible Funding Model - Infrastructure Development and Maintenance for State Manufactured Food Regulatory Programs	93.367		-	443,179	443,179
ACL Independent Living State Grants	93.369		1,525,528	(104)	1,525,424
Cancer Treatment Research Pass-Through from Cornerstone Systems Northwest, Inc.	93.395	5 U24 CA 055727-19	-	(25,525)	(25,525)
Cancer Research Manpower	93.398		-	(376,623)	(376,623)
ARRA - Nurse Faculty Loan Program	93.408		-	330,759	330,759
Improving the Health of Americans through Prevention and Management of Diabetes and Heart Disease and Stroke	93.426		893,613	1,080,805	1,974,418
Every Student Succeeds Act/Preschool Development Grants	93.434		-	473,624	473,624
Innovative State and Local Public Health Strategies to prevent and Manage Diabetes and Heart Disease and Stroke	93.435		144,000	138,314	282,314
State Physical Activity and Nutrition (SPAN)	93.439		84,908	154,531	239,439
Food Safety and Security Monitoring Project	93.448		-	358,638	358,638
ACL Assistive Technology	93.464		26,947	754,936	781,883

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Alzheimer's Disease Program Initiative (ADPI)	93.470	WELLMED/90ADPI0014- 01-00			
Pass-Through from WellMed Charitable Foundation			-	80,156	80,156
Affordable Care Act (ACA) Grants to States for Health Insurance Premium Review	93.511		-	182,300	182,300
The Affordable Care Act: Building Epidemiology, Laboratory, and Health Information Systems Capacity in the Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Disease (ELC) and Emerging Infections Program (EIP) Cooperative Agreements; PPHF	93.521		-	32,932	32,932
PPHF Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health Immunization Infrastructure and Performance financed in part by Prevention and Public Health Funds	93.539		(1,861)	2,544,151	2,542,290
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556		1,819,180	24,589,588	26,408,768
Child Support Enforcement	93.563		-	212,332,244	212,332,244
Child Support Enforcement Research	93.564		-	172,023	172,023
Refugee and Entrant Assistance State/Replacement Designee Administered Programs	93.566		-	(998,705)	(998,705)
Low-Income Home Energy Assistance	93.568		164,002,443	1,406,504	165,408,947
Community Services Block Grant	93.569		34,333,790	1,086,985	35,420,775
Refugee and Entrant Assistance Targeted Assistance Grants	93.584		(4,771)	-	(4,771)
State Court Improvement Program	93.586		-	1,979,715	1,979,715
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	93.590		1,402,888	1,886,818	3,289,706
Grants to States for Access and Visitation Programs	93.597		348,257	511,646	859,903
Chafee Education and Training Vouchers Program (ETV)	93.599		-	2,692,876	2,692,876
Head Start Pass-Through from San Antonio Independent School District Pass-Through from San Antonio Independent School District	93.600	UTA18-000796 UTA18-000796 1	5,970,801 - -	4,387,135 277,778 81,747	10,357,936 277,778 81,747
Total - CFDA 93.600			5,970,801	4,746,660	10,717,461
Adoption and Legal Guardianship Incentive Payments	93.603		-	8,960,500	8,960,500
Developmental Disabilities Basic Support and Advocacy Grants	93.630		2,297,651	2,579,025	4,876,676
Pass-Through from North Carolina DHHS, Council on Developmental Disabilities		1-CS-18		25,000	25,000
Total - CFDA 93.630			2,297,651	2,604,025	4,901,676
University Centers for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities Education, Research, and Service	93.632		-	614,109	614,109
Children's Justice Grants to States Pass-Through from Texas Center for the Judiciary	93.643	280860	98,129	(26,269) (500)	71,860 (500)
Total - CFDA 93.643			98,129	(26,769)	71,360
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	93.645		214,241	25,750,659	25,964,900
Adoption Opportunities Pass-Through from Spaulding for Children	93.652	UTA17-001315	117,274	35,818 388,160	35,818 505,434
Total - CFDA 93.652			117,274	423,978	541,252
Foster Care Title IV-E	93.658 (3	1)	4,224,916	220,419,248	224,644,164

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Adoption Assistance	93.659		18,924	151,352,658	151,371,582
Social Services Block Grant	93.667		33,498,019	152,140,885	185,638,904
Child Abuse and Neglect State Grants	93.669		-	2,811,088	2,811,088
Family Violence Prevention and Services/Domestic Violence Shelter and Supportive Services	93.671		6,556,580	16,378	6,572,958
John H. Chafee Foster Care Program for Successful Transition to Adulthood	93.674		-	9,376,162	9,376,162
Mental and Behavioral Health Education and Training Grants	93.732		-	906,980	906,980
Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health Immunization Infrastructure and Performance - financed in part by the Prevention and Public Health Fund (PPHF)	93.733		-	229,311	229,311
Empowering Older Adults and Adults with Disabilities through Chronic Disease Self-Management Education Programs - financed by Prevention and Public Health Funds (PPHF)	93.734		6,250	58,455	64,705
State Public Health Approaches for Ensuring Quitline Capacity - Funded in part by Prevention and Public Health Funds (PPHF)	93.735		-	1,194,743	1,194,743
Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations financed in part by Prevention and Public Health Funds	93.752		(32,538)	(46,513)	(79,051)
State and Local Public Health Actions to Prevent Obesity, Diabetes, Heart Disease and Stroke (PPHF)	93.757		1,479	129,221	130,700
Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant funded solely with Prevention and Public Health Funds (PPHF)	93.758		6,095,655	1,168,963	7,264,618
Children's Health Insurance Program	93.767		-	1,294,954,219	1,294,954,219
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System	93.779	CMSPVAM18 CMSPVAM19 CMSTGCGNE13	- - -	5,695 12,809 190	5,695 12,809 190
Total - CFDA 93.779			-	18,694	18,694
Opioid STR Pass-Through from University of Missouri - Kansas City Pass-Through from University of Missouri - Kansas City	93.788	0081633/00061663 0090498/00066589 YR 2	11,178,814 - -	12,379,190 119,871 168,143	23,558,004 119,871 168,143
Total - CFDA 93.788			11,178,814	12,667,204	23,846,018
Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration	93.791		4,477,448	22,362,045	26,839,493
State Survey Certification of Health Care Providers and Suppliers (Title XIX) Medicaid	93.796		-	35,802,988	35,802,988
Domestic Ebola Supplement to the Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC).	93.815		2,433	626,308	628,741
Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) Ebola Preparedness and Response Activities	93.817		615,170	(178,094)	437,076
Health Careers Opportunity Program	93.822		-	422,521	422,521
National Ebola Training and Education Center (NETEC)  Pass-Through from Emory University	93.825	5U3REP1705520300	-	40,182	40,182
Section 223 Demonstration Programs to Improve Community Mental Health Services	93.829		-	(2,946)	(2,946)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Biomedical Research and Research Training Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	93.859	5T32GM008280-30	110,930	696,428 62,584	807,358 62,584
Total - CFDA 93.859			110,930	759,012	869,942
Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Grant	93.870		12,083,165	5,460,458	17,543,623
Medical Library Assistance	93.879		-	25,628	25,628
Grants for Primary Care Training and Enhancement	93.884	A17-0284-	-	338,352	338,352
Pass-Through from UC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research		S002/UH1HP29965	6,905	19,495	26,400
Total - CFDA 93.884			6,905	357,847	364,752
National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program	93.889		2,679,365	413,316	3,092,681
Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations	93.898		3,439,300	3,448,705	6,888,005
Rural Health Care Services Outreach, Rural Health Network Development and Small Health Care Provider Quality Improvement  Pass-Through from Converge Day Treatment Center	93.912	46-4895982	-	3,314	3,314
Grants to States for Operation of State Offices of Rural Health	93.913		-	231,339	231,339
HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants  Pass-Through from Harris County Public Health and Environmental  Services	93.914	18GEN0115/ CS 2017-01		04.127	04.407
Pass-Through from Harris County Public Health and Environmental Services		19GEN0340 / CS 2017-01	-	94,127	94,127
Pass-Through from Harris Health System		6H12HA000390-20 GA- 04712	-	66,867 460,261	66,867 460,261
Pass-Through from University Health System		RYAN WHITE PART A FORMULA	-	(9,315)	(9,315)
Pass-Through from University Health System		RYAN WHITE PT A FORM&SUPP	_	20,087	20,087
Total - CFDA 93.914				632,027	632,027
HIV Care Formula Grants  Pass-Through from Resource Group	93.917	18UTV00PTB	25,992,040	67,800,608 165	93,792,648 165
Pass-Through from Resource Group Pass-Through from Resource Group		18UTV00SS 19UTV00PTB	-	368 279,241	368 279,241
Pass-Through from Resource Group Pass-Through from Resource Group		19UTV00SS 20UTV00PTB	-	38,714 169,923	38,714 169,923
Pass-Through from University Health System		180196/RYAN WHITE PT B	_	6,048	6,048
Total - CFDA 93.917			25,992,040	68,295,067	94,287,107
Grants to Provide Outpatient Early Intervention Services with Respect to			20,002,010	00,200,007	0 1,201 ,101
HIV Disease	93.918	0012	-	36,249	36,249
Pass-Through from Dallas County Hospital District Pass-Through from Resource Group		9913 19UTV00RWC	-	83,469 85,120	83,469 85,120
Pass-Through from Resource Group		19UTV00SSR		70,800	70,800
Total - CFDA 93.918			-	275,638	275,638
Ryan White HIV/AIDS Dental Reimbursement and Community Based Dental Partnership Grants	93.924		-	11,435	11,435
HIV Prevention Activities Health Department Based Pass-Through from City of Houston Health and Human Services	93.940	C19-001-003	15,445,646	4,222,415 76,591	19,668,061 76,591
Total - CFDA 93.940			15,445,646	4,299,006	19,744,652
Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficiency Virus Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance	93.944 (3	3)	995,251	1,592,821	2,588,072

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control	93.945		-	20,816	20,816
Cooperative Agreements to Support State-Based Safe Motherhood and Infant Health Initiative Programs	93.946		-	135,737	135,737
Tuberculosis Demonstration, Research, Public and Professional Education	93.947		-	1,228,643	1,228,643
Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.958		44,229,687	2,396,737	46,626,424
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959		122,060,580	19,443,823	141,504,403
The Zika Health Care Services Program	93.966		518,442	42,261	560,703
PPHF Geriatric Education Centers	93.969		-	753,431	753,431
Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants	93.977		4,982,650	1,611,417	6,594,067
Mental Health Disaster Assistance and Emergency Mental Health	93.982		1,660,196	823,786	2,483,982
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994		13,509,562	19,685,776	33,195,338
Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			616,865,404	2,926,852,545	3,543,717,949
Corporation for National and Community Service					
Retired and Senior Volunteer Program	94.002		-	121,463	121,463
AmeriCorps Pass-Through from National College Advising Corps Pass-Through from OneStar Foundation	94.006	UTA18-000741 16AFHTX0010001 16AFHTX0010006 17ES189601 19AC215308 19AC215637	- - - - -	291,460 2,886 691,522 (985) 426 67,703	291,460 2,886 691,522 (985) 426 67,703
Total - CFDA 94.006			-	1,053,012	1,053,012
Training and Technical Assistance Pass-Through from OneStar Foundation	94.009	18AC203164	-	1,337,826	1,337,826
Volunteers in Service to America	94.013		-	103,962	103,962
Social Innovation Fund	94.019				
Pass-Through from Methodist Healthcare Ministries of South Texas, Inc.		14SIHTX001-07		429,597	429,597
Total - Corporation for National and Community Service				3,045,860	3,045,860
Executive Office of the President					
High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program	95.001			1,230,814	1,230,814
Total - Executive Office of the President				1,230,814	1,230,814
U.S. Department of Homeland Security					
State and Local Homeland Security National Training Program	97.005		1,736,708	19,829,768	21,566,476
Pass-Through from Norwich University Applied Research Institutes, Ltd.		PO# 2015-019 06	-	4,089	4,089
Pass-Through from Norwich University Applied Research Institutes, Ltd.		2018-011		(115)	(115)
Total - CFDA 97.005			1,736,708	19,833,742	21,570,450
Non-Profit Security Program	97.008		1,216,721	-	1,216,721
Boating Safety Financial Assistance	97.012		-	3,600,458	3,600,458

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
U.S. Department of Homeland Security					
Community Assistance Program State Support Services Element (CAP-SSSE)	97.023		_	560,991	560,991
National Urban Search and Rescue (US&R) Response System	97.025		_	4,288,021	4,288,021
Flood Mitigation Assistance	97.029		5,325,687	585,740	5,911,427
Crisis Counseling	97.032				290,949
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.032		277,945 393,733,077	13,004 140,406,930	534,140,007
Hazard Mitigation Grant	97.039				
			18,115,595	4,930,147	23,045,742
National Dam Safety Program	97.041			338,028	338,028
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042		5,162,642	13,809,624	18,972,266
State Fire Training Systems Grants	97.043		-	18,890	18,890
Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044		-	434,783	434,783
Cooperating Technical Partners	97.045		322,209	669,793	992,002
Fire Management Assistance Grant	97.046		219,787	21,092	240,879
Pre-Disaster Mitigation	97.047		1,078,516	16,062	1,094,578
Federal Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households in Presidential Declared Disaster Areas	97.048		13,224,493	31,758,448	44,982,941
Presidential Declared Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households - Other Needs	97.050		-	13,683,545	13,683,545
Port Security Grant Program	97.056		-	585,000	585,000
Centers for Homeland Security	97.061		-	35,120	35,120
Scientific Leadership Awards	97.062		-	67,212	67,212
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067		84,967,674	4,920,592	89,888,266
Disaster Assistance Projects	97.088		-	32,290,117	32,290,117
Homeland Security Biowatch Program	97.091		-	2,475,143	2,475,143
Severe Repetitive Loss Program	97.110		459,943	51,308	511,251
Preparing for Emerging Threats and Hazards	97.133		<u>-</u>	276,622	276,622
Total - U.S. Department of Homeland Security			525,840,997	275,670,412	801,511,409
U.S. Agency for International Development					
USAID Development Partnerships for University Cooperation and					
Development Pass-Through from Arizona State University	98.012	A 00000194		80,877	80,877
Total - U.S. Agency for International Development				80,877	80,877
Total Non-Clustered Programs			4,693,446,137	6,682,899,669	11,376,345,806
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER U.S. Department of Agriculture					
U.S. Department of Agriculture	10.XXX	16-CR-11242313-068	-	30	30
Pass-Through from Dairy Management, Inc.		16-CS-11153900-015 UTA15-000186	<u>-</u>	108 198	108 198
Total - CFDA 10.XXX	(3)	>	-	336	336

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Agriculture (Continued)					
Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	10.001		5,264	5,193,273	5,198,537
Pass-Through from CRDF Global		DAA217629851	-	3,981	3,981
Pass-Through from Dairy Management, Inc.		M1801437	10,000	186,628	196,628
Total - CFDA 10.001			15,264	5,383,882	5,399,146
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	10.025		3,912	3,119,705	3,123,617
Pass-Through from Colorado State University		G-07101-01	-	7,810	7,810
Pass-Through from Texas Citrus Pest and Disease Management		TCPDMC 6800 6	-	108,175	108,175
Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFDSP00011979		52,714	52,714
Total - CFDA 10.025			3,912	3,288,404	3,292,316
Wildlife Services	10.028		-	247,157	247,157
Federal-State Marketing Improvement Program	10.156		-	47,560	47,560
Transportation Services	10.167		-	238,711	238,711
Specialty Crop Block Grant Program - Farm Bill	10.170		-	284,024	284,024
Pass-Through from Black Gold Farms		M1800964	-	64,671	64,671
Pass-Through from J&D Produce		M1801666	-	52,111	52,111
Pass-Through from Nacogdoches Economic Development Corporation		AGRILIFE 19-001	-	13,123	13,123
Pass-Through from Nacogdoches Economic Development Corporation		SC-1617-035	-	61	61
Pass-Through from Nacogdoches Economic Development Corporation		SC-1819-26	-	13,812	13,812
Page Through from Naccordaches Economic Davalanment Corneration		1718-016		22.450	22.459
Pass-Through from Nacogdoches Economic Development Corporation Pass-Through from Texas Association of Olive Oil		AGRILIFEEXT 19-001	-	22,458 606	22,458 606
Pass-Through from Texas Association of Olive Oil		AGRILIFERES 19-001	-	25,935	25,935
Pass-Through from Texas Beekeepers Association		2017-001	-	12,796	12,796
Pass-Through from Texas Pecan Board		2017-001 M1801539	-	17,626	17,626
Pass-Through from Texas Pomegranate Growers Cooperative Pass-Through from Texas Watermelon Association		M1801186	-	13,379 102,302	13,379 102,302
Pass-Through from Texas Watermelon Association		2017-001	-	(298)	(298)
Pass-Through from United Supermarkets LLC		M1800965	-	52,048	52,048
5 -		2017CPS05/16-SCBGP-			4
Pass-Through from University of Arizona  Pass-Through from Uvalde County Underground Water Conservation		CA-0035 AGRILIFE 001	-	(3,016)	(3,016)
Pass-Through from Uvalde County Underground Water Conservation  Pass-Through from Uvalde County Underground Water Conservation		SC-1819-09	-	79,619 52,850	79,619 52,850
Pass-Through from Wintergarden Spinach Producers Board		M1801659	-	80,740	80,740
Total - CFDA 10.170			-	884,847	884,847
Cronto for Agricultural Research Special Research Cronto	40.200				
Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants  Pass-Through from Colorado State University	10.200	G-01314-03	-	105,365	105,365
Pass-Through from Mississippi State University		M1702475	-	6,662	6,662
Pass-Through from Mississippi State University		M1900432 - SRAC	-	26,328	26,328
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University		2-560050TAMUR	-	284	284
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University		2-561090 TAMUR/PO149697	_	14,493	14,493
Pass-Through from University of Florida - Gainesville		PO 1900663646	-	48,644	48,644
Pass-Through from University of Florida - Gainesville		PO 93997017		47,281	47,281
Total - CFDA 10.200			-	249,057	249,057
Cooperative Forestry Research	10.202		-	893,923	893,923
Payments to Agricultural Experiment Stations Under the Hatch Act	10.203		-	8,434,745	8,434,745
Payments to 1890 Land-Grant Colleges and Tuskegee University	10.205		-	4,762,736	4,762,736
Animal Health and Disease Research	10.207		-	300,033	300,033

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Agriculture (Continued)					
Higher Education - Graduate Fellowships Grant Program	10.210		-	20,298	20,298
Small Business Innovation Research	10.212		-	37,903	37,903
Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education	10.215		-	62,358	62,358
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		LS19-313	-	373	373
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		RD309-137/S001413	-	3,071	3,071
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		RD309-137/S001433	-	3,209	3,209
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		RD309144/S001673	-	7,948	7,948
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		00001085	_	92,249	92,249
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		00001770	_	98,060	98,060
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		00001836	_	11,238	11,238
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		00001030	_	1,103	1,103
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		436071	-		
Pass-Through from University of Georgia Research Foundation, Inc.		00001788	-	54,262 2,172	54,262 2,172
Total - CFDA 10.215				336,043	336,043
Total - 61 DA 10.210			_	330,043	330,043
1890 Institution Capacity Building Grants	10.216		21,163	605,239	626,402
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas		229-23-11110612		5,007	5,007
Total - CFDA 10.216			21,163	610,246	631,409
Higher Education - Institution Challenge Grants Program	10.217		93,802	114,182	207.984
Pass-Through from Cornell University	10.217	83704-11020	4,700	51,988	56,688
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas		UA AES 91444-01	4,700	54,981	54,981
			-		
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences		91444-02	-	35,318	35,318
Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFDSP00011213	-	61,682	61,682
Pass-Through from University of Florida		00001756		431	431
Total - CFDA 10.217			98,502	318,582	417,084
Biotechnology Risk Assessment Research	10.219		349	294,007	294,356
Hispanic Serving Institutions Education Grants	10.223	000005007 00110	754,005	2,219,907	2,973,912
Pass-Through from Florida International University		800005937-02UG		52,249	52,249
Total - CFDA 10.223			754,005	2,272,156	3,026,161
Community Food Projects	10.225				
Pass-Through from Sequim Food Bank		M1900539	-	5,820	5,820
Secondary and Two-Year Postsecondary Agriculture Education Challenge					
Grants	10.226		-	13,776	13,776
Consumer Data and Nutrition Research	10.253		-	1,644	1,644
Pass-Through from Tufts University		103183-00001		3,475	3,475
Total - CFDA 10.253			-	5,119	5,119
Agricultural Market and Economic Research	10.290		-	401,490	401,490
Agricultural and Food Policy Research Centers	10.291		-	1,217,582	1,217,582
Integrated Programs	10.303		-	173,867	173,867
Pass-Through from Arkansas State University	10.000	14-686-15		(2)	(2)
Total - CFDA 10.303			-	173,865	173,865
Homeland Security Agricultural	10.304		-	326,614	326,614
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S18034	-	(290)	(290)
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S19052		24,715	24,715
Total - CFDA 10.304			-	351,039	351,039

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Agriculture (Continued)					
Organic Agriculture Research and Extension Initiative	10.307		37,900	148,922	186,822
Pass-Through from Iowa State University		416-23-33A	-	33,964	33,964
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		2018-51300-28563	-	48,703	48,703
Total - CFDA 10.307			37,900	231,589	269,489
Specialty Crop Research Initiative	10.309		1,028,504	1,848,597	2,877,101
Pass-Through from Clemson University		1763-207-2020386	-	122,428	122,428
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC104285D	-	21,289	21,289
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas		91447-01	-	105,812	105,812
Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside		S000778	-	94,315	94,315
Pass-Through from University of Florida Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFDSP00011197 UFDSP00011792	-	127,206 18,922	127,206 18,922
Pass-Through from University of Florida		00001682	-	12,014	12,014
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University		417518-19837	-	407	407
Total - CFDA 10.309			1,028,504	2,350,990	3,379,494
				_,,	2,2: 2, :2 :
Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)	10.310		1,696,770	8,472,262	10,169,032
Pass-Through from Colorado State University		G-06263-3	-	30,505	30,505
Pass-Through from Colorado State University Pass-Through from Colorado State University		G-14765-6 2016-680074-25066	-	72,204	72,204 150,989
Pass-Through from Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station		CAES-AC-2016-01	-	150,989 66,314	66,314
Pass-Through from Cornell University		76482-10583	-	19,497	19,497
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		490170	-	35,801	35,801
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC108598TEX	-	3,719	3,719
Pass-Through from Mississippi State University		191000 321646 01	-	162,962	162,962
Pass-Through from Montana State University		270770 60070640(NEW) /	-	10,809	10,809
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60045862(OLD)	-	46,016	46,016
Pass-Through from Purdue University		F0009601902002	-	8,636	8,636
Pass-Through from Purdue University		F9000320702008	-	25,359	25,359
Pass-Through from Purdue University		8000053333-AG	-	12,316	12,316
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California		201503344-01	-	15,198	15,198
Pass-Through from South Dakota State University Pass-Through from University of Arkansas		3TB453 253549-18TAMU	-	61,529	61,529
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences		US AES 0402-82681-01	-	39,326 38,133	39,326 38,133
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		A18-1616-S006	-	69,596	69,596
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		201300264-01	-	68,665	68,665
		201603566-16/A17-0484-			
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		S016	-	36,952	36,952
Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Cruz		A16-0086-S002-P0587973		45.077	45.077
Pass-Through from University of Florida		2 (EXT) UFDSP00012089	-	45,977 83,511	45,977 83,511
Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFDSP00012371	-	29,879	29,879
		RC398-139/S000791/		20,0.0	20,0.0
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		00000791	-	69,434	69,434
Pass-Through from University of Maine		UMS-1155	=	81,605	81,605
Pass-Through from University of Maine		UMS1124	-	37,284	37,284
Pass-Through from University of Miami		2015-68001-23232	-	53,325	53,325
Pass-Through from University of Missouri		C00051167-2	-	97,469	97,469
Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Lincoln Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Lincoln		25-6221-0347-002 25-6221-0386-002	-	38,296	38,296
Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Lincoln		25-6222-0810-002	-	20,781 141,291	20,781 141,291
Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Lincoln		25-6239-0235-304	-	102,213	102,213
,		25-6268-0005-003 2013-			
Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Lincoln		68004-20358	-	13,966	13,966
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Wilmington		577470-17-02; P0104899	-	54,481	54,481
Pass-Through from Utah State University		270720	-	591	591
Pass-Through from Utah State University Pass-Through from Utah State University		200984-422 201587-560	-	1,427 7,529	1,427 7,529
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University		422568-19094	<del>-</del>	66,583	66,583
Pass-Through from Washington State University		132190-G003936	-	17,831	17,831
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R18761	-	1,037	1,037
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R18762	-	4,889	4,889
Total - CFDA 10.310		•	1,696,770	10,416,187	12,112,957

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Agriculture (Continued)					
Beginning Farmer and Rancher Development Program	10.311		9,935	-	9,935
Sun Grant Program	10.320		_	1,020	1,020
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University	10.020	2568390 TALR2 2568930 TALR	28,813	47,729	76,542
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University		3/PO162014	33,102	89,390	122,492
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University		2568930 TALR1	17,044	43,647	60,691
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma		2568930 UTSA1 2018-29	13,464	146,289 2,562	159,753 2,562
Total - CFDA 10.320			92,423	330,637	423,060
Capacity Building for Non-Land Grant Colleges of Agriculture (NLGCA)	10.326		-	660,314	660,314
Pass-Through from Middle Tennessee State University		C16-0811	-	58,559	58,559
Pass-Through from Middle Tennessee State University		270580		8,780	8,780
Total - CFDA 10.326			-	727,653	727,653
National Food Safety Training, Education, Extension, Outreach, and Technical Assistance Competitive Grants Program	10.328				
Pass-Through from University of Florida	10.328	UFDSP00011141	_	(6)	(6)
Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFDSP00012352		10,345	10,345
Total - CFDA 10.328			-	10,339	10,339
Crop Protection and Pest Management Competitive Grants Program	10.329		52,045	153,310	205,355
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University Pass-Through from North Carolina State University		PO-000001898 2018-3200-04	, <u>-</u>	376 36	376 36
		2010-3200-04			
Total - CFDA 10.329			52,045	153,722	205,767
Veterinary Services Grant Program	10.336		-	26,692	26,692
Outreach and Assistance for Socially Disadvantaged and Veteran Farmers and Ranchers	10.443		-	172,487	172,487
Crop Insurance	10.450		-	3,593,825	3,593,825
Socially Disadvantaged Farmers and Ranchers Policy Research Center Pass-Through from Alcorn State University	10.464	330140-05	-	(3,025)	(3,025)
Cooperative Agreements with States for Intrastate Meat and Poultry Inspection	10.475		-	167,364	167,364
Meat, Poultry, and Egg Products Inspection	10.477		_	11,608	11,608
Food Safety Cooperative Agreements	10.479		_	83,000	83,000
, ,	10.475			00,000	00,000
State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561		-	1,863,666	1,863,666
Emerging Markets Program Pass-Through from National Sheep Industry Improvement Pass-Through from National Sheep Industry Improvement	10.603	M1803300 M1901470	-	10,979 2,212	10,979 2,212
Total - CFDA 10.603			-	13,191	13,191
Food for Drogross	40.000				
Food for Progress Pass-Through from National Cooperative Business Association	10.606	M001-16-03	-	598,140	598,140
Forestry Research	10.652		-	1,361,405	1,361,405
Wood Utilization Assistance Pass-Through from Clemson University	10.674	1993-205-2022305	-	28,652	28,652
Forest Stewardship Program	10.678		-	(36)	(36)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Agriculture (Continued)					
Partnership Agreements	10.699		-	15,905	15,905
Norman E. Borlaug International Agricultural Science and Technology Fellow	10.777		-	129,510	129,510
Soil and Water Conservation Pass-Through from New Mexico State University	10.902	Q01872	233,302	2,062,931 973	2,296,233 973
Pass-Through from Pheasants Forever, Inc. Pass-Through from University of Tennessee		LCPI 2017-02 68-3A75-17-482		36,531 8,120	36,531 8,120
Total - CFDA 10.902			233,302	2,108,555	2,341,857
Soil Survey	10.903		-	23,843	23,843
Environmental Quality Incentives Program	10.912	0.500400 TAMALA	3,281	298,381	301,662
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Pass-Through from Pheasants Forever, Inc.		3-580130 TAMAL1 LPCI-16-03	-	12,270 8,945	12,270 8,945
Pass-Through from Pheasants Forever, Inc.		LPCI-16-06		10,284	10,284
Total - CFDA 10.912			3,281	329,880	333,161
Agricultural Statistics Reports	10.950		-	150,523	150,523
Technical Agricultural Assistance	10.960		216,736	1,233,406	1,450,142
Pass-Through from Catholic Relief Services		FCC-686-2013-027-00	-	20,898	20,898
Pass-Through from National Cotton Council of America		SRS M1800045	-	86,062	86,062
Total - CFDA 10.960			216,736	1,340,366	1,557,102
Scientific Cooperation and Research	10.961		-	6,968	6,968
Cochran Fellowship Program-International Training-Foreign Participant	10.962			137,367	137,367
Total - U.S. Department of Agriculture			4,264,091	57,170,320	61,434,411
U.S. Department of Commerce					
U.S. Department of Commerce	11.XXX	1305M318PNRMA0234	-	95,027	95,027
		1305M318PNRMA0296	-	27,916	27,916
		1305M319PNRMA0066 1333MD18PNEED0044	-	66,146 42,947	66,146 42,947
Pass-Through from Abt Associates, Inc.		50050	-	19,876	19,876
Pass-Through from CODAR Ocean Sensors LTD		M1802987	-	40,070	40,070
Pass-Through from Eastern Research Group, Inc.		0400 27 034/01	-	5,849	5,849
Pass-Through from Industrial Economics, Inc.		5700-TAMU-G	-	73	73
Pass-Through from Woods Hole Group, Inc. Pass-Through from Woods Hole Group, Inc.		PO# 2017-0063 PO# 2018-0084	-	48,909 14,588	48,909 14,588
Pass-Through from Woods Hole Group, Inc.		PO# 2018-010 REV A	-	18,787	18,787
		2019-0029-00-001		-, -	-, -
Pass-Through from Woods Hole Group, Inc.		PO#2019-0020	-	25,880	25,880
Pass-Through from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution		PO# 2015-0026	-	10,013	10,013
Pass-Through from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Pass-Through from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution		PO# 2018-0034 2019-0028-00	-	138,748 179,036	138,748 179,036
Total - CFDA 11.XXX		2010 0020 00		733,865	733,865
Census Bureau Data Products	11.001		_	142	142
NOAA Mission-Related Education Awards	11.008		8,042	12,434	20,476
			0,072	12,707	20,710
Ocean Exploration Pass-Through from University of Hawaii	11.011	MA1118	-	13,111	13,111

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Commerce (Continued)					
Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS)  Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill Pass-Through from University of Notre Dame Pass-Through from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Pass-Through from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution	11.012	5112125 203453UTA A101272 A101273	577,137 - - -	1,874,777 577 36,544 17,477 75,436	2,451,914 577 36,544 17,477 75,436
Total - CFDA 11.012			577,137	2,004,811	2,581,948
Education Quality Award Ambassadorship	11.013		-	5,000	5,000
Cluster Grants	11.020		-	327,787	327,787
Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018	11.022		-	18,267	18,267
Economic Development Technical Assistance	11.303		-	80,643	80,643
Economic Adjustment Assistance	11.307		-	56,914	56,914
Geodetic Surveys and Services (Geodesy and Applications of the National Geodetic Reference System)  Pass-Through from University of Southern Mississippi  Pass-Through from University of Southern Mississippi	11.400	UMS-GR04905-02 USM-8006122-03 01	- -	596,816 607,967	596,816 607,967
Total - CFDA 11.400			-	1,204,783	1,204,783
Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act of 1986  Pass-Through from Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission  Pass-Through from Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission	11.407	TCS-650-001-2018-01 TT-650-005-2019-01	- - -	156,112 45,516 157,168	156,112 45,516 157,168
Total - CFDA 11.407			-	358,796	358,796
Sea Grant Support Pass-Through from University of Mississippi	11.417	19-12-024 USM-GR05655-R/SFA-RS-	22,286	2,587,332 1,766	2,609,618 1,766
Pass-Through from University of Southern Mississippi		15 USM-8006133-R-RCE-12	2,333,792	777,997	3,111,789
Pass-Through from University of Southern Mississippi		(TAMU)		27,221	27,221
Total - CFDA 11.417			2,356,078	3,394,316	5,750,394
Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards	11.419		59,084	688,490	747,574
Coastal Zone Management Estuarine Research Reserves	11.420		-	641,653	641,653
Fisheries Development and Utilization Research and Development Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Pass-Through from South Carolina Department of Natural Resources	11.427	SCDNR FY2017003	3,594	123,984 43,264	127,578 43,264
Total - CFDA 11.427			3,594	167,248	170,842
Climate and Atmospheric Research Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma	11.431	2019-16	47,645	564,118 29,921	611,763 29,921
Total - CFDA 11.431			47,645	594,039	641,684
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Cooperative Institutes  Pass-Through from North Carolina State University  Pass-Through from University of Maryland - College Park  Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma	11.432	2014-2918-10 NA14NES4320003 2018-04	:	47,303 27,078 48,416 33,888	47,303 27,078 48,416 33,888
Total - CFDA 11.432			-	156,685	156,685
Marine Fisheries Initiative	11.433		2,019	166,545	168,564

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Commerce (Continued)					
Cooperative Fishery Statistics Pass-Through from Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission	11.434	BSP-799-017-2018-01	-	5,637	5,637
Pass-Through from Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission		SCFV-749-045-2019-01	-	3,929	3,929
Pass-Through from Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission		TT-749-005-2018-01	-	53,482	53,482
Pass-Through from Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission		TT1-749-005-2017-01		(14)	(14)
Total - CFDA 11.434			-	63,034	63,034
Regional Fishery Management Councils	11.441				
Pass-Through from Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council		18-7050	-	5,351	5,351
Pass-Through from Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council		19-7050		22,605	22,605
Total - CFDA 11.441			-	27,956	27,956
Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Science, Observation, Monitoring, and					
Technology Pass-Through from Florida International University	11.451	800007856-01UG	1,004	1,993	2,997
Fass-Iniough nominional international offiversity		800007830-010G		9,036	9,036
Total - CFDA 11.451			1,004	11,029	12,033
Unallied Management Projects	11.454		4,435	47,979	52,414
Pass-Through from Florida State University		R01859	-	15,232	15,232
Pass-Through from National Fish and Wildlife Foundation		0304 16 054237	-	5,903	5,903
Total - CFDA 11.454			4,435	69,114	73,549
Weather and Air Quality Research	11.459		13,314	443,909	457,223
Pass-Through from Deltares USA, Inc.	11.438	NA18OAR4590370-01	13,314	50,870	50,870
Pass-Through from University of Florida		NA18OAR4590306	-	66,389	66,389
,				/	
Total - CFDA 11.459			13,314	561,168	574,482
Habitat Conservation	11.463		-	1,368	1,368
Meteorologic and Hydrologic Modernization Development Pass-Through from University Corporation for Atmospheric Research	11.467	AWD000020	15,000	238,641 34,625	253,641 34,625
Total - CFDA 11.467			15,000	273,266	288,266
Applied Meteorological Research	11.468		5,200	147,070	152,270
Unallied Science Program	11.472		-	13,837	13,837
Pass-Through from Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission		ACQ-210-039-2017-TAMU	_	33,926	33,926
Pass-Through from National Fish and Wildlife Foundation		0303 18 062137	-	55,734	55,734
Pass-Through from The North Pacific Research Board		1728	-	54,455	54,455
Pass-Through from The North Pacific Research Board		1728; LOA 1 WHITEAKER		16,345	16,345
Total - CFDA 11.472			-	174,297	174,297
Center for Sponsored Coastal Ocean Research Coastal Ocean Program	11.478		12,551	340,612	353,163
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University	11.170	PO-0000012275	-	36,966	36,966
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		2500-1650-00-A	-	17,141	17,141
Pass-Through from Virginia Institute of Marine Science		720913-712683		41,854	41,854
Total - CFDA 11.478			12,551	436,573	449,124
Educational Partnership Program	11.481				
Pass-Through from City College of New York	11.401	49312-B	-	145,569	145,569
Pass-Through from Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University		C-4946	-	276,896	276,896
Pass-Through from Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University		C-4951	-	127,520	127,520
Pass-Through from Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University		C-5013	-	311,066	311,066
Pass-Through from Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University		NA 16SEC4810009	-	4,528	4,528
Pass-Through from Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University Pass-Through from Howard University		NA16SEC4810009 0008971-100006614	-	323,293	323,293
1 455 THOUGH HOM Floward Offive 18tty		000007 1-100000014		63,247	63,247
Total - CFDA 11.481			-	1,252,119	1,252,119

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)		, ,		,	
U.S. Department of Commerce (Continued)					
Measurement and Engineering Research and Standards	11.609		480,969	1,472,245	1,953,214
Pass-Through from Southern Methodist University Pass-Through from University of Michigan		60NANB17D180 60NANB17D191	-	86,102 67,329	86,102 67,329
Total - CFDA 11.609			480,969	1,625,676	2,106,645
Manufacturing Extension Partnership	11.611		1,802,090	4,637,635	6,439,725
Pass-Through from A. L. Philpott Manufacturing Extension Partnership		MEDACCRED-TMAC	-	23,651	23,651
Pass-Through from Illinois Manufacturing Excellence Center Pass-Through from Michigan Manufacturing Technology Center		2019-249 32163-OT	<u>-</u>	16,739 45,658	16,739 45,658
Total - CFDA 11.611			1,802,090	4,723,683	6,525,773
Advanced Technology Program	11.612		-	92,619	92,619
Arrangements for Interdisciplinary Research Infrastructure	11.619		-	65,404	65,404
Pass-Through from Colorado State University Pass-Through from University of Delaware		G-00745-6 PC1 0-20	-	218,774 137,225	218,774 137,225
Total - CFDA 11.619			-	421,403	421,403
Science, Technology, Business and/or Education Outreach	11.620		_	21,970	21,970
Pass-Through from Omega Optics, Inc.		UTA17-001156		71,477	71,477
Total - CFDA 11.620			-	93,447	93,447
Patent and Trademark Technical Information Dissemination	11.900		5,886	3,085	8,971
Total - U.S. Department of Commerce			5,394,048	20,602,436	25,996,484
U.S. Department of Defense					
U.S. Department of Defense	12.XXX	DARPAMBL02	-	51,443	51,443
		FA3016-18-P-0166 FA8650-15-C-6589	-	59,947 (10,005)	59,947
		FA8650-17-C -5278	-	171,040	(10,005) 171,040
		FA8650-17-C-5716	_	191,820	191,820
		FA9451-19-C-0020	-	85,350	85,350
		FA9550-19-1-0145	-	93,963	93,963
		HDTRA1-14-C-0113	229,801	294,055	523,856
		HDTRA1-14-C-0116	1,958,856	137,347	2,096,203
		HDTRA1-16-C-0024 HDTRA1-17-C-0008-(CLIN	134,044	27,958	162,002
		0001/0002)	62,414	133,992	196,406
		HE1254-15-C-0002	-	38,654	38,654
		HR0011-15-C-0095	-	291	291
		HR001117C0094 H98230-18-1-0264	679,000	2,425,142	3,104,142
		H98230-19-P-0095	-	59,800 6,499	59,800 6,499
		H98230-19-P-0097	_	3,050	3,050
		IPA000-18-0-0016	-	14,050	14,050
		IPA2015CHOTIROS	-	263,359	263,359
		MOOREIPA	-	305,893	305,893
		M1600686 N00014-11-G-0041 #0022	-	28,355	28,355
		CLN 0001 ACN AA	-	413,507	413,507
		N00014-11-G-0041 #0024 CLIN 0002	-	190,786	190,786
		N00014-11-G-0041 D0# N00014-16-F-2005	-	1,616,363	1,616,363
		N00014-11-G-0041 0018	-	5	5
		N00014-11-G-0041 0019 N00014-16-F-3010D O	-	1,737	1,737
		3010	-	228,649	228,649
		N0001411G0041 0023 N00024-07-D-6200-0622-	-	80,985	80,985
	(4	08 3)	-	5,079	5,079

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
		N00024-07-D-6200-0624 CLN 0003 ACN AE		110 005	110 095
		N00024-07-D-6200-0813	-	119,985	119,985
		CLN 0003 ACN AA AB	-	82	82
		N00024-07-D-6200-0851			
		CLN 0003 ACN AA	-	2	2
		N00024-07-D-6200-0854 CLN 0003 ACN AA		1	1
		N00024-07-D-6200-0855	-	'	'
		CLN 0003 ACN AA	-	624	624
		N00024-07-D-6200-0856			
		CLN 0003 ACN AA	-	1,762	1,762
		N00024-07-D-6200-0861 CLN 0003 ACN AA	_	5	5
		N00024-07-D-6200-0863	_	3	3
		CLN 0003 ACN AA	-	715	715
		N00024-07-D-6200-0866			
		CLN 0003 ACN AA AB	-	57,650	57,650
		N00024-07-D-6200-0873 CLN 0003 ACN AA	_	31,406	31,406
		N00024-07-D-6200-0877	_	31,400	31,400
		CLN 0003 ACN AA	-	1,591	1,591
		N00024-07-D-6200-0881			
		CLN 0003 ACN AA N0002407D6200 17F8504	-	459,380	459,380
		CLN 0003 ACN AA	-	216,258	216,258
		N0002407D6200 17F8522		210,200	210,200
		CLN 0003 ACN AA	-	8,792	8,792
		N0002407D6200 17F8530	-	47	47
		N0002407D6200 17F8535 CLN 0003 ACN AA	_	3,223	3,223
		N0002407D6200 17F8540	_	5,225	3,223
		CLN 0003 ACN AA	-	4,815	4,815
		N0002417D6421			
		N0002419F8538 N0002417D6421 18F8644	-	3,482	3,482
		CLN 0001 ACN AA	_	661,751	661,751
		N62645-16-D-5033	-	221,026	221,026
		RSC17023	-	(1,233)	(1,233)
		UTA18-000377-			
		DUNS#170230239 W56HZV-17-P-L532	-	221,433	221,433 45,903
		W56HZV-17-P-L573	-	45,903 61,821	61,821
		W81XWH-15-9-0001	40,285		40,285
		W81XWH-17-P-0168	-	115,836	115,836
		W911NF-16-2-0019	-	613,804	613,804
		W911QY18P0262 W911QY18P0320	-	30,880 84,208	30,880 84,208
		W91151-15-D-0009		04,200	04,200
		#W91151-18-F-0173	-	439,419	439,419
		W91151-15-D-0009 D O			4.5
		0006 0011AB W91151-15-D-0009-0006	-	(13)	(13)
		5CLIN0011AG	-	(4,340)	(4,340)
		W91151-15-D-0009-0007		( //	( , ,
		CLIN0011AD	-	(11,456)	(11,456)
		W91151-15-D-0009-0007	-	59	59
		W91151-18-F-0170 CLIN 0002 W91151-18-F-0170 CLIN	-	1,828	1,828
		0003	-	38,326	38,326
		W91151-18-F-0173 CLIN			
		0002 W01151 18 E 0173 CLIN	-	9,053	9,053
		W91151-18-F-0173 CLIN 0003	_	23,910	23,910
		W91151-18-F-0176 CLIN	_	20,310	20,910
		000104 AC P0003	-	1,121,379	1,121,379

		Federal/Pass-through	Pass-through to		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Entity Other Identifying No.	Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)				•	
		W91151-18-F-0176 CLIN			
		0003	-	10,860	10,860
		W9115115D0009		-,	-,
		#W9115118F0176 CLIN			
		0002	-	45,442	45,442
		W9115115D0009 #W9115118F0176			
		SLIN00103	_	818,126	818,126
		W9115115D0009 W91151-		0.0,.20	0.0,.20
		18-F-0170 CLIN0001	-	238,095	238,095
		W9115115D00090007 5			
		CLIN0011AE	-	11,456	11,456
		W9115115D00090007 5 CLIN0011AF		4,340	4,340
		W912DW-17-P0089	-	57,244	57,244
		W912DW17P0177	-	1,092	1,092
		W912HQ-14-C-0019	166,718	77,822	244,540
		W912HQ-15-C-0014; ER-			
		2530 W012HO 17 C 0020	220,705	145,972	366,677
		W912HQ-17-C-0039 W912HQ18P0091	108,018	226,699 169,196	334,717 169,196
		W912HQ19C0001	7,706	181,096	188,802
		W912HZ19P0060	-	9,956	9,956
		W912HZ1920006	-	78,608	78,608
		W912L1-17-P-0289	-	5,217	5,217
		W9124D-18-P-0291	-	5,088	5,088
		10P0030595 107090 0001 0101-0001	-	66,455 8,865	66,455 8,865
		15-JV-11272167-067	-	(420)	(420)
		1950636	-	16,722	16,722
		2014-14072500009 TO 006	_	(149)	(149)
		2014-14072500009 TO		(110)	(1.10)
		010 CLIN 0001	-	960	960
		2014-14072500009 TO			
		010 CLIN 0002	-	262,983	262,983
		2014-14072500009 TO 010 CLIN 0003	_	261,939	261,939
		2014-14072500009 TO		201,303	201,303
		013 CLIN 0001	-	138,833	138,833
		2015-15080500003 TO			
		012 CLN 0001	-	255,805	255,805
		2015-15080500003-008 CLIN 0001	_	128,628	128,628
		20160926- 0085-01	-	23,251	23,251
Pass-Through from Academy of Applied Science		14-17 / 14-17A	-	927	927
Pass-Through from Advanced Technology International		W81XWH-15-9-0001	-	308,115	308,115
Pass-Through from Advanced Technology International		2010-359	10.510	290,745	290,745
Pass-Through from Advanced Technology International Pass-Through from Amethyst Research, Inc.		2017-616-001 UTA17-001440	13,510	50,189 65,381	63,699 65,381
Pass-Through from Apogee Research, LLC		UTA17-000689	- -	151,783	151,783
Pass-Through from Applied Defense Solutions, Inc.		127318-UTA	-	29,904	29,904
Pass-Through from Applied Defense Solutions, Inc.		128218-UTA	-	58,305	58,305
Pass-Through from Applied Defense Solutions, Inc.		510490	-	1,100	1,100
Pass-Through from Applied Space Solutions Limited Pass-Through from Apptronik, Inc.		AS-LET-00342-08 UTA17-001386	-	36,748	36,748
Pass-Through from Arsenal Medical, Inc.		W81XWH-15-C-0147	-	264,866 34,494	264,866 34,494
Pass-Through from Atmospheric and Space Technology Research		2	_	01,707	01,707
Associates, LLC		N6833519C0181	-	41,405	41,405
Pass-Through from ADA Technologies, Inc.		18-0201S	-	5,668	5,668
Pass-Through from ASRC Federal Data Networks Technologies, LLC		DNC2-00086 DNC2-00086 TO 1-	-	279,196	279,196
Pass-Through from ASRC Federal Data Networks Technologies, LLC		(TRAVEL)	-	41,406	41,406
Pass-Through from AURA Technologies, LLC		AURA-2018-1009-02	-	74,853	74,853
Pass-Through from Ball Aerospace and Technologies Corporation		17S0208C	-	(132)	(132)
Pass-Through from Battelle		US001-0000544452	-	1,004	1,004
Pass-Through from Bellkim Energy LLC Pass-Through from Boeing Company		FA8650-18-P-2144 (FAIN) PC 1679968	-	23,093	23,093
r ass-rillough nom bo <del>c</del> ing company		1 0 107 3300	-	26,309	26,309

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Boeing Company		PO# 1404578	-	258,705	258,705
Pass-Through from Boeing Company		PO#1161311	-	3,001	3,001
Pass-Through from Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc.		S900821BAH	-	59,691	59,691
Pass-Through from BAE Systems		976559	-	351,218	351,218
Pass-Through from Charles River Analytics, Inc.		SC1814701	-	48,190	48,190
Pass-Through from Chiral Photonics		CHABA V-STTR CON	-	120,884	120,884
Pass-Through from Clarkson Aerospace Corporation		UNT 18-S7700-03C2 UTEP WIC 16-S7700-03	-	22,775	22,775 44,680
Pass-Through from Clarkson Aerospace Corporation Pass-Through from Clarkson University		101184-1	-	44,680 43,584	43,584
Pass-Through from Cordillera Applications Group Inc		UTA18-000713	-	10,205	10,205
Pass-Through from Coreform, LLC		UTA18-000151	-	106,496	106,496
· •••• ····•• <b>g</b> · ··•··· ••• ····, ==•		FA8750-18-C-0120-		100,100	.00,.00
Pass-Through from Data Fusion & Neural Networks		UNIVTEXAS	-	23,193	23,193
Pass-Through from Dominion Privatization Texas, LLC		70341816	-	15,000	15,000
Pass-Through from Duke University		13-ONR-1112	-	133,899	133,899
Pass-Through from DCS Corporation		PO 161444	-	200,129	200,129
Pass-Through from DCS Corporation		PO 181931 CO1	-	87,977	87,977
		14463-PETTT-UTAUSTIN;			
Pass-Through from Engility Corporation		BY18-102SP;REL 13	-	62,248	62,248
Dogo Through from Engility Corporation		14463-PETTT-UTAUSTIN; EQM-KY10-001 TO 11		404.004	104.004
Pass-Through from Engility Corporation  Pass-Through from Envisioneering Inc		19-0321	-	194,264 39,506	194,264 39,506
Pass-Through from Excet, Inc.		8278	-	39,506 6,632	39,506 6,632
Pass-Through from Exoanalytic Solutions, Inc.		510950	-	70,354	70,354
Pass-Through from Fabrico Technology, Inc.		W81XHWH18C0344	-	27,725	27,725
Pass-Through from Gallup, Inc		D17PC00002	-	815,551	815,551
		GSD-SC18-128/FA8650-		,	,
Pass-Through from General Dynamics Information Technology, Inc.		13-D	-	15,183	15,183
Pass-Through from General Technical Services, LLC		GTS-S-18-396	-	190,664	190,664
Pass-Through from General Technical Services, LLC		GTS-S-19-163	-	20,848	20,848
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		D8679-S1	-	183,088	183,088
Pass-Through from GSI Environmental, Inc.		UTA19-000494	-	15,562	15,562
Pass-Through from HyPerComp, Inc.		M1803019	-	26,029	26,029
Pass-Through from HRL Laboratories, LLC		17038-182026-QS	-	135,433	135,433
Pass-Through from Innovision, LLC  Pass-Through from Intelligent Automation, Inc.		DACAA-II-TTU01 FA875015C0066	-	51,749 65,068	51,749 65,068
Pass-Through from Intelligent Fusion Technology, Inc.		IFT044-01	_	43,294	43,294
Pass-Through from Intraband, LLC		UTA16-001077	-	119,713	119,713
Pass-Through from Iowa State University		436-17-47F	-	28,698	28,698
Pass-Through from Issac Corp		UTA18-000084	-	146,204	146,204
Pass-Through from John B Pierce Laboratory		285-I	-	893,088	893,088
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		W81XWH-10-2-0134	-	8,815	8,815
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		151461	-	52,752	52,752
Pass-Through from Karagozian & Case, Inc.		TTU180036 000	-	101,441	101,441
Pass-Through from Knowledge Based Systems, Inc.		M1700380	-	2,172	2,172
Pass-Through from Laulima Systems, LLC		NSC-16-0140-004	-	177,924	177,924
Pass-Through from Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.		P010229290	-	2,440	2,440
Pass-Through from Leidos, Inc.		P010211919 PO# XS3605300E	-	36,550	36,550
Pass-Through from Lockheed Martin Corporation Pass-Through from Lockheed Martin Corporation		6574017665	84,720	5,167 122,124	5,167 206,844
Pass-Through from Luna Innovations Incorporated		D17PC00125	04,720	76,775	76,775
Pass-Through from Luna Innovations Incorporated		342502UTA	-	15,187	15,187
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc.		AF-014	-	18,914	18,914
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc.		AF-075	-	78,625	78,625
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc.		ARM-003	-	41,274	41,274
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc.		ARM-060	-	8,371	8,371
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc.		DTR-022	-	13,201	13,201
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc.		NAV-389	-	32,618	32,618
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc.		NGA-035	-	97	97
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc.		W911NF16C0115	-	14,230	14,230
Pass-Through from Manufacturing Techniques, INC		PO 35905	-	73,692	73,692
Pass-Through from Max-IR Labs, LLC		FA865018C1737 02AF03	-	72,503 12,585	72,503
Pass-Through from Metrolaser, Inc. Pass-Through from Metrolaser, Inc.		02AF03 03AC22	-	12,585 31,685	12,585 31,685
Pass-Through from Metrolaser, Inc. Pass-Through from Metrolaser, Inc.		03AC22 04WP34	-	31,685	31,685
Pass-Through from Mohawk Innovative Technology, Inc.		18-30312-02	<del>-</del>	30,114	30,114
Pass-Through from MSI STEM Research & Development Consortium		D01-W911SR19F0009	-	62,910	62,910
Pass-Through from MYNDTEC INC		MM-SCI-4002	-	12,631	12,631
-	(46			,	,

		Federal/Pass-through	Pass-through to		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Entity Other Identifying No.	Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Nanohmics, Inc.		FA8650-18-P-1706	-	46,035	46,035
Pass-Through from Nanohmics, Inc.		M1803871	-	95,181	95,181
Pass-Through from Nanohmics, Inc.		M1900965	-	48,105	48,105
Pass-Through from Nanohmics, Inc.		UTA17-001408	-	104,200	104,200
Pass-Through from Nanohmics, Inc.		UTA18-000445	-	180	180
Pass-Through from Nanohmics, Inc.		UTA19-000005	-	44,229	44,229
Pass-Through from National Center for Defense Manufacturing and		FA0050 40 0 5700		00.400	00.400
Machining  Page Through from Nega Engineering Inc.		FA8650-16-2-5700	-	60,428	60,428
Pass-Through from Ness Engineering, Inc. Pass-Through from North Carolina State University		W15QKN-16-C-0085 H9823013D0054	-	87,662 64,610	87,662 64,610
r ass-milough nom North Carolina State Officersity		JFDMAC-UTA-2016	-	04,010	04,010
Pass-Through from Northrop Grumman Corporation		TO1011 CN#17	_	134,023	134,023
Pass-Through from Numerical Technology Company, LLC		2017-366	_	31,229	31,229
Pass-Through from Ohio Aerospace Institute		OAI-CAPST-19843	-	19,866	19,866
,		60052491 PO			
		RF01423516; LOA #1			
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		(SHVETS)	-	135,913	135,913
Pass-Through from Omega Optics, Inc.		UTA18-001416	-	20,999	20,999
Pass-Through from Omega Optics, Inc.		UTA18-001522	-	156,807	156,807
Pass-Through from Omega Optics, Inc.		UTA19-000132	-	47,175	47,175
Pass-Through from Orbit Logic Incorporated		UTA16-001176	-	40,725	40,725
		ROS0029-02/W81XWH-17-			
Pass-Through from Palo Alto Veterans Institute for Research		C-02	-	268,141	268,141
Pass-Through from Palo Alto Veterans Institute for Research		ROS0029-03; PO #ROS073276		67 624	67 624
Pass-Through from Palo Alto Veterans Institute for Research		UTA18-000219	-	67,631 (264)	67,631 (264)
Pass-Through from Paratus Diagnostics		HDTRA A-16-P-0048	-	1,243	1,243
Pass-Through from Physical Sciences, Inc.		SC7377-033-6929-01	_	407	407
Pass-Through from Qualia, Inc.		D17PC00111	_	73,474	73,474
Pass-Through from QuesTek Innovations		M1902547	_	13,402	13,402
		PO#LBN9513646;BBN#14		,	,
Pass-Through from Raytheon BBN Technologies Corporation		787 90056 ATP; PO#	-	150,518	150,518
Pass-Through from Raytheon BBN Technologies Corporation		4201960486	-	51,274	51,274
Pass-Through from Raytheon Company		4201724730	-	47,676	47,676
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado		1555245	-	21,284	21,284
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of CUNY		CM00001678-00	-	74,630	74,630
Pass-Through from REDLattice, Inc.		P00920101	-	1,066	1,066
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2010846	-	43,870	43,870
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2047640	-	32,261	32,261
Pass-Through from Scientific Applications and Research Associates,		DTD 442 CC4		440.404	440 404
Inc. Pass-Through from Scimitar Technologies, LLC		DTRA12 SC1 ST-F18001	-	146,184	146,184
Pass-Through from Signature Science, LLC		S1110	-	21,660 111,073	21,660 111,073
r ass miloagi nom olgitalai e dolonee, EEO		FA9550-16-C-0036;	_	111,073	111,073
Pass-Through from Silicon Audio Labs		UTA16-000710	_	7,820	7,820
Pass-Through from Solarno Inc		M6785418P6535	_	8,225	8,225
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99095MEC	-	62,839	62,839
Pass-Through from Space Dynamics Laboratory		CP0054588	-	9,870	9,870
Pass-Through from Spectral Energies, LLC		SB1201-001-2	-	21,876	21,876
Pass-Through from Spectral Energies, LLC		SB1821-001-1	-	53,195	53,195
Pass-Through from Stanford University		61102421-118342	-	72,875	72,875
Pass-Through from Stevens Institute of Technology		2102796-23	-	3,552	3,552
Pass-Through from Stevens Institute of Technology		2102796-27	-	2,941	2,941
Pass-Through from Survice Engineering Company, LLC		S17-032001	-	180,599	180,599
Pass-Through from Survice Engineering Company, LLC		S17-095007	-	53,046	53,046
Pass-Through from SRI International		N4175618C3006	-	83,911	83,911
Pass-Through from Technology Service Corporation		205382	-	169,983	169,983
Pass-Through from Technology Service Corporation		205561	-	26,454	26,454
Pass-Through from Texas Research Institute Austin, Inc. Pass-Through from The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc.		F-30118-G-500-02-1703 SC001-0000001137	-	61,222 7,057	61,222 7,057
Pass-Through from The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc.  Pass-Through from The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc.		UTA18-001136	- -	6,836	6,836
Pass-Through from TransWave Photonics, LLC		UTA18-001342	- -	102,370	102,370
Pass-Through from TransWave Photonics, LLC		UTA18-001358	- -	29,693	29,693
Pass-Through from TransWave Photonics, LLC		UTA18-001359	-	30,645	30,645
Pass-Through from Tulane University		TUL-SCC-553201-15/16	-	101,735	101,735
Pass-Through from Two Six Labs, LLC		FA8750-13-C-0198-S-15	40,510	27,934	68,444
Pass-Through from Universal Technology Corporation		17-S8401-10-C1	-	155,654	155,654
	(4	7)			

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Universal Technology Corporation		18-S7700-02-C10	_	45,792	45,792
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		113729427	-	51,998	51,998
Pass-Through from University of Dayton Research Institute		RSC18013	-	93,459	93,459
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		089750-17290	=	9,639	9,639
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Chicago		FA875019C0006	-	44,791	44,791
Pass-Through from University of Maryland		46731-Z8458101	-	111,709	111,709
Pass-Through from University of Maryland		48190-Z8436101	-	175,677	175,677
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - College Park		2014-14071600012	-	46,904	46,904
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - College Park		2019-022600002	=	75,470	75,470
Pass-Through from University of Michigan Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		3004789310 566321 PO 3475881	-	112,344 148,670	112,344 148,670
rass-mough nom oniversity of remissivalia		300321 FO 3473001	-	140,070	140,070
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		W81XWH-16-D-0024-0002	-	206,189	206,189
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0043845-7	-	166,996	166,996
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		107729869	-	46,437	46,437
Pass-Through from Utah State University Research Foundation		CP0053708	-	12,690	12,690
Pass-Through from VectorNav Technologies, LLC		M1901969	-	53,256	53,256
Pass-Through from Vision Systems, Inc.		2017-0516	-	(710)	(710)
Pass-Through from Vision Systems, Inc.		2019-0582	-	24,572	24,572
Pass-Through from Wildlife Conservation Society		SERDP110515-117	-	187,590	187,590
Pass-Through from Wyle Laboratories		51005A10552-005-S007 / LX		297,319	297,319
Pass-Through from Zymergen, Inc.		UTA15-000540; PO #4286	-	86,872	86,872
Pass-Through from Zyvex		UTA15-001288	-	28,583	28,583
		_		20,000	20,000
Total - CFDA 12.XXX			3,746,287	27,893,219	31,639,506
Flood Control Projects	12.106		-	31,415	31,415
Navigation Projects	12.107				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.2	W91237-16-D-0002 3 -			
Pass-Through from ECS-GEC JV		WO 1	-	36,435	36,435
Planning Assistance to States	12.110		-	113,817	113,817
Collaborative Research and Development	12.114		=	1,008,009	1,008,009
Pass-Through from Massachusetts Institute of Technology		7000339119	-	(41)	(41)
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering					
Solutions of Sandia		1954941	-	40,014	40,014
Pass-Through from Towson University		22	-	90,167	90,167
Total - CFDA 12.114			-	1,138,149	1,138,149
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	12.300		2,954,178	106,907,760	109,861,938
Pass-Through from Academy of Applied Science		2018-UNIVOFTXELPASO-	-	3,748	3,748
Pass-Through from Accacia International		SRS REF# M1701332	-	1,061 100,987	1,061
Pass-Through from Applied Research in Acoustics, LLC Pass-Through from Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory		UTA16-001018 CLIN 0003 305672-453007	-	,	100,987 50,119
Pass-Through from Boston Engineering Corporation		1806534	-	50,119 62,809	62,809
Pass-Through from Brown University		00001139	_	254,572	254,572
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion				20 1,012	20 .,0.2
Lab		1587881	-	27,264	27,264
Pass-Through from Cepheid		W15QKN1691002	-	4,986	4,986
Pass-Through from Combustion Research and Flow Technology, Inc.		17-C-0459/C709	-	8,003	8,003
Pass-Through from Combustion Research and Flow Technology, Inc.		19-C-0189/C763	-	2,850	2,850
Pass-Through from Concurrent Technologies		151000168 SLIN 001	-	104,342	104,342
Pass-Through from Concurrent Technologies		151000168 SLIN 002	-	9,357	9,357
Pass-Through from Duke University		N00014-16-1-2327	-	25,574	25,574
Pass-Through from Duke University		14-ONR-1005	=	358,241	358,241
Pass-Through from Duke University		313-0620 313-0813	-	28,694	28,694
Pass-Through from Duke University Pass-Through from Florida State University		R01853 LOA #6	-	5,277 246,004	5,277 246,004
Pass-Through from Florida State University  Pass-Through from Florida State University		R01853 LOA 6 HUANG	-	202,119	202,119
Pass-Through from Florida State University		R01853 MAD 9 2/EXT	-	269,286	269,286
Pass-Through from Florida State University		R01853 2; LOA #2	-	29,127	29,127
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		RE195-G1	-	37,002	37,002
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		RH040-G2	-	113,072	113,072
<del></del>				•	•

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
		RH322-G1; PO			
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		#3600371239	-	50,729	50,729
Pass-Through from Harvard University		FA87501720114	-	75,539	75,539
Pass-Through from Helicon Chemical Company, LLC		M1800503	-	48	48
Pass-Through from Indiana University - Bloomington		BL4311001UTRGV 103318 CLIN 2 PROJ	-	6,936	6,936
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		R4T03 JHU/APL	-	65,737	65,737
Pass-Through from National Marine Mammal Foundation		NN00014-15-1-2327	-	40,524	40,524
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0701-00-1 CLIN 0001 26-0702-02-1 19F7702	-	28,958	28,958
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0001	-	56,906	56,906
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0702-02-3 19F7702 CLIN 0003	_	25,411	25,411
·		26-0702-02-4 19F7702		,	,
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0004	-	101,727	101,727
		26-0702-02-5 19F7702			
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0005	-	162,946	162,946
Door Through from Nan Dicalogad Spanner		26-0702-03-1 19F7703		400.004	100.001
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0001 26-0702-04-1 19F7704	-	123,881	123,881
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0001	-	110	110
		26-0702-05-06 19F7705			
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0006	-	43,860	43,860
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0702-05-1 19F7705 CLIN 0001	_	1,213	1,213
		26-0702-05-2 19F7705		.,2.0	.,2.0
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0002	-	1,213	1,213
B 71 17 N B: 1 10		26-0702-05-3 19F7705			
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0003 26-0702-05-5 19F7705	-	9,257	9,257
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0005	-	18,291	18,291
		26-0702-05-7 19F7705			
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0007	-	14,301	14,301
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0702-06-1 19F7706 CLIN 0001	_	11,894	11,894
, add modgiment ton Discissed opened.		26-0702-06-2 19F7706		11,001	11,001
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0002	-	13,057	13,057
5 7 11 11 11 11		26-0702-07-1 19F7707			
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0001 26-0702-08-1 19F7708	-	10,974	10,974
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0001	_	22,671	22,671
rado rindagir nomi von Biododda Oponoci		26-0702-08-2 19F7708		22,071	22,071
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0002	-	35,282	35,282
		26-0702-08-3 19F7708			
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0003	-	19,788	19,788
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0711-01 26-0711-02	-	7,252,236 199,272	7,252,236
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0711-03	_	1,605,329	199,272 1,605,329
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0711-04 CLIN 0001AA	-	(986)	(986)
·		26-0711-04-20 CLIN		(/	()
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		0001AB	-	188,779	188,779
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0711-05	-	270,826	270,826
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0711-06-1	-	93,708	93,708
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0711-06-2 26-0711-06-3	-	315,829	315,829
Fass-Illiough Holli Non - Disclosed Sponsol		26-0711-00-3 26-0711-07-1 19F5242	-	2,565,587	2,565,587
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0001	-	37,542	37,542
Pass-Through from Non Disclosed Spansor		26-0711-07-2 19F5242		24 745	04 745
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 0002 26-0762-23-1 CLIN	-	21,715	21,715
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3001AB	-	87	87
,		26-0762-23-2 CLIN		-	-
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3011AB	-	191	191
Dago Through from Non Dicalcood Secretary		26-0762-24-1 CLIN		4	,
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3001AB 26-0762-25-1 CLIN	-	4	4
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3001AB	-	(489)	(489)
				,	` '

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
	CFDA	Other identifying No.	Littles	Expenditures	TOTAL
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
		26-0762-25-2 CLIN			
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3011AB	-	3,416	3,416
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-26-1 CLIN 3001AA	-	4	4
·		26-0762-26-2 CLIN		_	_
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3011AA 26-0762-26-3 CLIN	-	8	8
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3021AA	-	4	4
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-27-1 CLIN 3001AA	_	6	6
,		26-0762-28-1 CLIN			
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3001AA 26-0762-28-2 CLIN	-	2,933	2,933
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3011AA	-	8	8
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-28-3 CLIN 3021AA	_	1,613	1,613
,		26-0762-29-1 CLIN		,	
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3001AA 26-0762-29-2 CLIN	-	(240)	(240)
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3001AB	-	1,675	1,675
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-29-3 CLIN 3011AA	_	6,228	6,228
·		26-0762-29-4 CLIN		0,220	0,220
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3011AB 26-0762-29-5 CLIN	-	2,166	2,166
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		3021AA	-	9,860	9,860
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-29-6 CLIN 3021AB		6	6
r ass-milough nom von - bisclosed Sponsor		26-0762-30-1 18F7601	-	O	0
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 3001AA 26-0762-31-1 (18F7602)	-	60,530	60,530
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4001	-	273,776	273,776
Page Through from Non Displaced Spanner		26-0762-31-2 (18F7602) CLIN 4011		440.400	442.426
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-31-3 (18F7602)	-	442,126	442,126
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4021 26-0762-32-1 (18F7603)	-	410,376	410,376
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4001AA	-	452,307	452,307
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-32-19 (1857603)CLIN 4001 A A		(4.625)	(1.625)
Fass-Thiough Holli Noll - Disclosed Spolisol		(18F7603)CLIN 4001AA 26-0762-32-2 (18F7603)	-	(1,635)	(1,635)
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4011AA 26-0762-33-1 (18F7604)	-	272,751	272,751
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4001AA	-	49,073	49,073
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-34-1 (18F7605)		407.404	407.404
Pass-Trirough from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4001AA 26-0762-34-2 (18F7605)	-	427,404	427,404
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4011AA	-	337,338	337,338
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-34-3 (18F7605) CLIN 4021AA	-	988,645	988,645
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-35-1 (18F7606)		447.750	447.750
Fass-Thiough Holli Noll - Disclosed Spolisol		CLIN 4001AA 26-0762-35-2 (18F7606)	-	147,753	147,753
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4011AA	-	42,406	42,406
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0762-36-1 (18F7607) CLIN 4001AA	-	239,057	239,057
Dans Through from Non Disclosed Change		26-0762-36-2 (18F7607)		045.045	045.045
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4011AA 26-0762-36-3 (18F7607)	-	245,245	245,245
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		CLIN 4021AA	-	68,507	68,507
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0767-01 18F2169 CLIN 0001	-	219,790	219,790
Pass-Through from Non - Disclosed Sponsor		26-0767-02 CLIN 0001	-	1,307,158	1,307,158
Pass-Through from North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University		#210158B	-	310,840	310,840
Pass-Through from Princeton University		0000022	-	33,306	33,306
Pass-Through from Princeton University		0000022;LOA #1 MAC NALD	-	51,827	51,827

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		0000003 PO 562969	-	192,594	192,594
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2007137	-	147,890	147,890
Pass-Through from Solarno Inc		A2018-114	-	83,773	83,773
Pass-Through from Southern Methodist University		G001706-7500	-	31,225	31,225
Pass-Through from Technical Data Analysis, Inc. Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		2078-001-01 A18-0607-S001	-	6,229	6,229
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis  Pass-Through from University of California - Irvine		N000141612741	-	253,499 54,716	253,499 54,716
Pass-Through from University of Central Florida		68016082-01	-	79,821	79,821
rase rineagir nom emiterony or contain noma		CU-445773 PROJ		70,021	70,021
Pass-Through from University of Colorado		1556254 PO 1000986127 CU-445773 PROJ	-	7,380	7,380
Pass-Through from University of Colorado		1557432 PO 1001144116	-	10,048	10,048
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		W001010921	-	(104)	(104)
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Amherst		18-010425 A 01	-	294,334	294,334
Pass-Through from University of Mississippi		18-06-050	-	283,445	283,445
Pass-Through from University of Oregon Pass-Through from University of Virginia		236700A GG12136 159335	-	144,057	144,057
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC9989;BPO# 25939	-	12,386 142,724	12,386 142.724
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R18681	67,175	115,678	182,853
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R19011	-	8,544	8,544
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R19092	-	245,094	245,094
Total - CFDA 12.300			3,021,353	130,862,737	133,884,090
Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics (STEM) Education, Outreach and Workforce Program	12.330		-	9,441	9,441
Navy Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance	12.335		-	6,774	6,774
Scientific Research - Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction	12.351		1,538,189	1,684,391	3,222,580
Pass-Through from CRDF Global	12.551	HDTRA117C0019	1,550,109	16,487	16,487
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		HDTRA11610033	-	196,563	196,563
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		HDTRA1-13-1-0034	-	2,739	2,739
Pass-Through from World Organisation for Animal Health		AD/ET/2016/293	-	55,229	55,229
Total - CFDA 12.351			1,538,189	1,955,409	3,493,598
ROTC Language and Culture Training Grants	12.357	PGO1801-TAMU-11-PGO-			
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		051-PO1	-	334,031	334,031
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		2603-TAMU-18-GO-051- PO4	_	22,563	22,563
Total - CFDA 12.357		-		356,594	356,594
	40.000		-	330,394	330,394
Research on Chemical and Biological Defense Pass-Through from Profectus BioSciences Incorporated	12.360	W911QY1410001	_	103	103
Pass-Through from Profectus BioSciences Incorporated		W911QY1510014	-	132,052	132,052
Total - CFDA 12.360		<u> </u>	_	132,155	132,155
National Guard Military Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Projects	12.401		-	39,542	39,542
Military Medical Research and Development	12.420		2,781,064	33,078,244	35,859,308
Pass-Through from American Burn Association		W81XWH-11-1-0835	-	9,523	9,523
Pass-Through from American Burn Association		W81XWH-18-2-0030	7,843	-	7,843
Pass-Through from American Burn Association		W81XWH0920194	-	(7,626)	(7,626)
Pass-Through from American Burn Association		W81XWH1110835 W81XWH1620055	-	15,087	15,087
Pass-Through from American Burn Association Pass-Through from ArchieMD, Inc.		W81XWH-17-C-0157	-	14,676 248,343	14,676 248,343
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		W81XWH-16-D-0024	-	9,228	9,228
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		W81XWH-17-1-0368	-	24,542	24,542
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		W81XWH-18-1-0462	-	69,610	69,610
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		W81XWH1710628	-	67,257	67,257
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		W81XWH1810743	-	16,829	16,829
Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital		W81XWH-17-1-0532	5,038	-	5,038
Pass-Through from Boston University		W81XWH-11-2-0161 04	-	(24)	(24)
	(5	1)			

		Federal/Pass-through	Pass-through to		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Entity Other Identifying No.	Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Boston University		4500001734/W81XWH-14 0174FEDC/W81XWH-17-2-	-	295,398	295,398
Pass-Through from Boston VA Research Institute, Inc.		0067 0204FEDA/W81XWH-15-1-	-	23,067	23,067
Pass-Through from Boston VA Research Institute, Inc.		0391	-	448,904	448,904
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		121119	-	1,703	1,703
Pass-Through from Christopher and Dana Reeve Foundation		W81XWH-16-C-0031 W81XWH-16-C-0031 /	-	8,468	8,468
Pass-Through from Christopher and Dana Reeve Foundation Pass-Through from CH2M Hill, Inc.		CTN15-2019 HDTRA108D0008	=	23,685	23,685
Pass-Through from Denver Research Institute		MSRC-FY18-05	76,417	19,806 46,231	19,806 122,648
Pass-Through from Emory University		W81XWH-16-1-0744	54,845	(1,589)	53,256
Pass-Through from Foundation for Advancing Veterans' Health Research		AGRMT# PUGHMJ/UTSA	- ,	17,419	17,419
Pass-Through from Foundation for Advancing Veterans' Health Research		PIZZINI- SALARY/W81XWH-14-	_	5,840	5,840
Pass-Through from Foundation for Advancing Veterans' Health		O/LE/II(I/WOI/WIII I I		0,040	3,040
Research Pass-Through from Foundation for Advancing Veterans' Health		ROYALL D/UTHSCSA ROYALL D/W81XWH-14-1-	-	2,071	2,071
Research Pass-Through from Foundation for Advancing Veterans' Health		05	-	5,540	5,540
Research		W81XWH-14-1-0606	-	(2,202)	(2,202)
Pass-Through from Geneva Foundation		S-1424-01	-	17,479	17,479
Pass-Through from Geneva Foundation		W81XWH-06-2-0033 03	-	(17,652)	(17,652)
Pass-Through from Harvard University		109746-5103111	-	526,440	526,440
Pass-Through from Henry M. Jackson Foundation		W81XWH1820014 4695/W81XWH-18-2-0007	-	39,598	39,598
Pass-Through from Henry M. Jackson Foundation		BIOBURDEN STUDY	-	2,908	2,908
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		(METRC)	-	(31)	(31)
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		FIXIT STUDY (METRC)	-	21,179	21,179
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		OXYGEN/SR00002886	-	11,942	11,942
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		W81XWH-10-2-0090	-	33,163	33,163
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		W81XWH-15-2-0067 W81XWH-15-2-0067 /	-	(55,920)	(55,920)
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2002954944	-	(2,270)	(2,270)
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		W81XWH-15-2-0074 W81XWH-17-2-0032	-	3,114 2,667	3,114 2,667
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		1R01AR064066-01	-	2,098	2,007
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2003560593 2003560593 W81XWH-16-	-	149,861	149,861
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2-0060	-	30,741	30,741
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University		W81XWH-10-1-0170	-	(10,388)	(10,388)
Pass-Through from Manzanita Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		13273014-TX-2	-	10,221	10,221
Pass-Through from Mapp Biopharmaceutical, Inc.		W911QY18P0299	-	50,527	50,527
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		W81XWH-16-2-0038 M1902185	-	(1,516)	(1,516)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute  Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		W81XWH-09-1-0212 02	-	3,163 (408)	3,163 (408)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		W81XWH-10-2-0125 01	-	(24,880)	(24,880)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		18130020-128	-	219,812	219,812
Pass-Through from Mountain Home Research and Education		OVAVANI AVAID A ODMANT 4		00.405	00.405
Corporation		SWAN- AWD AGRMNT 1 NTI-MIMIC17-	-	23,465	23,465
Pass-Through from National Trauma Institute		03/W81XWH1720	-	109,259	109,259
Pass-Through from National Trauma Institute		NTI-NTRR15-11/W81XWH NTI-NTRR15-16/W81XWH-	-	3,225	3,225
Pass-Through from National Trauma Institute		15-2	-	9,589	9,589
Pass-Through from National Trauma Institute		W81XWH-17-1-0673 NA-03ICP-01/W81XWH-17-	-	279,435	279,435
Pass-Through from Neural Analytics, Inc		2-0	-	85,129	85,129
Pass-Through from Ohio State University Pass-Through from Oregon Health Sciences University		60051953 W81XWH-17-1-0020	-	10,251 5 177	10,251 5 177
Pass-Through from Prytime Medical Devices, Inc.		W911QY-15-C-0099	-	5,177 156,560	5,177 156,560
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California		W81XWH-17-1-0671	-	19,560	19,560
Pass-Through from RTI International		W81XWH-15-2-0077	-	103,744	103,744
Pass-Through from Southwest Texas Regional Advisory Council		STRAC-REMTORN-001	-	260,434	260,434
Pass-Through from SRI International		W81XWH1210223	-	(216)	(216)
Pass-Through from TDA Passarch, Inc.		W81XWH16C0117	-	(300)	(300)
Pass-Through from TDA Research, Inc.	(5:	AJ 1403 014 TTU 18 02	-	29,838	29,838

Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham	
Pass-Through from University of Research   10,000   10,	
Pass-Through from U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity   Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham   W81XWH-14-1-0308   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   98,508   99,508   98,	
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham	5,027
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham	9,844
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham   W81XWH-17-2-0037   169   -	1,235
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmigham   000516840-013-T001   10,055   - 1   262,491   25   25   25   25   25   25   25   2	8,508
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco	169
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco	0,055
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati	2,491
Pass-Through from University of Colorado	4,912
Pass-Through from University of Cotorado - Denver	6,057) 8,495
Pass-Through from University of Kansas	3,835
Pass-Through from University of Maryland         W81XWH-17-1-0702         - 76,368         7           Pass-Through from University of Minnesota         W81XWH1810319         - 118,487         11           Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma         W81XWH1410228         - 4,192           Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania         S60165/W81XWH-12-2-         - 30,045         3           Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania         560165/W81XWH-12-2-         - 114,263         11           Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania         565318/W91XWH-14-1-0         - 114,263         11           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         UTES 0002         72,753         1 - 25           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-12-2-0023         17,820         - 1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-17-2-0073         - 1,255           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-17-2-0073         - 1,255           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0035859(409685-1)         - 92,644         9           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         - 11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-18-1-046         - 59,944         5           Pass-Through from Vanderbi	150
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma   W81XWH1410228   -   4,192   Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania   S60165/W81XWH-12-2-     Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania   560185/W81XWH-12-2-     Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania   565318/W91XWH-14-1-0   114,263   11     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   UTES 0002   72,753   -   7     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   W81XWH-12-2-0023   17,820   -   1     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   W81XWH-12-2-0023   17,820   -   1     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   W81XWH-12-2-0023   17,820   -   1     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   W81XWH-12-20033   17,820   -   1     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   W81XWH-17-20073   -   1,255     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   0038859409868-1)   -   92,644   9     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   0061888-1   -   11,455   1     Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   0061888-1   -   11,455   1     Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico   W81XWH-08-1-0435 04   -   (935)     Pass-Through from University of Swath Florida   W81XWH-13-1-0146   -   59,944   5     Pass-Through from University of Swath Florida   W81XWH-10-1046   -   2,352     Pass-Through from UC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research   01/W81XWH162001   -   357,239   35     Pass-Through from Vaderbilt University   4237-017449/WFUHS   -   2,089     Pass-Through from Vaderbilt University Health Sciences   W81XWH-18-1-0060   -   (75,642)	6,368
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania   W81XWH-18-C0163   - 11,842   1	8,487
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania   0116   - 30,045   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3	4,192
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania         0116         -         30,045         33           Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania         565318/W91XWH-14-1-0         -         114,263         11           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         UTES 0002         72,753         -         7           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-12-2-0023         17,820         -         1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-17-2-0073         -         164,114         16           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W31XWH-17-20073         -         1,255         -           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0035859(409685-1)         -         92,644         9           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-08-1-0435 04         -         -         (935)           Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-17-1-0146         -         59,944         5           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352           Pass-Through from VC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research         01/W81XWH162001         <	1,842
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania   565318/W91XWH-14-1-0   114,263   114,263   114,263   174,	
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         UTES 0002         72,753         -         77           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-12-2-0023         17,820         -         1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-16-D-0024         -         164,114         16           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-17-20073         -         1,255           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0035859(409685-1)         -         92,644         9           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         0061688-1         -         1,235	0,045
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh   W81XWH-12-2-0023   17,820   - 1	4,263
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-16-D-0024         -         164,114         166           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-17-20073         -         1,255           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0035859(409685-1)         -         92,644         9           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico         W81XWH-08-1-0435 04         -         (935)         -           Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-17-1-0146         -         59,944         5           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from Wanderbilt University         2437-017449,WFUHS         -         2,089         -      <	2,753
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         W81XWH-17-20073         1,255           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0035859(409685-1)         92,644         9           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         1         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico         W81XWH-08-1-0435 04         -         (935)         1           Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-17-1-0146         -         59,944         5           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from UC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research         01/W81XWH162001         -         357,239         35           Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University         2437-017449/WFUHS         -         2,089           Pass-Through from Veterans Research Foundation         GW160050         -         (75,642)         (7           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         WFUHS441055 ER-05         -         39,155         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-16-1-0060         -         63,851         6           Pass-Through from Weilt Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1	7,820 4,114
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0035859(409685-1)         -         92,644         99           Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico         W81XWH-08-1-0435 04         -         (935)           Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-17-1-0146         -         59,944         5           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from UC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research         01/W81XWH162001         -         357,239         35           Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University         2437-017449/WFUHS         -         2,089           Pass-Through from Vaterans Research Foundation         GW160050         -         (75,642)         (7           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         WFUHS441055 ER-05         -         39,155         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-16-1-0060         -         63,851         6           Pass-Through from Weil Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1           Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research         800-1/W81XWH1810247	1,255
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh         0061688-1         -         11,455         1           Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico         W81XWH-08-1-0435 04         -         (935)           Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-17-1-0146         -         59,944         5           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from UC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research         01/W81XWH162001         -         357,239         35           Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University         2437-017449/WFUHS         -         2,089           Pass-Through from Veterans Research Foundation         GW160050         -         (75,642)         (7           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         WFUHS441055 ER-05         -         39,155         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-16-1-0060         -         63,851         6           Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1           Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research         800-1/W81XWH1810247         -         3,050           Total - CFDA 12.420         3,026,004         38,302,066         41,32 </td <td>2,644</td>	2,644
Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico         W81XWH-08-1-0435 04         -         (935)           Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-17-1-0146         -         59,944         5           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from UC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research         01/W81XWH162001         -         357,239         35           Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University         2437-017449/WFUHS         -         2,089           Pass-Through from Veterans Research Foundation         GW160050         -         (75,642)         (7           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         WFUHS441055 ER-05         -         39,155         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-14-2-0004         -         63,851         6           Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1           Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research         800-1/W81XWH1810247         -         3,026,004         38,302,066         41,32           Basic Scientific Research         12,431         169,135         9,050,641         9,21           Pass-Through from Boise State University         7866	1,455
Pass-Through from University of South Florida         W81XWH-17-1-0146         -         59,944         5           Pass-Through from University of Washington         UWSC11196         -         2,352         -           Pass-Through from UC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research         01/W81XWH162001         -         357,239         35           Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University         2437-017449/WFUHS         -         2,089         -           Pass-Through from Veterans Research Foundation         GW160050         -         (75,642)         (7           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         WFUHS441055 ER-05         -         39,155         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-14-2-0004         -         33,797         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-16-1-0060         -         63,851         6           Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1           Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research         800-1/W81XWH1810247         -         3,026,004         38,302,066         41,32           Basic Scientific Research         12,431         169,135         9,050,641         9,21           Pass-Through	(935)
201600536-   201	9,944
Pass-Through from UC Davis School of Medicine Office of Research         01/W81XWH162001         -         357,239         35           Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University         2437-017449/WFUHS         -         2,089           Pass-Through from Veterans Research Foundation         GW160050         -         (75,642)         (7           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         WFUHS441055 ER-05         -         39,155         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-14-2-0004         -         33,797         3           Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1           Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research         800-1/W81XWH1810247         -         3,026,004         38,302,066         41,32           Basic Scientific Research         12.431         169,135         9,050,641         9,21           Pass-Through from Boise State University         7866-PO124406         -         104,389         10	2,352
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University       2437-017449/WFUHS       -       2,089         Pass-Through from Veterans Research Foundation       GW160050       -       (75,642)       (7         Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences       WFUHS441055 ER-05       -       39,155       3         Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences       W81XWH-14-2-0004       -       33,797       3         Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences       W81XWH-16-1-0060       -       63,851       6         Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine       180383       -       17,033       1         Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research       800-1/W81XWH1810247       -       3,026,004       38,302,066       41,32         Basic Scientific Research       12.431       169,135       9,050,641       9,21         Pass-Through from Boise State University       7866-PO124406       -       104,389       10	7,239
Pass-Through from Veterans Research Foundation         GW160050         -         (75,642)         (7           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         WFUHS441055 ER-05         -         39,155         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-14-2-0004         -         33,797         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-16-1-0060         -         63,851         6           Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1           Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research         800-1/W81XWH1810247         -         3,026,004         38,302,066         41,32           Basic Scientific Research         12.431         169,135         9,050,641         9,21           Pass-Through from Boise State University         7866-PO124406         -         104,389         10	2,089
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         WFUHS441055 ER-05         -         39,155         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-14-2-0004         -         33,797         3           Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-16-1-0060         -         63,851         6           Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1           Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research         800-1/W81XWH1810247         -         3,050           Total - CFDA 12.420         3,026,004         38,302,066         41,32           Basic Scientific Research         12.431         169,135         9,050,641         9,21           Pass-Through from Boise State University         7866-PO124406         -         104,389         10	5,642)
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences       W81XWH-14-2-0004       -       33,797       3         Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences       W81XWH-16-1-0060       -       63,851       6         Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine       180383       -       17,033       1         Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research       800-1/W81XWH1810247       -       3,050         Total - CFDA 12.420       3,026,004       38,302,066       41,32         Basic Scientific Research       12.431       169,135       9,050,641       9,21         Pass-Through from Boise State University       7866-PO124406       -       104,389       10	9,155
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences         W81XWH-16-1-0060         -         63,851         6           Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine         180383         -         17,033         1           Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research         800-1/W81XWH1810247         -         3,050         41,32           Basic Scientific Research         12.431         169,135         9,050,641         9,21           Pass-Through from Boise State University         7866-PO124406         -         104,389         10	3,797
Pass-Through from Western Institute for Biomedical Research       800-1/W81XWH1810247       -       3,050         Total - CFDA 12.420       3,026,004       38,302,066       41,32         Basic Scientific Research Pass-Through from Boise State University       12.431       169,135       9,050,641       9,21         7866-PO124406       -       104,389       10	3,851
Total - CFDA 12.420 3,026,004 38,302,066 41,32  Basic Scientific Research 12.431 169,135 9,050,641 9,21  Pass-Through from Boise State University 7866-PO124406 - 104,389 10	7,033
Basic Scientific Research       12.431       169,135       9,050,641       9,21         Pass-Through from Boise State University       7866-PO124406       -       104,389       10	3,050
Pass-Through from Boise State University 7866-PO124406 - 104,389 10	8,070
Pass-Through from Boise State University 7866-PO124406 - 104,389 10	9,776
	4,389
Pass-Through from Carnegie Mellon University W911NF1810150 - 29,372 2	9,372
	6,985
	5,561
	6,090
	6,927
	4,110
Pass-Through from Northeastern University - Boston       504123-78050 1 W/EXT       -       22,111       2         504123-78050; PO       504123-78050; PO       -       -       20,111       2	2,111
Pass-Through from Northeastern University - Boston P1902251 - 24,369 2	4,369
Pass-Through from Northwestern University PROJ0009952 - 376,979 37 PO#	6,979
	6,713
Pass-Through from Ohio State University         RF01355822;60043375;         -         183,984         18	3,984
	1,364
	6,796
	9,588
	5,815
	0,009
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana W911NF1810150 - 90,949 9 Pass-Through from University of Maryland Z845803 - 318	0,949 318
	1,403
	6,796
	5,206
	9,065
Pass-Through from University of Southern California 92688698/ PO10613044 - 135,228 13	5,228

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Tennessee Pass-Through from Washington State University		A16-0237-S002 130691-G003884	- -	29,000 52,875	29,000 52,875
Total - CFDA 12.431			169,135	12,872,643	13,041,778
The Language Flagship Grants to Institutions of Higher Education  Pass-Through from Institute of International Education	12.550	0054-UTA-19-POR-280- PO7 (YEAR 2)	-	19,344	19,344
Competitive Grants: Promoting K-12 Student Achievement at Military- Connected Schools Pass-Through from Bossier Parish Schools	12.556	M1801849	-	4,418	4,418
DOD, NDEP, DOTC-STEM Education Outreach Implementation	12.560		3,388	165,019	168,407
Pass-Through from Helicon Chemical Company, LLC		M1701443	-	54,991	54,991
Total - CFDA 12.560			3,388	220,010	223,398
Centers for Academic Excellence	12.598		-	919,794	919,794
Community Investment	12.600		-	19,086	19,086
Basic, Applied, and Advanced Research in Science and Engineering Pass-Through from Academy of Applied Science Pass-Through from Advanced Functional Fabrics of America Pass-Through from Advanced Regenerative Manufacturing Institute,	12.630	2018 UT ARLINGTON 382	157,940 - -	3,754,750 1,000 38,568	3,912,690 1,000 38,568
Inc. Pass-Through from Advanced Robotics for Manufacturing Institute Pass-Through from American Lightweight Materials Manufacturing		EWD-0006 ARM-17-01-F-C02	- 46,167	33,667 144,792	33,667 190,959
Innovation Institute Pass-Through from American Lightweight Materials Manufacturing		0004F-5	-	20,976	20,976
Innovation Institute Pass-Through from DCS Corporation Pass-Through from Imaginestics, LLC Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University Pass-Through from MSI STEM Research & Development Consortium		4 00E-05 W911NF-10-D-0002 A 2017-0064 2003752926 D01W911SR14120001002	5,635 - -	21,248 (17,905) 3,859 88,071 142,131	21,248 (12,270) 3,859 88,071 142,131
Pass-Through from MSI STEM Research & Development Consortium Pass-Through from National Science Teachers Association Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		D01W911SR14200010027 19-871-044 5589-UTEP-ARMY-0045	- - 121,343	13,457 19,920 90,954	13,457 19,920 212,297
Pass-Through from Shear Form, Inc. Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana Pass-Through from University of Notre Dame Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania Pass-Through from University of Southern California		M1600968 083448-16435 203517UTA 576433 201901371-003	- - - -	533 (10,969) 84,990 248,925 21,862	533 (10,969) 84,990 248,925 21,862
Total - CFDA 12.630			331,085	4,700,829	5,031,914
Legacy Resource Management Program Pass-Through from Colorado State University Pass-Through from Ohio State University	12.632	G-09817-02 60057144	391,639 - -	1,380,512 26,917 11,330	1,772,151 26,917 11,330
Total - CFDA 12.632			391,639	1,418,759	1,810,398
Uniformed Services University Medical Research Projects Pass-Through from Geneva Foundation	12.750	S-10535-01 S-10557-	-	101,910 14,898	101,910 14,898
Pass-Through from Geneva Foundation Pass-Through from Henry M. Jackson Foundation Pass-Through from Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement		01/HU00011820037 870237	-	97,489 21,512	97,489 21,512
of Military Medicine Pass-Through from The Curators of The University of Missouri		PO849706 AWD2996 C00062987-2	-	17,627 95,399	17,627 95,399
Pass-Through from Washington State University		130602 G003993/HU00011810	-	7,976	7,976
Total - CFDA 12.750			-	356,811	356,811

	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)				
Air Force Defense Research Sciences Program 12.800		4,282,356	10,396,160	14,678,516
<u> </u>	09180	4,202,330	130,917	130,917
9 ,,	TA18-001101	-	143,425	143,425
Pass-Through from Asian Office of Aerospace Research and Dev FA	A2386-18-1-4075	-	108,874	108,874
3, 3,,	SD13-PR5-1	-	1,629	1,629
,	0000557 / PO#P280811	-	(125)	(125)
·	08043-013	-	132,214	132,214
3	VAM 16-S7700-03-C2 VAM 17-D-0018-C2	-	28,850	28,850
	AMU N 17-S7700-03-C2	-	(54,911) 20,701	(54,911) 20,701
· · ·	AMU 13-S7700-01-C2	-	29,886	29,886
	AMU 13-S7700-02-C2	_	24,581	24,581
· · ·	AMUC 16-S7700-04-C2	_	8,571	8,571
	EES 17-D-0018-S13	_	(31,516)	(31,516)
Pass-Through from Clarkson Aerospace Corporation UF	HH-13-S7700-01-C2	-	878	878
Pass-Through from Clarkson Aerospace Corporation UF	HM 13-S7700-01-C1	-	(2,115)	(2,115)
	TEP 15 S7700-01-C2	-	23,571	23,571
, ,	TSA A16-S7700-03-C2	-	15,000	15,000
, ,	TSA M16-S7700-03-C2	-	13,404	13,404
, ,	TSA 15-S7700-01-C2	-	10,940	10,940
, ,	TSA 17-D-0018-S13	-	(5,802)	(5,802)
· ·	FDRC 9317 S170090	-	41,428	41,428
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	S180001	-	(3,140) 9,933	(3,140) 9,933
	01748 4 (W/BP EXT)	_	109,180	109,180
,	02023	_	55,188	55,188
,	HUUSAF/UTHSCSA/FA8		00,100	00,.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50-17	-	233,192	233,192
	A8650-18-2-6953	_	171,463	171,463
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology D8	8679-S4	-	109,381	109,381
Pass-Through from Griffiss Institute, INC.,	A2018-UP-025	-	44,217	44,217
Pass-Through from Harvard University 12	23974-5095937	-	94,263	94,263
,	439/FA8650-18-26837	-	19,620	19,620
0 0	T022-01	-	(6)	(6)
	1700571	-	57	57
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	710003842	-	128,437	128,437
9,	710004048 C108022TT	-	120,310 183.012	120,310 183,012
Pass-Through from National Center for Defense Manufacturing and	010002211	_	100,012	103,012
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A8650-12-2-7230	_	50,111	50,111
3	4359-01 PO IB00001336	_	83,994	83,994
,	A880317C001	-	233,972	233,972
SF	P0022325-			
,	ROJ0007152 2(W/EXT)	-	235,776	235,776
· ·	500019	-	126,565	126,565
	0052491 PO		202 725	000 705
,	F01423516	-	389,735	389,735
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6-138-300345-010 A8814-19-P-0005	-	102,743 29,229	102,743 29,229
	-2530-002-001-01	_	125	125
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	910-TAM-AFOSR-0233	-	139,521	139,521
Pass-Through from Physics, Materials, and Applied Mathematics	310 17 mm 7 m 3 G11 3 G23		100,021	100,021
	1602121	-	(252)	(252)
Pass-Through from Physics, Materials, and Applied Mathematics				
	045-S1	-	152,863	152,863
· ·	000242	-	29,753	29,753
,	556431	-	151,868	151,868
, ,	004102678	-	93,956	93,956
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0803373-114411	-	207,202	207,202
,	1875870-113123 7 87700 01 05	-	58,103	58,103
5, ,	7-S7700-01-C5 7-S8401-07-C1	-	51,856 87,097	51,856 87,097
	3-S7415-09-C1	-	26,139	26,139
9 ,	3F5828-19-11-C1	-	34,535	34,535
	1078518	-	106,061	106,061
, ,	1083246	-	14,318	14,318
Pass-Through from University of Akron 54	40781	-	22,163	22,163

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		226258	-	191,790	191,790
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		471521 1	-	287,505	287,505
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		511857	-	35,581	35,581
Pass-Through from University of Auckland Pass-Through from University of Auckland		311563 3711563	-	10,622	10,622
Pass-Through from University of California - Merced		E200GVA319	-	26,797 2,894	26,797 2,894
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati		FA86501726G24	-	353,009	353,009
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Boulder		FA95501710258	-	16,771	16,771
Pass-Through from University of Dayton Research Institute		RSC15078	-	28,049	28,049
Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFDSP00012105	-	96,675	96,675
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - College Park		589-Z8141001	-	515,062	515,062
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3003932306	-	(178)	(178)
Pass-Through from University of Tennessee - Knoxville Pass-Through from UHV Technologies, Inc.		A17-1323-S001 UTA-2019-1	-	36,519 46,707	36,519 46,797
Pass-Through from Virginia Tech University		450519-19093	-	46,797 81,869	81,869
Pass-Through from Wichita State University		WSU#15662	_	410,116	410,116
Pass-Through from Wyle Laboratories		FA807514D0025	-	186,010	186,010
Total - CFDA 12.800		_	4,282,356	17,064,988	21,347,344
	42.000		1,202,000		
Language Grant Program	12.900		-	47,307	47,307
Mathematical Sciences Grants	12.901		-	12,257	12,257
Information Security Grants	12.902		-	1,431,394	1,431,394
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		PO# 475420	-	177,586	177,586
Pass-Through from Virginia Tech University		321559-19892	-	106,087	106,087
Total - CFDA 12.902			-	1,715,067	1,715,067
GenCyber Grants Program	12.903		-	97,055	97,055
Research and Technology Development	12.910		1,774,093	10,465,187	12,239,280
Pass-Through from Columbia University		1(GG012588)	-	93,533	93,533
Pass-Through from Creaty MicroTech, Inc.		W911NF-14-C-0098	-	(2,387)	(2,387)
Pass-Through from Duke University Pass-Through from General Dynamics Information Technology, Inc.		3130754 07ESM1071263	-	167,471	167,471
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		N6600119C4022	-	42,230 96,791	42,230 96,791
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		HQ0147-12-D-0004	_	39,000	39,000
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2003377937	-	1,583	1,583
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2003794118	-	1,605	1,605
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2004292475 15-C-0007-UT-AUSTIN #4	<u>-</u>	35,184	35,184
Pass-Through from Kestrel Institute		1115	-	43,001	43,001
Pass-Through from Mayachitra Incorporated		TSU-NAVAIR-0199	-	128,019	128,019
Pass-Through from Montana State University		G189-19-W7329	-	165,882	165,882
Pass-Through from North Carolina State University		2017-1952-01	-	111,752	111,752
Pass-Through from Northeastern University - Boston Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		505131-78050	-	148,453	148,453
Solutions of Sandia		1851508	-	(522)	(522)
Pass-Through from Old Dominion University Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		19-117-100728-010 5562-UTSA-DARPA-0055	-	48,672 308,929	48,672 308,929
Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc.		M1800413-17	-	36,065	36,065
Pass-Through from Purdue University		FA8750-17-C-0069	91,273	17,436	108,709
Pass-Through from Qualia, Inc.		140D6318C0097	, <u>-</u>	207,344	207,344
Pass-Through from Stanford University		61345965-112762 1	-	27,527	27,527
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		FA86501817827	-	93,160	93,160
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		HR00111820032	-	232,031	232,031
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego Pass-Through from University of Chicago		S9002046	-	34,737	34,737
Pass-Through from University of Chicago  Pass-Through from University of Florida		FP065306-B UFDSP00012148	-	148,818 178,888	148,818 178,888
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		079287-17018	-	88,004	88,004
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		090165-16882	-	137,761	137,761
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		HR001119C0042	-	129,518	129,518
Pass-Through from University of Maryland		67441-Z9246201	-	44,041	44,041
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		A0006856901	-	197,416	197,416
Pass-Through from University of Notre Dame		208126UTA	-	41,419	41,419
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		569456	22,518	-	22,518
	(5)	3)			

(56)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Defense (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		574468; PO 4211445	-	167,014	167,014
Pass-Through from Vencore Labs, Inc. Pass-Through from Wesleyan University		0004130 WESU5011106129	-	84,432 75,744	84,432 75,744
Pass-Through from Yale University		GR103492 (CON- 80001390)	-	175,150	175,150
Pass-Through from Yale University Pass-Through from Zyvex Labs, LLC		GR104793 FA865015C7542		66,935 16,482	66,935 16,482
Total - CFDA 12.910			1,887,884	14,094,305	15,982,189
Total - U.S. Department of Defense			18,397,320	254,440,426	272,837,746
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development					
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Pass-Through from Lower Manhattan Development Corporation	14.XXX	TXHHU0046-18 9974	-	107,175 85,780	107,175 85,780
Total - CFDA 14.XXX			-	192,955	192,955
Community Development Block Grants/State's program and Non- Entitlement Grants in Hawaii	14.228		123,587	468,647	592,234
Rural Capacity Building for Community Development and Affordable	44.005				
Housing Grants Pass-Through from National Association for Latino Comm Asset Builders	14.265	NALCAB PO#1299	-	20,411	20,411
General Research and Technology Activity	14.506		-	(953)	(953)
Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grants	14.906		12,413	51,203	63,616
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		TXHHU0043-18	-	21,493	21,493
Total - CFDA 14.906			12,413	72,696	85,109
Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			136,000	753,756	889,756
U.S. Department of the Interior					
U.S. Department of the Interior	15.XXX	E16PC00001 F13PC00013	-	4,549 16,578	4,549 16,578
		G17PX01119	-	23,330	23,330
		140G0118C0012	3,360	56,706	60,066
Pass-Through from LGL Ecological Research Associates Inc.		140G0318PO323 UTA16-000819	-	26,620 193,992	26,620 193,992
Pass-Through from Norwegian Geotechnical Institute		18-1076		25,254	25,254
Total - CFDA 15.XXX			3,360	347,029	350,389
Cultural and Paleontological Resources Management	15.224		-	1,196	1,196
Recreation and Visitor Services	15.225		-	13,404	13,404
Wild Horse and Burro Resource Management	15.229		-	52,882	52,882
Joint Fire Science Program	15.232		-	108,648	108,648
Environmental Quality and Protection	15.236		70,408	84,433	154,841
Science and Technology Projects Related to Coal Mining and Reclamation	15.255		-	27,262	27,262
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Environmental Studies (ES)	15.423		213	155,549	155,762
Marine Minerals Activities	15.424		-	195,047	195,047
Safety and Environmental Enforcement Research and Data Collection for Offshore Energy and Mineral Activities	15.441		-	945,565	945,565

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of the Interior (Continued)					
Water Desalination Research and Development	15.506		-	65,787	65,787
Cultural Resources Management	15.511		-	19,464	19,464
SECURE Water Act - Research Agreements	15.560		-	93,566	93,566
Sport Fish Restoration	15.605		-	14,454,179	14,454,179
Fish and Wildlife Management Assistance	15.608		-	92,135	92,135
Pass-Through from Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission		FWS-800-037-2018- SFASU		25,037	25,037
Total - CFDA 15.608			-	117,172	117,172
Wildlife Restoration and Basic Hunter Education Pass-Through from Alaska Department of Fish and Game Pass-Through from American Samoa Government	15.611	CT160001994 NAID-20190015	1,799,937	6,078,334 29,982 149,552	7,878,271 29,982 149,552
Total - CFDA 15.611			1,799,937	6,257,868	8,057,805
Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund Pass-Through from Bat Conservation International	15.615	494464 MOA	14,880	381,740 32	396,620 32
Total - CFDA 15.615			14,880	381,772	396,652
Coastal Pass-Through from Coastal Bend Bays and Estuaries Program	15.630	1722	- -	9,028 3,211	9,028 3,211
Total - CFDA 15.630			-	12,239	12,239
State Wildlife Grants Pass-Through from Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries	15.634	2000173589 2-561370-TAMU	246,747	2,447,816 31,708	2,694,563 31,708
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Pass-Through from South Carolina Department of Natural Resources Pass-Through from Southeast Aquatic Resources Partnership		PO#P168118 2-561740-TAMU SCDNR-FY-2015-010 FLSWG-F16003-SARP2	- - -	16,722 35,684 53,639 23,689	16,722 35,684 53,639 23,689
Total - CFDA 15.634			246,747	2,609,258	2,856,005
Research Grants (Generic) Pass-Through from Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries	15.650	U513104999 513	- -	170,811 14,172	170,811 14,172
Total - CFDA 15.650			-	184,983	184,983
Invasive Species	15.652		-	447	447
National Wildlife Refuge System Enhancements	15.654		-	19,418	19,418
Migratory Bird Monitoring, Assessment and Conservation	15.655		-	115,906	115,906
Endangered Species Conservation - Recovery Implementation Funds Pass-Through from National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	15.657	0406 17 057737	26,860	220,002 215,558	246,862 215,558
Total - CFDA 15.657			26,860	435,560	462,420
Coastal Impact Assistance Pass-Through from UMIAQ	15.668	10-CIAP-025	-	(243)	(243)
Cooperative Landscape Conservation	15.669	TVEO 000119 1	-	55,831	55,831
Pass-Through from The Nature Conservancy Pass-Through from Wildlife Management Institute, Inc. Pass-Through from Wildlife Management Institute, Inc.		TXFO 090118-1 GCP LCC 2015-01 GCPLCC 2017-2	- - -	9,999 253 16,072	9,999 253 16,072
Pass-Through from Wildlife Management Institute, Inc.		GP LCC 2016-03 F14AC00887 RTHWEST BOREAL LCC	75,938	59,931	135,869
Pass-Through from Wildlife Management Institute, Inc. Pass-Through from Wildlife Management Institute, Inc.		#2016-01 WMI060917		4,549 35,890	4,549 35,890
Total - CFDA 15.669	(5	0)	75,938	182,525	258,463

(58)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of the Interior (Continued)					
Adaptive Science Pass-Through from Center for Large Landscape Conservation	15.670	UTA18-000740	-	36,659	36,659
Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Units	15.678		13,939	147,049	160,988
Assistance to State Water Resources Research Institutes	15.805		7,042	278,223	285,265
Earthquake Hazards Program Assistance Pass-Through from University of Southern California Pass-Through from University of Southern California	15.807	104713956 - 18220 104714151	- - -	174 30,000 4,558	174 30,000 4,558
Total - CFDA 15.807			-	34,732	34,732
U.S. Geological Survey Research and Data Collection	15.808		5,452	434,220	439,672
National Cooperative Geologic Mapping	15.810		-	157,540	157,540
Cooperative Research Units	15.812		-	91,193	91,193
National and Regional Climate Adaptation Science Centers	15.820				
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma		G12AC00002 2012- 30/2014-51	-	180,766	180,766
Outdoor Recreation Acquisition, Development and Planning	15.916		-	20,101	20,101
Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act	15.922		-	8,218	8,218
National Center for Preservation Technology and Training	15.923		-	5,265	5,265
American Battlefield Protection	15.926		-	19,358	19,358
Cooperative Research and Training Programs - Resources of the National Park System  Pass-Through from University of Wyoming	15.945	1003666-TSU	-	472,618 2,632	472,618 2,632
Total - CFDA 15.945			-	475,250	475,250
Route 66 Corridor Preservation	15.958		-	10,331	10,331
Total - U.S. Department of the Interior			2,264,776	28,779,821	31,044,597
U.S. Department of Justice					
U.S. Department of Justice	16.XXX	DJD-17-HQ-P-0647	-	11,772	11,772
		DJF-15-1200-P-0001932 CLIN 0009	-	8,222	8,222
		DJF-15-1200-P-0001932 CLIN 0010	-	18,782	18,782
		DJF-15-1200-P-0001932			
		CLIN 0012 DJF-15-1200-P-0001932	-	1	1
		CLIN 0014 DJF-15-1200-P-0001932	-	3	3
		CLIN 0015 DJF-15-1200-P-0001932	-	1	1
		CLIN 0016	-	1	1
		DJF-15-1200-P-0001932 CLIN 0018	_	2	2
		15F06718C0002523	-	172,752	172,752
		15F06718C0002548	16,941	30,268	47,209 47,100
		15F06718C0002567 15F06718P0001853 CLIN	-	17,160	17,160
		0001	-	409,138	409,138
		15F06718P0001853 CLIN 0002 15F06718P0001853 CLIN	-	599,036	599,036
		0003	-	447,641	447,641
	(5	9)			

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)		, ,		·	
U.S. Department of Justice (Continued)					
		15F06718P0001853 CLIN			
		0004 15F06718P0001853 CLIN	-	900,311	900,311
		0005	-	940,027	940,027
		15F06719D0002094 CLIN		405 400	405 400
		0001 2016ADR8226	-	125,439 92,743	125,439 92,743
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC107887 UT	-	2,472	2,472
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		2019-595		8,774	8,774
Total - CFDA 16.XXX			16,941	3,784,545	3,801,486
OVW Research and Evaluation Program	16.026		-	134,218	134,218
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention	16.540				
Pass-Through from FRIENDS FIRST, Inc.		UTA17-001367	-	31,915	31,915
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development					
Project Grants Pass-Through from Arizona State University	16.560	270680	905,068	2,967,305 5,512	3,872,373 5,512
Pass-Through from Arizona State University		270920	-	1,855	1,855
Pass-Through from Development Services Group, Inc.		2016VFGXK006	-	32,875	32,875
Pass-Through from George Mason University		E204021-3	-	47,643	47,643
Pass-Through from McCrone Research Institute, Inc.		280730	-	13,844	13,844
Pass-Through from Missouri State University Pass-Through from Northeastern University		15203-001 2017VFGX0005	-	4,128 30,766	4,128 30,766
Pass-Through from Northeastern University		2018R2CX0022	-	58,673	58,673
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		0063 PO#507277	-	9,574	9,574
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		0063 PO#507277 (LOA#1)	-	12,035	12,035
Pass-Through from University of Colorado		270620	=	1,084	1,084
Pass-Through from University of South Carolina		17-3299PO#2000031494		17,855	17,855
Total - CFDA 16.560			905,068	3,203,149	4,108,217
Criminal Justice Research and Development Graduate Research					
Fellowships	16.562		-	113,025	113,025
National Institute of Justice W.E.B. DuBois Fellowship Program	16.566		2,250	-	2,250
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Chicago		2018R2CX0022		12,449	12,449
Total - CFDA 16.566			2,250	12,449	14,699
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575				
Pass-Through from Fort Bend Women's Center		CJD2019/01	-	66,053	66,053
Pass-Through from Texas Council on Family Violence		2019-526		11,223	11,223
Total - CFDA 16.575			-	77,276	77,276
Crime Victim Assistance/Discretionary Grants	16.582				
Pass-Through from Justice Research and Statistics Association		UTA18-000410	-	3,618	3,618
Pass-Through from Lone Star Legal Aid Pass-Through from National Crime Victim Law Institute		7484-1 2012-VF-GX-2019 13-5372-053	-	1,184 20,332	1,184 20,332
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of CUNY		2018-V3-GX-K025		6,438	6,438
Total - CFDA 16.582			-	31,572	31,572
Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	16.585				
Pass-Through from Denton County		2016-VV-BX-0021	-	45,511	45,511
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588		-	33,550	33,550
Pass-Through from Texas Council on Family Violence		2018-474		21,610	21,610
Total - CFDA 16.588			-	55,160	55,160
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants Pass-Through from CNA Corporation	16.710	18UTSA11420PO0023329	-	7,588,991 21,935	7,588,991 21,935
,					
Total - CFDA 16.710	(0)	٥١	-	7,610,926	7,610,926

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Justice (Continued)					
Special Data Collections and Statistical Studies Pass-Through from The Urban Institute	16.734	101544-0001-UTSA-01	-	15,856	15,856
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Pass-Through from Police Foundation	16.738	NAID-OR20170311	-	1,002,975 16,814	1,002,975 16,814
Total - CFDA 16.738			-	1,019,789	1,019,789
DNA Backlog Reduction Program	16.741		-	6,526,418	6,526,418
Criminal and Juvenile Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program Pass-Through from Lubbock County	16.745	2017-MO-BX-0031	-	60,070	60,070
Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive Grant Program Pass-Through from National Legal Aid and Defender Association	16.751	M1702373	-	12,717	12,717
Second Chance Act Reentry Initiative	16.812		-	13,128	13,128
Innovations in Community-Based Crime Reduction	16.817		-	13,546	13,546
Smart Prosecution Initiative Pass-Through from Montgomery County	16.825	270840	-	31,755	31,755
Comprehensive Opioid Abuse Site-Based Program Pass-Through from City of Houston	16.838	2018-AR-BX-K080	30,000	90,951	30,000 90,951
Total - CFDA 16.838			30,000	90,951	120,951
Total - U.S. Department of Justice			954,259	22,883,976	23,838,235
U.S. Department of Labor					
U.S. Department of Labor	17.XXX	MS-05086-18-55-48	-	518,700	518,700
Employment Service/Wagner-Peyser Funded Activities	17.207		-	462,864	462,864
International Labor Programs	17.401		-	59,076	59,076
Occupational Safety and Health Susan Harwood Training Grants	17.502		-	143,457	143,457
Total - U.S. Department of Labor			-	1,184,097	1,184,097
U.S. Department of State					
U.S. Department of State	19.XXX	SAQMMA16C0331	-	33,462	33,462
Academic Exchange Programs - Undergraduate Programs Pass-Through from International Resources Group, Ltd Pass-Through from Iowa State University	19.009	FY19-YALI-BE-UTA-06 404-28-63B	- -	134,086 14,124	134,086 14,124
Total - CFDA 19.009			-	148,210	148,210
Global Threat Reduction	19.033		-	270,808	270,808
Pass-Through from Duke University		SISNCT19CA0002	-	10,080	10,080
Total - CFDA 19.033			-	280,888	280,888
Public Diplomacy Programs Pass-Through from Partners of the Americas Pass-Through from University of Nebraska at Omaha	19.040	100K-257ARG-09 45-2402-1031-303	- -	12,354 24,199	12,354 24,199
Total - CFDA 19.040			-	36,553	36,553
Academic Exchange Programs - Graduate Students Pass-Through from America-Mideast Ed. & Training Svc. Inc	19.400	20320-19	-	47,403	47,403

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of State (Continued)					
Academic Exchange Programs - Scholars	19.401				
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education	.00	FS18 UT-IVSP	-	44,872	44,872
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		FS18-UTBUS-IVSP	-	28,348	28,348
Pass-Through from Institute of International Education		M1802230	=	82,401	82,401
Total - CFDA 19.401			-	155,621	155,621
General Department of State Assistance	19.700				
Pass-Through from CRDF Global		FSCX-18-63877-0	-	37,077	37,077
Criminal Justice Systems	19.703		-	1,763,111	1,763,111
Total - U.S. Department of State			-	2,502,325	2,502,325
U.S. Department of Transportation					
U.S. Department of Transportation	20.XXX	693JJ619C000005	-	1,358	1,358
Pass-Through from Center for Transportation and the Environment		UTA12-000814 PORTLAND	-	2	2
		UTA12-000814; #8: PORT			
Pass-Through from Center for Transportation and the Environment Pass-Through from Center for Transportation and the Environment		AUTHORITY UTA17-000717	-	42,739	42,739
Pass-Through from Center for Transportation and the Environment		UTA19-000611	-	18,254 1,058	18,254 1,058
Pass-Through from Iowa State University		436-17-39E	-	21,164	21,164
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		35RELEASE#17	-	35,076	35,076
Pass-Through from KAI, LLC		UTA17-000980	-	2,268	2,268
Pass-Through from Leidos, Inc. Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		P010215679	-	17,627	17,627
Cooperative Highway Research		NAS 165 # 14 HR 22-38	-	4,083	4,083
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences		HR 24-41	-	7,216	7,216
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences - Transit		NAS 165 # 8 TCRP J-			
Cooperative Research Program		11(034)	-	39,221	39,221
Pass-Through from North Central Texas Council of Government		TRN4696	-	7,094	7,094
Pass-Through from R.D. Mingo and Associates Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Suny		T693JJ318F000161 2018-604	-	42,958 9,000	42,958 9,000
Pass-Through from University of Alabama		UA16-008	-	27,174	27,174
Total - CFDA 20.XXX			-	276,292	276,292
Aviation Research Grants	20.108		194,352	256,454	450,806
Air Transportation Centers of Excellence	20.109		_	107,090	107,090
Pass-Through from New Mexico State University	20.103	Q01917	-	29,730	29,730
Total - CFDA 20.109			-	136,820	136,820
Highway Research and Development Program Pass-Through from American Road and Transportation Builders	20.200		14,738	314,073	328,811
Association Pass-Through from American Road and Transportation Builders		693JJ31750009	-	125,833	125,833
Association Pass-Through from Battelle Memorial Institute		693JJ31750009-1 US001-601206-1	-	27,083	27,083
Pass-Through from Battelle Memorial Institute		US001-601304-18	-	6,195 159	6,195 159
Pass-Through from Booz Allen Hamilton, Inc.		S900066BAH - #2	-	4,735	4,735
Pass-Through from California Department of Transportation		U1019001	-	9,284	9,284
Pass-Through from California State University - Long Beach		SG175414100	-	24,413	24,413
Pass-Through from Cambridge Systematics Pass-Through from Cambridge Systematics		160007 - # 8 8852	-	70,990	70,990
Pass-Through from Cambridge Systematics  Pass-Through from Cambridge Systematics		8852 02	-	7,474 1,514	7,474 1,514
Pass-Through from DIGITALiBiz		CORA-045-04128 LETTER	-	460	460
Pass-Through from DIGITALiBiz		CORA-045-04128 0001	-	4,677	4,677
Pass-Through from Economic Development Research Group, Inc.		NCHRP 19-14	-	6,960	6,960
Pass-Through from Houston - Galveston Area Council		ID #2631	-	57,038	57,038
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16ABBO0168- RELEASE # 18	=	568	568
. 200 mong or mornatorial, no.		17ANBO0007 - RELEASE	-	300	300
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		# 2	-	79,383	79,383
Pass-Through from Knowledge Based Systems, Inc.		M1601921	-	9,210	9,210
	(6	2)			

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued)					
		ACRP ALL-03(S01-17)/			
Pass-Through from Nas - Acrp - Airport Cooperative Research		0001038	-	891	891
Pass-Through from Nas - Transportation Research Board		HR 22-36 / 0001211	-	45,231	45,231
Pass-Through from Nas - Transportation Research Board		NAS 165- # 16 HR- 20-44 NCHRP195/ 163516-0399/	-	564	564
Pass-Through from Nas - Transportation Research Board		0000946	-	99,764	99,764
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		HR - 20-05-(50-08) - (			
Cooperative Highway Research		0001301)	-	44,236	44,236
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National Cooperative Highway Research		HR 03-132 ( 0001164)	1,731	90,398	92,129
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		00 102 ( 000 0 .)	1,701	00,000	02,120
Cooperative Highway Research		HR 05-24 / 0001212	-	33,402	33,402
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		LID 00 574 / 0004000		040.000	040.000
Cooperative Highway Research Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		HR 09-57A / 0001203	-	219,033	219,033
Cooperative Highway Research		HR 20-06(24-04)	-	53,307	53,307
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National				,	,
Cooperative Highway Research		HR 22-35 / 0001220	-	71,255	71,255
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National Cooperative Highway Research		HR 22-37 - # 13		24.652	24 652
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		1111 22-37 - # 13	-	34,652	34,652
Cooperative Highway Research		HR08-114A 0001244	92,157	128,795	220,952
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National					
Cooperative Highway Research		NAS - 165 # 6 - HR 17-92	-	6,706	6,706
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National Cooperative Highway Research		NAS 150 T O #14 HR 17- 66	4,558	357	4,915
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 40 HR 14-40	4,000	001	4,510
Cooperative Highway Research		0001079	-	101,027	101,027
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 44 HR 20-			
Cooperative Highway Research Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		05(49-01) 00 NAS 150 TO# 02 HR	-	8,548	8,548
Cooperative Highway Research		07/23 0000273	-	82,789	82,789
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 36 -HR 09-52A-		,	, , , ,
Cooperative Highway Research		0000927	-	(5,029)	(5,029)
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National Cooperative Highway Research		NAS 150 37 HR 20-114 000	2,280	27 125	39,415
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		000	2,200	37,135	39,413
Cooperative Highway Research		NAS 165 # 7 - HR 11-08	-	81,634	81,634
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 165 # 9 HR 20-			
Cooperative Highway Research		07(384)	=	2,092	2,092
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National Cooperative Highway Research		NAS 165 #11 HR 03-134	_	4,212	4,212
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 165 #15 HR 20-		1,212	1,212
Cooperative Highway Research		44(009)	-	47,909	47,909
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National Cooperative Highway Research		NAC 165 #17 UD 06 10		7 4 4 4	7 4 4 4
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 165 #17 HR 06-18 NAS 165 10 HR 20-	-	7,144	7,144
Cooperative Highway Research		07(368)	-	4,557	4,557
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National					
Cooperative Highway Research		NCHRP-14-41	-	98,405	98,405
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences		HR 12-113 PO 1111030 HR 20-05(50-03); PO#	-	85,122	85,122
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences		0001276	-	44,999	44,999
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences		J-05(18-01) 0001243	-	29,878	29,878
Deep Through from National Cooperative Highway Boooseh Drogger		HR 24-45	0.000	50,000	54.000
Pass-Through from National Cooperative Highway Research Program Pass-Through from New Mexico Department of Transportation		IG0007 8005-0000260266	3,399	50,823 2,004	54,222 2,004
, acc meaginement money 2 oparations of managematics		SPR 2304 / 3459061281		2,001	2,001
Pass-Through from Oklahoma Department of Transportation		/JOB 01946(7)	-	58,707	58,707
Dear Through from Oldskame Department of Transportation		2277 3459056374		4.440	4.440
Pass-Through from Oklahoma Department of Transportation		01946(70) 2277 3459059203	-	1,149	1,149
Pass-Through from Oklahoma Department of Transportation		01946(72)	-	100,296	100,296
		2278 3459056252			
Pass-Through from Oklahoma Department of Transportation		01946(70)	-	21,634	21,634
Pass-Through from Oklahoma Department of Transportation		2304 3459056254 01946(70)	-	47,474	47,474
. 222 mough nom of anatoma population of transportation		• (. • )	_	71,717	71,717

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc.		182427CC	_	2,129	2,129
Pass-Through from State of Alaska, Department of Transportation and				, -	, -
Public Facilities		MOA 2517H026	-	122,381	122,381
Pass-Through from University of Connecticut		152092	-	570	570
Pass-Through from University of Kansas Center for Research, Inc.		0000503FY2018-048	-	19,421	19,421
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - College Park Pass-Through from University of Maryland - College Park		Q0326103 47791-Z9000203	-	78,802 122,121	78,802 122,121
Total - CFDA 20.200			118,863	2,836,573	2,955,436
				, ,	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	A T16-147813 /	624,182	2,897,443	3,521,625
Pass-Through from Arizona Department of Transportation		BINATIONAL FREIGHT CORRI	140,717	83,093	223,810
r ass-miough nom Anzona Department of Transportation		A T16-147813 /	140,717	85,095	223,010
		MARIPOSA POINT OF			
Pass-Through from Arizona Department of Transportation		ENTRY	-	119,647	119,647
		A T16-147813:6/MPD0062-			
Pass-Through from Arizona Department of Transportation		17 SPR756	51,292	89,897	141,189
Pass-Through from Arizona Department of Transportation		A T16-147813:7 / 0000017898		50,352	50,352
Fass-Through from Anzona Department of Transportation		JPA 18-0006846-I (P001	-	50,352	50,552
Pass-Through from Arizona Department of Transportation		800 1577)	-	2,816	2,816
Pass-Through from Battelle Memorial Institute		US001-601304-21	-	73,241	73,241
Pass-Through from Battelle Memorial Institute		US001-601304-22	-	19,667	19,667
Pass-Through from Battelle Memorial Institute		US001-601304-23	-	3,165	3,165
Pass-Through from Battelle Memorial Institute		US001-601304-24	-	6,759	6,759
Pass-Through from Cambridge Systematics		SRS REF M1500610	-	3,660	3,660
Pass-Through from Cambridge Systematics		8852 017	-	26,065	26,065
Pass-Through from Crash Avoidance Metrics Partnership		CAMP0000167	70,883	182,298	253,181
Pass-Through from Crash Avoidance Metrics Partnership		331	-	24,761	24,761
Pass-Through from CH2M Hill, Inc.		10006-7-104029	-	64,270	64,270
Pass-Through from Houston - Galveston Area Council		M1602268 T T 17 0610-01 CSJ:0912-	-	10,013	10,013
Pass-Through from Houston - Galveston Area Council		00-545	-	(1,773)	(1,773)
Pass-Through from Houston - Galveston Area Council		T T 18 0220-01	-	62,036	62,036
Pass-Through from Iteris, Inc.		D00002-TEX	-	9,142	9,142
		16ABBO0168 - RELEASE			
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		# 12	-	249,418	249,418
Deer Through form IOE lateractional las		16ABBO0168 - RELEASE		100 500	400 500
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		# 13 16ABBO0168 - RELEASE	-	109,503	109,503
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		# 14		107,836	107,836
rass-milough nomitor international, inc.		16ABBO0168 - RELEASE	-	107,030	107,030
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		# 15	_	30,481	30,481
r doo rineagh nom or international, mer		16ABBO0168 - RELEASE		00,101	00,101
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		#16	-	868	868
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16ABBO0168 - RELEASE 9	-	568	568
		16ABBO0168 - 20 -			
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		RELEASE 10	-	40,102	40,102
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16ABBO0168 #0021	-	42,440	42,440
		16ABBO0168 RELEASE 5			
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16	-	11,685	11,685
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16ABBO0168 RELEASE 6 15		20.200	26.206
Fass-Though nom for international, inc.		16ABBO0168 RELEASE 7	-	36,396	36,396
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		18	-	22,397	22,397
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16ABBO0168 13	-	688	688
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16ABBO0168 2	-	127,419	127,419
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16ABBO0168 3	-	55,308	55,308
Page Through from ICE International Inc		16ABBO0168/ TO 17		04.007	04.007
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		RELEASE 08	-	24,207	24,207
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		16ABB00168 1	-	47,288	47,288
Pass-Through from Kittelson & Associates, Inc.		0210-01	-	(45)	(45)
Pass-Through from Kittelson & Associates, Inc.		17763 10177856	-	14,228	14,228
Pass-Through from Leidos, Inc. Pass-Through from MacroSys, LLC		SRS REF M1501759	-	12	12
Pass-Through from MRI Global (Midwest Research Institute)		681-110950-1	-	2,748 14.746	2,748 14.746
r ass-rinough nom with Giobai (Wildwest Resealth institute)		001-110900-1	-	14,746	14,746

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued)					
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		HR 03-123 - 0000833 -			
Cooperative Highway Research		NAS 150 TO 25	800	136,193	136,993
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO #12 HR 09-			
Cooperative Highway Research		58	69,226	106,843	176,069
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National Cooperative Highway Research		NAS 150 TO #16 HR01-53 0000582	_	96,399	96,399
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 31 HR 20-	-	90,399	90,399
Cooperative Highway Research		07(395) 000089	-	(80)	(80)
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 32; HR 15-64		()	()
Cooperative Highway Research		0000917	56,650	82,643	139,293
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 34 HR 17-79			
Cooperative Highway Research		0000920	17,912	68,405	86,317
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 35 HR 17-76/	00.540	407.057	050.007
Cooperative Highway Research Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		0000921 NAS 150 TO 41 HR 20-	68,510	187,857	256,367
Cooperative Highway Research		07(370)B 0001080	_	57,478	57,478
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 42 HR20-		37,470	37,470
Cooperative Highway Research		05(49-04) 0001	-	11,444	11,444
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 45 HR 20-		,	,
Cooperative Highway Research		05(49-06) 00011	-	11,809	11,809
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 21; HR 05-21			
Cooperative Highway Research		0000708	-	57,105	57,105
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 39 HR 20-			
Cooperative Highway Research		24(112)	22,131	40,900	63,031
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 22; HR 24-43 0000711		(404)	(404)
Cooperative Highway Research Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		0000711	-	(404)	(404)
Cooperative Highway Research		NCHRP 20-102(6)	-	(435)	(435)
Pass-Through from North Central Texas Council of Government		M1801805	-	208,720	208,720
Pass-Through from North Central Texas Council of Government		TRN4743	-	28,993	28,993
Pass-Through from North Central Texas Council of Government		TRN5043	-	56,758	56,758
Pass-Through from North Central Texas Council of Government		TRN5044	-	63,645	63,645
Pass-Through from North Central Texas Council of Government		TRN5046	-	44,102	44,102
Pass-Through from Ohio Department of Transportation		31347	38,897	219,687	258,584
Pass-Through from Ohio Department of Transportation		32748	6,323	61,338	67,661
Pass-Through from Oregon Department of Transportation		30240	-	17,023	17,023
Pass-Through from Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc.		A T13-041462:1 PB 11580A		7 600	7 600
Pass-Through from State of South Dakota, Department of		11360A	-	7,682	7,682
Transportation		SD2013-08	-	292	292
Pass-Through from University of Akron		04204-TAMU	-	8,958	8,958
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati		011678-003	-	11,078	11,078
Pass-Through from Virginia Tech University		417943-19C36	-	147,992	147,992
Pass-Through from Wyoming Department of Transportation		RS04219	-	19,850	19,850
Pass-Through from Wyoming Department of Transportation		RS05218	-	57,985	57,985
Total - CFDA 20.205			1,167,523	6,475,105	7,642,628
Highway Training and Education	20.215		-	128,548	128,548
		CSSFT000900150 P I			
Pass-Through from Georgia Department of Transportation		0009150	-	55,719	55,719
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc.		17ANBO0007 RELEASE 1 TO HEPNXX1700000026		0.470	0.470
Pass-Through from National Academy of Science - National		NAS 150 TO 33 HR 20-	-	9,479	9,479
Cooperative Highway Research		102(009) 0000923	4,260	7	4,267
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences		2000009708	-,200	7,500	7,500
,		TO 693JJ318F300056;		1,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Pass-Through from Toxcel, LLC		NHTSA DTFH6117 0028	-	2,799	2,799
Total - CFDA 20.215			4,260	204,052	208,312
Motor Carrier Safety Assistance High Priority Activities Grants and					
Cooperative Agreements	20.237		_	427,571	427,571
	_0.201			.21,011	,,,,,,
Railroad Research and Development	20.313				
Pass-Through from CPCS Transcom		15648	-	12,812	12,812

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)		, ,			
U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued)					
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507				
Pass-Through from Fort Bend County		WORK 3	-	9,106	9,106
Pass-Through from Harris County - Texas		#4 VEAD 40/40 # 0	-	8,770	8,770
Pass-Through from Harris County - Texas Pass-Through from Harris County - Texas		YEAR 18/19 - # 3 1	-	84,703 1	84,703
Pass-Through from Harris County - Texas  Pass-Through from Harris County - Texas		2	-	11,581	1 11,581
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences - Transit		_	_	11,501	11,501
Cooperative Research Program		TRANSIT -91	-	49,211	49,211
Total - CFDA 20.507			-	163,372	163,372
Formula Grants for Rural Areas and Tribal Transit Program	20.509				
Pass-Through from TransAction Associates, Inc.		M1901050	-	59,594	59,594
Pass-Through from TransAction Associates, Inc.		M1902847	-	3,098	3,098
Total - CFDA 20.509			-	62,692	62,692
Public Transportation Research, Technical Assistance, and Training	20.514		8,762	125,773	134,535
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences - Transit		J-07(SA-47) -		44.000	44.000
Cooperative Research Program		(1635180599)	-	44,922	44,922
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences - Transit Cooperative Research Program		J-07(SB-29)/ 0000975	_	48	48
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		#0843 824862	- -	26,852	26,852
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		#0844 824862	-	48,630	48,630
,		AGMT 0294 - PROJ		,	•
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		822264 - 776006 - OR	-	32,853	32,853
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		757020 3096 / # 822264	-	8,568	8,568
Total - CFDA 20.514			8,762	287,646	296,408
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600		-	3,102,338	3,102,338
Pass-Through from City of San Antonio		DESSOUKY-TRAFFICSTD'	-	74,472	74,472
Pass-Through from Colorado Department of Transportation		411014725	-	5,784	5,784
Pass-Through from Colorado Department of Transportation		411017445	-	46,857	46,857
Deep Thomas have Occasion Demonstrated Transportation		CSSFT000900150 P I	74.000	447.000	100 701
Pass-Through from Georgia Department of Transportation		0005819 BTS-01 UNIT#912 0001294	71,826	117,938	189,764
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences		BTS-01 UNIT#912 0001294	8,439	39,751 83,620	39,751 92,059
Pass-Through from Nebraska Department of Health and Human		B13-02	0,439	03,020	92,039
Services		M1703429	_	4,493	4,493
Pass-Through from Nebraska Department of Health and Human				,	,
Services		44395 Y3	-	32,091	32,091
Total - CFDA 20.600			80,265	3,507,344	3,587,609
National Highway Traffic Cafety Administration (NILTSA) Discretionary					
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) Discretionary Safety Grants and Cooperative Agreements	20.614				
Pass-Through from Colorado Department of Transportation	20.014	SRS# 1601237	_	(19)	(19)
Pass-Through from National Safety Council		M1802287	-	105,492	105,492
Total - CFDA 20.614			-	105,473	105,473
National Priority Safety Programs	20.616		-	1,527,730	1,527,730
University Transportation Centers Program	20.701		1,385,622	2,653,047	4,038,669
Pass-Through from Board of Supervisors of Louisiana State University	20.701		1,303,022	2,033,047	4,030,009
and A&M College		PO-0000029217	-	149,136	149,136
Pass-Through from Cornell University		79841-10831	7,750	146,653	154,403
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University		TR-K62 M102455	-	122,361	122,361
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University Pass-Through from Louisiana State University		M102455 PO-0000032407	-	185,438 214,097	185,438 214,097
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University  Pass-Through from Louisiana State University		0000030637	<u>-</u>	214,097 14,201	214,097 14,201
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC103194UTA	61,993	23,075	85,068
Pass-Through from New York University		F8741-02/IB00312722	01,000	153,648	153,648
Pass-Through from Portland State University		NITC2016-UT02 / UT01	-	226,959	226,959
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		5235/4-36362/10223	-	115,590	115,590
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas		SA1703158-A1	-	107,575	107,575
Pass-Through from University of Michigan - Ann Arbor		3002833944	-	1,224	1,224
	(0)	C)			

(66)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		20160688-02-UTX 2014-25 ( :DTRT13-G-	-	322,384	322,384
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma		UTC36) 2014-27	-	56,763	56,763 65,882
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University		451453-19C36	-	65,882 836,247	836,247
Pass-Through from Western Michigan University		8823-UTA-1	-	95,455	95,455
Total - CFDA 20.701			1,455,365	5,489,735	6,945,100
PHMSA Pipeline Safety Research and Development "Other Transaction Agreements"	20.723				
Pass-Through from Colorado School of Mines		401481-5802	-	106,739	106,739
Pipeline Safety Research Competitive Academic Agreement Program (CAAP)	20.724		-	29,616	29,616
State Maritime Schools	20.806		-	(68,420)	(68,420)
Transportation Planning, Research and Education	20.931		-	745,717	745,717
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas		SA1703158		42,338	42,338
Total - CFDA 20.931				788,055	788,055
Total - U.S. Department of Transportation			3,029,390	22,625,661	25,655,051
U.S. Department of the Treasury					
Resources and Ecosystems Sustainability, Tourist Opportunities, and Revived Economies of the Gulf Coast States  Pass-Through from Florida Institute of Oceanography	21.015	PO# 7000035377	2,760	417,599 30,222	420,359 30,222
Total - CFDA 21.015			2,760	447,821	450,581
Total - U.S. Department of the Treasury			2,760	447,821	450,581
Office of Personnel Management			,	,	,
Intergovernmental Personnel Act (IPA) Mobility Program	27.011		-	555,776	555,776
Total - Office of Personnel Management			-	555,776	555,776
General Services Administration					
Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property	39.003			2,537	2,537
Total - General Services Administration			-	2,537	2,537
Library of Congress					
Library of Congress	42.XXX	17-04 18-06	<u>-</u>	1,318 6,000	1,318 6,000
Total - CFDA 42.XXX				7,318	7,318
Total - Library of Congress			-	7,318	7,318
National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
		NASA-28G /			
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	43.XXX	NNX15AE28G NNC17CA02C	-	141,331 143,000	141,331 143,000
		NND15SA85B	29,847	111,205	141,052
		NNG17VI05C	-	898,128	898,128
		NNJ15HK11B NNL14AA00C	-	816	816
		80LARC17F0071	-	2,066,523	2,066,523
		NNM16AA26C TAMU M1702325	428,671	1,021,464 (52)	1,450,135 (52)
	(6			(02)	(02)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
		1549259	-	64,224	64,224
		80AFRC19F0055/NND15S			,
		A85B 80MSFC18C0003	677,151	207,910 135,831	885,061 135,831
		80NSSC19P0391	-	28,708	28,708
Pass-Through from Apptronik, Inc.		UT-001-2017	-	120,263	120,263
Pass-Through from Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy		HST-GP-15118 002-A	-	20.190	20,190
Pass-Through from Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Inc.		P2026-001	-	83,491	83,491
Pass-Through from Atmospheric and Space Technology Research Associates, LLC		80NSSC18K0004	_	36,319	36,319
Pass-Through from Balcones Technologies, LLC		UTA17-001115	-	98,730	98,730
Pass-Through from Brookhaven National Laboratory		364201	-	1,862	1,862
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Lab		1405316	_	2,633	2,633
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		. 100010		2,000	2,000
Lab		1478584	-	1,099,399	1,099,399
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Lab		1479726	_	19,396	19,396
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion					
Lab Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1500179	-	18,475	18,475
Lab		1543389	-	72,086	72,086
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		4550000			
Lab Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1552339	-	1,741	1,741
Lab		1554240	-	2,240	2,240
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Lab		1565044		706	700
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1565241	-	796	796
Lab		1565242	-	1,709	1,709
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Lab		1569380	-	177,121	177,121
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion				,	,
Lab Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1569963	-	109,238	109,238
Lab		1578157	-	2,827	2,827
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion					
Lab Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1578578	-	6,581	6,581
Lab		1578579	-	3,015	3,015
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		4504000		04.040	04.040
Lab Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1581808	-	24,949	24,949
Lab		1583416	-	35,725	35,725
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Lab		1594399	_	8,176	8,176
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1004000		0,170	0,170
Lab		1607040	-	14,587	14,587
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Lab		1607041	_	10,851	10,851
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion				-,	-,
Lab Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1615085	-	73,044	73,044
Lab		1620143	-	6,504	6,504
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		4007000		00.004	00.004
Lab Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1627903	-	20,991	20,991
Lab		1630035	-	2,136	2,136
Pass-Through from GaoOntics Inc		UTA16-001038 EGO-XO- 02		62 941	62 941
Pass-Through from GeoOptics Inc. Pass-Through from Harris Corporation		2712-15-87	-	62,841 23,625	62,841 23,625
Pass-Through from Intelligent Optical Systems, Inc.		16-0561	-	55	55
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc. Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		EN41520TMS-002 137011	-	461,311	461,311
Pass-I nrough from Johns Hopkins University Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics		13/011	-	2,059	2,059
Laboratory		122578	-	(603)	(603)
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena,Ca	(6	RSA 1566409	-	1	1

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena,Ca		1521569	-	29,643	29,643
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena,Ca		1600748	-	61,522	61,522
Pass-Through from Omega Optics, Inc.		M1803690	-	19,225	19,225
Pass-Through from Omega Optics, Inc.		UTA18-000766	-	25,000	25,000
Pass-Through from Omega Optics, Inc.		UTA18-001026	-	15,000	15,000
Pass-Through from Paragon Space Development Corporation		S09600023	-	122,530	122,530
Pass-Through from Photon Systems, Inc.		063TTU	-	71,300	71,300
Pass-Through from Radiabeam Technologies, LLC Pass-Through from San Jacinto Community College District		2019-19724 M1600235	-	16,381 8,108	16,381 8,108
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2040897 V 2	-	40,056	40,056
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		L99042CAC	-	18,813	18,813
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-AR-13888 006-A	-	39	39
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-AR-13896 009-A	-	552	552
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-AR-15006 001-A	-	5,487	5,487
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-AR-15028 001-A	-	16,746	16,746
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-13650-009-A	-	173	173
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-13779 022-A	-	14,708	14,708
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14139 001-A	-	38,337	38,337
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14144 001	-	32,244	32,244
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14172 009-A	-	12,855	12,855
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14196 002	-	15,078	15,078
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14227 001-A HST-GO-14639 001-A	-	73,175	73,175
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute  Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14665 001-A	-	71,813 30,056	71,813 30,056
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14669 020-A	-	38,848	38,848
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14845 006-A	-	2,360	2,360
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-15064 001-A	-	77,855	77,855
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-15071 001-A	-	188,391	188,391
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-15145 010-A	-	48,014	48,014
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-15238 001-A	-	162,182	162,182
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-15243 001-A	-	26,152	26,152
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-15323 001-A	-	27,135	27,135
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO14796 005-A	-	44,109	44,109
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-G0-14796 001-A	-	(4,825)	(4,825)
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		JWST-ERS-01345 001-A	-	990	990
Pass-Through from The Aerospace Corporation		NNX16AH46G	-	16,833	16,833
Pass-Through from United Negro College Fund Special Programs Corporation		NNX09AV017A-PV		(4.105)	(4.105)
Corporation		SOF 04-0146GREEN	-	(4,195)	(4,195)
Pass-Through from Universities Space Research Association		NAS2-97001	_	5,900	5,900
Pass-Through from Universities Space Research Association		SOF 06-0104	-	26,131	26,131
Pass-Through from Universities Space Research Association		SOF-06-0040-SPILKER	-	10,970	10,970
Pass-Through from Universities Space Research Association		SOF-06-0062 GREEN	-	13,405	13,405
		SOF05-0121DINERSTEIN			
Pass-Through from Universities Space Research Association		NAS2-97001	-	43,540	43,540
Pass-Through from UHV Technologies, Inc.		80NSSC18P2193	-	28,798	28,798
Pass-Through from Wyle Laboratories		NNJ15HK11B	-	39,420	39,420
D T		NNJ15HK11B /			
Pass-Through from Wyle Laboratories		TXS0152573	-	1,578	1,578
Pass-Through from Wyle Laboratories		NNJ15HK11B TXS0148263		48,035	48,035
Pass-Through from Wyle Laboratories		9F008170087	-	4,846	4,846
Total - CFDA 43.XXX			1,135,669	9,028,765	10,164,434
Science	43.001		1,632,954	9,560,342	11,193,296
Pass-Through from Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy	.0.001	PO49898 STSCI-510384	-	51,143	51,143
Pass-Through from Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin		E0.41/700		5.045	5.045
System Page-Through from Roige State University		584K732 NNX17AB94G	-	5,045	5,045
Pass-Through from Boise State University Pass-Through from Boise State University		NNX17AB94G 6445-PO124444	-	19,909 148	19,909 148
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		UTTU-1 U12TT44	-	140	140
Lab		1565726	-	848,443	848,443
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion			-	0-10,-1-10	0-0,0
Lab		1567018	-	559,003	559,003
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Lab		1579246	_	24,162	24,162
	(60		-	24,102	24,102

(69)

Past   Protect   Contact   Protect			Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Pass - Trinogh from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion   1,004489, PHASE E   1,482,200   1,482,20	Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
Pass   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion   Labs   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion   Labs   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion   Lab   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion   Lab   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion   Lab   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion   Lab   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion   Lab   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet   Through from California Institute of Technology Jet   Through from Jet   Throu	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Pass-Trough from California Institute of Technology Jet Proquision   1616149   122,555   122,556   Pass-Trough from California Institute of Technology Jet Proquision   1616149   122,656   7,389   7,389   7,389   7,389   7,389   Pass-Trough from Chandra X - Rey Observatory Center   1606-190   16	9, ,		1604489; PHASE E	-	1,492,360	1,492,360
Pass-Trough from Chandra X - Ray Observatory Center   D08-191028   7,388   7			1616149	-		
Peas-Trough from Charded X - Fely Observatory Center   DBS - 19108   7,389	9, ,		1616712		00.545	00 545
Pass-Trough from George Mason University				-	,	,
Pass-Through from Georgeband University				-		,
Pass-Through from Geopa Tech Researt Corporation   RG116-G1			AWD7773186-GR205833	-		
Pass-Trough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.				-	8,416	8,416
Pass-Triough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.				-		
Pass-Trough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.	57			-		
Pass-Triough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.				-		
Pass-Triough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.	9,			-		,
Pass-Trrough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.				-		
Pass-Triough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.				-		
Pass-Trrough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.	9,			-		
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         2571-         - 7,624         7,624           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S26179         - 7,166         7,166           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S26180         - 629         629           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S26181         - 623         623         623           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S27100 TIPM LEPERE         - 19,099         13,099	9,			-		
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S. 26179         5,732         5,732           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S. 26180         629         629           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S. 26180         623         623           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S. 26181         623         623           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S. 27720 TO# MLEPERE         13,099         13,099           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S. 27721 TO# TO# MLEPERE         13,099         13,099           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S. 27721 TO# MLEPERE         4,300         4,300           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         11-19308-S24612         20         250           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         17678         2,243         2,493           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         27678         2,243         2,493           Pass-Through from Machana Technological University <td></td> <td></td> <td>EN415000TMS-ES0351-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			EN415000TMS-ES0351-			
Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$26179         7,186         7,186           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$26181         623         623           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$26181         93         623         623           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$27720         70 M LEPERE         13,099         13,099           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$27721         70 M LEPERE         13,099         13,099           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$27722         93,420         33,420         33,420           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         119308-524611         141,193         141,193         141,193           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         119308-524612         250         250         250           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         27678         2,433         2,433         2,433           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         2168         2,433         2,433         2,433           Pass-Trinough from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         217         3,765         3,765         3,765         3,765           Pass-Trinough from Machana Space Boundedian Research Institute         1600802         11,732         11,732 <td>Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>7,624</td> <td>7,624</td>	Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.			-	7,624	7,624
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S26180         629         629           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S26181         623         623           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S26189         9.810         9.810           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S27720 TO# MELPER         13.099         13.099           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         S27721 TO# TELLEZ         4.830         4.830           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         1.19308-524611         14.1193         141.193           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         1.19308-524612         250         50           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         2.7678         4.9127         49.127           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         2.7678         4.9127         49.127           Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University         146828         15,980         15,980           Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University         18028         15,980         15,980           Pass-Through from Makingan Technological University         160090224         11,732         11,732           Pass-Through from Makingan Technological University         160090224         11,732         11,732           Pass-Through from Makingan Te				-		5,732
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$26181         623         623           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$26189         9.810         9.810           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$27721 TOW TELLEZ         4.830         9.3420           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         \$27721 TOW TELLEZ         4.830         9.3420           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         1.19308-\$24611         11.1930         11.1930           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         1.19308-\$24612         2.02         250           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         27678         2.493         2.493           Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.         27678	57			-		
Pass-Trincupli from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   S26189   9,810   9,810   9,810   9,810   Pass-Trincupli from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   S27721 TO# TELLEZ   4,830   4,830   4,830   8,830   7,835   7,8	57			-		
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   SZ7720 TO# MLEPERE   -   13,099   13,099   Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   SZ7721 TO# TELLEZ   -   93,420   93,420   93,420   93,820	9,			-		
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   S27721 TO# TELLEZ   9,3420   93,420	9,			-		,
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   119308-S24611   - 141,193   11,193   Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   119308-S24612   - 250   250	9,			_		
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.				_		
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   1.19308-524612	9,			-		
Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.   27678			1-19308-S24612	-		
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University         146828         -         15,980         15,980           Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics         129217         -         3,765         3,765           Pass-Through from Michigan Technological University         1609082Z4         -         11,732         11,737         17,737         77,787         77,878	Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.		1-19308-S26166	-	49,127	49,127
Pass-Through from Michigan Technological University	Pass-Through from Jacobs Technology, Inc.		27678	-	2,493	2,493
Laboratory         129217         - 3,765         3,765           Pass-Through from Michigan Technological University         160908224         - 11,732         11,732           Pass-Through from National Space Biomedical Research Institute         CA03801         (13,311)         - (13,311)           Pass-Through from National Space Biomedical Research Institute         CA03801         (13,311)         - (13,311)           Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca         1605080         - 115,710         115,710           Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca         1615575         - 3,956         3,956           Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca         1616806         - 57,787         757,787           Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca         1623186         - 49,808         49,808           Pass-Through from Oregon State University         NSZ70A-A         - 22,402         22,402           Pass-Through from Pennsylvarial State University         NSZ70A-A         - 22,402         22,402           Pass-Through from Pennsylvarial State University         NSZ70A-A         - 26,802         85,682           Pass-Through from Pennsylvarial State University         NSZ70A-A         - 181         181           Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute         1350 <td></td> <td></td> <td>146828</td> <td>-</td> <td>15,980</td> <td>15,980</td>			146828	-	15,980	15,980
Pass-Through from Michigan Technological University						
Pass-Through from National Space Biomedical Research Institute				-		,
Pass-Through from Nuvue Therapeutics				(40.044)	11,732	
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca	,			(13,311)	(1 970)	,
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca	· ·			_		
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-		
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca         1623186         -         49,808         49,808           Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca         1623599         -         12,371         12,371           Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca         1631839         -         8,552         8,552           Pass-Through from Oregon State University         5887-UTEP-NASA-0094         -         22,402         22,402           Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University         5887-UTEP-NASA-0094         -         80,562         86,562           Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute         8043-S1         -         181         181         181           Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute         1350         -         4,268         4,268           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         80007SC01         -         11,137         17,137           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         80NSSC18K1112         -         26,892         26,892           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         9624         -         114,727         114,727           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR-19009A         -         27,561         27,561 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></t<>				-		
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca         1631839         -         8,552         8,552           Pass-Through from Oregon State University         NS270A-A         -         22,402         22,402           Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University         5887-UTEP-NASA-0094         -         85,682         85,682           Pass-Through from Physics, Materials, and Applied Mathematics         8043-S1         -         181         181           Research, LLC         8043-S1         -         181         181           Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute         1350         -         4,268         4,268           Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute         1515         -         17,137         17,137           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         80NSSC18K1112         -         26,892         26,892           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado         1557497/PO1001136610         -         27,561         27,561           Pass-Through from Smegents of the University of Colorado         1557497/PO1001136610         -         27,561         27,561           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR7-18006X         -         42,087         42,087           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical O	Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena,Ca		1623186	-	49,808	49,808
Pass-Through from Oregon State University         NS270A-A         -         22,402         22,402           Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University         5887-UTEP-NASA-0094         -         85,682         85,682           Pass-Through from Physics, Materials, and Applied Mathematics         8043-S1         -         181         181           Research, LLC         8043-S1         -         181         181           Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute         1350         -         4,268         4,268           Pass-Through from Real-Time Analyzers, Inc.         588007SC01         -         (1,516)         (1,516)           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         80NSSC18K1112         -         26,892         26,892           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         9624         -         114,727         114,727           Pass-Through from Segents of the University of Colorado         1557497/PO1001136610         -         27,561         27,561           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR7-18006X         -         42,087         42,087           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR8-19014X         -         3,693         3,695           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observat	Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena, Ca		1625959	-	12,371	12,371
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University 5887-UTEP-NASA-0094 - 85,682 85,682 Pass-Through from Physics, Materials, and Applied Mathematics Research, LLC 8043-S1 - 181 181 181 181 181 181 182 182 182 182	•			-		
Pass-Through from Physics, Materials, and Applied Mathematics Research, LLC  8043-S1  181  Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute 1350  - 4,268  4,268  4,268  Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute 1515  - 17,137  Pass-Through from Real-Time Analyzers, Inc. 588007SC01  - (1,516)  Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California 80NSSC18K1112  - 26,892  Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California 9624  Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado 1557497/PO1001136610  - 27,561  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory AR7-18006X  - 42,087  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory AR8-19009A  - 34,733  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory AR8-19014X  - 30,643  30,643  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory AR8-19014X  - 30,643  30,643  968-5  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO6-17066X  - 10,999  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO7-18022X  - 23,217  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO7-18023X  - 3,461  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO7-18060A  - 2,064  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO7-18060A  - 2,064  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO7-18060A  - 2,064  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO7-18060A  - 22,725  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO7-18061X  - 22,725  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO8-19072X  - 1,294  Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO8-19072X  - 23,977  23,977	, ,			-		
Research, LLC         8043-S1         -         181         181           Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute         1350         -         4,268         4,268           Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute         1515         -         17,137         17,137           Pass-Through from Real-Time Analyzers, Inc.         588007SC01         -         (1,516)         (1,516)           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         80NSSC18K1112         -         26,892         26,892           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado         1557497/PO1001136610         -         114,727         114,727           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado         1557497/PO1001136610         -         27,561         27,561           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR7-18006X         -         42,087         42,087           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR8-19009A         -         34,733         34,733           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         DD7-18094B         -         3,695         3,695           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO6-17066X         -         10,999         10,999           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrop	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5887-UTEP-NASA-0094	-	85,682	85,682
Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute1350-4,2684,268Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute1515-17,13717,137Pass-Through from Real-Time Analyzers, Inc.588007SC01-(1,516)(1,516)Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California80NSSC18K1112-26,89226,892Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California9624-114,727114,727Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado1557497/P01001136610-27,56127,561Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR7-18006X-42,08742,087Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR8-19009A-34,73334,733Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR8-19014X-30,64330,643Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryDD7-18094B-3,6953,695Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO6-17066X-10,99910,999Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18022X-23,21723,217Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18060A-2,0642,064Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-<			9042 91		101	101
Pass-Through from Planetary Science Institute 1515 - 17,137 17,137 Pass-Through from Real-Time Analyzers, Inc. 588007SC01 - (1,516) (1,516) Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California 80NSSC18K1112 - 26,892 Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California 9624 - 114,727 Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado 1557497/P01001136610 - 27,561 Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysica				-		
Pass-Through from Real-Time Analyzers, Inc.         588007SC01         - (1,516)         (1,516)           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         80NSSC18K1112         - 26,892         26,892           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California         9624         - 114,727         114,727           Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado         1557497/PO1001136610         - 27,561         27,561           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR7-18006X         - 42,087         42,087           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR8-19009A         - 34,733         34,733           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         AR8-19014X         - 30,643         30,643           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         DD7-18094B         - 3,695         3,695           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-1802X         - 10,999         10,999           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-1802X         - 3,461         3,461           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-18060A         - 2,064         2,064           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-18061X         - 59,173         59,173				-		
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Calorado Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory Pass-Through from Smit				-		
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado 1557497/PO1001136610 - 27,561 27,561 Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory AR7-18006X - 42,087 AR8-19009A - 34,733 AR8-19009A - 30,643 AR8-19014X - 40,999 AR8-19014X - 40,999 AR8-19014X - 50,173 AR8-19009A - 70,180218 - 70,18021	•			-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR7-18006X-42,08742,087Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR8-19009A-34,73334,733Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR8-19014X-30,64330,643Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryDD7-18094B-3,6953,695Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO6-17066X-10,99910,999Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18022X-23,21723,217Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18023X-3,4613,461Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18060A-2,0642,064Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,97723,977	Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California		9624	-	114,727	114,727
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR8-19009A-34,73334,733Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR8-19014X-30,64330,643Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryDD7-18094B-3,6953,695Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO6-17066X-10,99910,999Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18022X-23,21723,217Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18023X-3,4613,461Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18060A-2,0642,064Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,97723,977			1557497/PO1001136610	-	27,561	27,561
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryAR8-19014X-30,64330,643Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryDD7-18094B-3,6953,695Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO6-17066X-10,99910,999Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18022X-23,21723,217Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18023X-3,4613,461Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18060A-2,0642,064Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,97723,977				-	42,087	
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryDD7-18094B-3,6953,695Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO6-17066X-10,99910,999Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18022X-23,21723,217Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18023X-3,4613,461Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18060A-2,0642,064Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,977				-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO6-17066X         -         10,999         10,999           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-18022X         -         23,217         23,217           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-18023X         -         3,461         3,461           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-18060A         -         2,064         2,064           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-18061X         -         59,173         59,173           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO7-18081B         -         22,725         22,725           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO8-19072X         -         1,294         1,294           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO8-19043B         -         8,058         8,058           Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory         GO8-19055A         -         23,977         23,977	, ,			-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18022X-23,21723,217Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18023X-3,4613,461Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18060A-2,0642,064Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,977				-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18023X-3,4613,461Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18060A-2,0642,064Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,977				-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18060A-2,0642,064Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO819072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,977	, ,			-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18061X-59,17359,173Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO819072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,977				-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO7-18081B-22,72522,725Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,977	, ,			-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO819072X-1,2941,294Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19043B-8,0588,058Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical ObservatoryGO8-19055A-23,97723,977				-		
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory GO8-19055A - 23,977 23,977	Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory		GO819072X	-		
				-		
	Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory			-	23,977	23,977

Objective Name of Francisco Country of Francisco Co	050	Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State	F !!!	<b>T</b> . 4 *
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory		GO8-19057X	-	60,624	60,624
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory		GO819110D	-	10,166	10,166
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory		G07-18065X	-	21,098	21,098
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory		G07-18095X	-	25,614	25,614
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory		G07-18131A	-	167	167
Pass-Through from Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory		G08-19058X	-	3,845	3,845
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		-1415FC0094	-	34,366	34,366
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		CNTRCT 1415GC0079	-	(3,797)	(3,797)
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		J99060MEC J99062MEC	=	35,300 35,139	35,300 35,139
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		J99063MEC	-	35,300	35,300
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		J99066MEC	_	35,139	35,139
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99012MEC	-	(60)	(60)
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99013MEC	-	6,853	6,853
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99018MEC	_	23,692	23,692
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99031MEC	-	17,428	17,428
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99049MEC	-	13,814	13,814
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99069MEC	-	29,683	29,683
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99087CAC	-	30,997	30,997
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		L99041CAC	-	18,813	18,813
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		L99061CAC	-	31,117	31,117
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		L99062CAC	-	19,010	19,010
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		L99072CAC	-	10,590	10,590
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		L99073CAC	-	2,109	2,109
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		L99074CAC	-	5,826	5,826
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		L99077CAC	-	6,693	6,693
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		M99007CAC	-	21,638	21,638
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		M99008CAC	-	23,083	23,083
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		M99046VE2	-	4,542	4,542
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-AR- 14300 003-A	-	2,931	2,931
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-AR-14282 003-A	-	26,018	26,018
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-AR-14554 002-A	-	29,276	29,276
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-AR-14561 002-A	-	(10,201)	(10,201)
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-12914 009-A	-	529	529
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14191 007-A HST-GO-14227 010-A	-	13,501	13,501
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute  Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14232 003-A	-	60,551 2,687	60,551 2,687
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-14257 004-A	_	3,221	3,221
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-15197 001-A	_	25,158	25,158
Pass-Through from Space Telescope Science Institute		HST-GO-15227 005-A	-	24,791	24,791
Pass-Through from Stanford University		61373208-124103	-	61,152	61,152
Pass-Through from Tao of Systems Integration, Inc.		M1502845	-	2,979	2,979
Pass-Through from Universities Space Research Association		02235-06	-	10,000	10,000
•		UAF 16-0083 PO #			
Pass-Through from University of Alaska		P0503052	-	8,484	8,484
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley		NNG12FA45C	-	245,185	245,185
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		2090 G WA850	-	17,160	17,160
Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Cruz		A19-0446-S004-P0707973	-	19,563	19,563
Pass-Through from University of Colorado		1553339 - 1000553095	-	58,162	58,162
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Boulder		NNX16AB83G	-	9,093	9,093
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Boulder		80NSSC18K1034	-	34,733	34,733
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		067208-14031 04	-	10,524	10,524
Pass-Through from University of Kansas Center for Research, Inc.		FY2014-068	-	18,870	18,870
Pass-Through from University of Maryland		46817-Z6125001	-	41,493	41,493
Pass-Through from University of Maryland  Pass Through from University of Manyland Paltimore County		54309-Z6059204	-	42,920	42,920
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - Baltimore County Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		18497 H006183801	-	66,913 49,320	66,913 49,320
Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Kearney		14-065-01B 1 (W EXT )	-	18,105	18,105
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5109796	-	20,450	20,450
Door Through from University of Court Florida		NNX14AP62A (2500-1616-		44.004	44.00:
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		00-E)	-	44,284	44,284
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		2500-1662-00-A	-	42,622	42,622
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		2500-1704-00-A	-	43,337	43,337
Pass-Through from University of Utah		10046284-TX PO#U000	-	37,711 15,453	37,711 15,453
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		80NSSC17K0721	-	15,453 1,088,280	15,453 1,088,280
Pass-Through from Wyle Integrated Science and Engineering Crown					
Pass-Through from Wyle Integrated Science and Engineering Group Pass-Through from Wyle Laboratories		T73005 T72314	-	(402)	(402)

(71)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
	0.27.	Cuioi iuciiii, iig iici		Exponentico	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Yale University		C15N12088 (N00218)	-	1,278	1,278
Total - CFDA 43.001			1,619,643	17,196,301	18,815,944
Aeronautics	43.002		830,331	1,261,343	2,091,674
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion					
Lab Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion		1585846	-	(405)	(405)
Lab		1618537 1615121	-	28,939	28,939
Pass-Through from NASA - Jet Propulsion Lab - Pasadena,Ca Pass-Through from University of Tennessee		A18-0139-S005	- -	81,998 99,786	81,998 99,786
Total - CFDA 43.002			830,331	1,471,661	2,301,992
Exploration	43.003		236,895	3,005,733	3,242,628
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		NNX16A069A		95,397	95,397
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		NNX17AE04G 7000000550	-	23,575	23,575
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		PO 7000000375	-	9,463	9,463
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000523	-	74,985	74,985
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000532	-	130,571	130,571
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		700000594	-	17,955	17,955
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000795	30,317	-	30,317
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000869	-	12,728	12,728
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000903	-	8,210	8,210
Pass-Through from Baylor Research Institute		PO#7000000827	-	63,355	63,355
Pass-Through from Colorado State University Pass-Through from Colorado State University		G-00066-3 NNX15AK13G	-	247	247
Pass-Through from Georgetown University		GR410927 1 -	137,317	304,415	304,415 137,317
Pass-Through from Georgetown University		GR410945 3	158,243	_	158,243
Pass-Through from Georgetown University		410929-GR410924-UTSMC	100,240	277	277
Pass-Through from Georgetown University		410930	9.944	-	9,944
Pass-Through from National Space Grant Foundation		XHAB 2020-03	3,344	7,997	7,997
Pass-Through from University of California - Irvine		NNX15A122G	_	9,526	9,526
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		103876250/NNX17AB12G	-	19,957	19,957
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		104025438/NNX16AG03G	-	26,308	26,308
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		80NSSC19K0301	-	5,113	5,113
Pass-Through from University of Washington		NNX16AE78G	8,102		8,102
Total - CFDA 43.003			580,818	3,815,812	4,396,630
Space Operations	43.007		70,941	471,500	542,441
Pass-Through from Florida State University		R01886	-	7,977	7,977
		IN4382128TAM			
Pass-Through from Indiana University		PO1829525	-	2,757	2,757
Pass-Through from Wyle Integrated Science and Engineering Group		T73063	-	3,519	3,519
Total - CFDA 43.007			70,941	485,753	556,694
Education	43.008		458,572	4,925,703	5,384,275
Pass-Through from Langston University		NNX15AP43A	-	61,198	61,198
Pass-Through from Metrolaser, Inc.		01NA06	-	120	120
Pass-Through from National Institute of Aerospace Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Research Foundation,		C16-2B00-TAMU	-	135,267	135,267
Inc Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Research Foundation,		TAMU-HU-1	-	52,585	52,585
Inc Pass-Through from Wex Foundation		TAMU-OE-1	-	67,989	67,989
· ·		NNX16AM33G-WEX01	-	44,739	44,739
Total - CFDA 43.008			458,572	5,287,601	5,746,173
Cross Agency Support  Pass-Through from Mesa Photonics, LLC	43.009	NNX15CA09C	<u> </u>	486,356 (5,000)	486,356 (5,000)
Total - CFDA 43.009		<del>-</del>	-	481,356	481,356
Space Technology	43.012		_	672,494	672,494
Total - National Aeronautics and Space Administration	.0.012	-	4,695,974	•	
rotai - mational Aeronautics and Space Administration	(7	2)	4,095,974	38,439,743	43,135,717

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) National Endowment For The Humanities					
Promotion of the Arts Grants to Organizations and Individuals	45.024		21,024	56,988	78,012
Promotion of the Humanities Federal/State Partnership Pass-Through from Humanities Texas Pass-Through from Humanities Texas Pass-Through from Humanities Texas	45.129	2018-5468 2018-5489 2019-5562	- - -	273 3,345 1,145	273 3,345 1,145
Total - CFDA 45.129			-	4,763	4,763
Promotion of the Humanities Division of Preservation and Access	45.149		1,715	117,521	119,236
Promotion of the Humanities Fellowships and Stipends Pass-Through from American Antiquarian Society	45.160	AESNEH201920	<u>-</u>	153,637 16,263	153,637 16,263
Total - CFDA 45.160			-	169,900	169,900
Promotion of the Humanities Research	45.161	UTA16-001144;	-	31,208	31,208
Pass-Through from George Mason University		PO#E2040021		8,919	8,919
Total - CFDA 45.161			-	40,127	40,127
Promotion of the Humanities Teaching and Learning Resources and Curriculum Development	45.162		-	60,475	60,475
Promotion of the Humanities Professional Development	45.163		1,032	85,662	86,694
Promotion of the Humanities Office of Digital Humanities	45.169		-	61,056	61,056
Grants to States	45.310		-	33,761	33,761
National Leadership Grants Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute	45.312	451415-19C28	22,840	227,696 6,500	250,536 6,500
Total - CFDA 45.312			22,840	234,196	257,036
Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian Program Pass-Through from PRATT Institute	45.313	0051077(411962-1)	63,712	253,784 4,348	317,496 4,348
Total - CFDA 45.313			63,712	258,132	321,844
Total - National Endowment For The Humanities			110,323	1,122,581	1,232,904
National Science Foundation					
National Science Foundation	47.XXX	CMMI-1756084 CNS-1853375 DEB-1937859 DRL-1851654 IIS-1759537 IIS-1921508 M1902576 1543301 1826585		64,622 189,469 32,824 105,940 17,395 53,592 25,241 83,830 84,376	64,622 189,469 32,824 105,940 17,395 53,592 25,241 83,830 84,376
Pass-Through from Columbia University  Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology Pass-Through from Louisiana Multi - functional Materials Group, LLC Pass-Through from Max-IR Labs, LLC Pass-Through from Missouri Botanical Garden Pass-Through from New York Botanical Garden Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Suny Pass-Through from University of Washington  Total - CFDA 47.XXX		1820303 26 (G6009393-01) RG958-G1; PO# 3640410694 1711369 1745730 NSF08115UOT 1802305-02-UT 76749/1136652/2/TIER BP037900 - 75-0367	64,806	52,260 4,481 1,554 14,496 7,361 826,716 2,433	52,260 4,481 1,554 14,496 7,361 891,522 2,433

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
National Science Foundation (Continued)					
Engineering	47.041		4,948,165	43,823,763	48,771,928
Pass-Through from Apollo AI INC		M1900891	-	23,635	23,635
Pass-Through from Apptronik, Inc.		UTA18-000211	-	21,123	21,123
Pass-Through from Aries Materials, Inc.		1853034	-	4,569	4,569
Pass-Through from Arizona State University Pass-Through from Association of Public and Land-grant Universities		A 00000108 M1801231	-	121,102 1	121,102
Pass-Through from BaoNano, LLC		1820098-01	-	84,772	84,772
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		0207631	-	224,594	224,594
Pass-Through from Carnegie Mellon University		1123333-407873	-	11,715	11,715
Pass-Through from Colorado School of Mines		4010308-5803	-	45,062	45,062
Pass-Through from Colorado State University		G-00973-15	=	35,581	35,581
Pass-Through from CorInnova, Inc.		M1901617-8	-	66,910	66,910
Pass-Through from ENANTIOSENSE LLC		UTA18-001517	-	1,781	1,781
Pass-Through from Florida International University		80001033-01UG	-	3,839	3,839
Pass-Through from Framergy, Inc. Pass-Through from FAS Holdings Group, LLC		M1700969 2017-0087	-	15,759	15,759
Pass-Through from Hydronalix, Inc.		M1703227	-	3,453 602	3,453 602
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		CBET1842670	-	35,719	35,719
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University		96227-0000001694	-	(35)	(35)
Pass-Through from Macromoltek, LLC		UTA16-001222	-	91,564	91,564
Pass-Through from Medical Innovators Company, LLC		NSF # 1746170	-	33,658	33,658
Pass-Through from Morgan State University		NSF087-454-013	-	176,548	176,548
Pass-Through from Neurological Rehabilitation Virtual Reality LLC		1843880	-	8,035	8,035
Pass-Through from Neurological Rehabilitation Virtual Reality LLC		2018-748	-	32,422	32,422
Pass-Through from North Carolina State University		2012-1067-11	-	5,297	5,297
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60046373 PO	-	2,318	2,318
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60066342	-	93,548	93,548
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		1-565747-TAMU 5830-TAMU-NSF-6990	-	89,784 101,211	89,784 101,211
Pass-Through from Purdue University		10001034-007	6,440	501,333	507,773
Pass-Through from Purdue University		10001437-046	-	314,293	314,293
Pass-Through from Purdue University		4101-76209	-	19,618	19,618
Pass-Through from Purdue University		4101-76825	-	121,698	121,698
		4104-83480; LOA #001			
Pass-Through from Purdue University		FREEMAN T1 P2	-	209,154	209,154
		4104-83480; LOA #003			
Pass-Through from Purdue University		ALLEN T4 P5	-	88,572	88,572
Dane Thomas have Donahar University		4104-83480; LOA #004		04.000	04.000
Pass-Through from Purdue University		STADTHERR T4 P3	-	81,802	81,802
Pass-Through from Purdue University		4104-83480; LOA #005 ALLEN		31.179	21 170
Pass-Through from PC Krause and Associates, Inc.		NSF-1831805-UT001	-	14,361	31,179 14,361
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Suny		1920468	-	79,931	79,931
Pass-Through from Saber Corporation		M1803239	-	38,705	38,705
Pass-Through from Sciperio, Inc.		01090-UTEP	-	41,716	41,716
Pass-Through from SkywriterRX		1549549	-	(1)	(1)
Pass-Through from Stevens Institute of Technology		2102880-01	-	85,417	85,417
Pass-Through from Thermal Expansion Solutions, LLC		M1701194	-	19,231	19,231
Pass-Through from Tufts University		SF0035/ PO EP0180908	-	11,748	11,748
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		000512048-001	-	39,583	39,583
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		00008204/BB00188148 1160504	-	110,935 111,656	110,935 111,656
Pass-Through from University of California - Elos Arigeres  Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside		CBET-1144237 01	-	(1,219)	(1,219)
Pass-Through from University of California - Naverside  Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Cruz		1925524	-	10,294	10,294
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Boulder		1556901 LOA	-	93,317	93,317
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		1540030	-	33,944	33,944
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Amherst		19-010647	-	1,404	1,404
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		A0005262201	-	122,015	122,015
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		A007228701	-	26,948	26,948
Pass-Through from University of Notre Dame		1917025	-	11,776	11,776
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		570440;PO#3876507	-	49,844	49,844
Pass-Through from University of South Alabama		17-0073-01	-	8,398	8,398
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		813K245 BP1 4000165949	-	3,798 30,695	3,798 30,695
Pass-Through from Vidatronic, Inc.		M1801654	-	30,695 91	30,695 91
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University		479861-19911	-	11,006	11,006
Pass-Through from Virginia Tech University		1854898	-	39,357	39,357
,				,	
Total - CFDA 47.041			4,954,605	47,520,929	52,475,534

Objects News (Exclusive Operator (Brownson News (Brownson Facility	CEDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to		Total
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) National Science Foundation (Continued)					
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	47.049		928,316	36,355,908	37,284,224
Pass-Through from American Physical Society		PT-016-2016	-	(147)	(147)
Pass-Through from Associated Universities, Inc.		361844	-	32,694	32,694
Pass-Through from Barnard College		UH-1565843	-	63,373	63,373
Pass-Through from Baylor University		32250179-01	-	45,795	45,795
Pass-Through from California Institute of Technology		S392338	-	50,996	50,996
Pass-Through from City University of New York		1309640	-	186	186
Pass-Through from Cornell University		78877-10901	-	76,318	76,318
Pass-Through from Houston Community College System		DRM 1460564	-	2,700	2,700
Pass-Through from Houston Community College System		3550	-	4,193	4,193
Pass-Through from Idaho State University		16-0088 2003795009	-	36,217	36,217
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University Pass-Through from National Radio Astronomy Observatory		359369	-	32,254	32,254
Pass-Through from Notre Dame University - Erasmus Institute		1806631	-	(1,534) 1,500	(1,534) 1,500
Pass-Through from Occidental College		OXY-CURM0011	_	5,250	5,250
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60047148	_	(83)	(83)
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60066812	_	103,648	103,648
Pass-Through from Oklahoma State University		1-573709	-	5,439	5,439
Pass-Through from Oregon State University		S2014A-A	-	14,152	14,152
Pass-Through from Oregon State University		1606982	_	15,375	15,375
,		76749/1136652/2R&D			
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Stony Brook		PO#: R1094066	-	141,323	141,323
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Suny		76749/1136652/2R&D	-	151,316	151,316
Pass-Through from Southern Methodist University		1653474/G001632-7500	18,654	-	18,654
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley		00008791 BB00582889	-	72,335	72,335
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5113826	-	2,352	2,352
Pass-Through from University of Northern Iowa		S6252A	-	22,792	22,792
Pass-Through from University of South Carolina		17-3168	-	14,391	14,391
Pass-Through from University of South Carolina		19-3696; PO#2000041966	-	45,327	45,327
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee		183405392 WESU5011003130 05	-	32,469	32,469
Pass-Through from Wesleyan University		(W/EXT)	-	76,313	76,313
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		CHE1743392	-	69,005	69,005
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		PHY-1605817 R3F204	-	143,157	143,157
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R3F80B	-	309,706 403,170	309,706 403,170
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R3F992	-	191,439	191,439
Total - CFDA 47.049			946,970	38,519,329	39,466,299
Geosciences	47.050		866,941	17,865,472	18,732,413
Pass-Through from Austin Community College		BPO12987; BPO12988	-	708	708
Pass-Through from College of Charleston		521314-TT	-	4,158	4,158
Pass-Through from Columbia University		COLUM-0000137120 10(GG009393) AUSTIN	-	8,570	8,570
Pass-Through from Columbia University		(LOA #13 AUSTIN)		36,996	36,996
r ass-miough nom columbia oniversity		10(GG009393)	-	30,990	30,990
Pass-Through from Columbia University		FULTHORPE (LOA#22) 10(GG009393) LOA# 12	-	3,269	3,269
Pass-Through from Columbia University		SNEDDEN 10(GG009393) LOA#10	-	16,884	16,884
Pass-Through from Columbia University		FULTHORPE 10(GG009393) LOA#23	-	18	18
Pass-Through from Columbia University		(SNEDDEN) 10(GG009393) LOA#7	-	13,033	13,033
Pass-Through from Columbia University		GULICK 10(GG009393)LOA#7	-	58,787	58,787
Pass-Through from Columbia University		GULICK	-	29,122	29,122
Pass-Through from Columbia University		2(GG013106-02)	-	63,082	63,082
Pass-Through from Columbia University		26 (GG009393)	-	76,345	76,345
Pass-Through from Columbia University		26 (GG009393) 15	-	11,271	11,271
Pass-Through from Columbia University		26 (GG009393) 18	-	13,985	13,985
Pass-Through from Columbia University		26 (GG009393) 19	-	74,382	74,382
Pass-Through from Columbia University		26 (GG009393-01)	-	8,648	8,648
Pass-Through from Columbia University		72(GG009393)	-	1,395	1,395
Pass-Through from Columbia University		72C(GG009393)	-	8,983	8,983
Pass-Through from Columbia University		81(GG009393)	-	26,224	26,224
Pass-Through from Duke University	/7	14-NSF-1030	-	54,857	54,857

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
oluster Name/rederal Grantof/r Togram Name/ Eass-unough Entity	OIDA	Other lacitarying No.	Littles	Experiorures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) National Science Foundation (Continued)					
(1.1.1)					
Dear Thomash form Duly Hairmanite		14-NSF-1030 LOA #1		44.000	44.000
Pass-Through from Duke University Pass-Through from Duke University		LATRUBESSE 333-2448	-	11,989	11,989
Fass-Tillough Holli Duke Offiversity		17056-NSF-UTXA-01;	-	7,368	7,368
Pass-Through from Florida Gulf Coast University Board of Trustees		P0077108	-	27,118	27,118
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		SP00011816-03	-	454	454
Pass-Through from Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology		SU-19-1001-10-UTEP	-	299,957	299,957
Pass-Through from Morgan State University		UTA-2065-01	-	57,427	57,427
Pass-Through from NorthWest Research Associates, Inc.		NWRA-15-S-182	-	18,254	18,254
Pass-Through from NorthWest Research Associates, Inc.		NWRA-18-S-199	-	11,769	11,769
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60064032; PO RF01510377	_	29,869	29,869
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		5381-UTEP-NSF-2211	-	13,578	13,578
Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		K99068MEC	-	6,793	6,793
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		102253593	-	10,991	10,991
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		117314993	-	62,600	62,600
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		117315797	-	5,883	5,883
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		93308338	-	21,785	21,785
Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Cruz		A180296S003-P0668820	-	83,689	83,689
Pass-Through from University of Georgia Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		RR100-621/4943786 077659-16220	-	37,303	37,303
Pass-Through from University of Mansas		2017-061	-	367,308 22,534	367,308 22,534
Pass-Through from University of Maryland		39745-Z4761001	-	196,518	196,518
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		A003176718	-	18,210	18,210
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		91256400	-	23,376	23,376
,		UWSC8200; BPO10859;		-,-	-,-
Pass-Through from University of Washington		PO 764877	-	169,626	169,626
Pass-Through from University Corporation for Atmospheric Research		W1416198	-	201,072	201,072
Pass-Through from University Corporation for Atmospheric Research		Z16-21926	-	3,096	3,096
Pass-Through from University Corporation for Atmospheric Research		000704	-	1,082	1,082
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R3E515	-	42,231	42,231
Pass-Through from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Pass-Through from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution		A101275 A101285	-	5,999 (3,617)	5,999 (3,617)
		7,101200	<u> </u>		
Total - CFDA 47.050			866,941	20,130,451	20,997,392
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	47.070		1,496,135	39,764,161	41,260,296
Pass-Through from California State University		1626586	-	23,138	23,138
		BL-4812517-UTA; PO			
Pass-Through from Harvard University		#1872855	-	260,522	260,522
Pass-Through from Indiana University Pass-Through from Iowa State University		ENG-6396 PO 1878585 1513263	-	52,136 9,223	52,136 9,223
Pass-Through from Iowa State University		1518897	_	48,225	48,225
Pass-Through from New Mexico State University		Q02023	-	46,123	46,123
Pass-Through from New York University		F4365-01 PO IB00001240	-	88,389	88,389
Pass-Through from North Dakota State University		FAR0027268	-	119	119
Pass-Through from Nova Southeastern University		331417	-	52,191	52,191
Pass-Through from Purdue University		10000686-026	-	238,746	238,746
Pass-Through from Syracuse University		28175-04140-S01	-	11,096	11,096
Pass-Through from The Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia		GA11196 153075	-	51,707	51,707
Pass-Through from University of Buffalo Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		R965416 3 (W/EXT) 77844080; PO# S9001481	-	157,893 837,349	157,893 837,349
Pass-Through from University of California - Sant Diego Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Cruz		1929410	-	34,566	34,566
Pass-Through from University of Colorado		1557199; PO 1001096786	-	48,933	48,933
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		078343-15669 (2015- 05845-05)	_	84,595	84,595
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		083842-16259	-	3,201,170	3,201,170
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		076162-16518	-	136	136
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		086975-16499	-	136,979	136,979
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		2014-03629-01	-	21,582	21,582
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3002960285	-	1,082	1,082
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0061826 (012257-1)	-	84,844	84,844
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC8901 BPO13326	-	457	457
Pass-Through from US Ignite, Inc.		1531046	-	6,606	6,606
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University		UNIV59448 479648-19C41	-	47,380 3.324	47,380 3.324
r ass-rinough nom viiginia roiyteonino institute and state oniversity		77 3070-13041		3,324	3,324
Total - CFDA 47.070	(7	6)	1,496,135	45,312,672	46,808,807

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
Oldster Numer Caerar Granton Togram Numer Euss-Enough Enaty	0. 5/1	Caron racharying rec.	Littinos	Experientares	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) National Science Foundation (Continued)					
Biological Sciences	47.074		1,600,570	18,922,603	20,523,173
Pass-Through from Arizona State University		14-343	-	2,243	2,243
Pass-Through from Duke University		1856726	-	1,553	1,553
Pass-Through from Essentium Materials, LLC		M1602882	-	(594)	(594)
Pass-Through from Iowa State University		420-71-61A	-	218,395	218,395
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC107432C	-	55,743	55,743
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		61-2075UT 013 (W/ EXT)	-	196,209	196,209
Pass-Through from Montana State University Pass-Through from Ohio State University		G166-18-W6822 60065337	=	33,086	33,086
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		000519741-002	-	120,866 7,509	120,866 7,509
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		131816	-	567,411	567,411
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		464882	_	387,204	387,204
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		0521 G RA115	-	122,401	122,401
Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside		S-000996	-	33,546	33,546
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Boulder		1556975 LOA ZEMELMAN	-	7,442	7,442
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Boulder		1556975; PO#1001077213	-	31,217	31,217
Pass-Through from University of Dayton Research Institute		NSF 14-503	-	11,019	11,019
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		00001564	-	27,259	27,259
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		15998	-	2,895	2,895
		K00008578; PO			
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3005143864	-	93,843	93,843
Pass-Through from University of Missouri - Columbia		C00065506-1	-	10,980	10,980
Pass-Through from University of New Mexico		0480P3-87BT 950073624	-	56,015	56,015
Pass-Through from University of Tennessee Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC10648	-	24,429 373	24,429 373
Pass-Through from West Virginia University Research		DBI-1747788	-	6,784	6,784
Total - CFDA 47.074			1,600,570	20,940,431	22,541,001
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	47.075		559,477	6,147,487	6,706,964
Pass-Through from American Bar Foundation	47.075	M1600593	559,477	8,168	8,168
Pass-Through from Association of American Geographers		F7118	_	10,045	10,045
Pass-Through from Boston University		4500002976	-	15,923	15,923
Pass-Through from George Washington University Medical Center		1542848	-	113,998	113,998
Pass-Through from Human Relations Area Files, Inc.		SA CO14-19 UTH CRE	-	42	42
Pass-Through from Illinois Institute of Technology		A17-0039-S001	-	9,443	9,443
Pass-Through from Iowa State University		420-71-26A	-	4,743	4,743
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC104050TAMU	-	24,700	24,700
Pass-Through from Rochester Institute of Technology		31597-01	-	5,673	5,673
Pass-Through from San Diego State University Research Foundation		1826839 364486	-	17,551	17,551
Pass-Through from University of Arizona Pass-Through from University of Connecticut		352486	-	35,863 30,371	35,863 30,371
Pass-Through from University of Kentucky		1560907	_	2,350	2,350
Tasa Tinaagi Tan anivarany a Transasiy		K00009359; PO#		2,000	2,550
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3005255716	-	109,115	109,115
Pass-Through from Utah State University		200541-00001-296	-	31,210	31,210
Total - CFDA 47.075			559,477	6,566,682	7,126,159
Education and Human Resources	47.076		1,058,560	35,676,880	36,735,440
Pass-Through from Amarillo College		A16-0864	-	12,000	12,000
Pass-Through from American Association for the Advancement of		0040 000			
Science		2018-382	-	6,170	6,170
Pass-Through from American Educational Research Association Pass-Through from American Physical Society		SAW-HOW STEM LEARNI BP-009-2017	-	8,522 973	8,522 973
Pass-Through from American Physical Society		RFP2017	-	(978)	(978)
Pass-Through from Arizona State University		A 00000133	_	10,827	10,827
Pass-Through from Collin College		216007-UNT	_	30,662	30,662
Pass-Through from Consortium for Ocean Leadership		SA16-38	_	10,679	10,679
Pass-Through from Council of Graduate Schools		M1800703	-	5,947	5,947
Pass-Through from Council of Graduate Schools		UTA18-000223	-	3,689	3,689
Pass-Through from Del Mar College		#1	-	20,534	20,534
Pass-Through from Embry - Riddle Aeronautical University		63019 03	-	32,920	32,920
Pass-Through from Franklin County Historical Society		NSF DRL 1612555	-	11,715	11,715
Pass-Through from Iowa State University		4207263B	-	52,940	52,940
Pass-Through from Mathematical Association of America		3-8-710-89	-	36,963	36,963

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) National Science Foundation (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Mathematical Association of America		3-8-710-891	-	106,196	106,196
Pass-Through from New Mexico State University		Q01635	-	57,678	57,678
Pass-Through from Northern Arizona University Board of Regents		1003968-02	-	19,459	19,459
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		DRL-1761012	-	3,215	3,215
Pass-Through from Rutgers University Pass-Through from San Francisco State University		954422 S18-0004	-	43,513	43,513
Pass-Through from Technical Education Research Center		#44494	-	23,424 16,863	23,424 16,863
Pass-Through from Technical Education Research Center		12745	-	(822)	(822)
Pass-Through from Technical Education Research Center		44484	_	56,275	56,275
Pass-Through from The Concord Consortium, Inc.		256 19 01	-	96,009	96,009
Pass-Through from Tufts University		SF0004; PO EP0178309	=	74,144	74,144
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		M1900472	-	4,468	4,468
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		086295-17280	-	40,696	40,696
		14-007854 A; PO#:			
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Amherst		A000660474	-	18,359	18,359
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts Dartmouth Pass-Through from University of Michigan		25423 02 (NCE) 3004852666	-	41,441	41,441
Pass-Through from University of Missouri - Columbia		C00056306-3	-	7,239 (1,127)	7,239 (1,127)
Pass-Through from University of Missouri - Columbia		C00056306-4	-	1,158	1,158
Pass-Through from University of Oregon		2001X0A	_	47,457	47,457
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin		2016-4001	_	29,975	29,975
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin System		490K803	-	124	124
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University		UNIV 59927	-	135,105	135,105
Pass-Through from Washington State University		133380-G003980	-	94,819	94,819
Pass-Through from Western Michigan University		8749-01	-	16,239	16,239
Total - CFDA 47.076			1,058,560	36,852,350	37,910,910
Polar Programs	47.078		14,279	134,560	148,839
Pass-Through from CH2M Hill Constructors, Inc.		148002633	-	307,301	307,301
Total - CFDA 47.078			14,279	441,861	456,140
Office of International Science and Engineering	47.079		219,082	962,428	1,181,510
Pass-Through from CRDF Global		54-17773406	-	97,449	97,449
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S18081	-	50,894	50,894
Pass-Through from Old Dominion University Research Foundation		HRD-1308080 A17-0170-S002	-	8,409	8,409
Pass-Through from University of South Alabama Pass-Through from University of South Dakota		UP1700296-TAMU1	-	112,662 83,281	112,662 83,281
·			•		
Pass-Through from US Civilian Research and Development Foundation		OISE-16-62795-0		7,651	7,651
Total - CFDA 47.079	47.000		219,082	1,322,774	1,541,856
Office of Cyberinfrastructure	47.080		-	(2,991)	(2,991)
Integrative Activities	47.083	0000 BO404045	-	141,460	141,460
Pass-Through from Boise State University Pass-Through from University of Rochester		6800-PO124345 416752-G	-	61,195	61,195
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		87385501	-	74,044 30,670	74,044 30,670
Total - CFDA 47.083			-	307,369	307,369
Total - National Science Foundation			11,781,425	219,478,438	231,259,863
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs					
LLC Department of Veterana Affairs	043000	A CL II A D /I D A A /I I N I		50 700	50 700
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs	b4.XXX	AGUIAR/IPAA/LIN	-	50,720	50,720
		AHUJA/IPAA/CARRILLO AHUJA/IPAA/GARCIA-	-	1,133	1,133
		SMITH	_	5,623	5,623
		AHUJA/IPAA/HE	-	40,457	40,457
		AHUJA/IPAA/MA HARAN	-	97,924	97,924
		AHUJA/IPAA/PANDRANKI	-	64,953	64,953
		AHUJA/IPAA/ROGERS	-	10,022	10,022
		ASSIGNMENT - SITTIG	-	2,857	2,857
		BAIG/IPAA/HERNANDEZ	-	12,837	12,837

		Federal/Pass-through	Pass-through to		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Entity Other Identifying No.	Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (Continued)	OI DA	outer identifying No.	Littles	Expenditures	Total
		CADENA			
		ZULUAGA/IPAA/HIBNE	-	9,276	9,276
		CHEN/IPAA/DAI	-	76,888	76,888
		CHEN/IPAA/DEAN	-	4,354	4,354
		CHEN/IPAA/ZHONG	-	46,934	46,934
		CLARK/IPAA/CHANDU CLARK/IPAA/HERNANDE	-	56,604 35,339	56,604 35,339
		CLARK/IPAA/SHEN	-	2,240	2,240
		ESPI ZA/IPAA/KELLY	-	61,749	61,749
		ESPI ZA/IPAA/MORIS	-	65,799	65,799
		FINLEY/IPAA/ EL	-	23,541	23,541
		FOX/IPAA/FRANKLIN FOX/IPAA/LANCASTER	-	(3) (17)	(3) (17)
		FOX/IPAA/WOOLSEY	-	(3)	(3)
		FRAZER/IPAA/CARRE	-	17,569	17,569
		FRAZER/IPAA/GIROTTI	-	24,650	24,650
		FRAZER/IPAA/LIU	-	58,685	58,685
		FRAZER/IPAA/STEPHEN FREEMAN/IPAA/ZHAO	-	28,357 88,949	28,357 88,949
		GALVAN/IPAA/BANH	-	20,050	20,050
		GALVAN/IPAA/DEROSA	-	9,765	9,765
		GHOSH-CHOUD/IPAA/MAI	-	15,627	15,627
		GHOSH- CHOUDHURY/IPAA/MAIT		40 004	49 901
		GHOSH/IPAA/DAS	-	48,891 64,572	48,891 64,572
		HART/IPAA/CAO	-	6,210	6,210
		HART/IPAA/CLARK	-	6,109	6,109
		HART/IPAA/HOLLOWAY	-	6,167	6,167
		HUSSONG/IPAA/DEROSA IPAA/PETERSON/PRUIKS	-	14,653	14,653
		MA	-	53,487	53,487
		IPAA/SHEN/CLARK	-	6,722	6,722
		JARAMILLO/IPAA/ OLIN	-	44,770	44,770
		JARAMILLO/IPAA/COLE	-	20,821	20,821
		JARAMILLO/IPAA/REUSCH JARAMILLO/IPAA/RODRI	-	7,722	7,722
		GUEZ	-	59,821	59,821
		JARAMILLO/IPAA/VALDE			
		RRAMA	-	6,813	6,813
		KASINATH/IPAA/LEE KELLOGG/IPAA/WU	-	64,312 40,009	64,312 40,009
		KUMAR/IPAA/BE LLA	-	23,192	23,192
		KUMAR/IPAA/RIVAS	-	34,981	34,981
		KUMAR/IPAA/YANG	-	20,001	20,001
		LEYKUM/IPAA/ EL LEYKUM/IPAA/COKER	-	22,154	22,154
		LEYKUM/IPAA/DELGA	-	4,659 18,257	4,659 18,257
		LEYKUM/IPAA/PEACOCK	-	7,315	7,315
		LI/IPAA/BHATTACHARJE	-	36,297	36,297
		LI/IPAA/CHEN	-	39,227	39,227
		LI/IPAA/GE MCGEARY/IPAA/MEN ZA	-	18,493 54,504	18,493 54,504
		MORILAK/IPAA/GEORGE	-	9,859	9,859
		MORILAK/IPAA/GIROTTI	-	27,816	27,816
		MORILAK/IPAA/MCCART			
		NEY MORILAK/IPAA/RIGODANZ	<del>-</del>	25,100 39,162	25,100 39,162
		MUSI/IPAA/ORSAK	-	4,126	4,126
		M1702450 PO#674D85019	-	16,171	16,171
		M1702474	-	14,530	14,530
		M1702993	-	7,576	7,576
		M1703455 M1800305	-	8,879 3,836	8,879
		M1803383	-	3,836 93,651	3,836 93,651
		M1902432	-	11,252	11,252
		M1902433	-	18,167	18,167
		O CON R/IPAA/COELHO	-	30,072	30,072
		R/IPAA/GARRISON	-	25,066	25,066

CIPPE   Company   Compan			_	Pass-through to		
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)  U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (Continued)  O CON RIPAAMITHAWAI 23,156 23,156 O CON RIPAAMITHAWAI 26,656 PRETERSONAPAI 8,657 9,857 PRETERSONAPAI 8,657 9,857 PRETERSONAPAI 8,657 9,857 PRETERSONAPAI 8,657 9,857 PRETERSONAPAI 8,658 PRETER	Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	-		Expenditures	Total
O CON RIPMAPOPETER   25,666   26,666   PETERSONIPAA   NAAVULLE   8,867   8,857   14,731   41,731	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
O CON REPARAPORTER PETERSONIPAA NOANVILLE PETERSONIPA NOANVILLE PETERSONIPA NOANVILLE PETERSONIPA PETERSONIPA PETERSONIPA PETERSONIPA PETERSONIPA BURNOR PETERSONIPA CARRIELLA CARRIELA CA			O CON DUDA A MATURANA		00.450	00.450
NOAWILLE			O CON R/IPAA/PORTER	-		
PETERSONIPAANABUN				_	8,857	8,857
T CHERROMIPAN BRACKINS BRACKINS BRACKINS FETERGOMIPAN FETERGOMIPAN FORLILIOTT BRACKINS BRACKINS FORLILIOTT BRACKINS BRAC			PETERSON/IPAA/AGUILAF	-		
PETERSONIPAA/ BRACKINS 5.279 5.279 PETERSONIPAA  BRACKINS 5.4,858 54,858  PETERSONIPAA  BRITTOT 5.4,858 54,858  PETERSONIPAA  PETERSONIPAA  BRITTOT 6.5,830 54,858  PETERSONIPAACARE 8.300 8.300  PETERSONIPAACARE 4.1,834 41,834  PETERSONIPAACARE 5.1,044 1.0,44  PETERSONIPAACARE 7.1,111 27,111  PETERSONIPAACARE 7.1,111 27,111  PETERSONIPAACARE 7.1,111 27,111  PETERSONIPAACURLLA 7.2,111 27,111  PETERSONIPAACHILA 7.2,111 27,111  PETERSONIPAACH			ENSHIP	-	5,861	5,861
BRACKINS - 5,779 5,779 PETERSONIPAA BRILLIOTT - 5,4,856 PETERSONIPAA PETERSONIPAA PETERSONIPAA PETERSONIPAA PETERSONIPAA PETERSONIPAAARUEL - 8,300 8,300 PETERSONIPAAARUEL - 1,044 PETERSONIPAAARUEL - 2,111 PETERSONIPAAARUEL - 2,111 PETERSONIPAAARUEL - 2,111 PETERSONIPAAARUEL - 2,111 PETERSONIPAAARUAN - 3,812 PETERSONIPAAARUAN - 3,823 63,823 PETERSONIPAAARUAN - 3,823 63,823 PETERSONIPAAARUAN - 3,843 63,823 PETERSONIPAAARUAN - 3,843 63,823 PETERSONIPAAARUAN - 3,843 63,823 PETERSONIPAAARUL - 3,268 32,838 83,823 PETERSONIPAAARUL - 3,268 32,843 83,823 PETERSONIPAAARUL - 3,268 32,838 83,823				-	66,186	66,186
ABRILLIOTT			BRACKINS	-	5,279	5,279
BRUNDIGE			/BRILLIOTT	-	54,858	54,858
PETERSONIPAANUEL   8.300				_	4 625	4 625
PETERSONIPAM CARRIZALES PETERSONIPAM CARRIZALES PETERSONIPAM CHERRINGTON CHERRINGTON PETERSONIPAM COMPTON PETERSONIPAM COMPTON PETERSONIPAM COMPTON PETERSONIPAM COMPTON PETERSONIPAM PETERSONIPAM PETERSONIPAM SETERSONIPAM SETER				-		
CARRIZALES - 1,044 1,044 PETERSONIPAW CHERRINGTON - 27,111 27,111 CHERRINGTON - 15,862 15,862 PETERSONIPAW COMPTON - 15,862 15,862 PETERSONIPAWCUELLA - 2,919 2,919 PETERSONIPAMCUELLA - 2,919 2,919 PETERSONIPAMCUELLA - 12,936 12,366 PETERSONIPAMENAN - 12,366 12,366 S - 47,299 47,299 PETERSONIPAMGELFON - 27,655 27,655 PETERSONIPAM - 12,366 12,366 PETERSONIPAMAGELFON - 27,655 27,655 PETERSONIPAM - 12,366 12,366 PETERSONIPAMAHALL - 34,326 34,326 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 5,977 5,397 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 5,977 5,397 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 5,977 5,397 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 5,978 5,988 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 5,978 5,988 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 5,986 5,988 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 26,459 64,459 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 26,459 64,459 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 3,986 64,380 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 3,986 64,380 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 4,950 64,380 PETERSONIPAMAHARGIT - 5,968 69,761 PETERS			PETERSON/IPAA/CARRE	-		
PETERSONIPAA/ COMPTON 15,862 15,862 PETERSONIPAA/EVANS 63,823 63,823 PETERSONIPAA/EVANS 63,823 63,823 PETERSONIPAA/EVANS 12,360 12,360 S 47,299 47,299 PETERSONIPAA/EINA 11,360 12,360 S 47,299 PETERSONIPAA/EINA 11,360 12,360 S 70,652 27,655 PETERSONIPAA/EINA 27,655 27,655 PETERSONIPAA/EINA 27,655 27,655 PETERSONIPAA/EINA 27,655 27,655 PETERSONIPAA/EINA 27,655 27,655 PETERSONIPAA/AILL 34,326 34,326 PETERSONIPAA/HALL 34,326 36,819 36,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,307 5,307 L ERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,307 5,307 L ERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,307 5,307 L ERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,307 5,307 L ERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,307 5,307 L PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,307 5,307 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,318 5,518 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,318 5,318 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,328 13,258 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,329 5,331 5,331 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,330 5,331 5,331 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 5,330 5,331 6,331 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT 7,2798 27,388 PETE				-	1,044	1,044
PETERSONIPAACUELLA - 2,919 2,919 PETERSONIPAACEVAINS - 63,823 63,823 PETERSONIPAACEVAINS - 12,860 12,360 S - 47,299 47,299 PETERSONIPAACELEFON - 27,655 27,555 PETERSONIPAACELEFON - 27,655 27,555 PETERSONIPAACELEFON - 27,655 27,555 PETERSONIPAACELEFON - 27,655 27,555 PETERSONIPAACELEFON - 26,483 26,483 PETERSONIPAACHALL - 34,326 34,326 PETERSONIPAACHALL - 34,326 34,326 PETERSONIPAACHALL - 34,326 34,326 PETERSONIPAACHALL - 36,307 70,692 PETERSONIPAACHALL - 36,307 5,307 N - 36,819 36,819 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 9,464 9,946 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 9,464 9,946 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 9,946 9,946 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,753 19,753 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,753 19,753 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,753 19,753 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,753 19,753 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 26,579 26,579 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 26,579 26,579 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 26,579 26,579 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 26,579 26,579 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 26,445 26,445 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 9,414 9,414 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 13,258 13,258 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 9,414 9,414 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,648 26,445 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,684 26,445 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,684 26,445 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,684 27,686 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,684 19,684 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,535 19,536 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,584 19,684 PETERSONIPAACHAR - 19,684 19,684 PETERSONIPAACHA			CHERRINGTON	-	27,111	27,111
PETERSONIPAA/EVANS			COMPTON	-	15,862	15,862
PETERSONIPAA/FINA 12,380 17,299 47,299				-		
S - 47.299 47.299 PETERSONIPAA/GELFON - 27.655 27.655 PETERSONIPAA/GELFON - 27.655 27.655 PETERSONIPAA/GELFON - 27.655 27.655 PETERSONIPAA/GELFON - 26.483 26.483 GONZALEZ - 26.483 26.483 PETERSONIPAA/HALL - 34.326 34.326 PETERSONIPAA/HALL - 34.326 34.326 PETERSONIPAA/HALL - 70.692 70.692 PETERSONIPAA/HALL - 70.692 70.692 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT - 5.307 5.307 L - (943) (943) PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT - 5.307 5.307 L - (943) (943) PETERSONIPAA/KAYA - 9.946 9.946 PETERSONIPAA/KOCH - 62.460 62.460 PETERSONIPAA/KOCH - 62.460 62.460 PETERSONIPAA/LARA- RUIZ - 19.753 19.753 PETERSONIPAA/MEDELL - 26.479 26.579 PETERSONIPAA/MEDELL - 26.445 26.445 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP - 15.350 15.350 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP - 15.350 15.350 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP - 15.350 15.350 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP - 15.350 15.350 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP						
PETERSONIPAAV   27,655   27,655   PETERSONIPAAV   GONZALEZ   26,483   26,483   26,483   PETERSONIPAAV   A1,326   34,326   34,326   27,652   PETERSONIPAAV   A1,326   34,326   34,326   A1,326						
GONZALEZ - 26,483 26,483 PETERSOMIPAAHALL - 34,326 34,326 PETERSOMIPAAHALL - 34,326 34,326 PETERSOMIPAAHALL - 70,692 70,692 PETERSOMIPAAHALL - 70,692 70,692 PETERSOMIPAAHARGIT - 36,819 36,819 PETERSOMIPAAHARGIT - 5,307 5,307 L 6,460 62,460 62,460 PETERSOMIPAAKAYA - 9,946 9,946 PETERSOMIPAAKAYA - 9,946 9,946 PETERSOMIPAAKAYACH - 62,460 62,460 PETERSOMIPAAKAYACH - 5,918 5,918 PETERSOMIPAAL - 7,941 9,414 PETERSOMIPAAM - 7,941 9,414 PETERSOMIPAAM - 7,941 9,414 PETERSOMIPAAM - 7,941 9,414 PETERSOMIPAAM - 7,941 9,414 PETERSOMIPAAMEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSOMIPAAM - 7,941 9,414 PETERSOMIPAAMEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSOMIPAAMEDELL - 31,668 31,668			PETERSON/IPAA/GELFON			
PETERSONIPAA/HALL CLARK PETERSONIPAA/HALL CLARK PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/HALL CLARK PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/ HANCOCK PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ N SA6,819 SA6,819 SA6,819 SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ SA6,819 SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ SA6,819 SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT/ SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/LARA- RUIZ PETERSONIPAA/LARA- RUIZ PETERSONIPAA/L DEPETERSONIPAA/ LOPEZCRUZAN SA6,819 PETERSONIPAA/ MARSDSEN SA6,829 PETERSONIPAA/ MCGEARY MCGEARY MCGEARY MCGEARY MCGEARY MCGEARY MCGEARY MCHALEK PETERSONIPAA/MINITZ SA6,445 PETERSONIPAA/MINITZ SA6,445 PETERSONIPAA/MINITZ SA6,445 PETERSONIPAA/MINITZ SA6,430 SA6,300 PETERSONIPAA/MINITY PETERSONIPAA/MINITY SA6,300 PETERSONIPAA/MINITY PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/MINITY PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/MINITY PETERSONIPAA/ PULISMAA PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONIPAA/ PETERSONI					26.492	26 492
PETERSONIPA/HALL- CLARK - 70,692 70,692 PETERSONIPA/ HANCOCK - (27) (27) N - 36,819 36,819 PETERSONIPA/HARGIT/ - 5,307 5,307 L - (4943) (943) PETERSONIPA/HARGIT/ - 6,043 9,946 9,946 PETERSONIPA/KOCH - 62,460 PETERSONIPA/KOCH - 62,460 PETERSONIPA/KOCH - 62,460 PETERSONIPA/LARA- RUIZ - 19,753 19,753 PETERSONIPA/LARA- RUIZ - 19,478 5,918 5,918 PETERSONIPA/LARA- RUIZ - 13,258 13,258 PETERSONIPA/LARA- RUIZ - 13,258 13,258 PETERSONIPA/LARA- RUIZ - 13,258 13,258 PETERSONIPA/LARA- MARSDSEN - 13,258 13,258 PETERSONIPA/LARA- MICHALEK - 9,414 9,414 PETERSONIPA/LARA- MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSONIPA/MINITZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSONIPA/MINITZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSONIPA/MINITZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSONIPA/MINITZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSONIPA/MINITZ - 7,968 27,968 PETERSONIPA/MINITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSONIPA/MINEF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSONIPA				-		
PETERSONIPAAV HANCOCK - (27) (27) N - 36,819 36,819 PETERSONIPAAVHARGITJ - 5,307 5,307 L - (943) (943) PETERSONIPAAVKAYA - (943) (943) PETERSONIPAAVKOCH - 62,460 62,460 PETERSONIPAAVKOCH - 6,946 62,460 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 5,918 5,918 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 5,918 5,918 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 5,918 5,918 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 7,918 5,918 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 7,914 9,414 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 26,445 26,445 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 26,445 26,445 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 19,684 19,684 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 19,684 19,684 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 10,663 10,663 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 87,935 87,935 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 87,936 27,968 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 15,550 15,350 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 15,550 15,350 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 69,781 69,781 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 69,781 69,781 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 69,781 69,781 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 7,968 21,968 PETERSONIPAAVICH - 69,781 69,781					0.,020	0.,020
N - 36.819 36.819 PETERSONIPAA/HARGIT - 5,307 5,307 L (943) (943) PETERSONIPAA/KAYA - 9,946 9,946 PETERSONIPAA/KOCH - 62,460 62,460 PETERSONIPAA/KAYA - 19,753 19,753 RIUZ - 19,753 19,753 PETERSONIPAA/LARA- RUIZ - 19,753 19,753 PETERSONIPAA/LARA- RUIZ - 5,918 5,918 PETERSONIPAA/ LOPEZCRUZAN - 26,579 26,579 PETERSONIPAA/ LOPEZCRUZAN - 32,679 26,579 PETERSONIPAA/ MARSDSEN - 13,258 13,258 PETERSONIPAA/ MCGEARY - 9,414 9,414 PETERSONIPAA/ MCGEARY - 9,414 9,414 PETERSONIPAA/ MICHALEK - 26,445 26,445 PETERSONIPAA/ MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSONIPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSONIPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSONIPAA/MURFF - 87,935 15,350 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP - 5,360 15,360 PETERSONIPAA/PILLIP - 5,946 9,781 PETERSONIPAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSONIPAA/ PULIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSONIPAA/YLER - 59,460 59,460 PETERSONIPAA/YULER - 59,460 59,460 PETERSONIPAA/YULER - 59,460 59,460				-	70,692	70,692
PETERSON/PAA/HARGIT/ - (943) (943) PETERSON/PAA/KAYA - (943) (943) PETERSON/PAA/KAYA - (943) (943) PETERSON/PAA/KAYA - (946) (62,460) PETERSON/PAA/LARA- PETERSON/PAA/LARA- RUIZ - 19,753 19,753 PETERSON/PAA/LI - 5,918 5,918 PETERSON/PAA/ LOPEZCRUZAN - 26,579 26,579 PETERSON/PAA/ MARSDSEN - 13,258 13,258 PETERSON/PAA/ MARSDSEN - 13,258 13,258 PETERSON/PAA/ MCGEARY - 9,414 9,414 PETERSON/PAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 64,390 64,930 MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 64,390 64,930 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 64,390 64,930 PETERSON/PAA/MINTF - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/PAA/MINTF - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/PAA/MINTY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/PAA/MABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/PAA/MABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/PAA/DEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/PAA/DEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/PAA/DEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/PAA/DEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/PAA/DEDA - 4,584 4,584 PETERSON/PAA/DETYE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/PAA/DETYE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/PAA/DETYE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/PAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/PAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/PAA/YIVER - 59,460 69,460 PETERSON/PAA/YIVER - 59,460				-	(27)	(27)
L (943) (943) PETERSON/IPAA/KAYA - 9,946 9,946 PETERSON/IPAA/KOCH - 62,460 62,460 PETERSON/IPAA/ LARA- RUIZ - 19,753 19,753 PETERSON/IPAA/ LARA- RUIZ - 5,918 5,918 PETERSON/IPAA/ LARA- RUIZ - 19,753 19,753 PETERSON/IPAA/ LARA- RUIZ - 26,579 26,579 PETERSON/IPAA/ LARA- RUIZ - 13,258 5,918 PETERSON/IPAA/ - 26,679 26,579 PETERSON/IPAA/ - 3,414 9,414 PETERSON/IPAA/ - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/IPAA/ MICOGEARY - 9,414 9,414 PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL - 26,445 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MINER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MINER - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MINER - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MINER - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MINER - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MINER - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/NABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/DAEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/DEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/DAEDA - 34,593 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/DAEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/DAEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/DAEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/DAEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/DAEDA - 34,593 34,592 PETERSON/IPA						
PETERSON/IPAA/KAYA - 9,946 9,946 PETERSON/IPAA/KAYA - 9,946 62,460 62,460 PETERSON/IPAA/KOCH - 62,460 62,460 PETERSON/IPAA/LARA-RUIZ - 19,753 19,753 PETERSON/IPAA/L - 5,918 5,918 PETERSON/IPAA/L - 5,918 5,918 PETERSON/IPAA/L - 5,918 19,753 PETERSON/IPAA/L - 5,918 19,753 PETERSON/IPAA/L - 5,918 PETERSON/IPAA/L - 7,918 PETERSON/IPAA/L - 7,914 19,414 PETERSON/IPAA/MARSDSEN - 13,258 PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MIDER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MIDER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MIDER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MIDER - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MIDER - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/NADIEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/NADIEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/PIELLIP - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PIELLIP - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PIELTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PIENTE - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 5,034 5,						
PETERSON/IPAA/KOCH PETERSON/IPAA/LARA- RUIZ PETERSON/IPAA/LARA- RUIZ PETERSON/IPAA/LI PETERSON/IPAA/LI PETERSON/IPAA/LI PETERSON/IPAA/LI PETERSON/IPAA/LI LOPEZCRUZAN PETERSON/IPAA/ LOPEZCRUZAN PETERSON/IPAA/ MARSDSEN PETERSON/IPAA/ MARSDSEN PETERSON/IPAA/ MCGEARY PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ						
PETERSON/PAA/ LARA- RUIZ - 19,753 19,753 PETERSON/PAA/LI - 5,918 5,918 PETERSON/PAA/LI - 5,918 5,918 PETERSON/PAA/ LOPEZCRUZAN - 26,579 26,579 PETERSON/PAA/ MARSDSEN - 13,258 13,258 PETERSON/PAA/ MCGEARY - 9,414 9,414 PETERSON/PAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/PAA/ MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 MICHALEK - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 7,968 27,968 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 7,968 27,968 PETERSON/PAA/MINTY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/PAA/DIEPA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/PAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/PAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/PAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 PETERSON/PAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 PETERSON/PAA/ PETERSON/				-		
PETERSON/IPAA/LI					5=,	,
PETERSON/PAA/ LOPEZCRUZAN - 26,579 26,579 PETERSON/PAA/ MARSDSEN - 13,258 13,258 PETERSON/PAA/ MCGARY - 9,414 9,414 PETERSON/PAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/PAA/ MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/PAA/MINTZ - 76,7935 87,935 PETERSON/PAA/MIZER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/PAA/MIZER - 10,663 10,663 PETERSON/PAA/MIZER - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/PAA/MIZER - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/PAA/PALPLEY - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/PAA/PHILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/PAA/PHILLIP - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/PAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/PAA/PLEYTE - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/PAA/PLEYTE - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/PAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/PAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/PAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/PAA/YUER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/PAA/YUER - 51,034 5,034			RUIZ	-	19,753	19,753
LOPEZCRUZAN   - 26,579   26,579   PETERSON/IPAA/   MRSDSEN   - 13,258   13,258   PETERSON/IPAA/     - 26,445   26,445   PETERSON/IPAA/     - 26,445   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 26,445   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 26,445   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 26,445   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 26,430   64,930   64,930   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 26,430   64,930   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 27,968   27,968   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 27,968   27,968   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 27,968   27,968   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 27,968   27,968   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 26,781   69,781   69,781   PETERSON/IPAA/   - 26,781   69,781   6				-	5,918	5,918
PETERSON/IPAA/ MARSDSEN - 13,258 13,258 PETERSON/IPAA/ MCGEARY - 9,414 9,414 PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 10,663 10,663 PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MBITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PETERSON/IPAA/ PETERSON/IPAA/ PETERSON/IPAA/ PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/YYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ PETERSON/IPAA/YYLER - 5,034 5,034					00.570	00.570
MARSDSEN - 13,258 13,258 PETERSON/IPAA/ MCGEARY - 9,414 9,414 PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/IPAA/ MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MIZER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MIZER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/NABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ PRUIRSMA - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034				-	20,379	20,579
PETERSON/IPAA/   MCGEARY				-	13,258	13,258
PETERSON/IPAA/MEDELL - 26,445 26,445 PETERSON/IPAA/ MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MIZER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PETERSON/IPAA/ PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/ PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034						
PETERSON/IPAA/ MICHALEK - 19,684 19,684 PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MIZER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/NABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/NABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/PILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PILLIP - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/YLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034				-		
PETERSON/IPAA/MINTZ - 64,930 64,930 PETERSON/IPAA/MIZER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/NABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034			PETERSON/IPAA/	-		
PETERSON/IPAA/MIZER - 10,063 10,063 PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/NABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034				-		
PETERSON/IPAA/MURFF - 87,935 87,935 PETERSON/IPAA/NABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034				-		
PETERSON/IPAA/NABITY - 27,968 27,968 PETERSON/IPAA/OJEDA - 34,592 34,592 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034						
PETERSON/IPAA/PHILLIP - 15,350 15,350 PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PEUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034				-		
PETERSON/IPAA/PLEYTE - 69,781 69,781 PETERSON/IPAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981 PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034				-		
PETERSON/IPAA/ PRUIKSMA - 4,584 4,584 E - 8,981 8,981  PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034						
E - 8,981 8,981  PETERSON/IPAA/  SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061  PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460  PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608  PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034			PETERSON/IPAA/	-		
PETERSON/IPAA/ SCHOLLER - 61,061 61,061 PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034				-		
PETERSON/IPAA/SYNET - 59,460 59,460 PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034			PETERSON/IPAA/	-		
PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER - 31,608 31,608 PETERSON/IPAA/ YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034				-		
YOUNG-MCCAU - 5,034 5,034			PETERSON/IPAA/TYLER			
-,					5.004	5.007
				-		

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (Continued)					
		PO CREATE: 580C85205		7,511	7,511
		PUGH/IPAA/ EL	-	3,209	3,209
		PUGH/IPAA/DELGA	-	2,400	2,400
		PUGH/IPAA/HARTMAN	-	36,771	36,771
		PUGH/IPAA/NAHID	-	53,859	53,859
		PUGH/IPAA/WANG	-	26,638	26,638
		RAN/IPAA/CHEN	-	48,972	48,972
		RAN/IPAA/NA ROACHE/IPAA/KOEK	-	69,991 705	69,991 705
		SAYRE/IPAA/REED	-	20,789	20,789
		SENLIN/IPAA/GUDERYON	-	19,035	19,035
		SHARMA/IPAA/DARSHI	-	49,054	49,054
		SHARMA/IPAA/DREL	-	45,019	45,019
		SHARMA/IPAA/KIM SHARMA/IPAA/MONTELL	-	38,457	38,457
		A SHARMA/IPAA/MONTEM	-	19,671	19,671
		AYOR	_	29,059	29,059
		SHARMA/IPAA/XU	_	31,844	31,844
		SHIREMAN/IPAA/MACCA		- 1,- 1	- 1,- 1
		RTHY	-	45,523	45,523
		SONI/IPAA/HARO	-	23,175	23,175
		SONI/IPAA/TIPTON	-	1,229	1,229
		VA 256-12-C-0276 VA240-16-D-0068	-	(155,571)	(155,571)
		VA240-17-C-0100	-	27,479 189,640	27,479 189,640
		VA240-17-D-0107	_	603,481	603,481
		VA256-15-C-0028	-	(37,402)	(37,402)
		VA263-17-P-1209	-	5,815	5,815
		VA268-15-D-0044	-	141,970	141,970
		VA268-15-D0007	-	3,892	3,892
		VA26815C0041	-	45 57 400	45 57.400
		VA74115C0021 WEINER/IPAA/CASTABE	-	57,192	57,192
		DA	-	2,957	2,957
		WEINER/IPAA/DUQUE	-	52,903	52,903
		WELCH/IPAA/SANCHEZ-		40.050	40.050
		REILLY YEH/IPAA/DEAN	-	42,056 4,354	42,056 4,354
		YEH/IPAA/KA SH	_	1,366	1,366
		YEH/IPAA/WANG	-	10,776	10,776
		36C24518C0181	-	309,463	309,463
		534D95006	-	67,145	67,145
		674-C95094; UTA18-		04.075	04.075
		001357 674-D85030	-	21,875 253	21,875 253
		674-D85031	_	3,868	3,868
		674-D95032	-	24,323	24,323
Pass-Through from Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center		KANWAL IIR- FCP 9116	-	44,732	44,732
Total - CFDA 64.XXX			-	5,325,141	5,325,141
Veterans Domiciliary Care	64.008		-	7,371	7,371
Veterans Medical Care Benefits	64.009		-	70,665	70,665
Veterans Dental Care	64.011		-	2,883	2,883
Veterans State Hospital Care	64.016		-	121,537	121,537
Sharing Specialized Medical Resources	64.018		-	123,181	123,181
Veterans Home Based Primary Care	64.022	-	-	13,642	13,642
Total - U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs		-	-	5,664,420	5,664,420

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) Environmental Protection Agency					
Environmental Protection Agency	66.XXX	68HE0B18P0211	-	9,705	9,705
Doog Through from Eastern Beacerch Crown Inc		68HE0B19P0012 # 1	-	6,144	6,144
Pass-Through from Eastern Research Group, Inc. Pass-Through from Eastern Research Group, Inc.		# 1 OTAQ-011/03	-	6,091 10,645	6,091 10,645
Pass-Through from Eastern Research Group, Inc.		OTAQ-011/03 - # 03	-	11,171	11,171
Pass-Through from Eastern Research Group, Inc.		OTAQ-011/03 - #2	-	11,725	11,725
Pass-Through from Eastern Research Group, Inc.		OTAQ-011/03 - #5 4949-RFA14-2/15-3-2 YR	-	87,376	87,376
Pass-Through from Health Effects Institute		3 LTR 5-4-17	-	483	483
Pass-Through from Health Effects Institute		4958-RFA16-1/17-4	-	94,046	94,046
Pass-Through from ICF International, Inc. Pass-Through from Palo Alto Veterans Institute for Research		17CKBO0074 1 4958-RFA16-1/17-4	-	11 70,856	11 70,856
		4930-KFA10-1/17-4		70,030	70,830
Total - CFDA 66.XXX			-	308,253	308,253
Surveys, Studies, Research, Investigations, Demonstrations, and Special Purpose Activities Relating to the Clean Air Act	66.034		-	52,126	52,126
Water Pollution Control State, Interstate, and Tribal Program Support	66.419		-	1,187,302	1,187,302
Water Quality Management Planning	66.454				
Pass-Through from Coastal Bend Bays and Estuaries Program	00.101	1817;1818;1920;1911;1703	-	114,358	114,358
Pass-Through from Coastal Bend Bays and Estuaries Program		1826	-	11,943	11,943
Total - CFDA 66.454			-	126,301	126,301
National Estuary Program Pass-Through from Coastal Bend Bays and Estuaries Program	66.456	1722	(798)	140,240 180	139,442 180
Total - CFDA 66.456			(798)	140,420	139,622
Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	66.460	00115054000044 74441	-	2,100,573	2,100,573
Pass-Through from Altex Technologies Corporation		68HE0D18C0011-TAMU- 001	_	29,958	29,958
Pass-Through from Attain, LLC		EPA-003	-	142,810	142,810
Total - CFDA 66.460			-	2,273,341	2,273,341
Gulf of Mexico Program	66.475		-	139,941	139,941
Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Research Program	66.509		338,519	1,316,393	1,654,912
Pass-Through from Carnegie Mellon University	00.000	1080358-364695	-	65,091	65,091
Pass-Through from President and Fellows of Harvard College		112544-5111522	-	51,933	51,933
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Amherst		15-008462 E 00 - ADMIN UNIT	_	40,859	40,859
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Amherst		15-008462 E 00 A; LOA	-	45,766	45,766
B 71 / ( // '' '' ( // '' )		15-008462 E 00 C; LOA-			
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Amherst		KATZ 15-008462 E 00 D; LOA-	-	105,234	105,234
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Amherst		KIRISITS 83556901 2104-1198-00-	-	(148)	(148)
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		E;PO#0000205417	-	(348)	(348)
Total - CFDA 66.509			338,519	1,624,780	1,963,299
P3 Award: National Student Design Competition for Sustainability	66.516		-	67,093	67,093
Performance Partnership Grants	66.605		-	92,440	92,440
Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks	66.609		-	20,404	20,404
Pollution Prevention Grants Program	66.708		-	8,025	8,025
State and Tribal Response Program Grants	66.817				
Pass-Through from Dept of Health, Env Health Adm, Haz Eval and Emer Res Off, State of HI		HEER-KAIMAZI -2018	-	18,469	18,469

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) Environmental Protection Agency (Continued)					
International Financial Assistance Projects Sponsored by the Office of International and Tribal Affairs  Pass-Through from North American Development Bank	66.931	TAA 18-014	<u>-</u>	5,936 19,183	5,936 19,183
Pass-Through from North American Development Bank Pass-Through from North American Development Bank		TAA16-013 TAA18-010	18,000	3,279 75,001	3,279 93,001
Total - CFDA 66.931			18,000	103,399	121,399
Total - Environmental Protection Agency			355,721	6,162,294	6,518,015
Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	77.XXX	NRC-HQ-60-15-C-0005 693JK31850004CAAP	-	92,507 8,125	92,507 8,125
Pass-Through from Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System		707K722		34,828	34,828
Total - CFDA 77.XXX			-	135,460	135,460
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Nuclear Education Grant Program	77.006		-	181,536	181,536
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Minority Serving Institutions Program (MSIP)	77.007		-	219,760	219,760
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Scholarship and Fellowship Program	77.008		-	1,020,757	1,020,757
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Research Financial Assistance Program Pass-Through from Bechtel Marine Propulsion Corporation	77.009	104453	-	380	380
Total - Nuclear Regulatory Commission				1,557,893	1,557,893
U.S. Department of Energy					
U.S. Department of Energy	81.XXX	B623501 M1902529	- 79,000	4,579 188,536	4,579 267,536
		PO 2048463 REVISION 1	-	32,071	32,071
		S015805-R S016936-Y	-	355,484 16,111	355,484 16,111
		174212	-	77,399	77,399
		229206 PR:DE-AC02-98	-	627,899	627,899
		355779 356656	-	(77)	(77)
		635140	-	108,951 14,437	108,951 14,437
		9F-60036	-	43,906	43,906
Pass-Through from Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC		XFC-7-70022-01	-	209,181	209,181
Pass-Through from Argonne National Laboratory		9F-60002	-	112,005	112,005
Pass-Through from Argonne National Laboratory Pass-Through from Battelle		9F-60050	-	89,595	89,595
Pass-Through from Battelle  Pass-Through from Battelle		195207 4000152388	-	2,400 58,349	2,400 58,349
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		0207600	-	161,303	161,303
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		194982	-	53,997	53,997
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		195519	-	111,514	111,514
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		207600	-	151,990	151,990
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		207882	-	27,452	27,452
Pass-Through from Battelle Pacific Northwest Division		424363	-	9,705	9,705
Pass-Through from Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory Pass-Through from Bechtel Marine Propulsion Corporation		417421 DVM-118117	- -	66,621 3,740	66,621 3,740
Pass-Through from Brookhaven National Laboratory		DEAC0298CH10886	-	97,461	97,461
Pass-Through from Brookhaven National Laboratory		DESC00127045	-	80,224	80,224
Pass-Through from Brookhaven National Laboratory		366184	-	32,435	32,435
Pass-Through from Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC		M1402265	-	556,714	556,714
Pass-Through from Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC		M1502524-443821	-	60,267	60,267
Pass-Through from Center for Transportation and the Environment		UTA14-000883	-	87,520	87,520

ter Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
EARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) Department of Energy					
Department of Energy					
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		DE-NA0001942 DE-NA0001942/	64,556	23,528	88,08
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		PO0000072068	18,772	47,365	66,13
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		PO71916	· -	45,411	45,41
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		4100001018	-	24,416	24,41
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		4100001018 43001586	-	6,448	6,44
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		4300157412	-	25,092	25,09
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		68178	-	43,736	43,73
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		71731	-	633,466	633,40
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		71917	-	322,428	322,4
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		72019	-	6,749	6,74
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		72062	-	3,610	3,6
Pass-Through from Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory		634446	-	438,666	438,66
Pass-Through from Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory		638647 REVISION 1	-	39,935	39,93
Pass-Through from Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory		642574	_	16,576	16,5
Pass-Through from Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory		642929	-	11,917	11,9
Pass-Through from Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory		648378	-	21,007	21,0
Pass-Through from Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory		653901	_	30,872	30,8
Pass-Through from Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory		653937	_	9,780	9,7
Pass-Through from Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory		657050	_	2,283	2,2
Pass-Through from Fermi Research Alliance, LLC		584823	_	110,262	110,2
Pass-Through from Honeywell Federal Manufacturing and		001020		110,202	110,2
Technologies, LLC		N000214354	_	19,443	19,4
Pass-Through from Honeywell Federal Manufacturing and		14000214004		13,443	13,4
Technologies, LLC		N000263171		64,400	64,4
<b>5</b> /		1000203171	-	64,400	04,4
Pass-Through from Honeywell Federal Manufacturing and		N000202800		00.700	00.7
Technologies, LLC		N000293890	-	89,730	89,7
Pass-Through from Honeywell Federal Manufacturing and		N000047054		04.054	04.0
Technologies, LLC		N000317054	-	24,651	24,6
Pass-Through from Idaho National Laboratory		00150706	-	172,337	172,3
Pass-Through from Idaho National Laboratory		187427	-	34,293	34,2
Pass-Through from Idaho National Laboratory		196484	-	3,439	3,4
Pass-Through from Idaho National Laboratory		198930	-	6,020	6,0
Pass-Through from Jefferson Science Associates, LLC		JSA-17-C1142	-	1,477	1,4
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7052152	-	9,361	9,3
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7223523	-	136,731	136,7
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7277281	-	52,736	52,7
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7320214	-	(1,108)	(1,1
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7343163	-	193,583	193,5
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7399340	93,118	111,062	204,1
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7461220	-	45,168	45,1
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7475379	-	6,069	6,0
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B612618	-	773	7
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B622458	_	68,213	68,2
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B623104	_	5,042	5,0
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B624693	_	32,468	32,4
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B626195	_	1,683	1,6
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B631794	_	18,907	18,9
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B633945	-	99,066	99,0
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B634760	-		157,3
•			-	157,392	
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC		B635698	-	10,756	10,7
Pass-Through from Leidos, Inc.		10227981; TO 1	-	424	45.0
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		DEAC5206NA25396	-	45,663	45,6
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		378768	-	70,675	70,6
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		407626	-	925,026	925,0
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		419951	-	45,847	45,8
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		421744	-	174,426	174,4
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		4401777	-	125,549	125,5
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		462978	-	36,612	36,6
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		468132	-	62,372	62,3
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		470152	-	12,000	12,0
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		473629	-	107,717	107,7
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		473629; LOA (ABRAM)	-	119,895	119,8
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		475852	_	81,157	81,1
		473032			
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		487845	-	17,592	17,5

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
					. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Energy (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Security, LLC		365210	-	37,309	37,309
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Security, LLC		402692	-	(810)	(810)
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Security, LLC		472208	-	8,909	8,909
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Security, LLC		477029	-	44,132	44,132
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Security, LLC		532498	-	48,690	48,690
Pass-Through from National Renewable Energy Laboratory Pass-Through from National Renewable Energy Laboratory		AEJ-9-92062-01 AFC-7-70044-09	-	33,823 174	33,823 174
Pass-Through from National Renewable Energy Laboratory  Pass-Through from National Renewable Energy Laboratory		XAT88215101	-	95,734	95,734
Pass-Through from National Renewable Energy Laboratory		XEJ-8-82246-01	-	21,599	21,599
Pass-Through from North Carolina State University		H98230-19-D0012-LAS	-	33,237	33,237
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering					
Solutions of Sandia		1851586	-	31,967	31,967
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		1050052		14 442	44.440
Solutions of Sandia  Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		1859853	-	14,443	14,443
Solutions of Sandia		1865610	_	4,045	4,045
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering				1,515	1,010
Solutions of Sandia		1886406	-	1,402	1,402
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering					
Solutions of Sandia		1898338	-	9,315	9,315
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		1919201		0.004	6 224
Solutions of Sandia  Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		1919201	-	6,331	6,331
Solutions of Sandia		1922367	_	(3)	(3)
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering				(-)	(-)
Solutions of Sandia		1956794	-	135,156	135,156
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering					
Solutions of Sandia		1971662	-	8,523	8,523
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia		2010311		54,674	54,674
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		2010311	-	54,674	54,674
Solutions of Sandia		2016312	_	24,958	24,958
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering				_ :,	= 1,000
Solutions of Sandia		2016870	-	18,638	18,638
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering					
Solutions of Sandia		2021982	-	48,868	48,868
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia		2033301	_	44,454	44,454
Pass-Through from Oak Ridge National Laboratory		4000157754	-	58,572	58,572
Pass-Through from Oregon State University		1515550	-	(43)	(43)
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest Laboratory		311215	-	49,916	49,916 <sup>°</sup>
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest Laboratory		312853 01	-	6,588	6,588
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest Laboratory		413239	-	48,614	48,614
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest Laboratory		414726	-	41,001	41,001
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest Laboratory Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest Laboratory		414834 446224	-	115,374 23,399	115,374 23,399
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest Laboratory		446225	-	45,082	45,082
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest Laboratory		446225 LOA HAHNE	-	13,820	13,820
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest National Laboratory		279384	-	17,808	17,808
Pass-Through from Pacific Northwest National Laboratory		436996	-	28,143	28,143
Pass-Through from RadiaSoft, LLC		M1800076	-	71,989	71,989
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California - Lawrence		7445000		11 020	44.620
Berkeley Lab Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		7415282 PO 1961670	-	11,630 83,104	11,630 83,104
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		PO1386784	-	10,793	10,793
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		PO1452528	-	153,255	153,255
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		PO1969288	-	29,715	29,715
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1648371	-	5,088	5,088
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1651970	-	(1,692)	(1,692)
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1724551	-	16,263	16,263
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1739503 1745141	-	(6,614) 1,094	(6,614) 1,094
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories  Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1836721	-	15,136	15,136
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1838864	-	99,840	99,840
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1852754	10,326	117,418	127,744
		1852754 LOA 001			
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		PASUPATHY	-	47,373	47,373
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1853407	-	100,798	100,798

		Federal/Pass-through	Pass-through to		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Entity Other Identifying No.	Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Energy (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1853793	-	182,588	182,588
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1865740	-	6,170	6,170
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1878085	-	8,855	8,855
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1881581 1883692	-	7,429 95,928	7,429 95,928
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1888931	-	88,210	88,210
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1891639	-	3,896	3,896
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1891681	-	10,092	10,092
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1915949	=	8,311	8,311
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1930491	-	15,305	15,305
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1930652 1932462	-	129,808 (11)	129,808 (11)
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1946531 REV 0	-	88,559	88,559
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1958282	-	28,573	28,573
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1959705	-	76,440	76,440
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1970123	-	94,254	94,254
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1970138	-	49,806	49,806
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1976570 1976787	-	97,850 59,670	97,850 59,670
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1980971	-	38,111	38,111
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1982247	-	87,323	87,323
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1985367	-	29,049	29,049
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1985797	-	76,753	76,753
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1988375	-	105,792	105,792
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2005238 2006874	-	28,831	28,831
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2030062	-	153,962 28,627	153,962 28,627
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2032028	_	19,774	19,774
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2039214	-	69,421	69,421
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		2049205	-	21,451	21,451
Pass-Through from Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC		DEAC0908SE22470	=	17,612	17,612
Pass-Through from The Algae Foundation		UTA18-000319 PHASE 3	-	14,993	14,993
Pass-Through from The Algae Foundation Pass-Through from Triad National Securities, LLC		UTA19-000131 464745	-	28,848 67,259	28,848 67,259
Pass-Through from Triad National Securities, LLC		533198	-	13,857	13,857
Pass-Through from Triad National Securities, LLC		534899 5	-	52,747	52,747
Pass-Through from Triad National Securities, LLC		549299	-	31,424	31,424
Pass-Through from Universities Research Association, Inc.		18-F-04	-	16,569	16,569
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley		7434850	-	13,587	13,587
Pass-Through from University of Michigan Pass-Through from UChicago Argonne, LLC		PO#3005418630 9F-60059	-	37,762 30,415	37,762 30,415
Pass-Through from UChicago Argonne, LLC  Pass-Through from UChicago Argonne, LLC		9F-60108	-	13,824	13,824
Pass-Through from UHV Technologies, Inc.		DESC0017233	-	2,645	2,645
Pass-Through from URS		244799 US/40819273/1087477	_	(5,314)	(5,314)
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000158218	-	26,396	26,396
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000158636	-	214,701	214,701
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000171482	=	56,473	56,473
Pass-Through from Westinghouse Electric Company		PO 4500780326	-	20,990	20,990
Total - CFDA 81.XXX			265,772	12,796,435	13,062,207
State Energy Program	81.041	2000220045	-	244,960	244,960
Pass-Through from State of Louisiana		2000228045	<u>-</u>	5,313	5,313
Total - CFDA 81.041			-	250,273	250,273
Office of Science Financial Assistance Program  Pass-Through from Accelerator Technology Corporation	81.049	M1902553	3,064,211	27,332,524 18,822	30,396,735 18,822
Pass-Through from Amethyst Research, Inc.		UTA18-000743	-	1,197	1,197
Pass-Through from Austin Geotech Services, Inc.		UTA18-000591	-	52,508	52,508
Pass-Through from AKADIO		03-19	-	27,206	27,206
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC Pass-Through from Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin		183672	-	108,286	108,286
System		809K244	-	374,144	374,144
Pass-Through from Brookhaven National Laboratory		314750	=	29,589	29,589
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		RES513721	-	128,823	128,823
Pass-Through from Central Michigan University		F63460	-	274,175	274,175
	(8)	6)			

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Energy (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		4300150260	-	9,831	9,831
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		4300151233	-	(1,420)	(1,420)
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		4300156278	-	5,534	5,534
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		4300156296	-	53,953	53,953
Pass-Through from Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC		4300158304	-	22,243	22,243
Pass-Through from Cornell University		86856-11155	-	84,775	84,775
Pass-Through from E-Spectrum Technologies, Inc.		UTA18-000022	-	80,687	80,687
Pass-Through from Electron Energy Corporation		M1802946	-	60,761	60,761
Pass-Through from Florida State University Pass-Through from General Atomics		R01974 4500076923	=	62,519 84,118	62,519 84,118
Pass-Through from General Atomics  Pass-Through from General Atomics		4500077991	-	32,222	32,222
Pass-Through from Giner, Inc.		SA402261	_	114,688	114,688
Pass-Through from Hyper Tech Research, Inc.		M1703355	_	36,039	36,039
Pass-Through from Incendium Technologies, LLC		M1803583	_	31,842	31,842
Pass-Through from Iowa State University		SCN-1008531	-	62,416	62,416
Pass-Through from Kent State University		400005-UH	-	70,032	70,032
Pass-Through from KAI, LLC		UTA17-001324	-	188,886	188,886
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B623251	-	259,091	259,091
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC		B623252	-	171,503	171,503
Pass-Through from Ligo Analytics, Inc		DE-SC0019600	11,902	=	11,902
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		430461	-	4,225	4,225
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Security, LLC		228868	-	141,244	141,244
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Security, LLC		388139	-	107,491	107,491
Pass-Through from Massachusetts Institute of Technology Pass-Through from Mesa Photonics, LLC		5710003744	-	(45)	(45)
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		UTA17-001137	-	35,107	35,107
Solutions of Sandia		CPA 1923579	-	37,643	37,643
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60057541 PO RF01470709		199,132	199,132
Pass-Through from Penn State University		5605-UTA-LSJU-4215	_	122,807	122,807
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		5484-UTEP- E-1090	_	5,529	5,529
Pass-Through from QuesTek Innovations		M1903096	-	6,085	6,085
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Michigan		K00009230	-	57,721	57,721
		68856-1119493-3 2			
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Stony Brook		(W/EXT)	-	259	259
		68856-1119493-3 3			
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Stony Brook		(W/EXT)	-	156,220	156,220
Pass-Through from Shear Form, Inc.		12-0094	-	738	738
Pass-Through from Silicon Audio Labs		UTA16-001022 PHASE II	-	196,679	196,679
Pass-Through from ThermoFlow Labs, LLC		UTA18-000520 00008632	-	13,516	13,516
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside		S-000687	-	66,933 72,090	66,933 72,090
Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside  Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside		S-000687 LOA #1 LI	-	63,011	63,011
Pass-Through from University of Florida		PRE-AWARD	-	5,805	5,805
Pass-Through from University of Illinois		090634-16987	_	36,771	36,771
Pass-Through from University of Illinois		092734-17404	_	7,274	7,274
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		2014-03595-01	-	29,803	29,803
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		16197	-	15,636	15,636
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3001346237	-	191,977	191,977
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5105060	-	74,475	74,475
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		110847897	-	36,250	36,250
		A16-0384-			
Pass-Through from University of Tennessee		S002/8500050608	-	8,709	8,709
Pass-Through from University of Wyoming		1004033 - TTU	-	24,606	24,606
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000146387	-	51,265	51,265
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000153525	-	(3,524)	(3,524)
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000158390 4000163332	-	351,108 65,775	351,108 65,775
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University		429229-19837	_	134,667	134,667
Pass-Through from Zyvex Labs, LLC		DESC0018527	-	89,603	89,603
Total - CFDA 81.049			3,076,113	32,183,579	35,259,692
Haivaraity Coal Bassarah	04.057		4.005	4.47.400	440.007
University Coal Research Pass-Through from Battelle Pacific Northwest Division	81.057	431874	1,605	147,422	149,027
Pass-Through from Carnegie Mellon University		1070237-400263	-	119,282 106,758	119,282 106,758
. 235 Through nom ourneyle Monoi Oniversity				100,700	100,700
Total - CFDA 81.057			1,605	373,462	375,067

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
Ciustei Name/Federal Granton/Frogram Name/ Eass-tinough Emity	CIDA	Other Identifying No.	Littles	Experiordires	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Energy (Continued)					
Conservation Research and Development	81.086	UTA19-000219;	578,660	2,932,738	3,511,398
Pass-Through from Caterpillar, Inc Pass-Through from North Carolina State University		PO#499069 2014-0654-75 112753359; MP	20,841	39,439 34,257	39,439 55,098
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		#S9002206		93,653	93,653
Total - CFDA 81.086			599,501	3,100,087	3,699,588
Renewable Energy Research and Development	81.087		586,782	1,615,341	2,202,123
Pass-Through from American Institute of Chemical Engineers		DE-EE0007888	-	41,574	41,574
Pass-Through from American Institute of Chemical Engineers		DE-EE0007888-02-7	-	86,105	86,105
Pass-Through from American Institute of Chemical Engineers		DE-EE0007888-05-1A	-	110,648	110,648
Pass-Through from American Institute of Chemical Engineers		DE-EE0007888-05-4	-	103,370	103,370
Pass-Through from American Institute of Chemical Engineers		DE-EE0007888-09-03	196,882	207,067	403,949
Pass-Through from American Institute of Chemical Engineers		DE-EE0007888-10-09B	-	77,376	77,376
Pass-Through from American Institute of Chemical Engineers		M1702784	-	106,430	106,430
Pass-Through from American Institute of Chemical Engineers		2018 UT BP2	-	83,890	83,890
Pass-Through from Drexel University		850051	-	71,357	71,357
Pass-Through from Duke University		323-0268	22,561	82,007	104,568
Pass-Through from Gas Technology Institute		S884 4300584241- E #DE-	-	199,935	199,935
Pass-Through from General Motors		EE0007651		55,205	EE 20E
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		DEEE0008215	-	46,206	55,205 46,206
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University		0000028429	-	62,673	62,673
Pass-Through from National Renewable Energy Laboratory		DEAC3608GO28308		74,684	74,684
Pass-Through from National Renewable Energy Laboratory		XGJ-6-62145-01		9,368	9,368
Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		700 0 02 140 0 1		9,500	9,300
Solutions of Sandia Pass-Through from NTESS, LLC - National Technology & Engineering		CPA 1923579	-	102,527	102,527
Solutions of Sandia		1773989	-	84,879	84,879
Pass-Through from Regal Beloit America, Inc.		M1702739	-	91,861	91,861
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California		4550 G WA170	62,090	12,125	74,215
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California		4551 G WA170	-	345,331	345,331
Pass-Through from Sandia National Laboratories		1750431/1984055	-	63,283	63,283
Pass-Through from Smart Manufacturing Leadership Coalition		CESMII-2017-R-01	-	(147,526)	(147,526)
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley		7216561	-	30,543	30,543
Pass-Through from University of Central Florida		DEEE0007327	-	269	269
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Chicago		DEEE0007545	-	64,125	64,125
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Amherst		17-009129 A00	-	582	582
Pass-Through from Washington State University		130616-G003844	-	120,287	120,287
Total - CFDA 81.087			868,315	3,801,522	4,669,837
Fossil Energy Research and Development	81.089		1,186,946	7,769,813	8,956,759
Pass-Through from Battelle		US001-0000509245	-,,,,,,,,,,,,	4,002	4,002
Pass-Through from Groundwater Protection Council, Inc.		UTA17-001480	40.400	141,600	182,000
Pass-Through from Membrane Technology and Research, Inc.		373- E-FE-13118-UTEXAS	-	97,727	97,727
Pass-Through from Penn State University		5842-UTA- E-1544 5693-TAMU- E-6825 (DE-	-	12,943	12,943
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		FE0026825)	=	161,689	161,689
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		5694-TAM- E-6825	-	179,836	179,836
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		5958-TAMU- E-6825	_	87,659	87,659
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		5959-TAMU- E-6825	105,315	51,019	156,334
Dane Through from Ocidham Olei 5 5 5		SSEB-SECARB3-973-		000.000	000.00-
Pass-Through from Southern States Energy Board		T13BEG-TI-2008-019	-	830,386	830,386
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		076459-16859	-	55,131	55,131
5		3200001777-18-296; PO#			
Pass-Through from University of Kentucky Pass-Through from University of Notre Dame		7800004262 202640UTA	- -	19,967 119,759	19,967 119,759
Total - CFDA 81.089			1,332,661	9,531,531	10,864,192
	0.4 : -		1,002,001		
Environmental Remediation and Waste Processing and Disposal	81.104	200470	-	70,608	70,608
Pass-Through from Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC		308479	-	16,246	16,246
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000145759		39,730	39,730
Total - CFDA 81.104	_	-	-	126,584	126,584
	(8	W1			

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
J.S. Department of Energy (Continued)					
Epidemiology and Other Health Studies Financial Assistance Program Pass-Through from Drexel University	81.108	23-1352630	-	105,431	105,43
Stewardship Science Grant Program	81.112		1,654,376	3,351,747	5,006,12
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research	81.113			142 490	142,48
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	01.113	7366132	19,564	142,480 187,927	207,49
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B631736	-	229,857	229,8
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley		00009331 BB00838699		229,070	229,0
Total - CFDA 81.113			19,564	789,334	808,8
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Information Dissemination,					
Outreach, Training and Technical Analysis/Assistance	81.117		162,664	454,111	616,7
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	01.117	B628940	102,004	119,913	119,9
Total - CFDA 81.117			162,664	574,024	736,68
Nuclear Energy Research, Development and Demonstration Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC	81.121	1-214442	1,861,822	3,529,124 5,711	5,390,9
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		156135	-	21,780	5,7 21,7
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC  Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		171587	-	348	3
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		185480	_	111,752	111.7
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		195965	_	50,002	50,0
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		207264	_	99,895	99,8
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		214014	-	54,843	54,8
Pass-Through from Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC		215038	-	22,729	22,7
Pass-Through from Boise State University		7161-PO124386	-	5,305	5,3
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		RF764-G3	-	1,214	1,2
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		RF764-G4	-	23,903	23,9
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		B616255	-	(16,500)	(16,5
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		463009	-	6,236	6,2
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60066840	-	109,943	109,9
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60067765	-	149,541	149,5
Pass-Through from Stony Brook University		RF 1116919-2-67749	-	11,905	11,9
Pass-Through from Syracuse University		28643-04465-S01	-	21,050	21,0
Pass-Through from Triad National Securities, LLC		514313	-	39,839	39,8
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		088925-16717	-	35,350	35,3
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		093238-17181	-	40,676	40,6
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Lowell		S51900000033766	-	55,432	55,4
Pass-Through from University of Nebraska Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Lincoln		25-1123-0061-003 25-1217-0014-004	-	27,172	27,1
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma		2018-20	-	13,987 53,418	13,9 53,4
Pass-Through from Utah State University		200649-293	-	111,018	111,0
Pass-Through from Utah State University		200658-340	_	90,539	90,5
Pass-Through from UChicago Argonne, LLC		5F-32301	-	92	50,5
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000105055	-	1,192	1,1
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000167532	-	48,055	48,0
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000168281	-	41,761	41,7
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute		429354-19C28		86,086	86,0
Total - CFDA 81.121			1,861,822	4,853,398	6,715,2
Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, Research, Development and					
Analysis  Page Through from University of Illinois Champaign	81.122	005000 40005	420,929	1,471,991	1,892,9
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		085228-16935 079456-16208	-	14,841	14,8
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaigh - Orbana		2015-06608-03	-	(980) 172,326	(9 172,3
, ,		2010 00000 00		•	
Total - CFDA 81.122			420,929	1,658,178	2,079,1
National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Minority Serving	04.400		224.2=2	004.470	F1F 1
Institutions (MSI) Program  Page Through from Florida Agricultural and Machanical University	81.123	005731 C 4090	234,673	281,172	515,8
Pass-Through from Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		005731 C-4989 B632427	-	38,607	38,6
Pass-Through from Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC		B632860	<del>-</del> -	2,013 6,513	2,0 6,5
Pass-Through from Los Alamos National Laboratory		476278	- -	156,890	156,8
Pass-Through from Universidad Del Turabo		2016T-04	-	109,316	109,3
. 222 sag s.m. s.m. s.m. a.a.a zor rarabo				100,010	100,0
Total - CFDA 81.123			234,673	594,511	829,1
	(8	9)	20 .,0.0	-0.,0	020,11

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Energy (Continued)					
Predictive Science Academic Alliance Program	81.124		156,565	1,113,870	1,270,435
Pass-Through from Stanford University		60544212-107908		79,747	79,747
Total - CFDA 81.124			156,565	1,193,617	1,350,182
ARRA - Expand and Extend Clean Coal Power Initiative	81.131				
Pass-Through from Petra Nova Parish Holdings, LLC		UTA15-000294 4501616882 PH III	-	284,787	284,787
Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy	81.135		1,202,569	2,073,578	3,276,147
Pass-Through from Aquanis, Inc.		DEAR0001011	-	123,049	123,049
Pass-Through from Arizona State University		A 00000318	-	11,468	11,468
Pass-Through from Battelle Pacific Northwest Division		448775	-	82,317	82,317
Pass-Through from Donald Danforth Plant Science Center Pass-Through from Fearless Fund		22815-T 1726-1575	-	5,092 22,535	5,092 22,535
Pass-Through from Physical Sciences, Inc.		SC67187-1867	-	(3,837)	(3,837)
Pass-Through from Research Foundation for the State University of		0007107 1007	_	(5,657)	(3,037)
New York		72540-DE-AR0000575	-	8,651	8,651
Pass-Through from University of Alabama		A18-0307-S002	20,405	32,676	53,081
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati		L16-4500091415 UFDSP00012003:	-	4,636	4,636
Pass-Through from University of Florida		P0056459	_	246,549	246,549
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign		082394-16696	-	5,010	5,010
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		082394-16156	-	(6)	(6)
Pass-Through from University of Southern Mississippi		USM-8006059-02	-	44,593	44,593
Pass-Through from University of Southern Mississippi		USM-8006060-02	-	42,928	42,928
Pass-Through from University of Virginia		DEAR0000667	-	139,570	139,570
Pass-Through from UHV Technologies, Inc.		SRS REF # M1703366	-	88,970	88,970
Pass-Through from UT - Battelle, LLC		4000123096		(3,218)	(3,218)
Total - CFDA 81.135			1,222,974	2,924,561	4,147,535
Minority Economic Impact	81.137			199,185	199,185
Total - U.S. Department of Energy			11,877,534	78,692,246	90,569,780
U.S. Department of Education					
Adult Education - Basic Grants to States	84.002		-	501,681	501,681
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010		-	2,005	2,005
Migrant Education State Grant Program	84.011		-	81	81
Title I State Agency Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children and				_	
Youth	84.013		-	3	3
National Resource Centers Program for Foreign Language and Area					
Studies or Foreign Language and International Studies Program and					
Foreign Language and Area Studies Fellowship Program Pass-Through from State of Louisiana, Department of Education	84.015	2000302580	- 366,848	143,686 2,722,883	143,686 3,089,731
Total - CFDA 84.015			366,848	2,866,569	3,233,417
Overseas Programs - Doctoral Dissertation Research Abroad	84.022		-	34,109	34,109
Higher Education Institutional Aid	04.004		105 100	4.057.554	4 400 700
Higher Education Institutional Aid	84.031	D0246490460	135,169	4,357,551	4,492,720
Pass-Through from Alamo Colleges Pass-Through from Alvin Community College		P031S180160 P031C160219	-	2,999	2,999
Pass-Through from Amarillo College		P031C160219	-	49,999 49,999	49,999 49,999
Pass-Through from Northwest Vista College		BONNER-P031S180160	- -	58,280	58,280
Total - CFDA 84.031			135,169	4,518,828	4,653,997
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education	84.116		-	292,981	292,981
Minority Science and Engineering Improvement	84.120		51,543	645,359	696,902

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Education (Continued)					
Rehabilitation Services Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	84.126		-	2,080	2,080
Rehabilitation Long-Term Training	84.129		-	185,219	185,219
Graduate Assistance in Areas of National Need Pass-Through from American Institutes for Research	84.200	R305C120008		261,740 59,496	261,740 59,496
Total - CFDA 84.200			-	321,236	321,236
Innovative Approaches to Literacy, Full-service Community Schools; and Promise Neighborhoods	84.215		1,456,539	1,423,592	2,880,131
TRIO McNair Post-Baccalaureate Achievement Pass-Through from Grand Valley State University	84.217	GVSU-17201005-01	<u> </u>	960,642 5,722	960,642 5,722
Total - CFDA 84.217			-	966,364	966,364
Centers for International Business Education	84.220		-	278,296	278,296
Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers	84.287		-	339	339
Education Research, Development and Dissemination Pass-Through from American Institutes for Research Pass-Through from American Institutes for Research Pass-Through from Harvard University Pass-Through from Lehigh University Pass-Through from North Carolina State University Pass-Through from Teachers College - Columbia University Pass-Through from University of Southern California Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison Pass-Through from Utah State University  Total - CFDA 84.305	84.305	R305A150587 R305A160060 108129-5112698 543985-78001 2019-0751-01 511135 89618656 795K631 201035-434	853,942 - - - - - - - - 853,942	5,580,912 31,381 21,634 9,999 5,981 39,461 1,174 29,598 24,105 527,014	6,434,854 31,381 21,634 9,999 5,981 39,461 1,174 29,598 24,105 527,014 7,125,201
Research in Special Education  Pass-Through from University of California - Davis  Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside  Pass-Through from University of Kansas Center for Research, Inc.  Pass-Through from University of Oregon  Pass-Through from University of Oregon  Pass-Through from University of Virginia  Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University  Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University  Total - CFDA 84.324	84.324	# 201500254-01 S-000854 BSA 19048 224740C 2 224770B R324A180221 UNIV60234 1 (ADD FUNDS W/EXT) UNIV60235	79,236	2,699,053 (191) 203,600 2,535 11,251 28,431 195,899 263,673 204,819	2,778,289 (191) 203,600 2,535 11,251 28,431 195,899 263,673 204,819
Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities  Pass-Through from University of Florida  Total - CFDA 84.325	84.325	UFDSP00010565 6	<u>:</u>	812,200 62,177 874,377	812,200 62,177 874,377
Special Education Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities  Pass-Through from University of Missouri - Columbia  Pass-Through from University of Oregon  Pass-Through from University of Oregon  Total - CFDA 84.326	84.326	C00059710-2 281520D 282070F	280,312	4,815,696 85,213 15,397 43,160 4,959,466	5,096,008 85,213 15,397 43,160 5,239,778
Special Education Educational Technology Media, and Materials for Individuals with Disabilities  Pass-Through from University of Oregon	84.327	224790A	-	5,204	5,204

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Education (Continued)					
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs	84.334	REQUISITION #137368 -	-	2,132,592	2,132,592
Pass-Through from Region One Education Service Center		PO 12978		2,176	2,176
Total - CFDA 84.334			-	2,134,768	2,134,768
Teacher Quality Partnership Grants	84.336		-	128,961	128,961
Rural Education	84.358		-	66	66
English Language Acquisition State Grants	84.365		151,305	3,542,829	3,694,134
Pass-Through from President and Board of Trustees of Santa Clara College		EDU023-01		95,299	95,299
Total - CFDA 84.365			151,305	3,638,128	3,789,433
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	84.366		-	(13,429)	(13,429)
Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants (formerly Improving Teacher Quality State Grants)  Pass-Through from National Institute for Excellence in Teaching  Pass-Through from National Institute for Excellence in Teaching  Pass-Through from National Writing Project	84.367	U367D130024 U367D150013 03-TX12-SEED2016-ILI	- - -	(147,908) 6,500 1,456,799 1,661	(147,908) 6,500 1,456,799 1,661
Total - CFDA 84.367			-	1,317,052	1,317,052
Competitive Grants for State Assessments (formerly Grants for Enhanced Assessment Instruments)	84.368		-	4,052	4,052
School Improvement Grants Pass-Through from Premont Independent School District	84.377	16-0601	-	32,061	32,061
Education Innovation and Research (formerly Investing in Innovation (i3) Fund)  Pass-Through from Harmony Public Schools	84.411	M1900975	316,801	2,053,229 66,047	2,370,030 66,047
Total - CFDA 84.411			316,801	2,119,276	2,436,077
Graduate Research Opportunities for Minority Students (Minorities and Retirement Security Program)	84.414		-	2,392	2,392
Race to the Top - District Grants Pass-Through from Western Kentucky University	84.416	B416A130210	-	(4)	(4)
Supporting Effective Educator Development Program	84.423		426,340	5,706,620	6,132,960
Student Support and Academic Enrichment Program	84.424			58	58
Total - U.S. Department of Education			4,118,035	42,828,119	46,946,154
Consumer Product Safety Commission					
Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council Comprehensive Plan Component Program	87.051			151,046	151,046
Total - Consumer Product Safety Commission				151,046	151,046
United States Institute of Peace					
Priority Grant Competition	91.005		383	14,178	14,561
Total - United States Institute of Peace			383	14,178	14,561

Charter Name (Forders) Creater (Program Name ( Pose Abreaugh Fortite	CEDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to	Francis diturns	Tatal
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
		HHSF223201810169C 2 3			
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	93.XXX	# 1205374	-	216,155	216,155
		HHSN261201000029I 01	-	(38,743)	(38,743)
		HHSN261201000032I	-	(529)	(529)
		HHSN261201000142C 04 HHSN2612012000034I-	-	(35,414)	(35,414)
		TO10	-	75,074	75,074
		HHSN2612012000034I-			,
		TO11	89,590	178,385	267,975
		HHSN261201200034I-		4 0 4 7 7 0 7	4 0 47 707
		TO10 HHSN261201200034I-	-	1,047,707	1,047,707
		TO12	-	285,172	285,172
		HHSN261201200034I-			
		TO13	36,667	22,000	58,667
		HHSN261201200034I-TO8	368,951	197,167	566,118
		HHSN261201200034I-TO9 HHSN261201400573P	34,806	100,932	135,738
		HHSN261201500018I	63,937	(20,236) 1,087,616	(20,236) 1,151,553
		HHSN261201500018I-TO4	-	94,493	94,493
		HHSN263201200075I	-	(376,395)	(376,395)
		HHSN263201600013I	-	180,352	180,352
		HHSN268200682279C	-	(393)	(393)
		HHSN268200900039C HHSN271201600057C	-	(39,993) 297,289	(39,993)
		HHSN271201800031C	-	749,777	297,289 749,777
		HHSN272201000038I	-	(22,953)	(22,953)
		HHSN2722010000401	-	2,284	2,284
		HHSN272201100018I	17,001	413,139	430,140
		HHSN272201700039I	100,373	718,620	818,993
		HHSN272201700040I HHSN276201500690P	-	2,620,796 263	2,620,796 263
		HHSN276201800171P	- -	59,714	59,714
		N01 CM-2011-00039 01	-	(80,652)	(80,652)
		N01DA-13-8908	-	107,850	107,850
		PO-PH-18322160-1	-	24,955	24,955
		UNIV MISSOURI W81XWH18C0156	-	17,977	17,977
		W81XWH1810405	-	352,578 214,204	352,578 214,204
		1R21Al13890-01	15,400	160,763	176,163
		15DDHQ18P00000598	-	85,030	85,030
		15DDHQ18P00000735	-	53,903	53,903
		15DDHQ19F00001152 15DDHQ19F00001173	-	7,622	7,622
		164134/164132	-	4,733 13,466	4,733 13,466
		200-2009-32594	-	10,682	10,682
		200-2009-32594 OPTION			
		8	-	228,296	228,296
		200-2011-41271 200-2011-41271/0001	-	47,032	47,032
		2018-01	-	337,206 6,502	337,206 6,502
		3000992218	-	207,276	207,276
		7R01GM085575-07	-	299,833	299,833
		75D30118C02911	-	149,438	149,438
Dana Thursumh fram Alaska Nativa Tribal Haalth Comacutivus		75NP1019D00021	-	6,101	6,101
Pass-Through from Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		ANTHC-18-U-149088 RTOG-0825 01	-	5,029 (36,727)	5,029 (36,727)
Pass-Through from Arkival Technology Corporation		HHSN26120120058C	-	(825)	(825)
Pass-Through from Atox Bio, Ltd.		HHSO1002014000013C	-	1,300	1,300
Pass-Through from Battelle Memorial Institute		HHSN272201200003	-	37,006	37,006
Pass-Through from Battelle Memorial Institute		HHSN272201200003I	-	466,627	466,627
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		HHSA29020010015C 02 HHSN 272201300015I	-	(65,027)	(65,027)
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		/7000000552 HHSN26200800001E	-	46,856	46,856
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine  Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		HHSN272201300015I	-	(211) 167,268	(211) 167,268
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		201702390	-	73,028	73,028
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	(0)	700000607	-	187,954	187,954

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin					
System		630K103	-	61,301	61,301
Pass-Through from Boston Medical Center		BMC ID 6796	-	82,882	82,882
Pass-Through from Center for Public Service Communications		2017-36	-	2,501	2,501
Pass-Through from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention		75D30118C02646	-	112,341	112,341
Pass-Through from Charles River Laboratories, Inc Pass-Through from Charles River Laboratories, Inc		HHSN272200800056C HHSO100201500008C	-	356,394	356,394
Pass-Through from Charles River Laboratories, Inc  Pass-Through from Cytori Therapeutics, Inc		HHSO100201200008C	-	610,718 1,150	610,718 1,150
Pass-Through from CFD Research Corporation		W81XWH17C0018	-	2,991	2,991
Pass-Through from Duke Clinical Research Institute		HHSN-275201000003I	-	155	155
Pass-Through from Duke University		HHSN2752010000031 HHSN2752010000031/	-	5,122	5,122
Pass-Through from Duke University		SITE UTH99	-	455	455
Pass-Through from Duke University		W81XWH16C0219	-	10,256	10,256
Pass-Through from Duke University Medical Center		HHSN272200900023C	-	35,401	35,401
Pass-Through from Duke University Medical Center Pass-Through from Eastern Virginia Medical School		N01-AI-05419 04 HHSN2732016000031	-	(3,854) 19,168	(3,854) 19,168
Pass-Through from Emory University		A032048	-	49,682	49,682
Pass-Through from EnLiSense, LLC		HHSO100201800026C	_	56,337	56,337
Pass-Through from EvoRx Technologies, Inc.		HHSN26120130065	_	(9,478)	(9,478)
Pass-Through from EvoRx Technologies, Inc.		HHSN2612015000072C	-	(237)	(237)
Pass-Through from EMMES Corporation		HHSN263201700001C	-	10	` 10 <sup>′</sup>
Pass-Through from EMMES Corporation		11/02/2012	-	2	2
Pass-Through from Foundation for Advancing Veterans' Health		ZULUAGACDC/VA-			
Research		HIBNER/17FE	-	16,229	16,229
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		HHSN272201600015C	-	6,517	6,517
Pass-Through from Hispanic Association of Colleges and Universities		13127225 2396-	-	(9,412)	(9,412)
Pass-Through from Intelligent Automation, Inc.		4/HHSN261201800038C/	-	48,529	48,529
Pass-Through from International Epidemiology Institute		5 R01 CA104666 04	-	(10,520)	(10,520)
Page Through from ICE Moore Inc		HHSN261201400002B		400.040	100 040
Pass-Through from ICF Macro, Inc. Pass-Through from J. Craig Venter Institute		17GZSK0119 HHSN272201400028C	-	122,243 16,967	122,243 16,967
Pass-Through from Janssen Pharmaceutica NV		HHSN272200800056C	-	195,508	195,508
Pass-Through from Janssen Pharmaceutica NV		HHSO1002OI500014C	_	3,152	3,152
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S13027	-	(1,565)	(1,565)
Pass-Through from Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.		HHSN261200800001E	-	185,037	185,037
Pass-Through from Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.		HHSN261201500003I	-	646,350	646,350
Pass-Through from Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.		HHSN2612015000031	-	3,312,899	3,312,899
Pass-Through from Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.		14X082ST1	-	27,529	27,529
Pass-Through from Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.		14X082T2	-	9,247	9,247
Pass-Through from Messach weste Consul Heavitel		15X219	-	182,512	182,512
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		HHSN2612007440C 05 HHSN261201200042I	-	(237) 14,269	(237) 14,269
Pass-Through from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center		HHSN26120080043C 03		(22,425)	(22,425)
Pass-Through from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center		01	_	(784)	(784)
Pass-Through from Mimetas US, Inc		HHSN261201700015C	-	595	595
Pass-Through from Moi University College of Health Sciences		UTA18-000749 YEAR 2	-	6,663	6,663
Pass-Through from National Rural Health Association		1BBSK0052	-	100,888	100,888
Pass-Through from New England Research Institutes		HHSN268201200001I	-	665	665
Pass-Through from New York University		INTERIM FUNDED	-	9,483	9,483
Pass-Through from North American Association of Central Cancer		0044.07.04			
Registries Pass-Through from North American Association of Central Cancer		2014-07-01	-	55,122	55,122
Registries		2018-09-01	-	96,690	96,690
Pass-Through from Northwestern University		HHSN272201200026C	-	(21,188)	(21,188)
Pass-Through from Omega Optics, Inc.		HHSN261201200043C	-	69	69
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		W911NF-14-C-0119	-	21,327	21,327
Pass-Through from Physical Sciences, Inc.		HHSN261201600030C	-	10,315	10,315
Pass-Through from Radix BioSolutions, Ltd.		HHSN272201500031C	-	(9,203)	(9,203)
Pass-Through from RTI International		HHSP2332009565	-	(9,935)	(9,935)
Pass-Through from Social & Scientific Systems, Inc.		HHSO100201600016C	-	257,623	257,623
Pass-Through from Social & Scientific Systems, Inc. Pass-Through from Social & Scientific Systems, Inc.		HHSN2612014000101 HHSN61201400010I	-	(14) 118,566	(14) 118,566
Pass-Through from Southwest Oncology Group		S1207	-	752	752
Pass-Through from Southwest Checkey Gloup  Pass-Through from Southwest Research Institute		HHSN272201500015C	-	11,378	11,378
Pass-Through from Syneos Health, LLC		HHSO100201700014C	-	2,400	2,400
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0)			-,	_,

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from SAIC - Frederick, Inc.		13XS034-T02	-	(1,859)	(1,859)
Pass-Through from SAIC - Frederick, Inc.		13XS071	-	(53,672)	(53,672)
Pass-Through from SAIC - Frederick, Inc.		28XS099 01	-	(40,822)	(40,822)
Pass-Through from SRA International, Inc		SRAS002433-1	-	45,001	45,001
Pass-Through from Tetraphase Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		2018-01	-	23,552	23,552
Pass-Through from Texas Council on Family Violence Pass-Through from Theranova LLC		UTA19-000156 W81XWH1710554	-	14,993 165,987	14,993 165,987
Pass-Through from TMF Health Quality Institute		UTA16-000965 # 3	-	10,097	10,097
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		000517420-001	-	464	464
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		95315788	-	85,024	85,024
Pass-Through from University of Colorado		R01Al141479	-	55,797	55,797
		FY17 523			
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Denver		001/HHSP23320150	-	2,027	2,027
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		HHSN268201800002I	-	60,095	60,095
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		P005296401 FY2016-063	-	32,779	32,779
Pass-Through from University of Nevada - Reno Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		HDTRA118C0062 HHSN268201700001I	-	212,954	212,954
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center		5 N01 CN-53300 02	-	259,833 (6,970)	259,833 (6,970)
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		LITES 002	-	15,704	(6,970)
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		0000018677	-	565	565
Pass-Through from Utah State University		HHSN272201100019I	-	107,039	107,039
Pass-Through from Veterans Affairs Medical Center of Washington DC		15FED1511233-0002-0001	-	265,361	265,361
Total - CFDA 93.XXX			726,725	18,990,839	19,717,564
Cooperative Agreements to Improve the Health Status of Minority					
Populations  Populations  Populations  Populations	93.004	1B31D40413E0 0141	14.520	00.400	105.010
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		1R21DA041250-01A1	14,530	90,482	105,012
Training in General, Pediatric, and Public Health Dentistry	93.059		-	924,037	924,037
Innovations in Applied Public Health Research	93.061		7,783	(3,200)	4,583
Pass-Through from Instadiognostics, Inc		UTSWMC001	-	3,200	3,200
7		•			
Total - CFDA 93.061			7,783	-	7,783
Laboratory Training, Evaluation, and Quality Assurance Programs	93.064		-	11,165	11,165
Chronic Diseases: Research, Control, and Prevention	93.068				
Pass-Through from American College of Rheumatology		ACR 2019	2,896	(263)	2,633
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069				
Pass-Through from Leidos, Inc.		P010217740	-	234,554	234,554
				,	•
Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities - Prevention and Surveillance	93.073		-	77,534	77,534
Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency					
Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	93.074		_	233,504	233,504
1 repared less (1 TiE1 ) Alighed cooperative Agreements	33.074		_	233,304	200,004
Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act Regulatory Research	93.077		127,262	2,109,510	2,236,772
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Minnesota		5U54DA031659-06	-	(676)	(676)
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		5 U54 DA031659 05	-	(10,928)	(10,928)
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		3U54DA031659-03	-	(16)	(16)
Total - CFDA 93.077			127,262	2,097,890	2,225,152
Blood Disorder Program: Prevention, Surveillance, and Research	93.080		301,187	18,473	319,660
Prevention of Disease, Disability, and Death by Infectious Diseases	93.084		388,370	1,604,681	1,993,051
Healthy Marriage Promotion and Pospossible Fatherhead Create	02.000		05.000	060 055	057 070
Healthy Marriage Promotion and Responsible Fatherhood Grants Pass-Through from Rutgers University	93.086	6096	95,023	862,355 125,531	957,378 125,531
Total - CFDA 93.086			95,023	987,886	1,082,909

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Advancing System Improvements for Key Issues in Women's Health	93.088		-	214,342	214,342
Affordable Care Act (ACA) Personal Responsibility Education Program	93.092		157,645	97,006	254,651
Affordable Care Act (ACA) Health Profession Opportunity Grants Pass-Through from Community Action Project of Tulsa County, Inc.	93.093	UTA16-000027		49,149	49,149
r ass-milough nom community Action r Toject or raisa county, me.		01A10-000021	-	45,145	49,149
Food and Drug Administration Research	93.103		-	1,514,699	1,514,699
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		53914-1	-	355,102	355,102
Pass-Through from Colorado State University		G-01479-1 M1802566-7	-	46,747	46,747
Pass-Through from CorInnova, Inc. Pass-Through from National Institute for Pharmaceutical Technology		NIPTE-U01-TAMU-2018-	-	1,917	1,917
and Education (NIPTE)		002	_	25,689	25,689
Pass-Through from National Institute for Pharmaceutical Technology		NIPTE-U01-TAMU-2020-		20,000	25,005
and Education (NIPTE)		001	_	52,651	52,651
Pass-Through from National Institute for Pharmaceutical Technology		NIPTE-U01-TAMU-2020-		,	,
and Education (NIPTE)		002	-	21,043	21,043
Pass-Through from National Institute for Pharmaceutical Technology					
and Education (NIPTE)		PTE-U01-UT-2018-001	-	34,888	34,888
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University Pass-Through from Yale University		23-7083114 GR103119	-	37,095 46,822	37,095 46,822
Total - CFDA 93.103			-	2,136,653	2,136,653
Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children with					
Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)	93.104				
Pass-Through from Heart of Texas Region MHMR		UTA16-001001	-	112,705	112,705
Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs	93.110		36,978	684,778	721,756
Pass-Through from Boston University		4500002668	59,950	9,500	69,450
Pass-Through from Boston University		4500002768	-	73,321	73,321
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5111592	-	47,207	47,207
Total - CFDA 93.110			96,928	814,806	911,734
Environmental Health	93.113		425,164	7,960,130	8,385,294
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	001110	PO 7000000558	.20,.0.	14,629	14,629
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1P30ES030285-01	-	17,510	17,510
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1P30ES03028501	-	4,383	4,383
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R21ES029616-02	-	75,870	75,870
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000759	-	53,531	53,531
Pass-Through from Columbia University		142883	-	2,980	2,980
Pass-Through from George Washington University		18-M68	-	69,117	69,117
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		5R01ES02145205 2003903146	-	23,335	23,335
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		5 R01 ES017777 03	-	28,079 (1,038)	28,079 (1,038)
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		1R15ES026795-01A1	_	171,097	171,097
Pass-Through from Salzman Group		5U01ES02409702	_	22,231	22,231
Pass-Through from University of Colorado		84-6000555	-	83,623	83,623
		S00430-01/R01ES029035-			
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		01A	-	47,571	47,571
Pass-Through from University of New Mexico		3RT23	-	152,517	152,517
Pass-Through from University of New Mexico Health Science Center		3RBB7 UTA18-000379	-	47,129	47,129
Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico - Rio Piedras Pass-Through from University of Rochester		ES023706	-	104,155 16,124	104,155 16,124
Pass-Through from West Virginia University Research		19-408-TTU	_	18,221	18,221
Pass-Through from Winthrop University		5R01ES02311604	_	22,234	22,234
Pass-Through from Yale University		GR104987	-	19,673	19,673
Total - CFDA 93.113			425,164	8,953,101	9,378,265
	00.10:				
Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	93.121	5D01DE024170.05	1,486,835	14,803,680	16,290,515
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama at		5R01DE024179-05	-	50,331	50,331
Birmingham		5U19DE022516-07	-	95,233	95,233
B. T. 17 16		K002197-00-			
Pass-Through from Kitware, Inc.		S02/R43DE02757	-	20,677	20,677
Pass-Through from Loma Linda University		5R01DE02585204	-	38,296	38,296
Pass-Through from Massachusetts Institute of Technology		5R01DE024747		288,824	288,824

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute Pass-Through from The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority dba		5R01DE021863-05	-	36,522	36,522
Carolinas HealthCare		5U01DE022939-07	-	8,425	8,425
Pass-Through from Trustees of Dartmouth College		5 R01 DE022772 04	-	(7,994)	(7,994)
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		000412838-005/1U19DE	-	483,370	483,370
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		000521323- 001/1U19DE02871	_	56,424	56,424
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5U24DE026914-03	_	53,193	53,193
Pass-Through from University of Illinois		17042	-	5,060	5,060
Pass-Through from University of New Mexico		3R01DE023222-03S1	-	(1,515)	(1,515)
Pass-Through from University of Utah		5R01DE023414-05	-	61,637	61,637
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		2R01DE021798-06A1	-	72,401	72,401
Total - CFDA 93.121			1,486,835	16,064,564	17,551,399
Emergency Medical Services for Children	93.127				
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Medical College of Wisconsin		1H34MC193470100 5H34MC26201-06-00	(902)	-	(902)
Pass-Tillough from Medical College of Wisconsin		3H34WC20201-00-00	<u> </u>	14,164	14,164
Total - CFDA 93.127			(902)	14,164	13,262
Centers for Research and Demonstration for Health Promotion and					
Disease Prevention Pass-Through from Oregon Health Sciences University	93.135	5U48DP005006-05	133,273	332,947 127,615	466,220 127,615
r das Thiodgi nom oregon rically delenies officerally		5U48DP005002-05-00	_	127,015	127,013
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		238879	-	66,525	66,525
Total - CFDA 93.135			133,273	527,087	660,360
Injury Prevention and Control Research and State and Community Based Programs	93.136		_	100,492	100,492
Pass-Through from City of Houston		NH28CE0023950100	-	66,539	66,539
Pass-Through from City of Houston Health and Human Services		NH28CE002395-01-00	-	61,848	61,848
Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFBSP00011977 10037451-01 /	-	37,250	37,250
Pass-Through from University of Utah		5U01CE022188-05	-	22,273	22,273
Total - CFDA 93.136			-	288,402	288,402
Community Programs to Improve Minority Health Grant Program	93.137		-	382,466	382,466
NIEHS Hazardous Waste Worker Health and Safety Training	93.142		309,619	365,497	675,116
Pass-Through from Indiana University		3UH4ES027055-03S1	-	17,852	17,852
Pass-Through from Indiana University		5UH4ES027055-03	-	115,757	115,757
Total - CFDA 93.142			309,619	499,106	808,725
NIEHS Superfund Hazardous Substances_Basic Research and Education	93.143		558,170	1,610,415	2,168,585
HIV-Related Training and Technical Assistance Pass-Through from Parkland Health and Hospital Systems	93.145	75-6004221	-	8,398	8,398
Coordinated Services and Access to Research for Women, Infants,					
Children, and Youth	93.153		-	1,150,372	1,150,372
Rural Health Research Centers	93.155		-	749,836	749,836
Health Program for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	93.161		-	6,715	6,715
Human Genome Research	93.172		105,863	230,948	336,811
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	_	5R01HG008972-03	-	112,543	112,543
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5UM1HG008898-04	-	700,359	700,359
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5U01HG008664-04 7000000598/U01HG00648	-	24,254	24,254
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5-05	-	85,463	85,463
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		4U41HG007497-04	-	35,128	35,128
Pass-Through from Duke University	(0)	A03-0656	-	23,221	23,221
	(9	( )			

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					_
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2003295636 5UM1HG006542-08 /	-	591,579	591,579
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2002858055	-	195,387	195,387
Pass-Through from Leland Stanford Junior University		2R01HG005855-07A1	-	132,635	132,635
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		1R01HG010297-01A1 1R56HG010297-01 /	-	1,279	1,279
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		AWRD 0744	-	21,409	21,409
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		7R01HG009524-04	-	68,772	68,772
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		159433/R01HG006015	-	71,013	71,013
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		5R01HG00684407	-	84,696	84,696
Total - CFDA 93.172			105,863	2,378,686	2,484,549
Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	93.173		848,155	7,083,425	7,931,580
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01DC014976-03	-	10,224	10,224
Pass-Through from Boston University		4500002779	-	185,767	185,767
Pass-Through from Duke University		4R33DC01500302	-	4,049	4,049
Pass-Through from Duke University Medical Center		4R33DC00863202	(5,823)	-	(5,823)
Pass-Through from MGH Institute of Health Professions		1R01DC01354701	-	77,842	77,842
Pass-Through from Northwestern University		R33DC013115	-	12,625	12,625
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		5R01DC016307-03	-	71,415	71,415
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Denver		5R01DC01500405	-	73,443	73,443
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0062450 (132273-1)	-	43,046	43,046
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		2R01DC00479711A1	-	(355)	(355)
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		5R01DC00479713	-	4,101	4,101
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		93483320	-	52,187	52,187
Pass-Through from University of Utah		10041913-002	5,540	-	5,540
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin		867K285 867K285 1	-	22,323 9,647	22,323
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University		UNIV59731	-	548,109	9,647 548,109
Total - CFDA 93.173		0.11.00.0.	0.47.070		
	00.044		847,872	8,197,848	9,045,720
Telehealth Programs	93.211		-	711,214	711,214
Research and Training in Complementary and Integrative Health	93.213	0054 0044 4000	169,916	2,048,975	2,218,891
Pass-Through from Mount Sinai School of Medicine		0254-3814-4609	-	177,483	177,483
Pass-Through from New York University School of Medicine		1UG3AT009844-01 5 R01 CA069375 10	-	7,618	7,618
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		A18-0910-S002-A02-	-	2,104	2,104
Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Cruz		P067434	22,844	-	22,844
Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Cruz		A18-0910-S002-P0674343	266,864	-	266,864
Pass-Through from University of Colorado		1R01AT009366-01	-	876	876
Total - CFDA 93.213			459,624	2,237,056	2,696,680
Development and Coordination of Rural Health Services	93.223		-	698,640	698,640
Health Center Program (Community Health Centers, Migrant Health					
Centers, Health Care for the Homeless, and Public Housing Primary Care)	93.224				
Pass-Through from East Texas Community Health Services, Inc.		206521	-	1,267	1,267
Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes	93.226		352,219	2,748,022	3,100,241
Pass-Through from Emory University		A049584	-	4,842	4,842
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2003901515	-	36,990	36,990
Pass-Through from Northwestern University		4 R01 HS020263 05 5R01HS023940-	-	(1)	(1)
Pass-Through from Oregon Health Sciences University		04REVISED 00008856 5R01HS022098-	-	213,747	213,747
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley		02 PO BB00597065	-	16,301	16,301
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		U18HS026135	-	71,515	71,515
Pass-Through from University of Chicago		FP049252-C	-	(1,966)	(1,966)
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5105485	-	24,566	24,566
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5110014	-	3,035	3,035
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		5R25HS02318505	-	29,365	29,365
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		847K593		10,513	10,513
Total - CFDA 93.226			352,219	3,156,929	3,509,148

North Name (Forders) Own (Forders)	055.	Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to	France Pr	<b>.</b>
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)  I.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
National Center on Sleep Disorders Research Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital	93.233	5R24HL114473-05	- -	1,333,355 11,226	1,333,355 11,226
Total - CFDA 93.233			-	1,344,581	1,344,581
Grants to States to Support Oral Health Workforce Activities	93.236		-	303,154	303,154
Policy Research and Evaluation Grants	93.239		-	37,319	37,319
Mental Health Research Grants	93.242		3,773,944	21,974,603	25,748,54
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1R01MH115793-01A1	-	19,918	19,918
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01MH11579302	-	20,308	20,30
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R21MH115756-02	-	8,101	8,10
Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital		GENFD0001627056	-	174,553	174,553
Pass-Through from Brown University		5R01MH110449-03	=	3,445	3,448
Pass-Through from Centre for Addiction and Mental Health		17-248	-	621,835	621,83
Pass-Through from Child Mind Institute INC		1R24MH114806	=	138,613	138,613
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		7R01MH061622	-	79,560	79,560
Pass-Through from Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory		R1R01MH10261601	-	67,311	67,31°
Pass-Through from Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory		1R01MH10966501	-	41,915	41,91
Pass-Through from Columbia University		2(GG09422)	20,486	<del>-</del>	20,486
Pass-Through from Florida International University		800008502-01UG	-	111,382	111,382
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		RF655-G2	-	2,590	2,590
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		0254-3169-4609	-	446	446
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		0255-B061-4609	-	15,400	15,400
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		5P50MH096890-07 2003283263/	80,552	-	80,55
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		R01MH084021	-	157,517	157,51
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5R01MH113700-02	18,014	-	18,014
Pass-Through from MicroBrightField, Inc.		R44MH105091	-	218	218
Pass-Through from Oregon Research Institute		R01MH097720	-	36,571	36,57
Pass-Through from Oregon Research Institute		UTA17-001342	-	75,714	75,714
Pass-Through from Oregon Research Institute		UTA18-000214	-	104,760	104,760
Pass-Through from Palo Alto Veterans Institute for Research		WIS0001-04/R01MH1065	-	77,852	77,85
Pass-Through from Qualia, Inc.		1R43MH11818001A1	-	34,355	34,35
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California - UCLA Pass-Through from Research Foundation for the State University of		5R25MH087222-08	-	13,566	13,560
New York		2-84310 UTA 001	-	90,812	90,81
Pass-Through from Spikegadgets, LLC			-	109,454	109,45
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley		PO 53694/R21MH114154	-	15,187	15,18
, ,		00009732;PO 5R21MH11317702	-	11,384	11,384
Pass-Through from University of California - Irvine Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside		S-000980/R01MH105330	-	65,265	65,26
Pass-Through from University of California - Riverside  Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		1R01MH11690201A1	-	84,952 55,212	84,952
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		5R01MH10734505	-	55,213 123,763	55,210 123,760
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		57306453	-	155,165	155,165
Pass-Through from University of California - San Elego		7495C 1R01MH0966902	(99)	133,103	(99
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		1R01MH104363-01	(99)	92,833	92,833
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		1R01MH104389401A1	_	93,853	93,853
Pass-Through from University of Leuven		R305D150007-16-03-01	_	19,215	19,21
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		K00009300 K00011277 PO	-	61,933	61,933
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3005493157	-	38,181	38,18
Pass-Through from University of New Mexico Board of Regents		3RBH4	7,417	-	7,41
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5U01MH110925-03	324	19,397	19,72
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		5R01MH10413405		21,097	21,09
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		5R01MH11138904	_	41,334	41,33
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		6118-10	_	24,497	24,49
Pass-Through from University of Toledo		F-2019-50	_	122,960	122,96
Pass-Through from University of Utah		10048074/R01MH117600	-	64,960	64,96
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC10233; BPO#	-	11,654	11,65
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC8619	_	2,277	2,27
Pass-Through from University of Washington		5UH3MH10633805 FP00006385-	-	7,394	7,39
Pass-Through from Virginia Commonwealth University		SA001/R01MH112	-	25,366	25,360
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University		7 R01 MH087692-03	-	(1,367)	(1,36
Pass-Through from Yale University		M16A12403 (A10187)	-	34,088	34,088
Pass-Through from Yale University		M17A12697 (CON-80000	-	58,958	58,958
Total - CFDA 93.242		•	3,900,638	25,230,358	29,130,996
10tal 01 DA 30.272	(99	9)	3,500,038	23,230,330	23,130,33

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance	93.243		705,262	1,861,936	2,567,198
Pass-Through from Center for Health Care Services		UTA19-000502	-	17,774	17,774
Pass-Through from City of Houston Health and Human Services		PRE-AWARD	-	71,697	71,697
Pass-Through from Dallas County - Criminal Justice Department Pass-Through from Dallas County - Criminal Justice Department		1H79TI026072-01 1H79TI081038-01	-	11,804 26,004	11,804 26,004
Total - CFDA 93.243			705,262	1,989,215	2,694,477
Advanced Nursing Education Workforce Grant Program	93.247		-	415,733	415,733
Scaling the National Diabetes Prevention Program to Priority Populations Pass-Through from American Association of Diabetes Educators	93.261	17NU58DP006361-01-00	-	36,253	36,253
Occupational Safety and Health Program	93.262		65,697	2,369,196	2,434,893
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1R01OH011680-01-00	-	97,820	97,820
Pass-Through from Colorado State University		G-02378-01	-	30,938	30,938
Pass-Through from Colorado State University		5U54OH008085-15	-	229,093	229,093
Pass-Through from Southeastern Louisiana University Pass-Through from Stony Brook University		OSR-02-2017-0108-Y2 81615	-	10,963 19,188	10,963 19,188
Total - CFDA 93.262			65,697	2,757,198	2,822,895
Alcohol Research Programs	93.273		569,006	15,116,983	15,685,989
Pass-Through from Arizona State University		14-370 4500003096/R21AA02692	-	52,134	52,134
Pass-Through from Boston University		2-01	-	7,475	7,475
Pass-Through from Cleveland Clinic Foundation		4U01AA021893	(2,728)		(2,728)
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University Pass-Through from Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center		2004025413	-	24,940	24,940
New Orleans		1R21AA026022-01A1	-	18,006	18,006
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		1F31AA027142-01 1R15AA026079-01	-	26,973 118,752	26,973 118,752
Pass-Through from South Plains Biotechnology		R41AA027447	-	54,330	54,330
Pass-Through from Stanford University		6038314-52262-A	-	3,083	3,083
Pass-Through from State University of New York at Buffalo		R1035922	-	38,294	38,294
Pass-Through from Syracuse University		28397-04395-S01	-	17,668	17,668
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences		51861/5R01AA012207-16	-	2,837	2,837
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		92448749 3200001206-19-104; PO	-	37,369	37,369
Pass-Through from University of Kentucky		7800004811	-	16,779	16,779
Pass-Through from University of Miami Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		SPC-000912 5110447	-	62,473	62,473
Pass-Through from University of Virginia		5 R01 AA019720 05	-	118,940 (3,120)	118,940 (3,120)
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC6895	-	15	15
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC9088	-	19,995	19,995
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		R34AA025159	-	11,241	11,241
Total - CFDA 93.273			566,278	15,745,167	16,311,445
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	93.279		1,549,522	21,176,224	22,725,746
Pass-Through from Cassava Sciences, Inc		UTA18-001512	-	127,935	127,935
Pass-Through from Colorado State University Pass-Through from CASAColumbia		G-84991-03 280860	-	32,411	32,411
Pass-Through from Emory University		T372392- 6	-	1,326 61,391	1,326 61,391
Pass-Through from Emory University		T991786	-	1,000	1,000
Pass-Through from GenomeDesigns Laboratory, LLC		2U44DA04488502	22,111	-	22,111
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		MTSINAI	(2,227)	-	(2,227)
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		0254-7660-4609	190,988	-	190,988
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2003086439 2018114463 /RNG003002	-	20,118	20,118
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Houlth		BUDG02	-	45,482	45,482
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		1F31DA041105-01A1 R01DA044999	-	18,592 155,197	18,592 155,197
Pass-Through from Radiant Creative Group, LLC		1 R41 DA035012 01	-	(11)	(11)
Pass-Through from Radiant Creative Group, LLC		5R42DA035012-03	-	295,727	295,727
Pass-Through from Seralogix, LLC		SA1001	-	31,898	31,898
Pass-Through from Southern Methodist University		G000986-7520	-	48,352	48,352
	(10	00)			

(100)

	0504	Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Temple University		R01DA035926	-	36,091	36,091
Pass-Through from The Trustees of Columbia University		5R01DA02746005	-	(7,242)	(7,242)
Pass-Through from Tietronix Software, Inc.		HHSN271201600010C	-	11,251	11,251
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		5R01DA026452-10	-	20,489	20,489
Pass-Through from University of Chicago		U2CDA050098-01	-	3,318	3,318
Pass-Through from University of Chicago		3R01DA039934-05S1	-	6,880	6,880
Pass-Through from University of Chicago Pass-Through from University of Colorado		5R01DA039934-05 1DP1DA046108-01	-	147,639	147,639
Pass-Through from University of Connecticut		119001	-	274,891 213,301	274,891 213,301
Pass-Through from University of Connecticut		318595 UFDSP00012160;	- -	24,554	24,554
Pass-Through from University of Florida		P0080247	-	6,951	6,951
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		R01DA029840	-	98,857	98,857
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		5 U01 CA020830 10	-	(54,971)	(54,971)
Pass-Through from Virginia Commonwealth University		5U54DA03899905	-	247,597	247,597
Pass-Through from Western University of Health Sciences		20111-1397	-	(151)	(151)
Total - CFDA 93.279			1,760,394	23,045,097	24,805,491
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Investigations and Technical					
Assistance	93.283		46,119	304,186	350,305
Pass-Through from Association of Schools of Public Health		S5068	-	780	780
Pass-Through from Giner, Inc.		200-2017-95643	167,349	-	167,349
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc. Pass-Through from The National African American Tobacco		NIH-645 DP004975-05-SC11	38,903	14.024	38,903
Pass-Infoughtrom the National Amedian American Tobacco		DF004975-05-3CTT	<u> </u>	14,024	14,024
Total - CFDA 93.283			252,371	318,990	571,361
Discovery and Applied Research for Technological Innovations to Improve					
Human Health	93.286	10015007000 01	761,675	7,196,680	7,958,355
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		1R01EB027099-01 7 R01 EB008101-09	-	16,216 (35,375)	16,216 (35,375)
Pass-Through from Kitware, Inc.		K000688-00-S05	-	(33,373)	(35,375)
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5U01EB023820-03	-	295,579	295,579
Pass-Through from Massachusetts Institute of Technology		5710004091	_	135,987	135,987
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		R01EB027004	226,955	135,055	362,010
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		5998-TAM-DHHS-6035	, -	13,649	13,649
Pass-Through from Shape Memory Medical, Inc.		M1700925	-	10,899	10,899
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - Baltimore		1300021	-	56,294	56,294
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		5R01EB026299-02	-	156,447	156,447
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC8694; PO# BPO9355		88,519	88,519
Pass-Through from Washington University		PO2935503E/WU-18-432 T15 LM007093-24	79,624	112,165	191,789
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University			-	(1,515)	(1,515)
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		1 R21 EB015022 01 1R01EB026893-01A1	-	(648)	(648)
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		2 T15 LM007093-27	-	127,496 21,454	127,496 21,454
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		2T15LM007093-27	_	58,635	58,635
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		2T15LM00709327	_	37,144	37,144
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		4 T15 LM007093-25	_	4,643	4,643
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		4T15LM007093-26	-	44,093	44,093
Total - CFDA 93.286			1,068,254	8,473,446	9,541,700
Teenage Pregnancy Prevention Program	93.297		527,323	1,012,714	1,540,037
Pass-Through from AMTC & Associate		UTA18-001499	-	30,383	30,383
Pass-Through from ETR Associates		TP2AH000027	-	9,960	9,960
Pass-Through from ETR Associates		TP2AH0000310500	-	24,571	24,571
Pass-Through from The Dallas Foundation Pass-Through from Thrive, Inc.		3015-2 M1900857	58,360	- 59,591	58,360 59,591
Total - CFDA 93.297			585,683	1,137,219	1,722,902
Minority Health and Health Disparities Research	93.307		520,397	8,544,785	9,065,182
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	55.507	R01MD013715	J20,J31 -	12,084	12,084
Pass-Through from Cornell University		5R01MD007652	(256)		(256)
Pass-Through from Klein Buendel, Inc.		0311-0162-000	(==3)	366	366
-		MUSC16-079-			
Pass-Through from Medical University of South Carolina		8C186/U54MD010	-	91,022	91,022
	(10	01)			

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Meharry Medical College		5U54MD007586-32	-	72,331	72,331
Pass-Through from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center		BD521003	-	115,626	115,626
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		5U24MD006970-06	=	(78,004)	(78,004)
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		1 U24 MD006970 02	-	(42,835)	(42,835)
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5111578	-	54,802	54,802
Pass-Through from University of Utah		7R01MD010362-03	(000)	16,825	16,825
Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine		CWC III 183678	(220)	15 766	(220) 15,766
Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine		5R01MD007652-05	32,359	15,766	32,359
Pass-Through from Yale University		GR102562	-	29,850	29,850
Total - CFDA 93.307			552,280	8,832,618	9,384,898
Trans-NIH Research Support	93.310		604,224	9,700,562	10,304,786
Pass-Through from American Association of Colleges of Nursing	00.0.0	AID-OR20190348	-	8,531	8,531
Pass-Through from American Association of Colleges of Nursing		270810	-	7,454	7,454
Pass-Through from Boston College		U54GM119023	=	624,088	624,088
Pass-Through from Foundation for Advancing Veterans' Health		LEYKUM/HIBNER/DP2LM			
Research		012890	-	26,546	26,546
Pass-Through from Foundation for Advancing Veterans' Health Research		PERSONNEL HIBNE	_	13,924	13,924
Pass-Through from Northwestern University		60045250 UT	-	167,681	167,681
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		5U54HG008100-04	-	760	760
Pass-Through from Purdue University		1OT2OD023847	-	54,687	54,687
Pass-Through from Temple University		1U01HG00846801	-	11,725	11,725
Pass-Through from Temple University		3U01HG00846802S1	-	2,922	2,922
Pass-Through from Translational Genomics Research Institute		JENSEN-17-03 PPHF-2015; 90FP0019-01-	-	12,452	12,452
Pass-Through from United Way of Tarrant County		00	_	(269)	(269)
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		5UH3TR000923-05	-	75,765	75,765
Pass-Through from University of Florida		P0028934; 00001675	-	21,061	21,061
Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFDSP00012037; P0028932		4.051	4.051
Pass-Through from University of Rochester		417195G/UR FAO GR510	14,072	4,051	4,051 14,072
Pass-Through from University of Utah		U54GM119023	14,072	61,093	61,093
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		5U2COD023196-02 ( )	-	209,695	209,695
Total - CFDA 93.310			618,296	11,002,728	11,621,024
Protecting and Improving Health Globally: Building and Strengthening					
Public Health Impact, Systems, Capacity and Security	93.318				
Pass-Through from Washington State University		126493-G003887	-	49,472	49,472
Outreach Programs to Reduce the Prevalence of Obesity in High Risk					
Rural Areas	93.319		-	7,305	7,305
National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences	93.350		136,296	11,908,101	12,044,397
Pass-Through from Collaboration Pharma		5R21T00171802	, <u>-</u>	118,473	118,473
Pass-Through from Duke University		5U01TR001803-03	7,356	-	7,356
Pass-Through from GODX, Inc.		2R44TR00191202	-	150,171	150,171
Pass-Through from Harvard University		UL1TR002541-02	-	68,454	68,454
Pass-Through from LAM Foundation		MIDAS	(1,800)	-	(1,800)
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Michigan		5U01TR002062-02 K00008522	97,060	541,633	541,633 97,060
Pass-Through from University of Alabama		63-6001138	97,000	48,302	48,302
Pass-Through from University of California - Irvine		2015-3262 03 (W/EXT)	-	1,474	1,474
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		AWD00000243 (132627-6) AWD00000243(132627-	25,290	-	25,290
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		51)/UL	-	40,714	40,714
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		055353	84,545	-	84,545
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		5UL1TR001857-04	-	119,895	119,895
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		5UL1TR00185704	-	26,742	26,742
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		VUMC64330	-	5,663	5,663
Total - CFDA 93.350			348,747	13,029,622	13,378,369

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Research Infrastructure Programs Pass-Through from IsoPlexis	93.351	1R44OD02144501	112,074	8,391,472 1,880	8,503,546 1,880
Pass-Through from Jackson Laboratory		210228-0619-21	7,961	-	7,961
Pass-Through from KJ Biosciences, LLC		039-001	-	3,081	3,081
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center - Shreveport		5R24OD018553-03	_	82,176	82,176
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		1008419-UTRGV	-	120,994	120,994
Pass-Through from Purdue University		4102-86207 11000451-011	-	1,068	1,068
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute		40589/P51OD011133	-	223,119	223,119
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute		45090 / P510D011133	-	37,832	37,832
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Denver Pass-Through from University of Oregon		FY16 745 002/R24OD01 21542OA	-	29,124 2,149	29,124 2,149
Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico - Medical Sciences		210420/1		2,140	2,143
Campus		2016-0001915-C	-	7,486	7,486
Pass-Through from University of Puerto Rico - Medical Sciences		0040 004045 5		05.440	05.440
Campus Pass-Through from Zymo Research Corporation		2016-001915-E R41NS098918-01A1	-	25,148 42,674	25,148 42,674
1 ass-1111ough from 29tho Nessearch Corporation		K41100000010-01A1		42,074	42,074
Total - CFDA 93.351			120,035	8,968,203	9,088,238
21st Century Cures Act - Beau Biden Cancer Moonshot	93.353		80,536	4,144,362	4,224,898
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1U01DE028233-01	-	21,245	21,245
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from City of Hope National Medical Center and Beckman		1U54CA233223-01 U54CA209978-03S1	-	105,480	105,480
Research Institute Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		REVISED 1U2CCA233280-01	-	29,579 150,265	29,579 150,265
Pass-Through from The Wistar Institute		1U54CA224070-01	- -	421,795	421,795
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		R01CA215034	-	203,835	203,835
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		572881; PO 4210190	-	46,408	46,408
Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine		1U01CA233056-01	-	39,203	39,203
Total - CFDA 93.353			80,536	5,162,172	5,242,708
Nurse Education, Practice Quality and Retention Grants	93.359		-	586,133	586,133
Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA), Biodefense Medical Countermeasure Development	93.360		_	104,391	104,391
Pass-Through from Atox Bio, Ltd.	33.300	HHSO1002014000013C	-	567	567
Pass-Through from ICON Government and Public Health Solutions, Inc.		HHSO100201600021C	_	7,664	7,664
Pass-Through from Mapp Biopharmaceutical, Inc.		HHSO100201600021C		7,004	767
Total - CFDA 93.360			-	113,389	113,389
Nursing Research	93.361		191,117	2,709,800	2,900,917
Pass-Through from Indiana University		5R01NR015789-03	-	10,607	10,607
Pass-Through from Palliative Care Research Cooperative Group		2036474	-	2,130	2,130
Pass-Through from University of California Pass-Through from University of Kansas Medical Center Research		R01NR015809	-	29,558	29,558
Institute, Inc.		5R01NR016255-03	-	6,868	6,868
Total - CFDA 93.361			191,117	2,758,963	2,950,080
Flexible Funding Model - Infrastructure Development and Maintenance for State Manufactured Food Regulatory Programs	93.367		-	90,323	90,323
21st Century Cures Act-Precision Medicine Initiative Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	93.368	1OT2OD002751-01	-	108,558	108,558
ACL Independent Living State Grants	93.369	19-1824 / 90ISTA0001-02-			
Pass-Through from TIRR Memorial Hermann		00	-	12,261	12,261
National Center for Research Resources	93.389		-	(348,218)	(348,218)
Cancer Cause and Prevention Research Pass-Through from Albert Einstein College of Medicine Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	93.393	1R01CA222358-01A1 R01 CA127219 l3)	2,257,687 - -	30,994,870 23,531 (11)	33,252,557 23,531 (11)

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA172511-05	-	24,331	24,331
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA187143-05	-	110,790	110,790
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA217105-02	-	32,765	32,765
Pass-Through from Beckman Research Institute Pass-Through from Digital Science Tech		7 R01 CA140245 06 5 R42 CA139822 04	-	(3,188)	(3,188)
Pass-Illiough from Digital Science Tech		A031818/7R01CA200853-	-	(516)	(516)
Pass-Through from Duke University		04	_	29,946	29,946
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		1 R01 CA172415 03	-	(2,303)	(2,303)
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		5R01CA192402-04	-	24,173	24,173
Pass-Through from Georgetown University		AWD-7771785	9,971	-	9,971
Pass-Through from Georgetown University Medical Center		5 U01 CA152958 05	-	(4,164)	(4,164)
Pass-Through from Georgetown University Medical Center		5U01CA199218-04	-	182,413	182,413
Pass-Through from H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute		5R01CA194617-05	-	6,012	6,012
Pass-Through from Kaiser Foundation Research Institute		7R01CA121125-10	-	4,327	4,327
		BERKELEY			
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		LAB/P01CA092584	-	40,882	40,882
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		5P01CA092584-15 5P01CA092584-18	-	(208)	(208)
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7336093	-	81,658 56,671	81,658 56,671
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		7337769	685	-	685
Pass-Through from Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.		14X082		953,868	953,868
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center -					
Shreveport		18-01-001	-	58,122	58,122
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center -		18-01-001 1		100 201	100 201
Shreveport Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5 R01 CA097075 08	-	100,281 (7,683)	100,281 (7,683)
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5R01CA154537-04	-	(48,924)	(48,924)
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5R01CA200703-03	-	114,818	114,818
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5U01CA195568-04	-	335,718	335,718
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic - Arizona		5P01CA077839-14	-	9,803	9,803
Pass-Through from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center		5 R01 CA129639 05	-	(13,298)	(13,298)
Pass-Through from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Pass-Through from National Cancer Institute		5 R01 CA151899 05 1R01CA226078-01	- 28,907	(47,525)	(47,525) 28,907
Pass-Through from National Cancer Institute  Pass-Through from National Cancer Institute		7 R01 CA172669-05	20,907	(40,420)	(40,420)
Pass-Through from Northwestern University		5 R01 CA118890 05	-	(3,137)	(3,137)
Pass-Through from Oregon Health Sciences University		7U01CA217842-03	-	193,825	193,825
Pass-Through from Radiant Creative Group, LLC		1 R41 CA168107 01	-	(134)	(134)
Pass-Through from Radiant Creative Group, LLC		5R42CA168107-04	-	111,693	111,693
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California		5U01CA187945-05 1R01CA232317-01A1	-	109,571	109,571
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Minnesota Pass-Through from Roswell Park Cancer Institute		5R01CA127387-12	-	6,220 65,651	6,220 65,651
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		1R01CA221854-01A1	-	21,131	21,131
Pass-Through from Sinai Health System		7U19CA203654-02	-	76,001	76,001
Pass-Through from Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research		BD517035B/R01CA179115	-	152,247	152,247
Pass-Through from Stanford University		4R01CA172145-03	-	(3,402)	(3,402)
Pass-Through from Thomas Jefferson University		080-30000-S27901	16,181	- FC 14C	16,181
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		000519160-001 000521125-002	-	56,146 14,020	56,146 14,020
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		5R01CA186700-04	- -	169,712	169,712
Pass-Through from University of California - Berkeley		7337769	_	443	443
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		1R21CA234642-01A1	-	14,166	14,166
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		1 R21 CA192072 01A1	-	(9,902)	(9,902)
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		5R01CA177996-04	-	207,105	207,105
Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Barbara Pass-Through from University of Colorado		1R21CA191133-01 18 912 001	-	83	83
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		5 R01 CA140933 05	-	13,522 (465)	13,522 (465)
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		5R01CA193249-04	-	45,909	45,909
Pass-Through from University of Kansas Medical Center		ZAR00070	-	24,367	24,367
Pass-Through from University of Kansas Medical Center		ZAR00080	-	6,497	6,497
Pass-Through from University of Miami		66971E/R01CA155388	-	(350)	(350)
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota Pass-Through from University of New Mexico		5P01CA138338-08	-	119,637	119,637
Pass-I nrough from University of New Mexico Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center		5P01CA206980-03 RS20160790-07	-	13,897 5,180	13,897 5,180
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center		5R01CA172786-05	-	16,035	16,035
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		3R01CA207513-03S1	-	95,664	95,664
Pass-Through from University of Rochester		5 R01 CA157823 03	-	(715)	(715)
Pass-Through from University of Utah		1R01CA224537-01	-	5,902	5,902
	(10	04)			

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Utah		10038380-01	87,305	-	87.305
Pass-Through from University of Utah		5 R01 CA164138 03	-	(1,259)	(1,259)
Pass-Through from University of Utah		7R01CA190329-03	-	(3,664)	(3,664)
Pass-Through from University of Washington		5R01CA168598-05	-	44	44
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		1R01CA225005-01A1	-	7,706	7,706
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		5R01CA202981-03 432006-	-	66,770	66,770
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute		19543/R33CA214176	-	4,922	4,922
Total - CFDA 93.393			2,400,736	34,617,777	37,018,513
Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Research	93.394		3,400,542	20,081,896	23,482,438
Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		ACRIN 6691	-	(450)	(450)
Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		5 U01 CA080098 15	-	(86)	(86)
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1U01CA230997-01	-	2,727	2,727
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA164024-05 5R01CA190776-04 REV	-	49,522	49,522
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		NCE	-	51,076	51,076
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA193776-04	-	30,124	30,124
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7R01CA180949-06	-	124,728	124,728
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7R01CA211892-03	40.005	91,325	91,325
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama at		7000000624	42,285	-	42,285
Birmingham		7R01CA169200-06	-	46,074	46,074
Pass-Through from Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine		5R01CA187415-05	-	195,403	195,403
Pass-Through from Creaty MicroTech, Inc.		5R43CA206840-02 1R44CA199905-01	-	454	454
Pass-Through from C4 Imaging, LLC  Pass-Through from EvoRx Technologies, Inc.		2R44 CA206771-03	-	(24,112)	(24,112) 173,186
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		5U24CA196172-03	_	173,186 (36)	(36)
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		5U24CA196172-04	_	1,604,981	1,604,981
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		U24CA086368	-	62,893	62,893
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		0000936275 0000961972/U24CA08636	264,510	-	264,510
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		8-18	_	33,757	33,757
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		1U24CA230144-01	_	39,516	39,516
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		2U24CA086368-16	(30,279)	-	(30,279)
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		5 U01 CA152746 05	-	(3,081)	(3,081)
Pass-Through from Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center		5U24CA086368-18	-	42,032	42,032
Pass-Through from George Washington University		1U01CA230690-01	-	97,123	97,123
Pass-Through from H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute		5R01CA187532-04	-	23,082	23,082
Pass-Through from Masimo Corporation		5U01CA201777-02	-	41,818	41,818
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5 R01CA169200 05	-	(4,518)	(4,518)
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5R01CA197120-04	-	15,854	15,854
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		5R01CA216991-02	-	15,838	15,838
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		5U01CA189240-04	-	204,546	204,546
Pass-Through from National Cancer Institute Pass-Through from New York University School of Medicine		5U01 CA086402	-	874 453 830	874 452 830
Pass-Through from NuvOx Pharma, LLC		1R01CA225963-01A1 2R44CA14487103-UTSW0	-	153,830 3,841	153,830
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California		5P01CA210961-02	-	53,341	3,841 53,341
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California		7R01CA152923-05	_	12,307	12,307
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Michigan		K00007668	-	121,091	121,091
Pass-Through from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital		112134030-7831584	11,665	-	11,665
Pass-Through from The Research Institute at Nationwide Children's			,		,
Hospital		5U24CA196175-04	-	5,337	5,337
Pass-Through from Thomas Jefferson University		080-30000-S22601	16,495	-	16,495
Pass-Through from Translational Genomics Research Institute		VON HOFF-17-01	-	66,815	66,815
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		1R21CA215415-01A1	-	(16,935)	(16,935)
Pass-Through from University of California - Irvine		5R01CA142989-06	-	11,513	11,513
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5 U24 CA114734 05	-	20,992	20,992
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5P01CA210961-02	-	57,356	57,356
Pass-Through from University of Hawaii		KA1037	122,068	-	122,068
Pass-Through from University of Hawaii		5R01CA19887-02	(1,090)	07.000	(1,090)
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Chicago		5R01CA214825-02	404.070	97,033	97,033
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - Baltimore		1701165 REQUEST: 2010	134,979	-	134,979

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
		00000040 00 00			
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts Medical School		OSP28640-00-PO WA00839188		44,776	44,776
Pass-Through from University of Michigan  Pass-Through from University of Michigan		K00007671	-	44,776 417	44,776 417
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		P01CA085878 03	-	(43)	(43)
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		461U01CA225753-01	-	29,155	29,155
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5 U01 CA086400 14	-	(11,146)	(11,146)
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5R01CA160254-07	-	16,099	16,099
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5U01CA086400-19	-	18,595	18,595
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5U10CA086400-17	-	80,723	80,723
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University		UNIV58314	-	183,243	183,243
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		VUMC 58558	-	54,826	54,826
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5U01CA23184002 5 U24 CA081647 08	-	21,503 (21,811)	21,503 (21,811)
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5U24CA211006-03	_	46,746	46,746
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		7R01CA159471-05	_	(6,601)	(6,601)
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R22512	-	31,947	31,947
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5 U01 CA151886 04	-	(93,669)	(93,669)
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5R01CA185207	-	2,946	2,946
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5R01CA185207-04	-	31,096	31,096
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5R01CA186132-02	-	38,081	38,081
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5R21CA209063-02	-	18,091	18,091
Pass-Through from Yale University		5R01CA155196-05		(268,672)	(268,672)
Total - CFDA 93.394			3,961,175	23,799,369	27,760,544
Cancer Treatment Research	93.395		4,609,009	40,285,377	44,894,386
Pass-Through from Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology		5U10CA076001-17	-	1,735	1,735
Pass-Through from Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology		5U10CA18082101	-	19,800	19,800
Pass-Through from Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology		7U10CA076001 16	-	(102)	(102)
Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		2U24CA180803-06 3U10CA037422-26S1 RE	-	1,424,389	1,424,389
Pass-Through from American College of Radiology Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		5 U10 CA021661 27	-	(40,558) (1,521)	(40,558)
Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		5 U10 CA021661 35	-	(15,142)	(1,521) (15,142)
Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		5 U10CA21661 - 36	_	(12,254)	(12,254)
Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		5U10CA180868-04	-	135,345	135,345
Pass-Through from American College of Radiology		5U24CA180803-05-IR	-	1,414,332	1,414,332
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1R01CA232890-01A1	-	3,979	3,979
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA-206476-03REV	-	17,125	17,125
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA219667-02	-	403,007	403,007
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R21CA190933-02	-	16,050	16,050
Pass-Through from Beckman Research Institute		1R01CA215183-01A1	-	23,928	23,928
Pass-Through from Beckman Research Institute Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		5R01CA201496-04 2P01CA163205-06A1	-	110,666	110,666
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		2U10CA180821-06	-	210,824 100,180	210,824 100,180
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		5 U10 CA076001 17	_	5,659	5,659
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		5U10CA180821-03	-	34,330	34,330
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		5U10CA180821-05	-	100,467	100,467
Pass-Through from Cerrx, Inc.		R44CA183316	-	143,289	143,289
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		ALTE07C1/R01CA212190- 01A	-	103	103
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		CCDR CHAIR- NCORP/UG1CA189		44	44
Pass-Iniough nom Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		COG LEADERSHIP/	-	44	44
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		U10CA18088 COG STUDY	-	7,017	7,017
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		CHAIR/UG1CA1899	-	11,198	11,198
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		UM1CA097452/962410-R	3,164	-	3,164
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		U10CA098543 U10CA098543/COG	-	(96)	(96)
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		LEADERSHI	7.400	21,639	21,639
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		U10CA1080886 U10CA180884	7,139	- 2,559	7,139 2,559
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		U10CA180886	42,308	2,559 12,449	2,559 54,757
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		U10CA180886/COG LEADERSHI	72,300		20,924
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		5UG1CA189955-05	-	20,924 127,247	20,924 127,247
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		5U10CA180884-05	12,677	121,241	12,677
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		5U10CA18088405	6,334	_	6,334
5	(4.0		-,		-,

ster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
EARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		5U10CA180886-04	-	3,591	3,59
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		5U10CA180886-05	-	5,189	5,1
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		9500080215-12C/U10CA	-	299	2
Pass-Through from Children's Oncology Group Foundation		FP15221- 885-01	-	91	
Pass-Through from Children's Research Institute		30004166-05	12,557	-	12,5
Page Through from Children's Possarch Institute		30004166- 06/R01CA212190-0		70.044	70.0
Pass-Through from Children's Research Institute Pass-Through from Christiana Care Health Services		7R01CA138986 03	-	79,844	79,8 (
Pass-Through from City of Hope National Medical Center and Beckman		R01CA194742-03	-	(13)	,
Research Institute		3000098168	_	16,496	16,4
Pass-Through from Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory		5U10CA180944-05	_	19,714	19,7
Pass-Through from CBS Therapeutics, Inc.		1R41CA21346301	_	80,856	80,8
Pass-Through from Duke University Medical Center		5 R01 CA100835 09	_	3	00,0
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Cancer Research Group		5U10CA0211505	45,215	-	45,2
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		ECOGEAY131		27,726	27,7
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		U10CA180820-02CBPF1	_	41,575	41,5
<b>g</b>		U10CA180820-02S1-		,	,-
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		MDA5	-	93,816	93,8
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		U10CA180820-05	-	1,284	1,2
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		U10CA180820-06	-	91,338	91,3
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		5UG1CA189828-04-MDA2 5UG1CA189828-05R-	-	69,364	69,3
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		MDA1	-	98,669	98,6
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		5U10CA180820 05-MDA1	-	8,353	8,3
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		5U10CA180820-04 MDA2	-	8,353	8,3
Pass-Through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc. Pass-Through from Frontier Science & Technology Research		5U10CA180820-05	-	30,286	30,2
Foundation		ECOG U10CA021115	-	87	
Pass-Through from Frontier Science & Technology Research					
Foundation		U10CA021115-39	-	(17,408)	(17,4
Pass-Through from Galera Therapeutics, Inc		1R44CA206795-01A1	-	26	
Pass-Through from Gynecologic Oncology Group Foundation, Inc		NRG-MILLER-GY6	43,553	-	43,5
Pass-Through from Gynecologic Oncology Group Foundation, Inc		5UI0CA27469	(3,313)	(000)	(3,3
Pass-Through from GENSOLVE INC		8R42CA089778-08	-	(388)	(3
Pass-Through from Houston Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		1R43CA210839-01	- 00.400	(315)	(3
Pass-Through from Indiana University		IN4684785UTSW 1 R43 CA150601 01-A	20,436	-	20,4
Pass-Through from IsoTherapeutics Group, LLC Pass-Through from John Wayne Cancer Institute		5R01CA189163-05	-	26	(4 =
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2003868893	-	(1,700) 18,542	(1,7 18,5
Pass-Through from Kiromic Biopharma		1R41CA206652-01A1	_	101,822	101,8
Pass-Through from Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.		HHSN261201500003I	_	78,608	78,6
Pass-Through from Leland Stanford Junior University		5P01CA067166-20	_	258,535	258,5
Pass-Through from Leland Stanford Junior University		5R01CA198291-04	_	48,939	48,9
Pass-Through from Louisiana State University		7 R01 CA131463-04	_	(9,535)	(9,5
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		D5U19CA021239-39	_	372,681	372,6
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5 P01 CA021239 33	-	(13,537)	(13,5
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5 P01 CA163222 03	-	(13,638)	(13,6
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5P01CA163222-05	-	61,173	61,1
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5R01CA193970-04	-	18,731	18,7
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5U19CA021239-39	-	1,238,391	1,238,3
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5UG1CA189823-03	-	24,131	24,1
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5UG1CA189823-05	-	147,980	147,9
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5UG1CA189823-05R	-	69,282	69,2
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5UG1CA18982304	-	9,160	9,1
Pass-Through from Medical College of Wisconsin		1R01CA232892-01	-	106,170	106,1
Pass-Through from Medical College of Wisconsin		5R01CA184798-04	-	13,177	13,1
Pass-Through from Medical College of Wisconsin		7R01CA215403-02	-	90,665	90,6
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		1R01CA224304-01	-	28,419	28,4
		0254-4051-			
Pass-Through from Mount Sinai School of Medicine		4609/P01CA10867		48,095	48,0

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from National Childhood Cancer Foundation		5 U10 CA098543 09	-	(3)	(3)
Pass-Through from National Childhood Cancer Foundation		5 U10 CA98543 09	-	(3,188)	(3,188)
Pass-Through from New York University School of Medicine		5R01CA164295-06	-	(2,688)	(2,688)
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		MRG ONCOLOGY	-	6,400	6,400
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		RTOG-0924	-	30,762	30,762
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		RTOG1071	-	2,953	2,953
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		RTOG9813	40.054	12	12
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc. Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		UTSMC - YR 1 U10CA180868	43,854	- 15,273	43,854
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.  Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		1 U10 CA180868 03	-	(1,631)	15,273 (1,631)
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		2U10CA180868-06	-	3,293	3,293
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		5 U10 CA180868 05	-	6,401	6,401
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		5U10 CA180868 05	-	7,196	7,196
Pass-Through from NRG Oncology Foundation, Inc.		5U10CA180868-05	-	9,611	9,611
Pass-Through from NSABP		1 U10 CA012027 01	-	(1,477)	(1,477)
Pass-Through from NSABP		5 U10 CA012027 37	-	(3,359)	(3,359)
Pass-Through from NSABP		5U10CA012027-37	-	(12,609)	(12,609)
Pass-Through from Ocean Nanotech, LLC		1R44CA196025-01	-	(77)	(77)
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		5R01CA198128-04	-	13,615	13,615
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		UG1CA189974-02	-	(1,241)	(1,241)
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		13035474 2U10CA180888-06	-	136,301	136,301
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		2U10CA1808880-06	-	59,186 664	59,186 664
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		5UG1CA189974-05	-	16,431	16,431
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		5U10CA180888-04	_	8,411	8,411
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		5U10CA180888-05	-	181,750	181,750
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		7 U10 CA037429 29	-	(17,228)	(17,228)
Pass-Through from Oregon Health Sciences University		SWOG PSA	-	118,996	118,996
Pass-Through from Physical Sciences, Inc.		MO2R44CA173998-02A1	-	44,495	44,495
Pass-Through from PLx Opco, Inc.		5R42CA171408-03	-	342,194	342,194
Pass-Through from PLx Pharma, Inc.		2 R42 CA171408-02A1	-	85,142	85,142
Pass-Through from Radiation Oncology Dept		5 U10 CA021661 36	-	(62)	(62)
		5UM1CA121947-12 / 1568			
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California - UCLA		G WA760	-	37,049	37,049
Pass-Through from Saint Louis University		1R01CA230512-01	-	239,941	239,941
Pass-Through from Sanford Burnham Prebys Med Discovery ISI Pass-Through from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital		60361-13160-UTA 5 U24 CA055727 17	-	173,787	173,787
Pass-Through from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital		5U24CA055727-17 5U24CA055727-25	-	(52,537) 172,868	(52,537) 172,868
Pass-Through from Standard Imaging, Inc.		1 R43 CA153824 01		(11,975)	(11,975)
Pass-Through from SWOG Clinical Trials, LLC		U10 CA105409	_	(19,061)	(19,061)
Pass-Through from Targazyme, Inc.		1R44CA192601-01A1	-	(52,858)	(52,858)
Pass-Through from Tetralogic Pharmaceuticals		# 11-2-00070 01	-	(6,573)	(6,573)
Pass-Through from Tosk, Inc.		R44CA189549	-	267,324	267,324
Pass-Through from Translational Genomics Research Institute		BERENS 17-01	-	11,421	11,421
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		7R21CA197527-02	-	71,876	71,876
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		1R01CA201788-01A1	-	17,371	17,371
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		1 R01 CA197059 01	-	(1,187)	(1,187)
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		4P01CA081534-16	-	(13,307)	(13,307)
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		5P01CA081534-11	-	(2,135)	(2,135)
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		5R01CA200574-03	-	190,140	190,140
Pass-Through from University of Florida Pass-Through from University of Hawaii		5R01CA200867-03 7R01CA215753-02	-	84,170	84,170
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Chicago		5R01CA215755-02	-	23,443 69,559	23,443 69,559
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - Baltimore		5R01CA187416-03		(12,175)	(12,175)
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		U10 CA0321034	-	(2,623)	(2,623)
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5 U10 CA032102 33	_	(21,526)	(21,526)
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5R01CA204136-02	-	25,197	25,197
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center		20141429	-	7,826	7,826
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0036120 (123991-2)	31,035	, <u>-</u>	31,035
Pass-Through from University of Rochester		1R01CA214890-01A1	-	16,257	16,257
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		5R01CA206019-03R	-	15,819	15,819
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences		2FUHS 441111 CORE 3	-	197,952	197,952
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences		5P01CA2072006-02	-	154,140	154,140
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences		5R01CA074145-20	-	82,870	82,870
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences		5UG1CA189824-05	-	1,754	1,754
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		3 U24 CA081647-13S2	-	(604)	(604)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Wntrix, Inc. Pass-Through from Wright State University		1R41CA213479-01A1 670776-1; P0054867	-	28,621	28,621
Pass-Through from 7 Hills Pharma, LLC		2R41CA203456-02A1	-	7,857 332,556	7,857 332,556
Total - CFDA 93.395			4,873,968	51,017,702	55,891,670
Concer Dialogy Decease	00.000		0.540.007	00.055.400	04 407 540
Cancer Biology Research Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	93.396	M1803222	2,512,087	28,955,426 40.962	31,467,513 40,962
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA181808-05	-	68,076	68,076
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA183252-04	-	4,494	4,494
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01CA19346604	-	48,438	48,438
Pass-Through from Cedars-Sinai Medical Center		3P01CA098912-15S1	-	98,162	98,162
Pass-Through from Cedars-Sinai Medical Center		5P01CA098912-15	40.047	383,731	383,731
Pass-Through from Emory University Pass-Through from George Washington University		723323 18-M89/7R01CA206529	16,047	33,808	16,047 33,808
Pass-Through from George Washington University		5R01CA20480603	-	95,106	95,106
Pass-Through from Georgetown University		411521-GR411505-UTSM	105,977	-	105,977
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		RJ409-G3	-	3,930	3,930
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		5R01CA163481-04	-	(588)	(588)
Pass-Through from Immudx, LLC		UTA18-001549	-	35,684	35,684
Pass-Through from Kaiser Foundation Research Institute		PRECISE	155,068	-	155,068
Pass-Through from Kaiser Foundation Research Institute Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		RNG209546-UTSW-01 1R01CA112093-10	557,693	(2,687)	557,693 (2,687)
Pass-Through from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center		5P01CA094060-15	-	424,471	424,471
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		7 R01 CA193213	-	21,429	21,429
Pass-Through from Northshore University Healthsystem		EH14-243-S1	-	840	840
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		5R01CA227847-02	-	40,429	40,429
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60059987	-	169,831	169,831
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		UTXAMCA221867	-	78,795	78,795
Pass-Through from Radiation Oncology Dept Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Michigan		RTOG1115 1R01CA227622-01	-	(190) 57,883	(190) 57,883
Pass-Through from Sage Bionetworks		5U24CA209923-02	-	(1,688)	(1,688)
Pass-Through from Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research		BD521707	58,097	(1,000)	58,097
Pass-Through from Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research		BD521707B	58,935	-	58,935
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		5R01CA117638-14	-	17,221	17,221
Pass-Through from The Trustees of Columbia University		1U01CA225431-01	-	20,912	20,912
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		A18-1813-S001	19,787	-	19,787
Pass-Through from University of Miami Pass-Through from University of Michigan		1R01CA222918-01A1 1R01CA227622-01	-	11,286 132,385	11,286 132,385
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5R01CA204969-02	-	126,285	126,285
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5R01CA204969-03	-	15,060	15,060
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center		5R01CA203108-05	-	202,221	202,221
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		5 R01 CA089202 15	-	(2,965)	(2,965)
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		5R01CA177857-05	-	78,137	78,137
Pass-Through from University of Utah		1R01CA217905-01	-	36,623	36,623
Pass-Through from University of Washington Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5R01CA178383-03 R21 CA147912	-	1,464 (609)	1,464 (609)
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R22532 R01CA180279	-	6,476	6,476
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5R01CA180279-05	-	185,694	185,694
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5R21CA209941-02	-	13,210	13,210
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5UH3CA189910-04		54,225	54,225
Total - CFDA 93.396			3,483,691	31,453,967	34,937,658
Cancer Centers Support Grants	93.397		1,983,009	55,867,435	57,850,444
Pass-Through from Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center		5 P50 CA101942 9	- (2.47)	(1,826)	(1,826)
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		PAR-14-353	(247)	-	(247)
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University Pass-Through from City of Hope National Medical Center and Beckman		RES513503 U54CA209978 /	49,735	-	49,735
Research Institute		3000116269	-	2,347	2,347
Pass-Through from City of Hope National Medical Center and Beckman		U54CA209978 /		_,-,-	_,-,
Research Institute Pass-Through from City of Hope National Medical Center and Beckman		3000116723 U54CA209978 /	-	251,530	251,530
Research Institute		3000116738	-	144,632	144,632
D. T		5(GG010188-41);			
Pass-Through from Columbia University		PO#G12946	-	47,148	47,148
Pass-Through from Cornell University Pass-Through from Dana-Farber Cancer Institute		5U54CA210184-03 5U54CA193461-04	-	122,497 95,550	122,497 95,550
	(10	09)		00,000	00,000

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Duke University Medical Center		3 P30 CA014236 35 S	-	(978)	(978)
Pass-Through from Indiana University		UCA196519A	-	4,463	4,463
Pass-Through from Indiana University - School of Medicine		IN4689861UTSW	3,525	-	3,525
Pass-Through from Indiana University - School of Medicine		IN4689884UTSW	18,002	-	18,002
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		AGMT00000217 AGMT000002761	(803)	-	(803)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute  Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		AGMT000002761 AGMT000003011	151,167 46,701	- (195)	151,167 46,506
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		U54CA143837	40,701	(918)	(918)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		1U54CA210181-01	-	1,078	1,078
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		18030028-158	(868)	-	(868)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		5 U54 CA143837-05	-	(8,243)	(8,243)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		5U54CA210181-02	- 0.004	(1,359)	(1,359)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		5U54CA210181-03 7 U54 CA143837 05	9,961	131,419	141,380 (85)
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		5P50CA168505-05	-	(85) 78,949	78,949
Pass-Through from Oregon Health and Science University		7 U54 CA112970 08	-	(4,149)	(4,149)
Pass-Through from Sarcoma Alliance for Research through				, ,	. ,
Collaboration		1 U54 CA168512-01	-	(2,838)	(2,838)
Pass-Through from Sarcoma Alliance for Research through		ELIE 40 A 400 E 40 00		(00)	(00)
Collaboration Pass-Through from Trustees of Indiana University		5U54CA168512-03 IN4689877UTSW	-	(32) 556	(32) 556
Pass-Through from University of Arizona		5 P50 CA095060 09	-	(122,447)	(122,447)
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		VUMC64910	-	3,160	3,160
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5P50CA171963-05	-	(4,978)	(4,978)
Total - CFDA 93.397			2,260,182	56,602,716	58,862,898
Canada Dagaarah Magaaruan	00.000		000.040	0.005.004	0.004.447
Cancer Research Manpower Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	93.398	5 R25 CA160078 04	298,816	8,685,301 (317)	8,984,117 (317)
Pass-Through from Brown University		00000942	_	15,698	15,698
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Denver		5K12CA096913-16/FY17	1,310		1,310
Total - CFDA 93.398			300,126	8,700,682	9,000,808
Cancer Control	93.399		38,499	85,957	124,456
Pass-Through from Aurora Oncology	00.000	M1900839	-	70,550	70,550
Pass-Through from Black Hills Center for American Indian Health		1 P50 CA148110 03	-	(4,920)	(4,920)
Pass-Through from Frontier Science & Technology Research					
Foundation		3U10CA037403-28S1	-	79	79
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5 U10 CA149950 03 5U10CA149950-03	-	(1,212)	(1,212)
Pass-Through from NSABP		1U10CA037377-01	-	(22) 25,541	(22) 25,541
Pass-Through from NSABP		2 U10 CA037377 22	-	(299)	(299)
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		5 P50 CA095817 10	-	(9,675)	(9,675)
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		4 P50 CA094056 16	-	(91,825)	(91,825)
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5P50CA094056-15	-	(47,519)	(47,519)
Total - CFDA 93.399			38,499	26,655	65,154
ACL Centers for Independent Living	93.432	19-1811 / 90ILTA0001-02-			
Pass-Through from TIRR Memorial Hermann		00	-	30,173	30,173
ACL National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research	93.433			639,584	620 594
Pass-Through from Baylor Research Institute	20.400	WOWII	- -	15,555	639,584 15,555
Pass-Through from Baylor Research Institute		41010201701	112,847	-	112,847
Pass-Through from Baylor Research Institute		90IF0106-03-00	-	1,868	1,868
Pass-Through from Baylor Research Institute		901F0091-01-00	-	7,204	7,204
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		RH434-G4 / 520054246	-	39,568	39,568
Pass-Through from Mamorial Hormann Hoalth System		90RTST0001-01-00	-	21,102	21,102
Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System		19-1689 90DPAD0001-02-00	-	60,215 78,578	60,215 78,578
Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System		90DPTB0016	-	13,949	13,949
Pass-Through from Memorial Hermann Health System		90SI5027-03-00	-	17,622	17,622
Pass-Through from TIRR Memorial Hermann		90DPTB00160200	-	18,641	18,641
Pass-Through from TIRR Memorial Hermann		90DP0026 18-1531 (NCE)	-	1,638	1,638

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from TIRR Memorial Hermann Pass-Through from TIRR Memorial Hermann Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Chicago		90DP0075-04-00 90SI5027-03-00 16869-00	- - -	46,237 14,016 37,207	46,237 14,016 37,207
Pass-Through from University of Maryland Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin		90DP099-01-00 1701835A 90IF0083	- -	13,571 26,752	13,571 26,752
Total - CFDA 93.433			112,847	1,053,307	1,166,154
Indian Self-Determination Pass-Through from Northwest Portland Area Indian Health Board	93.441	248-96-0011 / C19-02	-	73,294	73,294
Food Safety and Security Monitoring Project Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh Medical Center	93.448	SCA-140825-01	-	(834)	(834)
Ruminant Feed Ban Support Project	93.449		-	396,933	396,933
ACL Assistive Technology	93.464		-	(10,266)	(10,266)
Affordable Care Act (ACA) Grants to States for Health Insurance Premium Review	93.511		-	119,780	119,780
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention -Affordable Care Act (ACA) - Communities Putting Prevention to Work	93.520		(221)	-	(221)
Affordable Care Act (ACA) Childhood Obesity Research Demonstration	93.535		(682)	-	(682)
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558		-	36,255	36,255
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575		-	1,301,795	1,301,795
Head Start Pass-Through from City of San Antonio Pass-Through from City of San Antonio	93.600	#4600017183 PO 4500425398	- -	3,850 74,741	3,850 74,741
Total - CFDA 93.600			-	78,591	78,591
Developmental Disabilities Basic Support and Advocacy Grants Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from SIDEM, LLC	93.630	700000940 M1802066	-	36,388 899	36,388 899
Total - CFDA 93.630			-	37,287	37,287
University Centers for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities Education, Research, and Service	93.632		-	488,610	488,610
Accountable Health Communities	93.650		37,352	588,762	626,114
Adoption Opportunities	93.652	LITA47 004470 VEAD 4	167,947	396,328	564,275
Pass-Through from Adoption Exchange Association Pass-Through from Adoption Exchange Association		UTA17-001178 YEAR 1 CARRYFORWARD UTA17-001178YEAR 2	- -	71,555 471,800	71,555 471,800
Total - CFDA 93.652			167,947	939,683	1,107,630
Extramural Research Restoration Program: Hurricanes Harvey, Maria, and Irma - non-construction	93.663		-	57,613	57,613
Trans-NIH Recovery Act Research Support	93.701	4 004 04445700 04	-	(12,078)	(12,078)
Pass-Through from University of Chicago Pass-Through from University of Colorado		1 RC1 CA145799 01 5 RC2 CA148394 02	-	1 (75,045)	1 (75,045)
Total - CFDA 93.701			-	(87,122)	(87,122)
Recovery Act - Comparative Effectiveness Research - AHRQ Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center	93.715	5 R01 HS019356 02	-	(97) (1,523)	(97) (1,523)
Total - CFDA 93.715	(11	1)	-	(1,620)	(1,620)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
ARRA - Prevention and Wellness-State, Territories and Pacific Islands Pass-Through from Louisiana State University	93.723	19-21-295	-	41,441	41,441
Mental and Behavioral Health Education and Training Grants Pass-Through from Health Resources and Services Administration	93.732	1 M01HP31374-01-00	43,575 -	2,046,522 316,031	2,090,097 316,031
Total - CFDA 93.732			43,575	2,362,553	2,406,128
PPHF: Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health Program financed solely by Public Prevention and Health Funds Pass-Through from American Heart Association Pass-Through from City of San Antonio	93.738	CDC-REACH-TAMU-01 PO# 4500424545	- -	6,017 39,342	6,017 39,342
Total - CFDA 93.738			-	45,359	45,359
State and Local Public Health Actions to Prevent Obesity, Diabetes, Heart Disease and Stroke (PPHF)	93.757		-	643	643
Evidence-Based Falls Prevention Programs Financed Solely by Prevention and Public Health Funds (PPHF)  Pass-Through from United Way of Tarrant County	93.761	M1800074	-	20,195	20,195
Children's Health Insurance Program Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham	93.767	000518137-001	-	5,312	5,312
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations	93.779		-	103,241	103,241
Opioid STR	93.788		115,000	777,570	892,570
Partner support for heart disease and stroke prevention Pass-Through from American Heart Association Pass-Through from American Heart Association	93.814	104923 104923-A02	- -	7,085 6,966	7,085 6,966
Total - CFDA 93.814			-	14,051	14,051
Ebola Support: Transmission and Prevention Control, Public Health Preparedness, Vaccine Development  Pass-Through from Applied Biotechnology Institute	93.823	YU-EBOLAVACCINE SBIR	-	1,001	1,001
Section 223 Demonstration Programs to Improve Community Mental Health Services	93.829				
Pass-Through from The Montrose Center		1H79SM081815-01	-	97,636	97,636
Cardiovascular Diseases Research Pass-Through from Augusta University Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	93.837	2R25HL10636506 0102043818-5601072527 5T32HL007676-27	4,645,538 - - -	41,890,966 1,106 4,501 13,785	46,536,504 1,106 4,501 13,785
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Boston University		7000000131 7000000136 R01HL141406	- - -	203,224 44,010 45,763	203,224 44,010 45,763
Pass-Through from Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Pass-Through from Dartmouth College Pass-Through from Duke University		4R24HL105333-05 R907/R01HL137157-04 5R01HL118189-05	256 - -	38,671 (758)	256 38,671 (758)
Pass-Through from Duke University Pass-Through from Eastern Virginia Medical School Pass-Through from Geisinger Medical Center Pass-Through from Geisinger Medical Center		5R01HL133618-03 5R01HL139000-02 5R00HL130580-04 5R01HL132397-03	- - -	78,519 89,343 284,314 18,387	78,519 89,343 284,314 18,387
Pass-Through from George Washington University Medical Center Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology Pass-Through from Health Core, Inc.		7 R01 HL105502 03 1R01HL14032501A1 U01HL107407 BEST-CLI		(737) 83,966 7,631	(737) 83,966 7,631
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University Pass-Through from Kaiser Foundation Research Institute		2004333054 RNG200103-AUSTIN-01	-	15,058 5,653	15,058 5,653
Pass-Through from Kaiser Foundation Research Institute		5R01HL122658 / 200103- 07 KBT17-	-	46,088	46,088
Pass-Through from Koronis Biomedical Technologies Corporation Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital	(11	VENTRICLEMONITOR-10 A5332 2)	49,210	7,293 -	7,293 49,210

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		SITE 31473 A5332 / 3	-	27,805	27,805
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		230744	112,546	10,491	123,037
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5-U01-HL23336-02	(34,259)	-	(34,259)
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5U01HL123336-05	64,042	-	64,042
Pass-Through from Medical College of Wisconsin		4R01HL111392-05	(9,077)	- (0-0)	(9,077)
Pass-Through from Medical University of South Carolina		5 R21 HL090598 02	-	(373)	(373)
Pass-Through from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		5R01HL129472-04 R01HL132155-04	-	2,324 41,178	2,324 41,178
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		7R01HL134740-02	_	323,408	323,408
Pass-Through from Michigan Technological University		1702063Z2	_	16,965	16,965
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		1R01HL140562-01	-	294,854	294,854
Pass-Through from New England Research Institutes		M1900757	-	5,292	5,292
Pass-Through from New England Research Institutes		U24HL135691 EMENT 2	3,273	-	3,273
Pass-Through from New England Research Institutes		5U24HL135691-02	19,698	-	19,698
Pass-Through from New York Medical College		123200	17,045	-	17,045
Pass-Through from New York Medical College		123201	44,990	=	44,990
Pass-Through from New York University School of Medicine		18-A0-00-1001368 PRJ 111354		43,930	43,930
Pass-Through from Northern California Institute - Research and		111334	-	43,930	43,930
Education		5R01HL114563-05	17,494	_	17,494
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60045505	-	50,106	50,106
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60064759	3,170	, -	3,170
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60066852/R01HL142673	· -	170,027	170,027
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60067103	-	150,121	150,121
Pass-Through from Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation		1R01HL13162501A1	-	26,267	26,267
Pass-Through from Optima Integrated Health, Inc.		1R43HL140624-01	-	17,895	17,895
Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc.		M1702631-15 M1800411-16	-	17,632	17,632
Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc. Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc.		M1901004-1-16	-	9,538 74,586	9,538 74,586
Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc.		M1901004-20 M1901005-27	-	70,173	70,173
Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc.		M1901696	-	63,067	63,067
Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc.		M1901697-32	_	62,126	62,126
Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc.		M1901698-33	-	62,615	62,615
Pass-Through from RTI International		5U10HL11999105	-	17,559	17,559
Pass-Through from Saint Louis University		ERS# 42558	4,068	-	4,068
Pass-Through from Saint Louis University		ERS#42558	2,892	-	2,892
Pass-Through from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital		112141030-7813060	-	40	40
Pass-Through from Tufts University		5R01HL135920-02 R1193216 /	-	37,652	37,652
Pass-Through from University at Buffalo - Suny		R01HL14267302	_	14,879	14,879
Pass-Through from University of Akron		5410496-UTA	_	14,793	14,793
Pass-Through from University of Alabama		R01HL118067	_	4,848	4,848
Pass-Through from University of Alabama		5U01HL12033805	-	38,359	38,359
		000513373-SP005-			
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		001/P01HL	-	84,197	84,197
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		000518176-005 A02	36,782	<del>-</del>	36,782
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		5UH3HL125163-05	-	152,854	152,854
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		5U01HL120338-05	-	139,330	139,330
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Denver Pass-Through from University of Georgia		FY18 852 001 00001535	-	5,452 106,759	5,452 106,759
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		R01HL139918	-	7,131	7,131
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		1001462796/R18HL1162	_	(98)	(98)
Pass-Through from University of Kentucky		5U01HL143508-02	-	9,779	9,779
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5R01HL122684-04	-	31,939	31,939
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5R01HL141292-02	-	29,691	29,691
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5R35HL135824-03	-	15,327	15,327
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		N005339715	7,797	-	7,797
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		5R01HL116720-05	-	20,469	20,469
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		5R01HL116720-06 SP11917-SB4	- 15 721	110,981	110,981
Pass-Through from University of Mississippi Medical Center Pass-Through from University of Missouri		C00054623-1	15,721 6,049	-	15,721 6,049
Pass-Through from University of Missouri		1R01HL139523-01	0,049	63,725	63,725
Pass-Through from University of Missouri		1R01HL142133-01	-	69,278	69,278
,		5110535/R01HL139842-		<del>, - · +</del>	,
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina		01A1	-	60,430	60,430
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5R01HL11706305	-	8,825	8,825
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5R01HL142302-02	-	64,980	64,980
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania	,	576601	-	77,670	77,670
	(11	3)			

ster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
SEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		5R01HL128304-04	-	10,821	10,82
Pass-Through from University of Rochester		416676	-	7,187	7,18
Pass-Through from University of Rochester		5R01HL123346-05	-	212,787	212,78
Pass-Through from University of South Carolina		1R01HL146462-01	21,745	-	21,74
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		6143-1181-00-A	-	5,687	5,68
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		6143-1182-00-A	13,843	=	13,84
Pass-Through from University of Virginia		5R01HL123627-04 UWSC7567 PO# BPO	-	57,508	57,50
Pass-Through from University of Washington		23749	-	31,940	31,94
Pass-Through from University of Washington		2R01HL105756-07 2R01HL105756-07	-	62,493	62,49
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC10626	-	45,858	45,85
Pass-Through from University of Washington		3U01HL120393-04S1 5R01HL120393-04	-	11,933	11,93
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC8671 5U01HL077863-11	-	50,295	50,29
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC6018	-	237,583	237,58
Pass-Through from University of Washington		5U01HL07786307 5U01HL120393-04 UWSC	-	5,900	5,90
Pass-Through from University of Washington		9710 5U01HL120393-	-	(3,433)	(3,43
Pass-Through from University of Washington		04/UWSC7568	-	26,930	26,93
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		VUMC70167	37,041	99,286	136,32
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		VUMC70167 1	· -	21,389	21,38
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences		WFUHS 117900	-	381,530	381,53
Pass-Through from Washington University		5R01HL118305-04	-	17,350	17,3
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R01HL138126	-	115,744	115,74
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5R01HL127260-04	-	297,382	297,38
Pass-Through from Windmill Cardiovascular Systems, Inc.		UTA16-000571	-	14,978	14,97
Pass-Through from Windmill Cardiovascular Systems, Inc.		UTA18-001052 GK000389 (CON-	-	56,537	56,53
Pass-Through from Yale University		800000125) M17A12701(CON-	-	32,218	32,21
Pass-Through from Yale University		80000650)	-	55,638	55,63
Total - CFDA 93.837			5,079,864	47,459,200	52,539,06
ung Diseases Research	93.838		298,234	7,034,387	7,332,62
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01HL12979404	-	25,452	25,45
Pass-Through from Boston University		4500002777	-	59	5
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		3201160619	413	-	41
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		3210920616	-	74,646	74,64
Pass-Through from Emory University		5R01HL139876-02	-	286,052	286,05
Pass-Through from George Washington University		R01HL098354	-	33,174	33,17
		R01HL098354 CENTER			
Pass-Through from George Washington University Pass-Through from George Washington University		#26 U01HL098354	-	40,653 218	40,65 21
Pass-Through from George Washington University		2-AF-0Y; PO# 1000226635		40.500	40.50
Pass-Through from George Washington University		2R01HL09835404	-	18,560	18,56
Pass-Through from George Washington University		5R01HL098354-05	-	(1,365) 16,426	(1,36
Pass-Through from George Washington University		5R01HL09835405	_	13,004	16,42 13,00
Pass-Through from National Jewish Health		20072510/R01HL089897	_	8,760	8,76
Pass-Through from Pulmotect, Inc.		5R44HL127677-06	_	17,438	17,43
Pass-Through from Southern Methodist University		G001742-7505	_	32	17,75
Pass-Through from Southern Methodist University		R01HL142775	_	51,059	51,0
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		U01HL123009	_	2,439	2,43
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5U01HL134766-03	-	36,637	36,6
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		5 R01 HL094183 03	_	(2,353)	(2,3
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5 R01 HL097000 04 9012549(130129-	-	(1,012)	(1,01
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		48)/U01HL1	-	45,109	45,10
Pass-Through from University of Vermont		31438 52240	-	2,813	2,81
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		5P01HL088594-10	77,795	-	77,79
Total - CFDA 93.838			376,442	7,702,188	8,078,63

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
DESCADOU AND DEVEL ODMENT OF HETE (Continued)					
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Blood Diseases and Resources Research	93.839		404,876	3,431,266	3,836,142
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	33.033	7000000060		30,989	30,989
Pass-Through from Brown University		00000627	-	35,401	35,401
Pass-Through from Halcyon Biomedical, Inc.		HB-UH-002	-	2,901	2,901
		MUSC17-052-			
Pass-Through from Medical University of South Carolina		8C868/R01HL133	-	4,870	4,870
Pass-Through from Medical University of South Carolina		MUSC17-060-8C868	15,798	- (4.044)	15,798
Pass-Through from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Pass-Through from National Marrow Donor Program		1 R01 HL111130 01A1 5U01HL069294-14	-	(1,941) 5,162	(1,941) 5,162
Tass-Though nom National Mariow Donor Frogram		1013272-	-	5,102	5,102
Pass-Through from Oregon Health Sciences University		UTHSCSA/R01HL1441	-	127,830	127,830
		HHSN2682018000051/HH			
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		SN26800001	-	134,139	134,139
		MUSC17-052-			
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		8C868/R01HL133	-	963	963
Pass-Through from University of Chicago		5R01HL13334-02 SPC-000902	-	59,863	59,863
Pass-Through from University of Miami Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0057723 (130653-2)	-	106,172	106,172 17,203
Pass-Through from University of Washington		5R01HL134894-02	-	17,203 70,363	70,363
Pass-Through from Versiti Wisconsin, Inc		1000115-UTSMC	-	338	338
Pass-Through from Virginia Commonwealth University		5P01HL10715207	-	132,250	132,250
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		WU-15-4221	10,390	-	10,390
Total - CFDA 93.839			431.064	4,157,769	4,588,833
			101,001	1,107,700	1,000,000
Translation and Implementation Science Research for Heart, Lung, Blood Diseases and Sleep Disorders	93.840				
Pass-Through from Parkland Health and Hospital Systems	93.040	OTHER-13025	-	46,330	46,330
Authoritis Maranda da latal and Oliva Disassas Danasah	00.040		000 000	0.450.000	0.075.000
Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases Research	93.846	U01AR068043- 01A1 /	923,360	8,452,639	9,375,999
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		PS#113296	_	5,095	5,095
Pass-Through from Columbia University		1(GG014632); PO G13310	-	18,508	18,508
Pass-Through from Feinstein Institute for Medical Research		5 R01 AR044422 13	-	(1,138)	(1,138)
Pass-Through from Indiana University		1R01AR074473-01	-	15,162	15,162
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		R01AR073178	=	142,643	142,643
		700021-0719-00; P O			
Pass-Through from Nationwide Children's Hospital		4602138-0-46	-	168,146	168,146
Pass-Through from New York University School of Medicine		17-A1-00-006916 PO# M190174270		00.005	00.005
Pass-Through from Penn State Hershey Medical Center		UTSWAR01077	84,261	86,695 (4,675)	86,695 79,586
Pass-Through from Penn State Hershey Medical Center		1U01AR071077-01	1,177	(4,675)	1,177
r dec rineagrinoini oim olale rioronoj medical come.		5995-THSCSA-DHHS-	.,		.,
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		3364/1R0	-	36,286	36,286
Pass-Through from Progenitec, Inc.		2R44AR064650-02A 1	-	25,737	25,737
Pass-Through from Sonoran Biosciences, Inc		M1703069	-	1,491	1,491
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		5R01AR064820-05	-	26,699	26,699
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5UH2AR067688-02	-	2,297	2,297
Pass-Through from University of Delaware		5R01AR054385-09	-	107,085	107,085
Pass-Through from University of Kentucky Research Foundation		1R01AR07139801A1	-	30,197	30,197
Pass-Through from University of Nevada - Reno Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		UNR-18-50 1R01AR069503-01A1	-	32,066	32,066
Pass-Through from Via Therapeutics, LLC		UTA18-001536	-	(4,339) 38,779	(4,339) 38,779
Pass-Through from Weill Cornell Medicine		180654	39,358	175,896	215,254
Tatal OFDA 00 040			1 0 10 150		10 100 105
Total - CFDA 93.846			1,048,156	9,355,269	10,403,425
Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases Extramural Research	93.847		2,831,388	53,033,529	55,864,917
Pass-Through from Albert Einstein College of Medicine		6R03DK11602302	-	6,492	6,492
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		PO 7000000656	-	6,486	6,486
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1R01DK122784-01	-	34,848	34,848
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		2P30DK056338-16	-	26,812	26,812
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		4P30DK056338-14	-	1,583	1,583
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5P30DK05338-15 5P30DK056338-15 / 9649-	-	8,989	8,989
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		NS	-	9,922	9,922
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5P30DK056338-16	-	20,508	20,508
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01DK101411-05	-	14,354	14,354
	/4.4	(T)			

ster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
EARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01DK111522-03	-	62,146	62,14
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5T32DK060445-14	-	61,296	61,29
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5U24DK097748-05	1,489	-	1,48
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		50723 - PO 7000000698	-	10,626	10,62
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000225 7000000295	-	108,664	108,66
Pass-Through from Broad Institute, Inc.		5U01DK105554-04	-	16,644 10,405	16,64 10,40
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		RES512839/3500-07429	6,977	10,403	6,97
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		RES513284	243,419	_	243,41
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		RES513301	25,642	-	25,64
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		RES514011	22,056	-	22,05
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		2U01DK094157-07	(3,709)	-	(3,70
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		5DP3DK114812-02 2U01DK66174-17	22,102	-	22,10
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		3301820719 5U01DK66174-17	-	77,974	77,97
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		3301820719	-	11,165	11,16
Pass-Through from Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center		5 R01 DK102759 03	-	(452)	(45
Pass-Through from Clearnano, Inc.		R43DK116368	-	18,975	18,97
Pass-Through from Epigen Biosciences, Inc.		1023/2R44DK092005-04 GRADE-	-	248,675	248,67
Pass-Through from George Washington University		GWU/U01DK098246-06	158,008	114,571	272,57
Pass-Through from George Washington University Pass-Through from George Washington University		S-GRD1819-LU34 15-D16/U01DK061230-14	510,031	(925)	509,10 179,5
Pass-Through from George Washington University		18-M88/7R01DK115219-02	-	179,540 13,908	13,9
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		5U54DK08390910	-	191,861	191,8
Pass-Through from Indiana University		IN-4687790-TTU IN-4687985-TAM PO	-	42,042	42,0
Pass-Through from Indiana University		0056759	-	7,002	7,0
Pass-Through from Indiana University		1R01DK116963-01A1	-	7,283	7,2
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2002825154	35,216	(1,529)	33,6
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2004330831	-	15,724	15,7
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		5R01DK108803-03	-	4,795	4,7
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		5R21DK112087-02	-	695	6
Pass-Through from Joslin Diabetes Center		5UC4DK101108-02	-	6,198	6,1
Pass-Through from Maine Medical Center		OXBURH R24-03	234,271	-	234,2
Pass-Through from Maine Medical Center		ROSEN R24-04 3R24DK406743-03S1	32,767	-	32,7
Pass-Through from Maine Medical Center Pass-Through from Maine Medical Center		5R24DK10673-04	-	828	8:
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		227523	51,983	2,282	2,2 51,9
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5U01DK078616-12	51,305	58,907	58,9
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		1R15DK109524-01	-	99,241	99,2
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		1R15DK117384-01	-	96,779	96,7
Pass-Through from Northwestern University Medical School		60040283 TAMU PAO0008-	-	7,159	7,1
Pass-Through from Palo Alto Veterans Institute for Research		01/R01DK103758 UTXSADK082183/U01DK0	-	97,830	97,8
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		82183	-	9,138	9,1
Pass-Through from Profusa, Inc.		M1702630-14	-	14,041	14,0
Pass-Through from Purdue University		4102-77894	-	(78,942)	(78,9
Pass-Through from Ridgeline Therapeutics, LLC		1R41DK11905201	-	115,182	115,18
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute		59193/R56DK114703	-	23,426	23,42
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute		67979/1R01DK118630	-	1,727	1,72
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute		67981	-	46,548	46,5
Pass-Through from Texas Medical Center Digestive Disease Center		5P30DK056338-15 2U01DK098245-06	40.640	(40)	40.0
Pass-Through from Tufts Medical Center, Inc. Pass-Through from Tulane University		TUL-HSC-553789-15/16	48,648	99,741	48,6- 99.7-
Pass-Through from Tvardi		4R42DK104494-02	_	88,297	88,29
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		98637640	-	36,304	36,3
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5R01DK11226802	-	(10,562)	(10,5
Pass-Through from University of Florida		5R01DK105346-04	-	220,878	220,8
Pass-Through from University of Illinois		5R01DK101536	-	32,699	32,6
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Champaign - Urbana		087695-16513	-	185,121	185,1
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		S00544-01	8,265	(2,167)	6,0
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		1R21DK096327-01	(551)	-	(5
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		5R01DK118752-02	· -	33,158	33,1
Pass-Through from University of Louisiana - Monroe		BSK070-UNT-211036-00		61,907	61,90

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
oluster Name/rederal Granto//r Tograni Name/ Eass-tillough Entity	OI DA	Other Identifying No.	Littles	Experientes	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Maryland		5R01DK11161103	-	101,066	101,066
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		K00008658	1,415	-	1,415
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		U54DK083912	-	6,279	6,279
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3U01DK082863-09S1	(189)	-	(189)
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3004206298 3004880283/5R24DK0828	27,697	-	27,697
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		41-0	-	267,486	267,486
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		N006254902	-	48,314	48,314
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Lincoln		N006660601 24-1219-0002-002	-	17,020	17,020
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		3R01DK095962-02S1	(100)	17,670	17,670 (100)
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0048553 (127065-4)	(100)	68,681	68,681
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0058732(131232-1)	_	45,359	45,359
Pass-Through from University of Rochester		5R01DK100163-02	-	(699)	(699)
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		AGR #6119-1295-00	(54,444)	· -	(54,444)
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		6163-1051-00-A	411,894	(561)	411,333
Pass-Through from University of South Florida		6382-1040-00-A	-	6,678	6,678
Pass-Through from University of Utah		10044413-01	30,087	-	30,087
Pass-Through from University of Washington		10473/U2CDK114886-01	-	4,305	4,305
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		560K604	22,516	(2,561)	19,955
Pass-Through from Veterans Medical Research Foundation		096530001-322296 FP00001115-	11,419	-	11,419
Pass-Through from Virginia Commonwealth University		SA002/R01DK107		2,874	2,874
Pass-Through from Virginia Commonwealth University		3R01DK094818-05	98,575	2,074	98,575
Pass-Through from VitalQuan, LLC		4R44DK113831-02	4,708	-	4,708
Pass-Through from VitalQuan, LLC		5R44DK105619-03	55,865	-	55,865
Pass-Through from Vivonics, Inc		VIVONICS 2019	59,626	-	59,626
Pass-Through from Wayne State University		WSU18082	, <u>-</u>	258,967	258,967
Pass-Through from Yale University School of Medicine		5-P30-DK-0793-10	(181)	-	(181)
Total - CFDA 93.847			4,896,890	56,451,166	61,348,056
Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological					
Disorders	93.853		7,481,233	53,068,906	60,550,139
Pass-Through from Agnes Scott College		1 R01 NS073134 01 A	-	(2,328)	(2,328)
Pass-Through from Amprion, Inc. Pass-Through from Arizona State University		5SB1NS079060-05 1R21NS107985-01	-	164,374 90,188	164,374 90,188
Pass-Through from Astrocyte Pharmaceuticals Inc.		R41 NS093756-01	-	3,882	3,882
Pass-Through from Asuragen, Inc.		200074 2R44NS089423-02	_	(74)	(74)
Pass-Through from Asuragen, Inc.		300439	_	38,858	38,858
Pass-Through from Augusta University		29073-1/R01NS088058-03	-	94,639	94,639
Pass-Through from Augusta University		29457-10/R01NS090083	-	(5,320)	(5,320)
Pass-Through from Augusta University		33880-10/R01 NS090083	-	6,171	6,171
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01NS085171-07	-	14,080	14,080
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01NS094280-03	-	468,914	468,914
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5U01NS094368-03 U01NS074425 MAGDY	-	196,079	196,079
Pass-Through from Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center		SELIM	-	21,370	21,370
Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital		4U01NS082320-05	-	354	354
Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital		5U01NS082320-05	-	28,139	28,139
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		5U54NS092090-05 RES513851	- 43,225	62,132	62,132 43,225
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		R01NS110823	43,223	7,807	7,807
Pass-Through from CerSci Therapeutics, Inc.		1R41NS10705801	-	57,341	57,341
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		FP10921-A1- 04-01	7,095	-	7,095
Pass-Through from Children's Research Institute		7U01NS081041-05	9,310	-	9,310
Pass-Through from Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center		137754	1,657	-	1,657
Pass-Through from City of Hope National Medical Center and Beckman		04004 0000547 000004			
Research Institute		61324 2006517 669301	-	13,767	13,767
Pass-Through from Cleveland Clinic Foundation Pass-Through from Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine		5R01NS089212-04REV 5R01NS089641-03	-	101,069 5.723	101,069 5 723
Pass-Through from Columbia University		5R01NS094596-03	-	5,723 27,270	5,723 27,270
Pass-Through from DermaXon, LLC		1R41NS10530401A1	- -	64,744	64,744
Pass-Through from Drexel University		5R01NS097880-02	-	17,928	17,928
Pass-Through from Drexel University		800178	-	43,811	43,811
Pass-Through from Emory University		1U24NS100673-02	-	1,792	1,792
Pass-Through from Illinois Institute of Technology		1UG3NS09555701A1	-	183,429	183,429
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University	(4.4	1U01NS080824-01A1	-	14,119	14,119

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2001989070 4U01NS080824-04 /	5,600	-	5,600
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2003044879	-	8,631	8,631
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine		2004155419	-	16	16
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine		5R21NS095342-02	48,610	-	48,610
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		NN107-FX-LEARN	2,341	-	2,341
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		NN108-TOPCSPN	3,308	-	3,308
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		1U01NS090259-01A1	62,857	-	62,857
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		224002	18	-	18
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		UNI-215972-03	-	72	72
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5U01NS080168-5	-	366	366
Pass-Through from Medical College of Wisconsin		MCW-SIREN	8,066	- (4.47)	8,066
Pass-Through from Medical University of South Carolina Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		MUSC15-105 5R01NS08864505	-	(147) 35,783	(147) 35,783
Pass-Through from New York University School of Medicine		5R01NS102845-03	-	283,487	283.487
Pass-Through from Northwestern University		U01NS080818	-	14,358	14,358
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		R01NS106170	-	(2,074)	(2,074)
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		1R01NS104332-01A1	-	6,262	6,262
Pass-Through from Partners HealthCare Research Management		NN106-CYTO-C	-	3,903	3,903
Pass-Through from Partners HealthCare Research Management		1U01NS090259-01	-	27,835	27,835
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		UTANS108407	-	16,162	16,162
Pass-Through from Research Foundation for the State University of					
New York		72198	-	83,055	83,055
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Suny		72198-1126636-2	7,948	-	7,948
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		5R01NS102382-02 / 0418	-	48,257	48,257
Pass-Through from Southern Methodist University		1R21NS08447401A1	-	12,467	12,467
Pass-Through from SRI International		1R21NS106882-01	42,629	- 00.540	42,629
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		5U01NS092595-04 R01NS106902	-	29,542	29,542
Pass-Through from University of Arizona Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		1640GRB658/R01NS0657	-	220,184 461	220,184 461
Pass-Through from University of California - Eos Angeles Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		1U01NS092764-01A1/97	379	401	379
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		1U01NS09276401A1	(208)	_	(208)
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		10499SC	1,725	-	1,725
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		3U01NS098971-03S1	-,, 25	29,864	29,864
,		5U01NS0292764-03 /		-,	-,
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		9721SC	35,342	-	35,342
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5U01NS086090-05	-	84,670	84,670
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5U54NS092089-03	(162)	-	(162)
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		7898SC 10	-	43,044	43,044
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		7898SC 6	-	85,538	85,538
Dana Thomash form Hairmanita of Obsainanti		010785-		0.40	0.40
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati		133375/ARCADIA/U01 1U01NS095869-01A1	-	840	840
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati		1U01NS100699-01A1	-	8,765	8,765
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati		REVISED	_	59,144	59,144
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati		1U01NS102353-01	-	2,229	2,229
r add rineagh nom emiterally or emoninal		5R01NS047603-13		2,220	2,220
Pass-Through from University of Cincinnati		SAP#1011436	-	126,481	126,481
Pass-Through from University of Kentucky Research Foundation		UKRF 2018	-	595	595
Pass-Through from University of Kentucky Research Foundation		5P01NS097197-02	2,214	-	2,214
Pass-Through from University of Maryland		R21NS101245	-	24,099	24,099
Pass-Through from University of Maryland - Baltimore		5 R01 NS055126 05 SPC-	-	3	3
Pass-Through from University of Miami		000476/U54NS092091	-	34,586	34,586
Pass-Through from University of Miami		SPC-000975	-	13,490	13,490
Pass-Through from University of Miami		5U54NS092091-05	-	67,081	67,081
Pass-Through from University of Miami School of Medicine		5R01NS084288-02	(1,844)	-	(1,844)
Pass-Through from University of Miami School of Medicine		662706	(80)	-	(80)
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		U01NS069498	-	38,874	38,874
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		U01NS079077	-	3,476	3,476
Pass-Through from University of Michigan Pass-Through from University of Michigan		U01NS088034 1R01NS099653-01A1	-	61,973 526	61,973 526
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		1U01NS099046-01A1	<del>-</del>	6,660	6,660
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5R21NS088152-02	<del>-</del>	223	223
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		565257 4 (W/EXT)	-	34,541	34,541
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		567628	-	257,645	257,645
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		569365	-	43,231	43,231
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0050469 (127678-1)	-	13,653	13,653
	(11				

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
DESEADOU AND DEVELOPMENT OF HEED (Continued)					
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		5R01NS095884-04	-	31,021	31,021
Pass-Through from University of Virginia		GB10094 157960	-	15,877	15,877
Pass-Through from University of Virginia		GB10253 155962	33,295	, -	33,295
Pass-Through from University of Virginia		5-U01-NS069498-04	(535)	-	(535)
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		835K833	-	34,371	34,371
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		851K723	-	6,698	6,698
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		851K723 1 W/EXT	-	4,797	4,797
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University		R21NS109521	-	40,121	40,121
Pass-Through from Virginia Commonwealth University		7R01NS101959-03		4,843	4,843
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences		WFUHS 112149	141,440	-	141,440
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences		WFUHS 112671	86,101	-	86,101
Pass-Through from Wake Forest University Health Sciences		WFUHS 115696 WU-18-741 (W/EXT);PO	(15,082)	-	(15,082)
Pass-Through from Washington University		2934610E		11,037	11,037
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		WU-15-3134	28.942	11,037	28,942
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		WU-16-3764	20,942	1,529	1,529
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5R01NS06506909	_	1,513	1,513
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R01NS081854	_	(41)	(41)
·				· /	, ,
Total - CFDA 93.853			8,035,424	56,770,781	64,806,205
Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	93.855		14,166,025	89,176,857	103,342,882
Pass-Through from Albert Einstein College of Medicine		31197B; PO719693	-	247,736	247,736
Pass-Through from Albert Einstein College of Medicine		5U19AI10346106	-	167,087	167,087
Pass-Through from Applied Biotechnology Institute		HUNG-ABI	-	331	331
Pass-Through from Autoimmunity Biologic Solutions, Inc		1R41Al14132301A1	-	24,225	24,225
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		HHSN272201300015I	-	3,687	3,687
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		U19AI144297-01	-	4,209	4,209
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1R21AL144555-01	-	14,067	14,067
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		1U19AI144297-01	-	259	259
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		3 P30 Al036211 20S1	-	(283)	(283)
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01Al135803-02 70000000256	-	41,498	41,498
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000256	-	179,959 168,035	179,959 168,035
Pass-Through from Benaroya Research Institute at Virginia Mason		BRI FY19ITN327	-	1,555	1,555
Pass-Through from Benaroya Research Institute at Virginia Mason		FY18ITN310	_	5,179	5,179
Pass-Through from Benaroya Research Institute at Virginia Mason		FY19ITN106	_	1,342	1,342
Pass-Through from Benaroya Research Institute at Virginia Mason		5UM1AI109565-05	3,853	(2,215)	1,638
Pass-Through from Benaroya Research Institute at Virginia Mason		5UM1AI109565-06	-	(2,429)	(2,429)
Pass-Through from Benaroya Research Institute at Virginia Mason		5UM1AI109565-06 ITN075AI		16 140	16 140
Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital		GENFD0001619771	-	16,140 84,156	16,140 84,156
Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital		GENFD0001666350	_	23,693	23,693
Pass-Through from Boston College		5105252	_	55,869	55,869
Pass-Through from Brandeis University		5R21AI14003002	_	13,580	13,580
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5UM1AI068636-11 / FUND		.0,000	.0,000
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		110207	_	41,387	41,387
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		5UM1AI068636-12	_	64,323	64,323
		5UM1AI068636-12 FUND			
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital		111669	-	31,788	31,788
Pass-Through from Calder Biosciences, Inc.		UTA18-001201	-	69,815	69,815
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		5P01AI106705-05	-	392,961	392,961
Pass-Through from Catholic University of America		1R01Al11153801	-	68,625	68,625
Pass-Through from City of Hope National Medical Center and Beckman		Al129582/61325 2006525			
Research Institute		669301	-	13,767	13,767
Pass-Through from Columbia University		GG011896-20	-	334	334
Pass-Through from Duke University		UM1AI104681 SITE 0097 UM1AI104681	-	9,217	9,217
Pass-Through from Duke University		225440/235058	-	27,359	27,359
Pass-Through from Duke University		203 7703/UM1AI104681	-	12,600	12,600
Pass-Through from Duke University		2034060	-	(279)	(279)
Pass-Through from Duke University		2037803	-	73,928	73,928
Pass-Through from Duke University		5R01Al12771502	-	44,332	44,332
Pass-Through from Duke University		5UM1AI104681-07	-	64,096	64,096
Pass-Through from East Carolina University		A19-0042-S001/216249	-	77,883	77,883
Pass-Through from Etubics Corporation		5R01Al11136405	-	45,251	45,251
Pass-Through from Excara Pharmaceuticals, LLC		2-M1800288	-	19,798	19,798
Pass-Through from Excelsior Biofilms, LLC		R41Al136134	-	23,580	23,580

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Family Health International		237413005	_	19,521	19,521
Pass-Through from Feinstein Institute for Medical Research		201802002-002	-	62,502	62,502
Pass-Through from Florida State University		1591961248A3	-	10	10
Pass-Through from Fundacao de Desenvolvimento da Pesquisa		UTA19-000605	-	10,844	10,844
Pass-Through from George Washington University		UM1AI068619 / 17-M79	-	233,357	233,357
Pass-Through from George Washington University		5R01Al12556204	-	122,252	122,252
Pass-Through from George Washington University Pass-Through from George Washington University		5R21Al12384002 5UM1Al069503-13	-	18,730	18,730 928,746
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		SPA00012854-02	(4,136)	928,746	(4,136)
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		SPA00012854-04	(3,798)	- -	(3,798)
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		SP00013286-04	64,475	-	64,475
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		1R21AI13993401	, -	47,833	47,833
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		5R01AI11465403	=	13,326	13,326
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		5U19AI10966405	-	283	283
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		5U19AI10966406	-	361,233	361,233
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		5U19AI10994506	-	190,198	190,198
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		5U19Al20664-06	31,800	-	31,800
Pass-Through from Georgia State University		7U19Al10994506	-	358,979	358,979
Pass-Through from Harvard Medical School Pass-Through from Harvard University		149855 5070716 0502 GENFD0001501259	-	314,373 165,730	314,373 165,730
Pass-Through from Harvard University		109669-5064867	-	3,422	3,422
Pass-Through from Harvard University		109708-5076477	_	21,132	21,132
Pass-Through from Hawaii Biotech Inc.		5R44AI11801702	_	59,760	59,760
Pass-Through from Health Research, Inc.		1R01Al14072601A1	-	38,540	38,540
Pass-Through from Health Research, Inc.		1R21Al14117801	-	8,558	8,558
Pass-Through from Health Research, Inc.		5R01Al13166902	-	55,367	55,367
Pass-Through from Health Research, Inc.		5301-01	-	283	283
Pass-Through from Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement					
of Military Medicine		1R21Al12202901A1	(0.000)	54,805	54,805
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		4R33AI119304-03 5R01AI12344903	(9,003)	40.000	(9,003)
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		5R01AI2553604	-	42,996 32,264	42,996 32,264
Pass-Through from Indiana University		5R21Al137918-02	-	97,749	97,749
Pass-Through from Indiana University - School of Medicine		IN4686396UTMC	4,758	-	4,758
Pass-Through from Institute for Clinical Research, Inc.		M57-SW-072-1101-3	84,821	-	84,821
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		R01Al145675	, -	992	992
		2004046396;PI DAVIS			
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		MEGHAN	-	11,482	11,482
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2004091390	-	77,739	77,739
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		2004218639	91,255	(3,928)	87,327
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		5UM1AI068632-11 5UM1AI06863213	(746)	- 12,544	(746) 12,544
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		5U01Al035042-25	-	17,385	17,385
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine		LDR 01 03	2,949	-	2,949
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine		LDR 01 05	-	2,347	2,347
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine		LDR 02 02	-	870	870
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine		LDR 02 05	=	3,342	3,342
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S16112	-	2,606	2,606
Pass-Through from Los Angeles Biomedical Research Inst at Harbor-					
UCLA Medical Ctr		5R01Al130056-02	-	141,947	141,947
Pass-Through from Lucigen Corporation		5R33Al10018205	-	(20,510)	(20,510)
Pass-Through from Lynntech, Inc. Pass-Through from Mapp Biopharmaceutical, Inc.		SRS REF # M1603255 UTA18-000270	-	20,600	20,600
Pass-Through from Mapp Biopharmaceutical, Inc.  Pass-Through from Mapp Biopharmaceutical, Inc.		5R01AI11139105	-	20,609 486,012	20,609 486,012
Pass-Through from Massachusetts General Hospital		5R01Al123001-03	_	352	352
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		1 R01 Al0969967 03	_	(2,426)	(2,426)
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		4R01 Al096967 05	-	(22,272)	(22,272)
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		5R01AI136718-02	=	483,404	483,404
Pass-Through from Medigen, Inc.		5R44AI09486304	-	151,099	151,099
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		AGMT00003324	-	6,065	6,065
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		18050021-145	-	(21,969)	(21,969)
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		5R01Al120749-02	-	113,627	113,627
Pass-Through from Methodist Hospital Research Institute		5R01Al20749-03 5R41Al13612602	-	35,347 160 434	35,347 169,434
Pass-Through from Microbiotix, Inc.  Pass-Through from Midwestern University - Downers Grove Campus		11-1006-7116-5676	- -	169,434 27,366	169,434 27,366
Pass-Through from Molecular Express, Inc.		1R43AI11213201A1	- -	14	27,300 14
Pass-Through from Molecular Express, Inc.		2R44Al09477003A1	-	194	194
Pass-Through from Mount Sinai Medical Center		0255-8462-4609	241,941	(2,430)	239,511
	(12		•	,	,

(120)

		Federal/Pass-through	Pass-through to		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Entity Other Identifying No.	Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Nano3d Biosciences, Inc.		1R41AI136225-01R	_	44,037	44,037
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		1R01Al128359-01	-	477,049	477,049
Pass-Through from Northwestern University		CSGID - YEAR 2	-	161,467	161,467
Pass-Through from Northwestern University		SPA0017209 60032623	(1,634)	· -	(1,634)
Pass-Through from Oak Crest Institute of Science		5U19AI11304805	-	371,265	371,265
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		R01Al129582	-	(3,725)	(3,725)
Pass-Through from Penn State University		5264-UTA-DHHS-0560	-	23,529	23,529
Pass-Through from PharmaReview Corporation		5R42Al117990-03	-	278,790	278,790
Pass-Through from Profectus BioSciences Incorporated Pass-Through from Profectus BioSciences Incorporated		HHSN272201700077C 4R01Al09876005	-	210,945 101,437	210,945 101,437
Pass-Through from Protein Ai		R43Al142908	-	7,626	7,626
Pass-Through from Protein Ai		R44AI103983	_	178,939	178,939
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California - UCLA		7UM1AI068636-13 7UM1AI068636-13 SITE	-	24,844	24,844
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California - UCLA		31473	_	20,682	20,682
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of California - UCLA		7UM1A1068636-13	_	23.087	23,087
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Colorado		FY19 785 001	-	86,961	86,961
Pass-Through from Research Foundation of Suny		1 R21 Al111129 01	-	(1,037)	(1,037)
Pass-Through from Sano Chemicals		M1602125	-	154	154
Pass-Through from Sano Chemicals		M1702260	-	22,678	22,678
Pass-Through from Sano Chemicals		M1901305	-	91,737	91,737
Pass-Through from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital		5R34HL127162-03	17,931	-	17,931
Pass-Through from Stanford University		60600564-107582	(25,704)	-	(25,704)
Pass-Through from Stellenbosch University		R01Al116039	-	14,919	14,919
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute		PO 50199/R01Al123434-01	-	340,596	340,596
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		39801/P01Al048240 2U19Al100627-06	- 765	298,756	298,756 765
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		2U19AI100627-07	121,758	-	121,758
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		5-53835	534,462	_	534,462
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		5U19AI10976205	-	575,020	575,020
Pass-Through from The Trustees of Columbia University		5R01Al121349104	-	131,318	131,318
Pass-Through from Tufts University		101583-00001	-	364,716	364,716
Pass-Through from Tulane University		1R01Al138587-01	-	29,425	29,425
Pass-Through from Tulane University Medical Center		5R01Al10462104	-	78,316	78,316
Pass-Through from Tulane University Medical Center		5R01Al13222303	-	832,028	832,028
Pass-Through from Tulane University Medical Center		5R01Al13224403	-	42,096	42,096
Pass-Through from University of Alabama - Birmingham		000520936-SC001 R1142123	-	94,952 264	94,952
Pass-Through from University of Buffalo Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		5R01AI12590203	-	257,532	264 257,532
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		5R21AI134295-02	_	12,810	12,810
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		54943859 S9000816	_	762	762
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		# 9310SC	-	824	824
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		5 UM1AI110498-03	13,105	53	13,158
Pass-Through from University of California - San Francisco		9868SC	1,310	-	1,310
Pass-Through from University of Central Florida		5R21Al13237502	-	60,274	60,274
Pass-Through from University of Chicago		5R01Al36056-02	-	36,164	36,164
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		1R01Al13923801A1	-	9,172	9,172
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		1R21Al142469-01	-	2,267	2,267
Pass-Through from University of Hawaii - Manoa		5R01Al11918504	-	2,523	2,523
Pass-Through from University of Hawaii - Manoa Pass-Through from University of Kansas		5R01Al13232302 FY2019-075	-	189,573 4,051	189,573 4,051
Pass-Through from University of Kansas Center for Research, Inc.		1R01Al139198-01A1	-	4,051	4,051
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1R01Al147685 / OSP			
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts - Worcester		30143-00	-	5,690	5,690
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		P006562801	=	104,348	104,348
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		5R01Al13158603	-	336,284	336,284
Pass-Through from University of Mississippi Medical Center		HHSN268201800012I	-	53,462	53,462
Pass-Through from University of Missouri - Columbia		5R21Al12193802	-	20,992	20,992
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5R01Al13217802	=	121,422	121,422
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5U19AI10062507	(20,660)	106,699	106,699
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		5R33AI105856-05 569199	(30,669)	- 1,300	(30,669)
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania  Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		569199 - UNIV PENN	113,680	1,300	1,300 113,680
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		1R21Al14701701	-	4,268	4,268
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		1R56Al139189-01	-	33,072	33,072
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		5R01Al09543607	-	57,193	57,193
Pass-Through from University of Rochester		1 U19 Al067733 01	-	(13,173)	(13,173)
Pass-Through from University of Tennessee		R21AI126755 TAMU	-	(12,218)	(12,218)
	(12	21)			

Cluster Name/Enderel Crenter/Dreamm Name/ Deep through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Evnandituras	Total
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other identifying No.	Enuties	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from University of Tennessee Health Science Center		1U19AI14276201	-	2,027	2,027
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		ICAC	604,531	-	604,531
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		1U01AI13699401A1	-	78,714	78,714
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		5UM1AI114271	(12,898)	-	(12,898)
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		5UM1AI114271-02	-	1,418	1,418
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		5UM1AI114271-04/764K	-	2,172	2,172
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison  Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		5UM1AI1142715 865K535	74,213	34 (1,109)	34 73,104
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University		UNIV60380	74,213	128,060	128,060
Pass-Through from VenatorRX Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		1R44Al122421	-	23,497	23,497
Pass-Through from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University		431911-19C63	_	190,401	190,401
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		WU-17-513	20,292	-	20,292
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5P01AI120943-03	106,916	-	106,916
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5U01Al095542-07	(57)	-	(57)
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5U19AI109725-04	(256)	-	(256)
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		7R01Al13027803	-	8,202	8,202
Pass-Through from Washington University School of Medicine		WU-19-94	-	583	583
Pass-Through from Washington University School of Medicine		5U19AI109725-05	-	1,239,100	1,239,100
Pass-Through from Wayne State University		WSU16040-A3	-	104,108	104,108
Pass-Through from Yale University		5R01Al08314609 5R01Al087946-11	-	62,910	62,910
Pass-Through from Yale University Pass-Through from Zalgen Labs, LLC		1R01Al14125101	-	29,055 37,031	29,055 37,031
Total - CFDA 93.855		1107411120101	16,211,939	104,484,193	120,696,132
Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Research	93.856	LILIONIO 70004 00004 00	574,133	541,149	1,115,282
Pass-Through from American Type Culture Collection		HHSN272201600013C	-	472,658	472,658
Pass-Through from Northwestern University Pass-Through from University of Tennessee Health Science Center		HHSN272201600016C 5R01AG049696-03	-	16,640 9,343	16,640 9,343
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		WU-18-30/ PO 2934177E	-	26,387	26,387
Total - CFDA 93.856			574,133	1,066,177	1,640,310
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859		4,091,549	82,471,849	86,563,398
Pass-Through from AM Biotechnologies, LLC		5 R44 GM084552 04	-	(790)	(790)
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		PO# 7000000655	-	13,529	13,529
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5 P01 GM081627 05 5T32GM00828029	-	(3,682) (1,593)	(3,682) (1,593)
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000193	_	31,368	31,368
Pass-Through from Biolytic Lab Performance, Inc.		R41GM121103	_	7,213	7,213
Pass-Through from Boston University		4500002045	_	159,237	159,237
Pass-Through from Case Western Reserve University		RES509568	-	26,056	26,056
Pass-Through from Columbia University		1(GG010211)-PO# G13593	-	89,818	89,818
Pass-Through from Cooper Medical School of Rowan University		R01GM081685-12	-	3,382	3,382
Pass-Through from Cornell University		68964-10332	-	(14,749)	(14,749)
Pass-Through from Duke University		2037848/R01GM120221	-	84,558	84,558
Pass-Through from East Carolina University		A13-0179-S003-A01	-	1,484	1,484
Page Through from El Page Community College		Cl004956/21197F21199- 7184		21 706	21 706
Pass-Through from El Paso Community College Pass-Through from EpiCypher, Inc.		2R44GM112234-02A1	-	21,786 101,001	21,786 101,001
Pass-Through from EpiCypher, Inc.		2R44GM116584-02	-	55,780	55,780
Pass-Through from Firebird Biomolecular Sciences, LLC		UTA16-001343	-	33,760	33,760
Pass-Through from Georgia Institute of Technology		RF258-G1 1	_	54,608	54,608
Pass-Through from Georgia Tech Research Corporation		R01GM116547	-	174,922	174,922
Pass-Through from Hunter College		5 R01 GM088530 04	-	(938)	(938)
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		5R01GM12404702	-	98,987	98,987
		BL4624920TAMU -			
Pass-Through from Indiana University		2120693	-	134,654	134,654
Pass-Through from Indiana University		BL4624920TU	-	79,409	79,409
Pass-Through from InnovaRegi, LLC		R41GM130206 2002879980/5R01GM0500	-	49,835	49,835
Pass-Through from Johns Hopkins University		16-2	-	2,974	2,974
Pass-Through from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory		5P30GM124169-02	-	10,263	10,263
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		TEX-232782 - 66146712 UTX-248321-	-	184,782	184,782
Pass-Through from Marcuny Biomod, LLC		01/R01GM126086	-	31,481	31,481
Pass-Through from Mercury Biomed, LLC Pass-Through from Mercury Biomed, LLC		UTA17-000723 UTA18-001508	-	1,351 34 362	1,351 34 362
r ass-milough nom wieldury biomeu, LLO	(13		-	34,362	34,362

(122)

		Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State		
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC106305A	-	24,007	24,007
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		7R01GM108583	-	443,006	443,006
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		7R15GM122953-02	-	95,584	95,584
Pass-Through from New Mexico State University		1R01GM12281901A1	-	143	143
Pass-Through from New York Institute of Technology		1R15GM11750101A1UTEP	-	35,668	35,668
Pass-Through from Nimbic Systems, Inc.		3R44GM09500507S2	-	75,004	75,004
Pass-Through from North Dakota State University		FAR0027126	-	77,134	77,134
Pass-Through from Northwestern University Medical School		NUMS 2018	-	13,131	13,131
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60066506	-	7,621	7,621
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60066528 60066529	-	66,221	66,221
Pass-Through from Ohio State University Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60066531	-	48,454	48,454
Pass-Through from Ohio State University  Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60066532	-	27,335 44,912	27,335 44,912
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60070930 RF01574454		107	107
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60070932 RF01574856	-	10,956	10,956
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60070934 RF01574861	-	17,138	17,138
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60070943 RF01574865	_	6,634	6,634
Pass-Through from Operational Technologies Corporation		R44 GM101712	-	(7,431)	(7,431)
Pass-Through from Penn State University		5283-UTA-DHHS-3106	-	5,084	5,084
Pass-Through from Princeton University		0000090	-	13	13
Pass-Through from Progenitec, Inc.		2R44GM101776-02AL	-	253,206	253,206
Pass-Through from Progenitec, Inc.		2R44GM101776-02A1	80	· -	80
Pass-Through from Purdue University		1 R01 GM106016 01	-	(1,720)	(1,720)
Pass-Through from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute		A12403 - PO 259936	-	62,246	62,246
Pass-Through from Rutgers University		5R01GM118574-03	-	20,635	20,635
Pass-Through from Salzman Group		R44GM122095	-	159,510	159,510
Pass-Through from Salzman Group		2R44GM10050302A1	-	(12,867)	(12,867)
Pass-Through from Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute		1P01GM121203-01-A1	-	141,026	141,026
Pass-Through from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital		5P50GM115279-04	-	68,902	68,902
Daga Thurstock from Chamfoud University		60325810-25996-C		47.404	47.404
Pass-Through from Stanford University Pass-Through from SunnyBrook Research Institute		2P01GM066275 5R01GM087285-07	-	47,424 103,773	47,424 103,773
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		R01GM118594	-	37,745	37,745
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		AWD 0518 G WA895		86,541	86,541
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		5R01GM118609-03	-	150,919	150,919
Pass-Through from University of California - Santa Barbara		KK1762 2 (W/EXT)	-	93,711	93,711
Pass-Through from University of Colorado - Denver		5R01GM12736502	_	116,252	116,252
Pass-Through from University of Delaware		R01GM120351	-	67,640	67,640
Pass-Through from University of Florida		UFDSP00012273	-	134,117	134,117
Pass-Through from University of Idaho		IMK050-SB-001	-	27,724	27,724
Pass-Through from University of Illinois		R01GM120485	-	29,332	29,332
Pass-Through from University of Iowa		5R01GM121458-03	-	190,299	190,299
Pass-Through from University of Kentucky Research Foundation		3200001651-18-236	8,256	-	8,256
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5R01GM115598-05	-	78,613	78,613
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		H005170501	-	76,995	76,995
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		5R01GM05960417	-	76,874	76,874
Pass-Through from University of Minnesota		5R01GM12297903	-	184,886	184,886
Pass-Through from University of New Mexico Health Science Center		3REY1	-	885	885
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina		5104924/R01GM070335	-	31,727	31,727
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma		5R01GM100919-07 1R01GM107490-01A1NIH	-	59,504	59,504
Pass-Through from University of Oklahoma  Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		SYL1R01GM118501-01A1	-	15,476 17,169	15,476 17,169
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		23-1352685	_	18,116	18,116
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		5R01GM124111-03	_	65,973	65,973
rass rineagrinoin emiteraty err emisyrtama		CNVA00060637 (131563-		00,070	00,010
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		1)	_	32,243	32,243
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		R01GM049202	-	18,672	18,672
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		R01GM114851	-	98,884	98,884
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0047882 (126884-5)	-	11,797	11,797
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		93481450	-	11,191	11,191
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		95367003	-	12,643	12,643
Pass-Through from University of Utah		5 R01 GM104390 01	-	(37,902)	(37,902)
Pass-Through from University of Washington		5R01GM04272524	-	43,818	43,818
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		R01GM097618	-	42,173	42,173
Pass-Through from Washington University		WU-19-199; PO 2934574G	-	21,367	21,367
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R01GM115857	-	10,689	10,689
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		R22891	-	139,265	139,265
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		1R01GM106027 01	-	(19)	(19)
	(12	(3)			

(123)

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		5 R01 GM096189 03 GR102819 (CON-	-	(1)	(1)
Pass-Through from Yale University		8001234) YR 10	-	145,309	145,309
Pass-Through from Yale University		7R01GM107629-05		646	646
Total - CFDA 93.859			4,099,885	87,847,067	91,946,952
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research	93.865		3,316,065	27,424,882	30,740,947
Pass-Through from Arizona State University		3DP2HD084067-01S2	-	23,167	23,167
Pass-Through from Augusta University		R25HL106365	-	17,400	17,400
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000621	-	125,420	125,420
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Boston University		700000864 450002474	-	30,179 341,110	30,179 341,110
Tass Through North Boston Only Clarky		P01HD070454 -09	_	341,110	341,110
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		8902360619	-	16,417	16,417
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		5P01HD070454-09	-	144,213	144,213
Pass-Through from Duke University		FWA00005087	2,711	-	2,711
Pass-Through from Duke University		HHSN- 2752010000031	-	1,303	1,303
Pass-Through from Duke University		HHSN275201000003I	-	4,545	4,545
Pass-Through from East Carolina University Pass-Through from Eastern Virginia Medical School		A17-0265-S001 213876 5R01HD08631304	-	67,826 330,097	67,826 330,097
Pass-Through from Fannin Partners, LLC		M1801824	-	1,285	1,285
r doo modgimon r diiiin r dialolo, 220		U10HD036801/U01-		1,200	1,200
Pass-Through from George Washington University		HL098354	-	764,560	764,560
Pass-Through from George Washington University		U10HD036802	-	477,265	477,265
Pass-Through from George Washington University		17-M100	-	54,317	54,317
Pass-Through from Georgia State University Research Foundation		5R01HD086894-03	-	9,380	9,380
Pass-Through from Magee-Women's Hospital of UPMC		5P01HD075795-05	-	335,320	335,320
Pass-Through from Magee-Womens Research Institute & Foundation		5U54HD04790514	-	292	292
Pass-Through from Magee-Womens Research Institute & Foundation Pass-Through from Medical University of South Carolina		5U54HD04790515 MUSC18-098-8B464	-	16,219 5,730	16,219 5,730
Pass-Through from Mucommune		1R43HD09794101	-	35,542	35,542
Pass-Through from Noninvasix Incorporated		1R41HD09454201A1	-	56,092	56,092
Pass-Through from Northeastern University		500515-78050	-	71,235	71,235
Pass-Through from Northern Arizona University Board of Regents		7R03HD09637202 UTHSCHD089922/P50HD	-	4,668	4,668
Pass-Through from Pennsylvania State University		089922	-	13,642	13,642
Pass-Through from Purdue University		R01HD060995	-	101,371	101,371
Pass-Through from RTL International		3004274738 U10HD054241	10.545	6,499	6,499
Pass-Through from RTI International  Pass-Through from RTI International		U24HL143216 TOP5 216651	12,545	83,592	12,545 83.592
Pass-Through from RTI International		2-UG1HD054241	-	50,469	50,469
Pass-Through from RTI International		2U10HD04068911	-	26,291	26,291
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		5-53414 / 5-53716	-	8,573	8,573
Pass-Through from TomoWave Laboratories, Inc.		R43HD095348	-	26,664	26,664
Pass-Through from University of Artizona		509353	-	14,004	14,004
Pass-Through from University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		8U24OD24957 A17-0003-S003-A1	72 467	1,586	1,586 73,467
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		1R01HD084674-01A1	73,467	79,197	79,197
Pass-Through from University of California - Irvine		1 R21 HD081319 02	-	(3,440)	(3,440)
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		1215 G TA045	-	55,885	55,885
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		5U19HD089886-03	122,471	· -	122,471
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		RR274-467/S001511	-	35,760	35,760
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		1R01HD08683201A1	-	155,239	155,239
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		2R01HD07531104A1	-	321,166	321,166
Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Chicago Pass-Through from University of Illinois - Chicago		20120613601001/R01HD 2014-07499	-	255 35,317	255 35,317
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3003597836	-	8,986	8,986
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3004739344	-	(1,040)	(1,040)
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3004864861	-	(11)	(11)
Pass-Through from University of Missouri		RSDP2019 24-1710-0157-005 /	22,589	-	22,589
Pass-Through from University of Nebraska - Lincoln		1R01HD08608	-	37,447	37,447
Pass-Through from University of New Mexico		3RED9	-	98,338	98,338
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5R01HD053000-10	-	(710)	(710)

Cluster Name/Federal Crenter/Program Name/ Dage through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Evpandituras	Total
Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Other Identifying No.	Entitles	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
		5R01HD086139-03			
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5107014	-	6,051	6,051
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5R01HD08613903	-	21,473	21,473
		5106231 / 5R01HD086139-			
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		03 0048860 (126873-11)	-	5,538	5,538
Pass-Illiough from Onliversity of Pillsburgh		0048860 (126873-11) A1	-	45,400	45,400
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		PILOT PROJ	-	47,857	47,857
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		0051592 (128416-4)	4,089	-	4,089
		1R24HD096350-01			
Pass-Through from University of Utah		10046978-03	-	107,660	107,660
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		5U01HD076733-05	-	53,307	53,307
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University School of Medicine Pass-Through from Washington University School of Medicine		5U01HD076733-04 5K12HD0000849-30	-	2,249 545	2,249 545
Tuda Tillough Hoth Wadriington Oniversity deliloor of Wiedleine		GK000091 (CON-	_	545	343
Pass-Through from Yale University School of Medicine		80000366)	16,750	-	16,750
,		-	,		,
Total - CFDA 93.865			3,570,687	31,803,624	35,374,311
Aging Research	93.866		6,996,265	41,179,578	48,175,843
Pass-Through from Albert Einstein College of Medicine		31132H/P01AG017242	13,621	218,171	231,792
Pass-Through from Amprion, Inc.		1R42AG058333-01	-	61,072	61,072
Pass-Through from Aptrocyte Pharmacouticals Inc.		5R42AG049562-03 R41AG062163-01	-	70,365	70,365
Pass-Through from Astrocyte Pharmaceuticals Inc. Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		5R01AG057509-02	-	61,541 201,234	61,541 201,234
Pass-Through from Baylor Research Institute		41010621801	_	32,528	32,528
Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital		GENFD0001653417	-	56,483	56,483
Pass-Through from Boston Children's Hospital		R01AG058464-01	-	120,046	120,046
Pass-Through from Boston University		R01AG033040-10	-	170	170
Pass-Through from Boston University		1R01AG059727-01	-	12,647	12,647
Pass-Through from Boston University		1U01AG058589-01A1	-	6,272	6,272
Pass-Through from Brigham and Women's Hospital Pass-Through from Bronx Veterans Medical Research Foundation, Inc.		5U01AG04827005 1RO1AG060341-01-2	-	104,906 125,608	104,906 125,608
Pass-Through from Columbia University		2(GG010473-01)	-	19,726	19,726
Pass-Through from Columbia University		5R01AG047146-04	53,843	-	53,843
Pass-Through from Columbia University		5R01AG057709-02	-	10,419	10,419
Pass-Through from Columbia University		8(GG012955-02) A030455/RF1AG059093-	59,456	-	59,456
Pass-Through from Duke University		01	_	23,132	23,132
Pass-Through from Duke University		A032406 / U01AG061359	-	32,290	32,290
		2036783/RF1AG051550-			
Pass-Through from Duke University		01	-	23,835	23,835
Pass-Through from Hennepin Healthcare Research Institute		ASPREE/U01AG029824	-	25,634	25,634
Pass-Through from Hennepin Healthcare Research Institute Pass-Through from HNB Therapeutics, LLC		U01AG029824 R41AG057242	-	73,704	73,704 32,739
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		0255-B451-4609	-	32,739 1,672	1,672
Pass-Through from Indiana University		IN4680040UTA	_	376,340	376,340
Pass-Through from Kaiser Foundation Research Institute		U01AG012554	-	8,878	8,878
Pass-Through from LifeSensors, Inc.		1R43AG057231-01 THE-	-	30,770	30,770
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		213137/R37AG013925 THE-	-	82,187	82,187
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		256112/1P01AG062413-0 THS-	-	7,556	7,556
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		213137/PO#66246843/R3 UTH-	-	126,573	126,573
Pass-Through from Mayo Clinic		250202/PO#66468115/1R	-	3,983	3,983
Pass-Through from Minneapolis Medical Research Foundation		ASPREE	53,002	-	53,002
Pass-Through from Northern Arizona University Board of Regents		7R03AG06034502	-	4,659	4,659
Pass-Through from Ohio State University		60067564 P0452A-A/RF1AG057964-	-	149,730	149,730
Pass-Through from Oregon State University		01	-	141,200	141,200
Pass-Through from Partners HealthCare Research Management		5U01AG048270-05	-	15,575	15,575
Pass-Through from Penn State University		5799-UTA-DHHS-01A1	-	71,638	71,638
Pass-Through from Posit Science Corporation		2R44AG04772204	-	111,072	111,072
Pass-Through from Regents of the University of Minnesota Pass-Through from Research Foundation of CUNY		P007096957 CM000011844-00	-	9,405 23,348	9,405 23,348
. 235 Through nominiosearon i oundation of COIVI		O. 100000 1 10 <del>11-</del> 00	-	23,340	23,340

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Pass-Through from Ridgeline Therapeutics, LLC		1R41AG06198901	<u>-</u>	77,877	77,877
Pass-Through from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital		112036040-7828048	118,647	-	118,647
Pass-Through from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital		112274030-1431891	126,724	-	126,724
Pass-Through from SynapTex, LLC		R41AG060836	-	79,742	79,742
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute		PO#60946/P01AG051428	-	17,298	17,298
Pass-Through from Texas Biomedical Research Institute		5P01AG051428-04	-	211,688	211,688
Pass-Through from The Curators of The University of Missouri		0065133/00050841	-	1,553	1,553
Pass-Through from The Hospital for Sick Children		R01AG056726	-	630	630
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		PO5-52862	498	-	498
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		PO5-52866	(1,225)	-	(1,225)
Pass-Through from The Scripps Research Institute		PO5-52938	(1,764)	-	(1,764)
Pass-Through from The Trustees of Columbia University		1R56AG05975601 412921	-	21,375	21,375
Pass-Through from University of Arizona Pass-Through from University of Arizona		5P01AG052359-03	-	208,683 257,255	208,683
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		A13-0008-S001	-	1,920	257,255 1,920
Pass-Through from University of California - Davis		1RF1AG054548-01	_	35,716	35,716
Pass-Through from University of California - Irvine		R01AG058644	-	118,034	118,034
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		1R01AG06107801	-	22,778	22,778
Pass-Through from University of California - Los Angeles		2120 G WB040	111,861	-	111,861
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		MP INVOICE #S9002344	-	17,147	17,147
Pass-Through from University of California - San Diego		7R01AG048642-04	_	24,946	24,946
Pass-Through from University of Florida		R37AG033906	_	612	612
Pass-Through from University of Louisville		ULRF 17-1498	-	4,027	4,027
Pass-Through from University of Maryland		1500141	-	(547)	(547)
Pass-Through from University of Massachusetts Medical School		5R24AG04505004	-	(803)	(803)
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		3003298847 5 (W/EXT)	29,045	19,865	48,910
		3004436261/R21AG05509			
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		0-01	-	32,206	32,206
Pass-Through from University of Michigan		5R37AG02734309	-	(38)	(38)
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5106149	-	72,066	72,066
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5113397	-	31,338	31,338
		571937 SUPP; PO			
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		#4309784	-	840	840
Pass-Through from University of Pennsylvania		571937; PO #4309698	-	332,075	332,075
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		U01AG051406	-	35,522	35,522
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		103788735/R01AG047992	-	13,765	13,765
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		109926836	90,891	(30,739)	60,152
Pass-Through from University of Southern California		109990547 119767639	-	110,683	110,683
Pass-Through from University of Southern California			-	31,406	31,406
Pass-Through from University of Tennessee Health Science Center Pass-Through from University of Vermont		2R01AG040146-04A1 5R21AG06035602	-	14,287	14,287
Fass-Tillough from Onliversity of Vermont		UWSC10636/R01AG0558	-	17,080	17,080
Pass-Through from University of Washington		20	_	10,920	10,920
r ass Through Holli Offiversity of Washington		UWSC10920/P30AG0478		10,320	10,920
Pass-Through from University of Washington		45-05	_	52,427	52,427
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC10988 / BPO 37333	_	182,473	182,473
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC11057 / 38470	_	17,661	17,661
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC11070 / BPO 38599	_	18,138	18,138
Pass-Through from University of Washington		UWSC11070 / BPO 41595	_	14,623	14,623
Pass-Through from University of Washington		5U01AG016976-15	(55)	-	(55)
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		1R21AG055876-01	26,005	-	26,005
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin - Madison		845K386	<u>-</u>	46,706	46,706
Pass-Through from University of Wisconsin System		000000131	-	9,893	9,893
		1004121-			
Pass-Through from University of Wyoming		UTH/U19AG057758-0	-	252,968	252,968
		1004121E-			
Pass-Through from University of Wyoming		UTH/U19AG057758	-	17,952	17,952
Pass-Through from University of Wyoming		1004121G-UTHSC- 3	-	15,846	15,846
Pass-Through from Vulintus, LLC		R43AG059508	-	30,811	30,811
Dono Thurstock from Webs Forest Hebrewitz 11, 19, 0.1		WFUHS552702/R01AG05		20:27	20 : 2=
Pass-Through from Washington University Health Sciences		8571-0 5D014C05164703	-	66,135	66,135
Pass-Through from Washington University - St. Louis		5R01AG05164703	=	163,636	163,636
Page Through from Valo University School of Medicine		GK000549 (CON-		0.000	2 200
Pass-Through from Yale University School of Medicine Pass-Through from Yale University School of Medicine		800001612) M17A12604 (A10795)	-	2,396	2,396
1 455 Thiough hom Tale Onliversity School of Medicille		WITA 1200+ (A10130)	-	96,145	96,145
Total - CFDA 93.866			7,676,814	46,435,703	54,112,517

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued)					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Vision Research	93.867		470,325	22,288,743	22,759,068
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine	00.007	5 T32 EY007102 18	-	(15,042)	(15,042)
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000313	-	32,219	32,219
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		7000000313/102163160	-	136,185	136,185
Pass-Through from BetaStem Therapeutics Inc		1R44EY028070-01	-	(3,910)	(3,910)
Pass-Through from Children's Hospital of Philadelphia		4R01EY021137-05	-	8,181	8,181
Pass-Through from Emory University		T892502	628	-	628
Pass-Through from Emory University		000027956	6,558		6,558
Pass-Through from Emory University Pass-Through from Emory University		5-U10-EY013272-12 5UG1EY025553-02 BL-4624297-UTA; PO	(173)	5 -	5 (173)
Pass-Through from Harvard University		2067516	-	52,108	52,108
Pass-Through from Icahn School of Medicine - Mount Sinai		0255-0941-4609	-	48,636	48,636
Pass-Through from Jaeb Center for Health Research		U10EY11751	-	6,415	6,415
Pass-Through from Jaeb Center for Health Research		109510	-	13,565	13,565
Pass-Through from Public Health Institute		00938	-	4,879	4,879
Pass-Through from Salus University		5U10EY022599	-	18,841	18,841
Pass-Through from University of Washington		#26528	-	54,609	54,609
Pass-Through from University of Washington		5R01EY027859-02	-	74,188	74,188
Total - CFDA 93.867			477,338	22,719,622	23,196,960
Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance in Retail Food Specimens	93.876		-	137,918	137,918
Medical Library Assistance	93.879		193,140	2,521,277	2,714,417
Pass-Through from National Institutes of Health		2R56LM011647-03	-	87,765	87,765
Pass-Through from Northeastern University - Boston		500495-78051	-	23,958	23,958
Pass-Through from Tulane University		TUL-HSC-554836-16/17	-	(3,710)	(3,710)
Pass-Through from University of Pittsburgh		5R01LM012095-04	-	23,734	23,734
Pass-Through from University of Washington		7R01LM011563-05	-	33,942	33,942
Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center		7R21LM012448-03	-	10,982	10,982
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		2T15LM007093-26	-	13,428	13,428
Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University Pass-Through from William Marsh Rice University		4T15LM0070093-25 5 T15 LM007093 25	-	(1,224)	(1,224)
,		3 1 13 LINIOU7093 23		(73,485)	(73,485)
Total - CFDA 93.879			193,140	2,636,667	2,829,807
Rural Health Care Services Outreach, Rural Health Network Development					
and Small Health Care Provider Quality Improvement	93.912		-	9,970	9,970
Pass-Through from Alcohol and Drug Abuse Council of Deep East					
Texas		693370	-	26,931	26,931
Total - CFDA 93.912			-	36,901	36,901
HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	93.914				
Pass-Through from Boston University	00.011	4500002953	-	28,353	28,353
Grants to Provide Outpatient Early Intervention Services with Respect to					
HIV Disease	93.918				
Pass-Through from Houston Regional HIV/AIDS Resource Group, Inc.		206171		7 255	7.055
Pass-Through from Resource Group		18UTV00SSR	-	7,255 1,389	7,255 1,389
Total - CFDA 93.918			-	8,644	8,644
Duran White LIIV/AIDC Dentel Beimburgerment and Community Deced					
Ryan White HIV/AIDS Dental Reimbursement and Community Based Dental Partnership Grants	93.924		-	54,981	54,981
Healthy Start Initiative	93.926		-	820,604	820,604
Pass-Through from Association of Maternal and Child Health Programs		UF3MC31237		183	183
Total - CFDA 93.926			-	820,787	820,787
HIV Prevention Activities Health Department Based	93.940		-	(965)	(965)
Pass-Through from City of Houston Health and Human Services		6U62PS005092	-	305,982	305,982
Total - CFDA 93.940			-	305,017	305,017

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continued)					
Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficiency Virus Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance	93.944		-	1,798	1,798
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959		-	69,883	69,883
PPHF Geriatric Education Centers	93.969		-	185,513	185,513
International Research and Research Training Pass-Through from University of Maryland - Baltimore Pass-Through from Vanderbilt University Medical Center	93.989	5R21TW010952-02 5R25TW009722-06	21,600	637,202 27,846	658,802 27,846
Total - CFDA 93.989		3K231W009722-00	14,374 35,974	665,048	701,022
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994		-	1,888,752	1,888,752
Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	30.334		87,913,619	905,468,430	993,382,049
·			07,913,019	903,400,430	993,302,049
Corporation for National and Community Service		45D5UT)(004.0 (00MD			
Corporation for National and Community Service	94.XXX	15REHTX001 2 (COMP RENEWAL)	-	98,494	98,494
AmeriCorps	94.006		-	449,773	449,773
Training and Technical Assistance	94.009		-	7,781	7,781
Social Innovation Fund	94.019				
Pass-Through from Methodist Healthcare Ministries of South Texas, Inc.		14SIHTX001-05	-	1,595,871	1,595,871
Pass-Through from Methodist Healthcare Ministries of South Texas, Inc.		14SIHTX001-09		1,054,274	1,054,274
Total - CFDA 94.019			-	2,650,145	2,650,145
National Service and Civic Engagement Research Competition Pass-Through from University of Georgia	94.026	RR274-474/S001570	<u> </u>	126,103 (2,052)	126,103 (2,052)
Total - CFDA 94.026				124,051	124,051
Total - Corporation for National and Community Service				3,330,244	3,330,244
U.S. Department of Homeland Security					
U.S. Department of Homeland Security	97.XXX	HSHQDC-17-C-00063 M1802205 308972	- - -	431,791 90,104 10,566	431,791 90,104 10,566
Pass-Through from Information Technology Strategies, Inc. Pass-Through from OBSIDIAN		00008 PRE-AWARD	-	122,594 61,127	122,594 61,127
Pass-Through from TDA Research, Inc.		AJ 5201 005 TTU 18 01	-	40,063	40,063
Total - CFDA 97.XXX			-	756,245	756,245
State and Local Homeland Security National Training Program Pass-Through from University of Arkansas System	97.005	18002-2 (SCI-5676)	1,201,814	211,044 346,848	1,412,858 346,848
Total - CFDA 97.005			1,201,814	557,892	1,759,706
Hazard Mitigation Grant Pass-Through from Bastrop County	97.039	TX-1999-012 & 031	-	2,045	2,045
Assistance to Firefighters Grant  Pass-Through from CFAI - Risk, Inc.  Pass-Through from CFAI - Risk, Inc.	97.044	CFAI-RISK-16 CFAI-RISK-17	46,612 - -	685,876 16,627 40,283	732,488 16,627 40,283
Total - CFDA 97.044			46,612	742,786	789,398

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Department of Homeland Security (Continued)					
Centers for Homeland Security	97.061		551,973	3,300,962	3,852,935
Pass-Through from Arizona State University		18-401	-	64,036	64,036
Pass-Through from George Mason University		E2042936	-	141,280	141,280
Pass-Through from George Mason University		E2044131	-	8,416	8,416
Pass-Through from National Institute for Hometown Security, Inc.		05-17-TAM	-	434,618	434,618
Pass-Through from University of Alaska Pass-Through from University of Alaska - Anchorage		508233 PO507159	-	61,007 4,927	61,007 4,927
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina		5101656	-	109,298	109,298
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5103190	-	54,408	54,408
Pass-Through from University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill		5109933		34,966	34,966
Total - CFDA 97.061			551,973	4,213,918	4,765,891
Scientific Leadership Awards	97.062		-	492,419	492,419
Homeland Security Advanced Research Projects Agency	97.065		65,210	360,769	425,979
Homeland Security Research, Development, Testing, Evaluation, and Demonstration of Technologies Related to Nuclear Threat Detection Pass-Through from Southern Methodist University	97.077	18DNARI000290100	-	114,101	114,101
Homeland Security, Research, Testing, Evaluation, and Demonstration of Technologies	97.108		55,514	111,390	166,904
National Cyber Security Awareness	97.128		264,704	624,070	888.774
	97.130		204,704		,
National Nuclear Forensics Expertise Development Program	97.130		0.405.007	285,712	285,712
Total - U.S. Department of Homeland Security			2,185,827	8,261,347	10,447,174
U.S. Agency for International Development					
U.S. Agency for International Development	98.XXX				
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC10205-KENYA	-	72,601	72,601
Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC102095-LIBERIA	-	19,674	19,674
Pass-Through from Michigan State University Pass-Through from Michigan State University		RC102095-R2003 RC103361	-	60,604	60,604
		KC103361	-	4,659	4,659
Total - CFDA 98.XXX			-	157,538	157,538
USAID Foreign Assistance for Programs Overseas	98.001		950,926	3,384,646	4,335,572
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine		AI AAA150070	-	3,269	3,269
Pass-Through from Baylor College of Medicine Pass-Through from Cardno		AID674A1600003 4726-001-CPFF-001	-	26,876	26,876 119,754
Pass-Through from Conflict and Development Foundation		SRS REF #M1700370	-	118,754 228,303	118,754 228,303
Pass-Through from Conflict and Development Foundation		SRS REF M1701135	-	106,892	106,892
Pass-Through from Development Alternatives, Inc.		1003456-S18-30977	-	605,837	605,837
Pass-Through from Development Alternatives, Inc.		1003506-18S-31202	-	270,958	270,958
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S14181	-	42,274	42,274
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S16057	166,137	178,501	344,638
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S17148	-	15,295	15,295
Pass-Through from Kansas State University		S19169 TAMU-ENHANCE-	-	5,187	5,187
Pass-Through from Mercy Corps		33287S003	-	58,922	58,922
Pass-Through from Mississippi State University		193900 312455 03	-	36,199	36,199
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences		AID-OAA-A-11-00012 2000009149	-	116,644 57,221	116,644
Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences  Pass-Through from National Academy of Sciences		200009149	-	57,231 100,647	57,231 100,647
Pass-Through from Purdue University		8000075673	-	6,652	6,652
Pass-Through from SEGURA Consulting, LLC		AID-OAA-C-13-00139	-	153,481	153,481
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		000001996	-	6,918	6,918
Pass-Through from University of Georgia		000018989	=	687	687
Total - CFDA 98.001			1,117,063	5,524,173	6,641,236

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (Continued) U.S. Agency for International Development (Continued)					
John Ogonowski Farmer-to-Farmer Program	98.009		35,515	139,799	175,314
USAID Development Partnerships for University Cooperation and Development	98.012				
Pass-Through from Tetra Tech, Inc.		1078-TAMU-001	<u> </u>	(39)	(39)
Total - U.S. Agency for International Development			1,152,578	5,821,471	6,974,049
Total Research and Development Cluster  STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS CLUSTER U.S. Department of Education			158,634,063	1,728,948,720	1,887,582,783
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants	84.007		-	23,728,430	23,728,430
Federal Work-Study Program	84.033		-	27,223,893	27,223,893
Federal Perkins Loan (FPL)-Federal Capital Contributions	84.038		-	114,351,620	114,351,620
Federal Pell Grant Program	84.063		-	1,103,439,746	1,103,439,746
Federal Direct Student Loans	84.268		-	3,229,808,962	3,229,808,962
Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants (TEACH Grants)	84.379		-	4,646,922	4,646,922
Postsecondary Education Scholarships for Veteran's Dependents	84.408			14,207	14,207
Total - U.S. Department of Education				4,503,213,780	4,503,213,780
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
Nurse Faculty Loan Program (NFLP)	93.264		-	1,817,765	1,817,765
Health Professions Student Loans, Including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students	93.342		-	19,716,927	19,716,927
Nursing Student Loans	93.364		-	3,326,644	3,326,644
Scholarships for Health Professions Students from Disadvantaged Background	93.925			5,939,773	5,939,773
Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				30,801,109	30,801,109
Total Student Financial Assistance Programs Cluster				4,534,014,889	4,534,014,889
AGING CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part B, Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers	93.044		26,486,357	1,416,386	27,902,743
Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part C, Nutrition Services	93.045		39,947,396	1,518,445	41,465,841
Nutrition Services Incentive Program	93.053		9,240,304	2,175,199	11,415,503
Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			75,674,057	5,110,030	80,784,087
Total Aging Cluster			75,674,057	5,110,030	80,784,087

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER U.S. Department of Agriculture					
School Breakfast Program	10.553		619,271,074	927,069	620,198,143
National School Lunch Program	10.555		1,715,590,880	1,845,931	1,717,436,811
Special Milk Program for Children	10.556		6,980	-	6,980
Summer Food Service Program for Children	10.559		30,691,445	442,194	31,133,639
Total - U.S. Department of Agriculture			2,365,560,379	3,215,194	2,368,775,573
Total Child Nutrition Cluster			2,365,560,379	3,215,194	2,368,775,573
CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND CLUSTER Environmental Protection Agency					
Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds	66.458		38,648,961	33,942,940	72,591,901
Total - Environmental Protection Agency			38,648,961	33,942,940	72,591,901
Total Clean Water State Revolving Fund Cluster			38,648,961	33,942,940	72,591,901
CCDF CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575		401,579,778	102,725,956	504,305,734
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development Fund	93.596		217,328,863	-	217,328,863
Pass-Through from Upper Rio Grande Workforce Development Board		1018CCMC05	-	2,578	2,578
Pass-Through from Upper Rio Grande Workforce Development Board Pass-Through from Workforce Solutions		1019CCMC05 2017-2018		43,154 17,500	43,154 17,500
Total - CFDA 93.596			217,328,863	63,232	217,392,095
Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			618,908,641	102,789,188	721,697,829
Total CCDF Cluster			618,908,641	102,789,188	721,697,829
CDBG - ENTITLEMENT GRANTS CLUSTER U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development					
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants  Pass-Through from City of Arlington  Pass-Through from City of Dallas	14.218	2018-179 2017-269	266,064	8,845 2,393 67,800	274,909 2,393 67,800
Total - CFDA 14.218			266,064	79,038	345,102
Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			266,064	79,038	345,102
Total CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster			266,064	79,038	345,102
DISABILITY INSURANCE/SSI CLUSTER Social Security Administration					
Social Security Disability Insurance	96.001			101,010,715	101,010,715
Total - Social Security Administration				101,010,715	101,010,715
Total Disability Insurance/SSI Cluster			<del>-</del>	101,010,715	101,010,715

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND CLUSTER Environmental Protection Agency					
Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds	66.468		68,773,045	13,122,285	81,895,330
Total - Environmental Protection Agency			68,773,045	13,122,285	81,895,330
Total Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Cluster			68,773,045	13,122,285	81,895,330
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER U.S. Department of Commerce					
Investments for Public Works and Economic Development Facilities	11.300		-	804,717	804,717
Economic Adjustment Assistance	11.307			280,578	280,578
Total - U.S. Department of Commerce				1,085,295	1,085,295
Total Economic Development Cluster				1,085,295	1,085,295
EMPLOYMENT SERVICE CLUSTER U.S. Department of Labor					
Employment Service/Wagner-Peyser Funded Activities Pass-Through from East Texas Council of Governments	17.207	75-1327222	11,328,835	46,488,277 47,402	57,817,112 47,402
Total - CFDA 17.207			11,328,835	46,535,679	57,864,514
Jobs for Veterans State Grants	17.801		-	9,291,791	9,291,791
Local Veterans' Employment Representative Program	17.804			5,188,089	5,188,089
Total - U.S. Department of Labor			11,328,835	61,015,559	72,344,394
Total Employment Service Cluster			11,328,835	61,015,559	72,344,394
FEDERAL TRANSIT CLUSTER U.S. Department of Transportation					
Bus and Bus Facilities Formula, Competitive, and Low or No Emissions Programs	20.526		4,469,268	1	4,469,269
Total - U.S. Department of Transportation			4,469,268	11	4,469,269
Total Federal Transit Cluster			4,469,268	1	4,469,269
FISH AND WILDLIFE CLUSTER U.S. Department of the Interior					
Sport Fish Restoration	15.605		998,431	2,534,802	3,533,233
Wildlife Restoration and Basic Hunter Education	15.611		-	21,380,716	21,380,716
Enhanced Hunter Education and Safety	15.626		78,487	262,051	340,538
Total - U.S. Department of the Interior			1,076,918	24,177,569	25,254,487
Total Fish and Wildlife Cluster			1,076,918	24,177,569	25,254,487
FOOD DISTRIBUTION CLUSTER U.S. Department of Agriculture					
Commodity Supplemental Food Program	10.565		22,411,402	-	22,411,402
Emergency Food Assistance Program (Administrative Costs)	10.568		12,811,282	68,136	12,879,418
Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities)	10.569		124,136,565	-	124,136,565
Total - U.S. Department of Agriculture			159,359,249	68,136	159,427,385
Total Food Distribution Cluster			159,359,249	68,136	159,427,385
	(13)	2)			

Control Recommend Community Service (Patter Comment Program (Page) (Pag	Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
Total - Corporation for National and Community Service   22,065   1,876,376   1,808,404     Total Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster   22,065   1,876,376   1,808,404     TOTAL FOSTER PROGRAM CLUSTER   22,065   1,876,376   1,808,404     Centers, Health Care for the Homeless, and Public Housing Primary Care   3,222   2,065   3,239,40   3,239,40     Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services   2,008   2,008,40   3,239,40     Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services   2,008   2,008   3,239,40   3,239,40     Total - U.S. Department of Total Housing Primary Care   2,008   2,008   2,008   2,008,40   2,008,40     Highway Planning and Construction CLUSTER   2,008,40   2,008,40   2,008,40   2,008,40   2,008,40     Passe-Tracight from Health and Human Services   2,008   2,008,40   2,008,40   2,008,40   2,008,40     Passe-Tracight from Health and Human Services   2,008   2,008,40						
Total Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster   1,800,40   1,876,78   1,898,418   1,	Foster Grandparent Program	94.011		22,065	1,876,376	1,898,441
Marcian   Marc	Total - Corporation for National and Community Service			22,065	1,876,376	1,898,441
Centers, Health Care for the Homeless, and Public Housing Primary Care   Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services   1,23,237,148   3,239,748   3,23	Total Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster			22,065	1,876,376	1,898,441
Total Health Center Program Cluster						
Total Health Center Program Cluster   Subpartment of Transportation   Plass-Through from Aucistor Galveston Area Council   20.20	Centers, Health Care for the Homeless, and Public Housing Primary Care)	93.224			3,239,748	3,239,748
Highway Planning and Construction   20.205	Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				3,239,748	3,239,748
Mighay Planning and Construction   20.205   206,142.11   3.835,562.26   4.017,049.03   7.019.03   7.019.05	Total Health Center Program Cluster				3,239,748	3,239,748
Total - CFDA 20.205   20.6142,211   3,835,551,379   4,041,693,590   2,000,1818   570,017   2,870,835   2,000,1818   2,00						
Recreational Trails Program   20.219   2.300.818   570.017   2.870.828   2.00.818   2.	0 , 0	20.205	01	206,142,211		
Total - U.S. Department of Transportation   208,443,029   3,836,121,396   4,044,564,425	Total - CFDA 20.205			206,142,211	3,835,551,379	4,041,693,590
Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster   208,443,029   3,836,121,396   4,044,564,42	Recreational Trails Program	20.219		2,300,818	570,017	2,870,835
HIGHWAY SAFETY CLUSTER U.S. Department of Transportation	Total - U.S. Department of Transportation			208,443,029	3,836,121,396	4,044,564,425
State and Community Highway Safety   20.600   14,162.829   3,383,896   17,546,725   14,162.829   3,383,896   17,546,725   18,240,1479   19,696,127   18,245,747   18,245,745	Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster			208,443,029	3,836,121,396	4,044,564,425
National Priority Safety Programs						
Pass-Through from Texans Standing Tall         2018-TST-G-1YG-0233         -         563         563           Total - CFDA 20.616         7,295,648         12,401,042         19,696,690           Total - U.S. Department of Transportation         21,458,477         15,784,938         37,243,415           TOTAL Highway Safety Cluster         21,458,477         15,784,938         37,243,415           HOUSING VOUCHER CLUSTER U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development           Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers         14.871         -         6,783,213         6,783,213           Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development         -         6,783,213         6,783,213           Total Housing Voucher Cluster         -         6,783,213         6,783,213           MEDICAID CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services         -         17,388,166         17,388,166           XVIII) Medicare         93.775         -         17,388,166         17,388,166           XVIII) Medicare         93.778         8,390,224         23,984,496,771         23,992,886,995           Medical Assistance Program         93.778         8,390,224         24,045,596,102         24,053,986,326	State and Community Highway Safety	20.600		14,162,829	3,383,896	17,546,725
Total - U.S. Department of Transportation 21,458,477 15,784,938 37,243,415  Total Highway Safety Cluster 21,458,477 15,784,938 37,243,415  HOUSING VOUCHER CLUSTER U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers 14,871 - 6,783,213 6,783,213  Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development - 6,783,213 6,783,213  MEDICAID CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  State Medicaid Fraud Control Units 93,775 - 17,388,166 17,388,166  XVIII) Medicare 93,777 - 43,711,165 43,711,165  Medical Assistance Program 93,778 8,390,224 23,984,496,771 23,992,886,995  Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 8,390,224 24,045,596,102 24,053,986,326		20.616	2018-TST-G-1YG-0233	7,295,648		
Total Highway Safety Cluster         21,458,477         15,784,938         37,243,415           HOUSING VOUCHER CLUSTER U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development           Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers         14.871         - 6,783,213         6,783,213           Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development         - 6,783,213         6,783,213         6,783,213           Total Housing Voucher Cluster         - 6,783,213         6	Total - CFDA 20.616			7,295,648	12,401,042	19,696,690
HOUSING VOUCHER CLUSTER U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers 14.871 - 6,783,213 6,783,213  Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development - 6,783,213 6,783,213  Total Housing Voucher Cluster - 6,783,213 6,783,213  MEDICAID CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  State Medicaid Fraud Control Units 93.775 - 17,388,166 17,388,166  XVIII) Medicare 93.777 - 43,711,165 43,711,165  Medical Assistance Program 93.778 8,390,224 23,984,496,771 23,992,886,995  Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 8,390,224 24,045,596,102 24,053,986,326	Total - U.S. Department of Transportation			21,458,477	15,784,938	37,243,415
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development         Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers       14.871       - 6,783,213       6,783,213         Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development       - 6,783,213       6,783,213       6,783,213         Total Housing Voucher Cluster       - 6,783,213       6,783,213       6,783,213         MEDICAID CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services         State Medicaid Fraud Control Units       93.775       - 17,388,166       17,388,166         XVIII) Medicare       93.777       - 43,711,165       43,711,165         Medical Assistance Program       93.778       8,390,224       23,984,496,771       23,992,886,995         Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services       8,390,224       24,045,596,102       24,053,986,326	Total Highway Safety Cluster			21,458,477	15,784,938	37,243,415
Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development         -         6,783,213         6,783,213           Total Housing Voucher Cluster         -         6,783,213         6,783,213           MEDICAID CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services           State Medicaid Fraud Control Units         93.775         -         17,388,166         17,388,166           XVIII) Medicare         93.777         -         43,711,165         43,711,165           Medical Assistance Program         93.778         8,390,224         23,984,496,771         23,992,886,995           Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services         8,390,224         24,045,596,102         24,053,986,326						
MEDICAID CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services         93.775         -         17,388,166         17,388,166           XVIII) Medicare         93.777         -         43,711,165         43,711,165           Medical Assistance Program         93.778         8,390,224         23,984,496,771         23,992,886,995           Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services         8,390,224         24,045,596,102         24,053,986,326	Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871			6,783,213	6,783,213
MEDICAID CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services           State Medicaid Fraud Control Units         93.775         - 17,388,166         17,388,166           XVIII) Medicare         93.777         - 43,711,165         43,711,165           Medical Assistance Program         93.778         8,390,224         23,984,496,771         23,992,886,995           Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services         8,390,224         24,045,596,102         24,053,986,326	Total - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				6,783,213	6,783,213
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services         State Medicaid Fraud Control Units       93.775       - 17,388,166       17,388,166         XVIII) Medicare       93.777       - 43,711,165       43,711,165         Medical Assistance Program       93.778       8,390,224       23,984,496,771       23,992,886,995         Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services       8,390,224       24,045,596,102       24,053,986,326	Total Housing Voucher Cluster				6,783,213	6,783,213
XVIII) Medicare       93.777       -       43,711,165       43,711,165         Medical Assistance Program       93.778       8,390,224       23,984,496,771       23,992,886,995         Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services       8,390,224       24,045,596,102       24,053,986,326						
Medical Assistance Program         93.778         8,390,224         23,984,496,771         23,992,886,995           Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services         8,390,224         24,045,596,102         24,053,986,326	State Medicaid Fraud Control Units	93.775		-	17,388,166	17,388,166
Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 8,390,224 24,045,596,102 24,053,986,326	XVIII) Medicare	93.777		-	43,711,165	43,711,165
	Medical Assistance Program	93.778		8,390,224	23,984,496,771	23,992,886,995
Total Medicaid Cluster 8,390,224 24,045,596,102 24,053,986,326	Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			8,390,224	24,045,596,102	24,053,986,326
	Total Medicaid Cluster			8,390,224	24,045,596,102	24,053,986,326

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
SPECIAL EDUCATION CLUSTER (IDEA) U.S. Department of Education					
Special Education Grants to States Pass-Through from Clear Creek Independent School District Pass-Through from Education Service Center Region 17 Pass-Through from Pasadena Independent School District	84.027	H027A150008 18-0360 H027A16008	1,095,904,320	64,599,496 28,120 52,473 28,120	1,160,503,816 28,120 52,473 28,120
Total - CFDA 84.027			1,095,904,320	64,708,209	1,160,612,529
Special Education Preschool Grants	84.173		24,901,112	193,523	25,094,635
Total - U.S. Department of Education			1,120,805,432	64,901,732	1,185,707,164
Total Special Education Cluster (IDEA)			1,120,805,432	64,901,732	1,185,707,164
SNAP CLUSTER U.S. Department of Agriculture					
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.551		-	4,805,000,297	4,805,000,297
State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561		29,577,536	195,308,397	224,885,933
Total - U.S. Department of Agriculture			29,577,536	5,000,308,694	5,029,886,230
Total SNAP Cluster			29,577,536	5,000,308,694	5,029,886,230
TRANSIT SERVICES PROGRAMS CLUSTER U.S. Department of Transportation					
Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities	20.513		6,791,863	818,134	7,609,997
Job Access and Reverse Commute Program	20.516		-	(46,722)	(46,722)
New Freedom Program	20.521			(2)	(2)
Total - U.S. Department of Transportation			6,791,863	771,410	7,563,273
Total Transit Services Programs Cluster			6,791,863	771,410	7,563,273
TANF CLUSTER U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558		118,625,653	399,762,598	518,388,251
Total - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			118,625,653	399,762,598	518,388,251
Total TANF Cluster			118,625,653	399,762,598	518,388,251
TRIO CLUSTER U.S. Department of Education					
TRIO Student Support Services	84.042		-	5,778,927	5,778,927
TRIO Talent Search	84.044		-	6,294,654	6,294,654
TRIO Upward Bound	84.047		-	14,213,525	14,213,525
TRIO Educational Opportunity Centers	84.066		-	686,970	686,970
TRIO McNair Post-Baccalaureate Achievement	84.217			2,214,006	2,214,006
Total - U.S. Department of Education				29,188,082	29,188,082
Total TRIO Cluster				29,188,082	29,188,082

Cluster Name/Federal Grantor/Program Name/ Pass-through Entity	CFDA	Federal/Pass-through Entity Other Identifying No.	Pass-through to Non-State Entities	Expenditures	Total
WIOA CLUSTER U.S. Department of Labor					
WIOA Adult Program	17.258		62,407,041	1,860,061	64,267,102
WIOA Youth Activities	17.259		55,392,266	7,183,648	62,575,914
WIOA Dislocated Worker Formula Grants Pass-Through from Workforce Solutions	17.278	EXTERNSH	51,523,074	927,548 6,910	52,450,622 6,910
Total - CFDA 17.278			51,523,074	934,458	52,457,532
Total - U.S. Department of Labor			169,322,381	9,978,167	179,300,548
Total WIOA Cluster			169,322,381	9,978,167	179,300,548
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS			\$ 9,879,582,277	\$46,705,791,684	\$56,585,373,961

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### (a) Reporting Entity

The state of Texas Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) includes the activity of all federal award programs administered by the primary government except for the federal activity of the Texas A&M Research Foundation (TAMRF), a blended component unit of the Texas A&M University System. TAMRF is excluded from the Schedule and is subject to a separate audit in compliance with the audit requirements of Title 2, U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance).

The Schedule does not include the federal activity of discrete component units. These entities are legally separate from the state and are responsible for undergoing separate audits as needed to comply with the OMB Uniform Guidance. The federal activity of the following discrete component units is excluded from the Schedule:

OneStar National Service Commission Texas Boll Weevil Eradication Foundation Inc. Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation

#### (b) Basis of Presentation

The Schedule presents total federal awards expended for each individual federal program during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2019. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Uniform Guidance.

Federal award program titles are reported as presented in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA). Federal award program titles not presented in the CFDA are identified by federal agency number followed by (.XXX). Federal award programs include expenditures, pass-throughs to non-state agencies (i.e., payments to subrecipients), non-monetary assistance, and loan programs.

#### (c) Basis of Accounting

The expenditures for each of the federal financial assistance programs are presented in the Schedule on the accounting basis as presented on the fund financial statements. For entities with governmental funds, expenditures are presented on a modified accrual basis. For entities with proprietary or fiduciary funds, expenditures are presented on the full accrual basis. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A- 133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-profit Organizations, or the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

The expenditures in the Student Financial Assistance Cluster that meet the qualification for continuing compliance requirements include the beginning balance of outstanding loans from previous reporting periods, new loans processed in the current reporting period, and the administrative cost recovered. Additional information on all loan expenditures can be seen in Note 5.

Both the modified accrual and accrual basis of accounting incorporate an estimation approach to determine the amount of expenditures incurred if not yet billed by a vendor. Thus, those federal programs presenting negative amounts on the Schedule are the result of prior year estimates being overstated and/or reimbursements due back to the grantor.

#### (d) Matching Costs

Matching costs, the nonfederal share of certain program costs, are not included in the Schedule, except for the state's share of unemployment insurance (See Note 4).

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### (e) Indirect Cost Rate

The following state agencies have elected to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance:

Texas A&M University – Central Texas Lamar Institute of Technology

#### NOTE 2 RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

The regulations and guidelines governing the preparation of federal financial reports vary by federal agency and among programs administered by the same agency. Accordingly, the amounts reported in the federal financial reports do not necessarily agree with the amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule, which is prepared on the basis explained in Note 1.

#### NOTE 3 RELATIONS TO REVENUES IN THE STATE OF TEXAS' FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following is a reconciliation of total federal awards expended as reported in the Schedule to federal revenues reported in the fund financial statements.

#### **FEDERAL REVENUES**

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in	
Fund Balances - Governmental Funds, Federal Revenue	\$ 46,828,916,783
·	φ 40,020,910,763
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position -	0.704.007.000
Proprietary Funds, Federal Revenue	3,704,697,830
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position -	
Proprietary Funds, Capital Contributions - Federal	848,391
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	315,669,941
Total Federal Revenue per Fund Financial Statements	50,850,132,945
RECONCILING ITEMS	
Noncash Federal Commodities/Vaccines/Surplus Property/	
Other (Note 6)	831,068,344
Various Loans Processed by Universities and Agencies (Note 5)	3,233,907,389
Beginning Balance of Loans as of September 1, 2018 for	-,,,
Various Loan Programs (Note 5)	141,083,013
State Unemployment Funds (Note 4)	2,015,963,440
Programs Not Subject to OMB Uniform Guidance (Note 8)	(427,094,985)
•	
Other*	4,711,234
Blended Component Unit Not Included in the Schedule of	(2.4.222.4.2)
Expenditures of Federal Awards (Note 1(a))	(64,397,419)
Expenditures per Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	\$ 56,585,373,961

<sup>\*</sup> This amount includes deductions of \$682,341 for fixed fee contracts; deductions of \$9,045,259 for vendor transactions; additions of \$12,037,162 for Credit Enhancement for Charter School Facilities; additions of \$764,130 for Smith-Lever Act Federal Appropriation; additions of \$1,637,458 of other transactions; and an addition of \$84 for rounding in the Schedule.

#### NOTE 4 UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE FUNDS

State unemployment tax revenues and the government and nonprofit contributions in lieu of state taxes (State UI funds) must be deposited into the Unemployment Trust Fund in the U.S. Treasury. Use of these funds is restricted to pay benefits under the federally approved State Unemployment Law. State UI funds as well as federal funds are reported in the Schedule under CFDA 17.225. The state portion in the amount of \$2.0 billion is a reconciling item in the reconciliation of the Schedule to revenues in the fund financial statements (see Note 3).

#### NOTE 5 FEDERALLY FUNDED LOAN/CREDIT ENHANCEMENT PROGRAMS

The state participates in various federally funded loan and credit enhancement programs. The programs can be grouped into three broad categories:

Federally Funded Student Loan Programs
Other Federally Funded Loan Programs
Federally Funded Credit Enhancement Program

#### (a) Federally Funded Student Loan Programs

The state participates in student loan programs on which the federal government imposes continuing compliance requirements. Additionally, the state participates in other student loan programs that do not require continuing compliance. The charts below summarize activity by the state for federally funded student loan programs:

#### Student Loan Programs with Continuing Compliance Requirements

		Beginning Balance of	Ending Balance of	
CFDA		Loans as of	Loans as of	New Loans
Number	Drogram Nama	September 1, 2018	September 1, 2019	Processed
Number	Program Name	2010	2019	 riocesseu
84.032L	Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP)	\$ 4,136,834	\$ 3,411,174	\$ -
84.038	Federal Perkins Loan (FPL) - Federal Capital Contributions	114,394,751	90,665,411	(76,248)
93.108	Health Education Assistance Loan Program (HEAL)	1,534,008	1,139,795	-
93.264	Nurse Faculty Loan Program (NFLP)	1,403,044	1,404,302	414,721
	Health Professions Student Loans, Including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students			
93.342	(HPSL/PCL/LDS)	16,624,978	15,369,972	3,091,949
93.364	Nursing Student Loans (NSL)	2,696,139	753,075	630,505
93.408	ARRA - Nurse Faculty Loan Program	293,259	302,025	 37,500
		\$ 141,083,013	\$ 113,045,754	\$ 4,098,427

#### NOTE 5 FEDERALLY FUNDED LOAN/CREDIT ENHANCEMENT PROGRAMS (CONTINUED)

#### (a) Federally Funded Student Loan Programs (Continued)

Other Student Loan Programs

CFDA Number	Program Name	New Loans Processed
84.268	Federal Direct Student Loans (Direct Loan)	\$ 3,229,808,962

New student loans processed totaling \$3.2 billion are included in the Schedule and are part of a reconciling item on Note 3.

The Federal Direct Student Loans Program (Direct Loan, CFDA 84.268) does not require universities to disburse funds. The proceeds are disbursed by the federal government for Direct Loans.

#### (b) Other Federally Funded Loan Programs

Clean Water State Revolving Funds (CWSRF, CFDA 66.458)

The Texas Water Development Board receives capitalization grants to create and maintain Clean Water State Revolving Funds programs (CWSRF, CFDA 66.458). The state can use capitalization grant funds to provide a long-term source of state financing for construction of wastewater treatment facilities and implementation of other water quality management activities.

The CWSRF provides loans at interest rates lower than what can be obtained through commercial markets. Mainstream funds offer a net long-term fixed interest rate below market rate for those applicants financing the origination fee. The maximum repayment period for most CWSRF loans is 30 years from completion of construction. Capitalization loans processed for CWSRF for the year ended August 31, 2019 were approximately \$69.2 million and are included in the Schedule. CWSRF outstanding loans, with no continuing audit requirements, at August 31, 2019 were approximately \$2.6 billion.

#### Drinking Water State Revolving Funds (DWSRF, CFDA 66.468)

The Texas Water Development Board receives capitalization grants to create and maintain Drinking Water State Revolving Funds programs (DWSRF, CFDA 66.468). The state can use capitalization grant funds to establish a revolving loan fund. The revolving loan fund can assist public water systems in financing the costs of infrastructure needed to achieve or maintain compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act. These compliance requirements ensure the public health objectives of the Safe Drinking Water Act.

The DWSRF can provide loans at interest rates lower than the market or provide other types of financial assistance for qualified communities, local agencies, and private entities. Mainstream funds offer a net long-term fixed interest rate below market rate for those applicants financing the origination fee. The maximum repayment period for most DWSRF loans is 30 years from the completion of construction. Capitalization loans processed for DWSRF for the year ended August 31, 2019 were approximately \$68.8 million and are included in the Schedule. DWSRF outstanding loans, with no continuing audit requirements, at August 31, 2019 were approximately \$1.3 billion.

### STATE OF TEXAS NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

### NOTE 5 FEDERALLY FUNDED LOAN/CREDIT ENHANCEMENT PROGRAMS (CONTINUED)

### (b) Other Federally Funded Loan Programs (Continued)

The chart below summarizes activity by the state for the two revolving loan programs.

CFDA Number	Program Name	New Loans Processed
66.458 66.468	Clean Water State Revolving Funds (CWSRF) Drinking Water State Revolving Funds (DWSRF)	\$ 69,154,900 68,773,045
	Total New Loans Processed	\$ 137,927,945

### State Energy Program (SEP, CFDA 81.041)

The State Energy Conservation Office receives an annual grant from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to provide funds for the State Energy Program (SEP). These low interest loans enable the municipalities to maximize their energy efficiency through building retrofits. The loans are paid back with funds saved from the reduction of energy costs. Also, The State Energy Conservation Office has chosen to continue the administration of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) revolving loan program made available through the Department of Energy in 2009. The program will still offer low interest loans intended to assist governmental entities in financing their energy related cost reduction efforts. No dollars have been transferred from the now discontinued ARRA award to the annual SEP award and all monitoring will follow the same guidelines as the SEP annual grant.

CFDA		1	New Loans
Number	Program Name	1	Processed
81.041	State Energy Program	\$	17,002,806

### (c) Federally Funded Credit Enhancement Program

Credit Enhancement for Charter School Facilities (CFDA 84.354)

In 2005, the Texas Public Finance Authority Charter School Finance Corporation formed a consortium with the Texas Education Agency and the Texas Charter School Resource Center to apply for a federal grant to assist charter schools. In 2006, the consortium received \$10 million in federal grants, to which the Texas Education agency added \$100,000, to establish the Texas Credit Enhancement Program (TCEP). The \$12.0 million of federal grants received are subject to continuing audit requirements and are included in the Schedule. In addition, approximately \$292.2 thousand of interest earned on the federal grant monies drawn down in fiscal 2019 is also included in the Schedule.

The TCEP provides credit enhancement grants to eligible charter schools by funding debt service reserve funds for bonds issued on behalf of the schools to finance education facilities. As of August 31, 2019, approximately \$9.1 million of the grant funds and related interest earnings were allocated in the form of credit enhancements to various charter schools.

### STATE OF TEXAS NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

### NOTE 6 NONMONETARY ASSISTANCE

The state is the recipient of federal financial assistance programs that do not result in cash receipts or disbursements and are therefore not recorded in the state's fund financial statements. Awards received by the state, which includes cash and noncash amounts, are included in the Schedule as follows:

CFDA Number			New Loans Processed	
10.555	National School Lunch Program	\$	189,701,410	
10.559	Summer Food Service Program for Children		100,023	
10.565	Commodity Supplemental Food Program	17,549,863		
10.569	Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities)	3) 124,136,565		
39.003	Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property	Federal Surplus Personal Property 12,632,142		
93.268	Immunization Cooperative Agreements		486,868,718	
94.013	Volunteers in Service to America		79,623	
	Total Grant Awards	\$	831,068,344	

### NOTE 7 REBATES FROM THE SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

During fiscal year 2019, the state received cash rebates from infant formula manufacturers in the amount of approximately \$211.6 million on sales of formula to participants in the WIC program (CFDA 10.557), which are netted against total expenditures included in the Schedule. Rebate contracts with infant formula manufacturers are authorized by Code of Federal Regulations, Title 7: Agriculture, Subtitle B, Chapter II, Subchapter A, Part 246.16a as a cost containment measure. Rebates represent a reduction of expenditures previously incurred for WIC food benefit costs. Applying the rebates received to such costs enabled the state to extend program benefits to more participants than could have been serviced this fiscal year in the absence of the rebate contract.

### NOTE 8 PROGRAMS NOT SUBJECT TO OMB UNIFORM GUIDANCE

The fund financial statements include federal funding received from certain programs that are not subject to continuing compliance requirements. For the year ended August 31, 2019, the fund financial statements include \$427.1 million of federal funds which are not subject to the continuing compliance requirements of OMB Uniform Guidance, and are not included in the Schedule.

Medicare Part D is not subject to OMB Uniform Guidance. Reimbursements of \$344.4 million were received related to the Medicare Part D program by the administrators of postemployment health care plans. Administrators include the Employees Retirement System of Texas, Teacher Retirement System of Texas, University of Texas System, and Texas A&M University System.

The Build America Bonds are taxable municipal bonds that carry special tax credits and federal subsidies for either the bond issuer or the bondholder. The revenue generated is excluded from the Schedule. The state recognized federal revenues of \$82.7 million related to the program.

### STATE OF TEXAS NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

### NOTE 9 DISASTER GRANTS – PUBLIC ASSISTANCE (CFDA 97.036)

After a Presidential-Declared Disaster, FEMA provides a Public Assistance Grant to reimburse eligible costs associated with repair, replacement, or restoration of disaster-damaged facilities. The federal government reimburses in the form of cost-shared grants that require state matching funds. For the year ended August 31, 2019, \$30.2 million of approved eligible expenditures that were incurred in a prior year are included on the Schedule.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### Section 1 - Summary of Auditors' Results

### Financial Statements

Issued under separate cover. See State Auditor's Office report entitled the State of Texas Financial Portion of the Statewide Single Audit Report for the Year Ended August 31, 2019 dated February 25, 2020.

### Federal Awards

	Major Programs with Material Weaknesses:			
	Significant deficiency(ies) identified	X	yes	none reported
	Material weakness(es) identified?	x	yes	no
1.	Internal control over major federal programs:			

			٨
٠	Г	v	А

<u>Number</u>	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	
10.557	WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	
93.074	Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness	
	(PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	
93.767	Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)	
93.791	Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration	
Cluster	Medicaid	

### **Major Programs with Significant Deficiencies:**

### **CFDA**

Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
10.557	WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children
84.048	Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States
93.568	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance
93.767	Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)
93.791	Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration
Cluster	CCDF
Cluster	Food Distribution Cluster
Cluster	Medicaid
Cluster	SNAP
Cluster	TANF

### Section 1 – Summary of Auditors' Results (Continued)

	Section 1 – Summary of Auditors' Results (Continued)			
2.		rs' report issued on r major federal programs: See below:		
	Qualified: CFDA	Name of Fadous Browns on Objector		
	Number 10.555	Name of Federal Program or Cluster		
	10.557 93.074	WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements		
	93.767	Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)		
	93.791	Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration		
	Cluster	Medicaid		
	Unmodified: CFDA			
	<u>Number</u>	Name of Federal Program or Cluster		
	16.575	Crime Victim Assistance		
	84.048	Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States		
	84.424	Student Support and Academic Enrichment Program		
	84.938	Hurricane Education Recovery Program		
	93.568	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance		
	93.569	Community Services Block Grant		
	97.067	Homeland Security Grant Program		
	Cluster	CCDF		
	Cluster	Fish and Wildlife Cluster		
	Cluster	Food Distribution Cluster		
	Cluster	Highway Safety Cluster		
	Cluster	SNAP		
	Cluster	TANF		
3.		ngs disclosed that are required		
		in accordance with		
	2 CFR 200.516	6(a)? yes no		

### Section 1 – Summary of Auditors' Results (Continued)

### Identification of Major Federal Programs

CFDA	N (5   15 O			
Number 10.557	Name of Federal Program or Clus			N. ''. I
10.557	WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children			
16.575	Crime Victim Assistance			
84.048	Career and Technical Education –			
84.424	Student Support and Academic En	•		
84.938	Hurricane Education Recovery Pro-	gram		
93.074	Hospital Preparedness Program (H	IPP) and Public Health En	nergency Pi	reparedness
	(PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agree	ements		
93.568	Low-Income Home Energy Assista	nce		
93.569	Community Services Block Grant			
93.767	Children's Health Insurance Progra	m (CHIP)		
93.791				
97.067 Homeland Security Grant Program				
Cluster	CCDF			
Cluster	Fish and Wildlife Cluster			
Cluster	Food Distribution Cluster			
Cluster	Highway Safety Cluster			
Cluster	Medicaid			
Cluster	SNAP			
Cluster	TANF			
Dollar threshold used to Type A and Type B pro		\$ <u>84,878,061</u>		
Auditee qualified as low	risk auditee?	yes	х	_no

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings

Issued under separate cover. See State Auditor's Office report entitled the State of Texas Financial Portion of the Statewide Single Audit Report for the Year Ended August 31, 2019 dated February 25, 2020.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## STATE OF TEXAS TABLE OF CONTENTS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

### **SECTION 3 – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

AGRICULTURE, DEPARTMENT OF	153
FAMILY AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES, DEPARTMENT OF	158
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMISSION	162
HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF	194
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	196
TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	198
TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD	200
TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION	201

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### Section 3 - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs - CLA

This section identifies material weaknesses, significant deficiencies, and instances of non-compliance, including questioned costs, as required to be reported by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, Section 200.516 Audit Findings. This section is organized by state agency.

Reference No. 2019-001 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Cash Management

Food Distribution Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, and June 1, 2019 to September 30, 2019

Award numbers – 6TX430816, 6TX801816, 6TX810817, and 6TX810877

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Agriculture

TDA uses Active Directory to grant network access to TDA personnel. TDA utilizes Personnel, Accounting, Timekeeping, Human Resource System (PATHS) to process time and effort reporting under 2 CFR 200.430. TDA utilizes Contracts, Awards Management, and Procurement System (CAMPS) for procurement of vendors and approval of the associated payments on the resulting contracts, including subrecipients. Additionally, TDA utilizes TXUNPS Pentaho as a reporting tool to assist in federal reporting requirements.

- 1) As part of TDA's internal controls procedures, periodic user access reviews are required to be completed for Active Directory, PATHS and CAMPS applications in order to ensure that access granted to TDA personnel are consistent with their respective job description. During fiscal year 2019, user reviews were not performed for Active Directory, PATHS, and CAMPS applications. User access reviews should include reviewing users with privilege access, ensuring all active service accounts (i.e., non-employee accounts) have a required business purpose, verifying that users are assigned to security groups or roles based on their existing job description, and ensuring that accounts no longer required are adequately removed.
- 2) In fiscal year 2019, two (2) terminated users had access to requesting coding changes to the CAMPS application after termination. Access to coding changes allows users to request changes to configurations or program functionalities. The two users had not logged in subsequent to their date of termination, which mitigated the risk of inappropriate access, and access for these accounts was removed on September 24, 2019. Additionally, one (1) terminated user had access to Active Directory after termination. The user was terminated on November 30, 2019; however, the request to remove access to Active Directory was not submitted until December 13, 2019. The user had not logged in subsequent to their date of termination, which mitigated the risk of inappropriate access. An effective mechanism should be in place to ensure that access is appropriately removed when an employee is terminated. Without an effective termination control, the risk of unauthorized access to programs and data is increased.

3) For the TXUNPS Pentaho application, TDA maintained a shared administrative account. Administrative accounts allow a user to add users, remove users, as well as make report configuration changes. Shared administrative accounts limit the effectiveness of audit logs in identifying the origination of changes to access or report configurations. Each administrator should have a unique administrative account in order to monitor changes made to the application. Without unique administrative accounts, the risk of unauthorized changes to report configurations or user access is increased.

No questioned costs were noted with regard to allowable costs/cost principles or cash management as a result of the issues noted above.

### Recommendation:

TDA should enforce its policies and procedures in place to ensure user access reviews are performed and documented on a periodic basis. This review should entail verifying that privilege access, service accounts, and employee access are restricted to authorized personnel in accordance with their respective job description. Regarding terminated users, TDA should immediately remove a user's access upon termination. Additionally, regarding shared administrative accounts, TDA should revise its policies and procedures to eliminate shared administrative accounts. A unique account should exist for each administrator and TDA should continue to perform reviews of audit logs to ensure inappropriate changes are not made to report configurations.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

TDA management agrees with the concept that system controls are necessary. The TDA ISO, IT and Program staff will continue to follow and adhere to all posted agency policies and procedures as they pertain to "Quarterly Security Access Reviews" for all in-scope agency applications.

### Corrective Action Plan:

TDA will ensure quarterly security access reviews are performed, CAMPS users and F&N Pentaho administrative accounts are reviewed and performed according to the agency's posted policies. TDA Corrective Actions are detailed below:

### **Quarterly Security Access Reviews**

- 1. The PATHS application has been upgraded and now uses AD for credentialing.
- 2. IT and the ISO have collaborated to create tools, scripts and reports, which are now scheduled and/or automated to help ensure consistency and overall compliance.
- 3. The ISO will be reviewing all training materials previously provided to TDA managers charged with reviewing their staff's access rights and groups. The additional training will take place to ensure all managers understand the requirements needed to effectively evaluate and approve quarterly access reviews as well as the AD groups and relationships.

### **Terminated CAMPS Users**

- 1. TDA IT will continue to follow and adhere to posted agency policies and procedures as they pertain to employee separations and terminations in AD. TDA IT acted correctly and timely regarding processing the termination requests received from HR in a timely manner for these two users and will continue to work with the other impacted business areas of the agency to ensure timely ticket submission to TDA IT is performed regarding any future separations and/or terminations in AD.
- 2. The CAMPS application has been decommissioned.
- 3. The (2) users listed with access did not have static IPs matching the range needed along with a current TDA email account, which would have had to be in place in an effort to submit or attempt to make a change to the CAMPS application.
- 4. The (2) users also lacked any access to the TEST, DEV and PROD environments and had no elevated user's rights, which could have allowed them any opportunity to upload or push any potentially requested changes into any of the agency's environments.

### F&N Pentaho "Administrative Account"

1. The (1) shared administrative account(s) has been terminated, disabled and new dev/ops processes were put in place to eliminate shared administrative accounts moving forward.

Implementation Dates: All CAMPS & F&N Pentaho user access issues were resolved and completed

on or around August 2019 (Items 2 & 3). All quarterly security access reviews (Item 1) were resumed on or around September 2019 and all new ISO

instructions and training will be completed by August 2020.

Responsible Persons: Wes Williams, Information Security Officer

William Butch Grote, Information Resources Manager/Chief Information Officer

Melissa Dozier Gonzales, Director for F&N Program Support

Reference No. 2019-002
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Cash Management
Eligibility
Special Tests and Provisions – Accountability for USDA Foods

Food Distribution Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, and June 1, 2019 to September 30, 2019

Award numbers – 6TX430816, 6TX801816, 6TX810817, and 6TX810877

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Agriculture

TDA utilizes TXUNPS, a web application that allows TDA personnel and subrecipients to submit and approve documents. TXUNPS manages information regarding subrecipient contracts, entitlement, inventory, orders, and other Food Distribution Cluster (FDC) functions. Specific functions of TXUNPS include submitting and tracking commodity orders, viewing or declining commodity allocations, viewing invoices, and submitting and maintaining annual commodity contract packets and contract entitlements.

TDA currently outsources the hosting, maintenance, and enhancement over TXUNPS to a third-party service organization. The third-party service organization does not currently provide a Service Organization Controls 1(SOC 1) Type 2 report. SOC 1 Type 2 reports validate the suitability of design and operating effectiveness of the controls to meet the designed control objectives of internal controls over financial reporting. This report is critical to ensure that the service organization has the required controls infrastructure in place to process TDA's data. Testing of controls infrastructure includes, but is not limited to, physical security, logical controls, and change management.

TDA also outsources their primary data backup services to a third party cloud service provider. During fiscal year 2019, management received the following SOC reports from this provider:

- a) SOC 1 Type 2 report, which validates the suitability of design and operating effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting.
- b) System and Organization Controls 2 (SOC 2) Type 2 report, which reports on controls at the service organization relevant to security, availability, and confidentiality principles.

Both reports noted above provided an unqualified opinion, which signifies that the service provider's controls are designed and operating effectively. However, the report also specifies that certain control objectives can only be met if complementary user entity controls at TDA are suitably designed and operating effectively. These user entity controls have been outlined within the respective reports. We determined that no formal documentation has been maintained to validate TDA's compliance with the identified complementary user entity controls.

No questioned costs were noted with regard to allowable costs/cost principles, cash management, or eligibility as a result of the issues noted above.

### Recommendation:

TDA should ensure that they obtain and review SOC reports for each of their third party vendors that provide services over critical applications in order to evaluate whether they can rely on the third party's overall control structure. In addition, TDA should review and test the complementary user entity controls included in each SOC report and document the results of those procedures.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

TDA management agrees with the concept that system controls are necessary. We have process and procedures in place, but we will refine those procedures to incorporate a formal review of the SOC reports and strengthen our related complementary controls.

### Corrective Action Plan:

TDA will develop procedures and a standard template to document the review of the SOC reports, identification of the related complementary controls, testing of those controls and determine any residual risk as it relates to the services provided.

TDA will conduct the review of SOC reports at least annually.

Implementation Dates: Establish procedures and a review template. April 2020

Review the SOC reports and document the review annually.

Responsible Persons: Wes Williams, Information Security Officer

William Butch Grote, Information Resources Manager/Chief Information

Officer

Melissa Dozier Gonzales, Director for F&N Program Support

Reference No. 2019-003 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

(Prior Audit Issues - 2018-002, 2017-005, and 2016-008)

### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1905TXINCT, 1905TXIMPL, 1905TX5MAP, 1905TX5ADM, 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, and 1805TX5ADM

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3

### **CCDF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2021, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2020, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2019, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers – G1901TXCCDF, G1801TXCCDF, G1701TXCCDF, and G1601TXCCDF

### Non-Major Programs:

93.090 - Guardianship Assistance 93.658 - Foster Care - Title IV - E

93.659 - Adoption Assistance

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per 45 CFR Section 95.507, the State shall submit a cost allocation plan for the State agency as required below to the Director, Division of Cost Allocation (DCA), in the appropriate HHS Regional Office. The plan shall describe the procedures used to

Questioned Cost: Unknown

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

identify, measure, and allocate all costs to each of the programs operated by the State agency. The cost allocation plan shall contain the procedures used to identify, measure, and allocate all costs to each benefitting program and activity. Per 45 CFR Section 95.509, the State shall promptly amend the cost allocation plan and submit the amended plan to the Director, DCA, if any of the following events occur, including if other changes occur which make the allocation basis or procedures in the approval cost allocation plan invalid.

DFPS's approved Public Assistance Cost Allocation Plan (PACAP) expenditures and revenues are initially allocated based on an estimate of Project ID percentages. After actual base statistical data is available, expenditures are reallocated and adjustments between estimated and actual costs are made. The adjustments result in costs claimed for each period being allocated based on actual base statistics for the same period. Data is updated either monthly or quarterly depending on the Project ID. There are approximately 20 Project IDs. Control procedures are in place to review these reallocation entries.

During fiscal year 2019, reallocations were done through December of 2018 for recording in the general ledger. Monthly reconciliations were completed through June 2019, and posted through a top-side entry. However, reallocations required for projects reallocations for the months of July and August were not completed. And as of January 2020, reallocations were not complete. As such, the amount of questioned costs was unable to be determined. DFPS has determined that this delay for completing the final reallocations timely is related to current year changes to the process implemented for automated data collection procedures in preparing the reallocation rates.

### Recommendation:

DFPS should continue to improve the timeliness of reallocations to ensure the amounts included in the Schedule of Federal Expenditures meet 2 CFR 200.303.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

In October 2019, DFPS observed a declining number of children in the "reasonable candidates for foster care" case count methodology. This was attributed to an issue identified with the IMPACT system. As a result, reallocation for Q4 could not be completed until this issue was resolved. A correction to the system was implemented in late December 2019. The data warehouse refresh was completed in February 2020, allowing DFPS to move forward with Q4 reallocation using the new counts.

### Corrective Action Plan:

DFPS plans to process the Q4 reallocations within the next 30 days.

Implementation Date: March 6, 2020

Responsible Person: Kristen Norris

Reference No. 2019-004

Eligibility

(Prior Audit Issues – 2018-003, 2017-006, 2016-010, and 2015-008)

**TANF Cluster** 

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per the TANF State Plan, DFPS provides any service the State previously was authorized to use IV-A or IV-F funds under prior law, as in effect on September 30, 1995 and as clarified by the State's 1997 plan amendment. This includes the TANF Emergency Assistance (EA) program.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Per the Texas Administrative Code, Title 40, Part 19, Chapter 700, Subchapter Z, Rule §700.2703:

- (a) The Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) or its authorized designee determines eligibility of a child and/or his family for Title IV-A Emergency Services if all of the following criteria are met:
  - (1) An emergency exists, as defined in subsection (b) of this section.
  - (2) The family applies for care and services available in emergency situations, or DFPS or its authorized designee applies on behalf of a child whose parents are unavailable or unwilling to apply.
  - (3) The child has lived with a relative at sometime within the six-month period prior to application.
  - (4) The emergency arose for a reason other than an adult family member's refusal to accept employment without good cause.
  - (5) The applicant, child, or family declares annual income of less than \$63,000.
- (b) An emergency exists when DFPS:
  - (1) Determines that a child is at risk;
  - (2) Has removed a child from the child's home and placed the child in its care; or
  - (3) Determines that a child formerly in its care is at risk of being returned to that care.

There are no automated controls to require recertification of EA recipients in Information Management Protecting Adults and Children in Texas (IMPACT), DFPS's eligibility system. The process of gathering information related to EA eligibility is done in conjunction with the case investigation process. Information, including the reason for an emergency and income levels, is determined based on the caseworker's interviews with the family and child. This information is input into IMPACT to determine eligibility. IMPACT's automated controls to determine if the child is EA eligible were found to be effective, including the assignment of service codes that determine monthly payment amounts. Only the information that the caseworker considers necessary to support the conclusions regarding eligibility is included in IMPACT as case notes. A supervisor within Child Protective Services will then review and approve the entire case for placement.

Of the 65 cases sampled, one instance was noted where the supervisor approval was not received until after the participant was determined to be eligible to receive benefit payments. Of the 65 cases sampled, there was one instance where the Emergency Assistance application within IMPACT was not used; however, assistance was provided.

### Recommendation:

DFPS should continue to enhance the automation between authorization of participant eligibility and the applications within IMPACT that determine eligibility. These automations should also apply for recertification and exits from the applicable programs. Additionally, DFPS should ensure that appropriate documentation related to cases is maintained.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

DFPS will continue to work in enhancing the automation with the applications within IMPACT for eligibility.

### Corrective Action Plan:

DFPS will reassess automation between authorization of participant eligibility and the applications within IMPACT that determine eligibility, and assess, as necessary, for any other automations as applicable to the program. DFPS staff will be researching implementation approaches to determine next steps to release in the 4th quarter FY 2021. In addition, DFPS will ensure that INV/AR staff receive ongoing communication/training regarding EA and Timely Documentation.

Implementation Date: Ongoing communication – will vary, first communication by June 1, 2020;

IMPACT changes by August 31, 2021

Responsible Person: Gwen Gray

Health and Human Services Commission / Department of Family and Protective Services Reference No. 2019-005

Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

(Prior Audit Issues - 2018-026 and 2017-025)

CFDA 10.557 - WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years - October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers - 6TX700507 and 6TX700527

### Medicaid Cluster

Award years - October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers - 1905TXINCT, 1905TXIMPL, 1905TX5MAP, 1905TX5ADM, 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, and 1805TX5ADM

### **SNAP Cluster**

Award years - October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers - 6TX430165, 6TX400106, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years - October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers - 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3

### Non-Major Programs:

84.181 - Special Education-Grants for Infants and Families

93.917 - HIV Care Formula Grants

93.958 – Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

97.050 - Presidential Declared Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households - other Needs Aging Cluster

Statistically valid sample - No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

HHSC has their own instance of the Centralized Accounting and Payroll/Personnel System - Financials (CAPPS Financials). CAPPS Financials is the HHSC accounting system of record. This system is responsible for financial-related transaction processing that occurs for the entire Enterprise, encompassing the Accounts Payables, General Ledger, Asset Management and Purchasing

Questioned Cost:

\$0

- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Education
- U.S. Department of Health and **Human Services**
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security

functions for administrative and client services related to provider payments. CAPPS Financials personnel provide system support, including development changes, CAPPS Financials is an Oracle PeopleSoft application.

Based on our review, we determined CAPPS Financials developers have the ability to migrate PeopleSoft objects into the production environment, which creates a segregation of duties conflict since developers should not have the ability to migrate their own code changes into production. The process of performing migrations utilizing PeopleSoft may require that tables be built in the subsequent environments, and to perform this function the migrator must log into PeopleSoft Application Designer to build the scripts to run against the database. The ability to perform this function requires the same level of access as a developer, which impedes the ability to segregate a PeopleSoft migrator from a developer.

The STAT workflow process utilized to migrate code changes into production does not have the functionality to ensure the migrator and developer are different individuals. While a procedure is outlined in a memo instruction to developers to not migrate their own changes into production, there are no detective controls in place to ensure this procedure is adhered to.

Based on our review of CAPPS Financials privileged access, we determined one (1) individual with Data Base Administrator (DBA) access had a last logon date of August 31, 2017. The individual was terminated on January 26, 2018 and the CAPPS Financials access was locked on October 10, 2019. Privileged accounts pose an increased risk since they have the ability to directly access and change data. The risk of unauthorized access is increased when an account is not timely locked when a user is terminated.

### Recommendation:

### **Change Management**

HHSC should consider implementing a detective control to monitor code migration to ensure developers are not migrating their own changes to production.

### **User Access**

HHSC should timely lock accounts at the application layer to prevent unauthorized access

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Agree

### Corrective Action Plan:

### Change Management

HHSC will remove access to migrate production program changes from development staff. PeopleSoft migration training and system access will be given to non-development staff to achieve the separation of duties requirement. Program changes would then be promoted only by non-development staff.

### User Access

HHSC will take two actions. The first is to move all privileged access to a small number of emergency accounts. Emergency accounts are required to allow for recovery from a system outage or issue within the timelines expected by program.

Second, user accounts will be reviewed quarterly to ensure only the emergency accounts have privileged access. Any other existing privileged accounts will have their privileged access removed.

Implementation Dates: Change Management: June 30, 2020

User Access: June 30, 2020

Responsible Persons: Leatha Marr, Director of IT Applications

Reference No. 2019-006
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking

(Prior Audit Issues - 2018-005, 2017-009, and 2016-024)

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507 and 6TX700527

CFDA 93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2020 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1905TX5021 and 1705TX0301

CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Award year – January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2020 Award number – 1LICMS300151

### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1905TXINCT, 1905TXIMPL, 1905TX5MAP, 1905TX5ADM, 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, and 1805TX5ADM

### **SNAP Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers - 6TX430165, 6TX400106, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3

### **CCDF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2021, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2020, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2019, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers – G1901TXCCDF, G1801TXCCDF, G1701TXCCDF, and G1601TXCCDF

### Non-Major Programs:

10.578 - WIC Grants to States (WGS)

10.579 - Child Nutrition Discretionary Availability

84.126 - Rehabilitation Services Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States

84.181 - Special Education-Grants for Infants and Families

93.052 - National Family Caregiver Support, Title III, Part E

93.071 - Medicare Enrollment Assistance Program

93.104 - Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances

93.150 – Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)

93.235 - Title V State Sexual Risk Avoidance Education (Title V State SRAE) Program

93.243 - Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance

93.296 - State Partnership Grant Program to Improve Minority Health

93.658 - Foster Care Title IV-E

93.667 - Social Services Block Grant

93.671 - Family Violence Prevention and Services/Domestic Violence Shelter and Supportive Services

93.752 – Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations financed in part by Prevention and Public Health Funds

93.788 - Opioid STR

93.796 - State Survey Certification of Health Care Providers and Suppliers (Title XIX) Medicaid

93.829 - Section 223 Demonstration Programs to Improve Community Mental Health Services

93.898 - Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations

93.958 - Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

93.959 - Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse

93.994 - Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States

SSI Cluster

Aging Cluster

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per 45 CFR Section 95.507, the State shall submit a cost allocation plan for the State agency as required below to the Director, Division of Cost Allocation (DCA), in the appropriate HHS Regional Office. The plan shall describe the procedures used to identify, measure, and allocate all costs to each of the programs operated by the State agency. The cost allocation plan shall contain

Questioned Cost: Unknown

- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Education
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Social Security Administration

the procedures used to identify, measure, and allocate all costs to each benefitting program and activity. Per 45 CFR Section 95.509, the State shall promptly amend the cost allocation plan and submit the amended plan to the Director, DCA, if any of the following events occur, including if other changes occur which make the allocation basis or procedures in the approval cost allocation plan invalid.

HHSC's approved Public Assistance Cost Allocation Plan (PACAP) expenditures and revenues are initially allocated based on an estimate of Project ID percentages. After actual base statistical data is available, expenditures are reallocated and adjustments between estimated and actual costs are made. The adjustments will result in costs claimed for each period being allocated based on actual base statistics for the same period. Data is updated either by voucher, monthly, quarterly, semi-annually, or annually, depending on the Project ID.

During testing over the application of the 2019 PACAP, the following items were noted:

- The PACAP does not include all department codes that HHSC is using to charge items. One department was not included in the PACAP and could not be tied to an allocation method.
- The PACAP contains department IDs that are allocated using two different Project IDs/allocation factors. Two
  departments were listed to two different allocation factors and determined to be allocated using one of the two
  indicated allocation methods.
- As changes occur in business operations, the Department can update the information in PACAP and adjust
  what allocation factor is used for different groups of costs. During 2019, one department ID was updated in
  the PACAP, but did not complete the process to be updated in the CAPPS system, resulting in the
  department being charged according to the incorrect allocation factor.
- The PACAP also summarizes whether current or historical statistics will be used in each allocation method. In application, one of the factors tested used both current and prior month's metrics.
- The PACAP includes allocation methods that are dependent on other allocation methods. One of the allocations tested was based on factors that are no longer included in the PACAP, due to updates to the plan.
- The PACAP summaries the funding sources, including state general revenue and federal programs, which will be used by each allocation method. There are two federal program funding sources which, for budget reasons, are not being charged to the federal programs; rather, they are being charged to the State General Revenue in lieu of those programs.
- The estimates that are used for each project ID are not subject to review periodically and are only being adjusted for the new grant years and adjusted FMAP rates.

HHSC is required to pay part of the costs of providing health care to the poor and part of the costs of administering the program. Different State participation rates apply to medical assistance payments. The Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) is updated in each allocation method in CAPPS FIN, the book of record, annually based on the Federal Register Circular. The FMAP is effective on October 1 of each year. Although there is no documented policy over when the FMAP should be updated, HHSC will allocate costs at the FMAP that is in effect at the time of the transaction and will reallocate the transactions using the FMAP in effect at the time of the reallocation. This procedure was not followed in 2019, when the costs for the months of July and August 2018 were allocated using the federal fiscal year 2018 FMAP rates, when they were reallocated during federal fiscal year 2019.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should review and update the cost allocation plan to ensure that the PACAP accurately describes how costs will be allocated between the federal programs and the state. HHSC should also document how the PACAP will be applied, including how the FMAP will be applied to transactions and reallocations.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC acknowledges and agrees with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan:

The Cost Allocation Team will add a step to the annual expenditure and budget analysis to check for old Dept IDs that get re-activated without a notification to Cost Allocation through the Dept ID setup process. (Quality Control)

The Cost Allocation Team will make the Project ID fields in Section VI and Exhibit IV-2 use the exact same naming and numbering conventions so that the files can be electronically checked to ensure that all Dept IDs in one file are in the other file and that all Project IDs are the same in both files for each Dept ID. (Quality Control)

The Cost Allocation Team will coordinate with the Budget Team to help ensure that budget analysts who are assigned to Dept IDs have procedures in place to ensure that setups or changes that they have requested have been completed in CAPPS-Fin, CAPPS-HR (when applicable), COGNOS, speed charts (or any other reference crosswalks used by Financial Services or program staff), and the PACAP (when applicable). (Quality Control)

The roll-out/implementation of the Electronic Dept-ID form along with concurrent training with all participants in the Dept ID routing/approval process will occur soon. This will help prevent future miscommunications and errors in completing the Dept-ID set up. (Prevention)

The Cost Allocation Team will add narrative to the PACAP that explains how HHSC may use state general revenue in place of federal funds in any award to prevent the agency from overcharging federal funds. The Budget Team is responsible for notifying the Fund Accounting Team when a federal fund source needs to be switched to a GR in lieu of counterpart.

HHSC will enhance communication between key stakeholders including the Budget Team, the Accounting Team, and the Cost Allocation Team to help ensure the necessary review of project ID fund source percentages.

The Accounting Team has updated its factor calculation and reallocation desk procedures to include language specific to always using the current CHIP FMAP rate in effect during the month in which a factor that contains the CHIP and GRCHIP fund sources is being calculated regardless of which month is being calculated.

Implementation Date: December 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Justin Pickens, HHSC Budget

Paula Reed, Accounting Racheal Kane, Cost Allocation

### Reference No. 2019-007 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

CFDA 93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)
Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2020 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018
Award numbers – 1905TX5021 and 1705TX0301

CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Award year – January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2020 Award number – 1LICMS300151

### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1905TXINCT, 1905TXIMPL, 1905TX5MAP, 1905TX5ADM, 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, and 1805TX5ADM

### **SNAP Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers - 6TX430165, 6TX400106, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Agriculture
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

HHSC oversees the operations of the Health and Human Services system, provides administrative oversight of Texas Health and Human Services programs, and provides direct administration of some programs, such as Medicaid, Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), and SNAP Food Benefits and Nutritional Programs. To determine eligibility for these programs, HHSC uses the Texas Integrated Eligibility Redesign System (TIERS).

Based on HHSC's policy, user access reviews are to be performed on a periodic basis. We determined a review was performed in May 2019 and during the review, a user with privileged access was identified to be removed. However, the user's access was not removed until October 16, 2019 after it was brought to management's attention. Upon further investigation, we noted that the last logon date for this account was November 8, 2008. We also identified another privileged account that had not been utilized since January 21, 2010. This particular account was removed on November 6, 2019 after it was brought to management's attention. There was a third privileged account which did not appear to be assigned to an individual. The account was removed on October 16, 2019. A qualitative user review should include monitoring of last logon activity to identify accounts which are not being used.

Title 1, Part 10, Texas Administrative Code (TAC), Chapter 202, Rule §202.24 Agency Information Security Program requires that all state agencies have an information security program consistent with the rules defined in the TAC 202. The Texas Health and Human Services (HHS) Circular C-021 establishes the Information Security Program for HHSC, which is promulgated within the HHS Information Security – Information Security Controls document. One of the policies addresses minimum password age, which is utilized to prevent users from reverting to their old password immediately after an enforced password change. The policy indicates the minimum password age should have a value of one (1) day. We determined that TIERS had a minimum password age value of zero (0), which is not in compliance with the password policy.

### Recommendation:

### **User Access**

HHSC should ensure user access reviews include monitoring of last logon activity to identify accounts which are not being used and to reduce the risk of unauthorized access.

### Authentication

HHSC should periodically review system password policy configurations to ensure they are in compliance with the HHS Information Security – Information Security Controls.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Agree

### Corrective Action Plan:

In accordance with CMS requirements, HHSC has secured annual assessment services with Guidehouse (formally PWC). This independent assessment team will review HHSC compliance with the Information Security Controls for password-based authentication (IA-05-01), which include the password controls for the service accounts and password policy. This review will also include user account review and service account review and include monitoring of last logon activity.

This annual CMS assessment will be completed by June 30, 2020. Upon completion of the annual report and potential corrective action plan and risk level report HHSC will perform a business impact analysis to consider business functionality. The business impact analysis will include addressing the controls in the table below.

POAM IDs	Control ID	Weakness Description
TIERS_2018_D_0381	AC-02	Service accounts are not reviewed within every 180 days
TIERS_2018_D_0360 TIERS_2018_D_0418 TIERS_2018_D_0419	IA-05	Passwords do not comply with IA-05-1 settings

The review of service accounts and password policies will change from an annual review to 180-day (bi-annual) review initially. This policy will be documented within HHSC IT policies, standards, processes, and procedures. HHS will be reviewing the expiration policy for the service accounts as part of the business impact analysis to determine that appropriate frequency.

In accordance with AC-02, all user accounts, with the exception of service accounts, are evaluated based on the last login date every night. A warning email notification is sent to the account owner if an account has not logged in to the system for last 50 days. If the owner does not login within the following 10 days, the user account is then suspended, and a final email notification is sent to the account owner and to the owner's supervisor. All user accounts are also reviewed annually as required by the annual account review. Service accounts are part of a semi-annual account review and will be suspended if there is no conformation for the continuous need of these accounts from the responsible account owner.

Implementation Date: Perform a password-based authentication review (includes service account

and password policy review) every 180 days: July 31, 2020

Perform and Complete a Business Impact Analysis: December 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Joe (Marty) Martinez, Director, HHS TIERS Infrastructure and Operations

Lorie Ramirez, Director, HHS Data Center Services

Walter Romanowski, Audit Team Lead – ITBO Federal/State Coordination

### Reference No. 2019-008 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507 and 6TX700527

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.430(i-vii), the Department of Human and Health Services Commission must ensure that charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must: (i) be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated; (ii) be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity; (iii) reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated

Questioned Cost:

\$0

U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities (for IHE, this per the IHE's definition of IBS); (iv) encompass both federally assisted and all other activities compensated by the non-Federal entity on an integrated basis, but may include the use of subsidiary records as defined in the non-Federal entity's written policy; (v) comply with established accounting policies and practices of the non-Federal entity; and (vii) support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award, a Federal award and non-Federal award, an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity, two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases, or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity.

The process in place for verification of the employee pay towards a federal grant includes the use of the Financial Services CFO System hosted by the HHS Enterprise Portal in FSS-CFO to certify employee time on a semi-annual basis. During testing of TANF Cluster for one out of 13 selections, the time and effort was not certified. The individual who was not certified was due to an IT system error. During testing of CFDA #10.557, for six out of 40 selections, the time and effort was not certified. Certification was missed as the project id used to identify an individual's time was a temporary id that was not linked with the certification system. Therefore, these individuals for this time period were not certified until inquired through the audit.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should implement procedures to ensure certification of time and effort. These procedures should include a monthly or quarterly review of time and effort reports to ensure all are signed.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

The HHSC Chief Financial Officer Area agrees with the IT system error noted and that time and effort not initial paid with a sole source are not certified in the FSS-CFO system but instead certified via the agency's expenditure transfer process as corrections.

### Corrective Action Plan:

The HHSC CFO Area will continue to provide training related to sole source certification requirements and process.

The HHSC Chief Financial Officer Area will develop Quality Controls to validate the FSS-CFO system is identifying all appropriation information for certification.

The HHSC Chief Financial Officer Area will enhance the current certification process to better consolidate certification information, affirmations from managers and corrections.

Implementation Date: Establish Quality Controls for FSS-CFO Sole Source Certification function -

May 1, 2020.

Responsible Person: Sheila Hyde, Manager, Office of the CFO

Health and Human Services Commission / Department of State Health Services Reference No. 2019-009

**Procurement and Suspension and Debarment** 

(Prior Audit Issues – 2018-007, 2017-023, 2016-026, and 2015-024)

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507 and 6TX700527

CFDA 93.074 – Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements

Award years – July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2020

Award numbers - NU90TP921879

CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Award year – January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2020 Award number – 1LICMS300151 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Material Weakness and Material Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR Section 200.317, States and governmental subrecipients of States, will use the same State policies and procedures used for procurements from non-Federal funds. They also must ensure that every purchase order or other contract includes any clauses required by Federal statutes and executive orders and their implementing regulations. Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and

Questioned Cost: \$323,251

U.S. Department of Agriculture U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

An open market solicitation is used to purchase a good or service by soliciting from any available source. The open market solicitation procedure is authorized by Texas Government Code §2155.062(a) (3) and 2156.061. Open market informal solicitations can be used for procurements of commodities or services greater than \$5,000 but not greater than \$25,000. Open Market Formal Solicitation is used for agency-administered open market purchases of services greater than \$25,000 and for commodities if delegated by Texas Comptroller or through statutory authority specific to an agency. Per the HHSC Procurement Manual, for small purchases (\$5,000 or less excluding IT purchases) competition is not required; however, HHSC's PCS Division does require contact with at least one Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) vendor to provide them with an opportunity to quote. HHSC PCS conducts procurement activities for all HHSC agencies, resulting in a purchase order, contract, or other agreement for the requesting agency. Following the procurement process, HHSC agency staff are responsible for subsequent contract management and monitoring activities.

Per Texas Government Code 531.0055, for each health and human services system agency or division, the operational authority and responsibility for the contracting, purchasing, and related policies rests with HHSC's Procurement and Contracting Services (PCS). In addition, all procurement functions are performed by HHSC PCS on behalf of the Department of State Health Services.

Audit procedures included a review of procurement files related to 15 WIC purchases, 5 Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration purchases, and 12 HPP & PHEP purchases. Results of test work are as follows:

### **WIC**

- 1 out of 15 procurement files were coded to the wrong PCC code. PO amounts were approximately \$27,266.
   No questioned costs as documentation error only.
- 1 out of 15 procurement files did not contain evidence that a TCI search was performed. Contract amount was approximately \$248,525.

### Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration

 3 out of 5 procurement files reviewed were later determined to be subrecipient contracts. The PO amounts were approximately \$1,163,710. No questioned cost as these were coded to the wrong general ledger account.

### Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements

 3 out of 12 procurement files did not contain evidence that a TCI search was performed. PO amounts were approximately \$74,726.

### Recommendation:

We recommend that HHSC PCS continue to provide training to employees, including supervisors and management, to ensure compliance of internal procedures, Texas Government Code, and federal regulations. HHSC PCS should implement effective controls that include a review and approval process to address the significant elements of the procurement process. HHSC PCS should review their current checklists and procurement tools to determine if revision is necessary to further facilitate compliance with State and Federal regulations. Also, automated controls should be considered to ensure segregation of duties between initiation of procurement activities and buying activities.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding and has fully implemented a corrective action plan to address the recommendations.

### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC management concurs with the recommendation to continue to provide training to employees, supervisors and management, to ensure compliance of internal procedures, and state and federal regulations. HHSC Procurement and Contracting Services (PCS) has implemented several improvements, including checklist updates to ensure compliance with internal policies and procedures, Comptroller of Public Accounts (CPA) guidelines and state law. The checklist also requires a review and management signature after the completion of the procurement to insure all steps were followed and documented correctly. The HHSC Compliance and Quality Control (CQC) team reviews various stages of the solicitation process, manages the evaluation tool and scoring process, and reviews, revises and creates processes and procedures to ensure compliance with state law and the CPA State of Texas Procurement and Contract Management Guide.

HHSC PCS has provided trainings for purchasing staff on the policies and procedures that have been reviewed and updated by CQC. HHSC PCS utilizes its CAPPS Financials automated system to process procurements which distinguishes the roles of program vs buyer-during the procurement process

The corrective action for the findings of the reviewed POs with Dates of Procurement ranging from 9/1/2017 to 11/29/2018 has been fully implemented.

PCS updated the PCS 150 and 160 Checklists for greater clarification with versions specific to each type of procurement in May 2019. PCS staff were trained on the new forms in May and June of 2019. PCS management continues to review documentation used by purchasers to ensure they comply with internal procedures, Comptroller of Public Accounts regulations and state law and trains purchasers on any required updates.

Trainings on updated policies and procedures were offered in November of 2019 and completed in December of 2019. PCS will continue to target trainings as needed.

CAPPS Financials 9.2 system provides segregation of the agency's business processes related to the requisition, purchasing, solicitation and contract creation processes. The new system ensures pre-procurement planning and initiation is correctly documented and handled by program staff before being assigned to a procurement professional for processing.

Implementation Date: Implemented December 31, 2019

Responsible Person: Linda Dominguez, PCS Audit and Review Team Lead

Glenn Garvey, Deputy Associate Commissioner, PCS

Reference No. 2019-010 Special Tests and Provisions – Compliance Investigations of High-Risk Vendors (Prior Audit Issue – 2018-009)

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507 and 6TX700527 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

A State agency operating a retail food delivery system must conduct compliance investigations. These investigations consist of inventory audits and/or compliance buys, on a minimum of 5 percent of the vendors authorized as of October 1 of each year. Farmers are not included in this requirement. A State agency must conduct compliance investigations on its high-risk vendors up to the 5% minimum. High-risk vendors are identified at least once annually, using criteria developed by FNS and/or other statistically based criteria developed by the State agency and

Questioned Cost: \$0
U.S. Department of Agriculture

approved by FNS. If the number of high-risk vendors exceeds 5% of the total, then the State agency must prioritize vendors for investigative purposes based on their potential for noncompliance and/or loss. If the number of high-risk vendors falls short of 5% of the total, the State agency must randomly select enough additional vendors to meet the 5% requirement. When a compliance investigation discloses vendor violations, the State agency must take appropriate action against the vendor. Such action includes delaying payment or establishing a claim if a violation affects payment to the vendor; imposing sanctions mandated by program regulations for certain stated violations; and imposing other, less severe sanctions prescribed by the State agency's sanction schedule for lesser violations (7 CFR sections 246.2 (definitions of "compliance buy," "high-risk vendor," and "inventory audit"), 246.12(j)(4)(i) through (iii), 246.12(k)(2) through (4), and 246.12(l)(1) and (2)). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

HHSC performs compliance buys and invoice audits in each given fiscal year for high risk vendors selected from the risk assessment. The inspector performing the audit summarizes the results provided to the WIC Vendor Monitoring Manager for review. The summary results are reviewed in detail by the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) Vendor Monitoring Manager for consistency with the supporting data prior to the vendor being notified.

During our testing, 4 out of 4 samples reviewed did not have formal documentations of review documented. Per WIIC/VMO - Compliance Oversight Branch - Procedures, the Compliance Oversight Branch (COB) Manager or Vendor Management Operations (VMO) Director sign off with approval for Inspector General Vendor Management Unit (IG VMU) to continue the process. The signed document is saved to the shared drive and the IG VMU staff is notified via email. The Program is not following or updating its policy to reflect the current review process.

### Recommendation:

We recommend that HHSC revise its policy or continue to assist the Programs by providing training to employees, including supervisory-level employees, to ensure compliance with Program policy and federal regulations.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC concurs that the policy needs to be updated to reflect the current review process.

### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC WIC management will update their review process to formally document the receipt of workpapers from HHSC-OIG.

Implementation Date: March 6, 2020

Responsible Person: LaDon Woodson, Manager, WIC

### Reference No. 2019-011 Equipment/Real Property Management

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507 and 6TX700527

CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Award year – January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2020 Award number – 1LICMS300151 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per Texas Government Code Title 4. Subchapter L, Sec. 403.273. (g), "At all times, the property records of a state agency must accurately reflect the property possessed by the agency. Property may be deleted from the agency's records only in accordance with rules adopted by the comptroller."

According to SPA Process User's Guide - Chapter 2 - General Policies - Records Retention, "The Texas State Records Retention Schedule requires agencies to maintain property records for the life of the asset and for a period

Questioned Cost:

\$0

U.S. Department of Agriculture
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

not less than three fiscal years after the disposal of property. Property records should include any payment-related source documentation (i.e., invoices, payment vouchers, receipts, etc.) necessary to substantiate the value of the asset. When applicable, agencies must adhere to the federal rules and regulations for retention of records for property purchased with federal grants or funds. Where federal guidelines and state guidelines apply, the greater required time period for records retention applies. If a state agency fails to keep the records, the Comptroller's office may refuse to draw warrants or initiate electronic funds transfers on behalf of the agency."

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per SPA Process User's Guide - Chapter 2 - General Policies - Policy and Contacts, "Per Government Code, Section 403.271, each state agency (and university choosing to report to SPA) is responsible for ensuring that its fiscal year-end capitalized asset balance(s) reflected in SPA are accurate and materially correct".

According to SPA Process User's Guide - Chapter 6 - Deleting Property and Funds - Disposal method 15, "Property must be in a disposal method 5c before it can be updated to disposal method 15".

During our testing, we noted the following:

- 1 out of 40 samples does not have the correct asset description per the invoice.
- 17 out of 40 samples did not maintain disposal record.
- 8 out of 40 samples were not disposed timely
- 6 out of 40 samples did not utilize disposal method 5c before utilized disposal method 15 per the SPA Manual.

### Recommendation:

We recommend that HHSC continue to providing training to employees, including supervisory-level employees, to ensure compliance with State policy and federal regulations.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Agreement

### Corrective Action Plan:

Asset Management Office will coordinate with Federal Funds Office to expand on developed training. The Asset Management Office will provide training to designated asset inventory coordinators, employees, including supervisory-level employees, to ensure compliance with State policy and federal regulations related to asset management. The Federal Funds Office will provide training to HHSC staff who manage federal awards to ensure compliance with federal requirements.

Implementation Dates: August 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Monica Reyes, Deputy Director, Asset Management

Jackson Kramer, Director, Asset Management

Reference No. 2019-012 Special Tests and Provisions – EBT Reconciliation (Prior Audit Issue – 2018-015)

**SNAP Cluster** 

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers – 6TX430165, 6TX400106, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Agriculture

The SNAP Cluster is an entitlement program with standard eligibility and benefits. The benefits each household receives are used to purchase food at authorized retail stores. States issue benefits in the form of debit cards, which recipients can use to purchase food. This is known as electronic benefits transfer (EBT). The State's EBT contractor is responsible for settlement, or payment, to retailers that have accepted EBT cards for food purchases. The contractor's "concentrator bank" makes the payment through the National Automated Clearing House (ACH) system.

The concentrator bank is reimbursed for the payments by a draw made on the State's EBT benefit account with the U.S. Treasury. The State is responsible for reconciling the payments made to retailers by its EBT contractor with the amounts drawn from its EBT account with the U.S. Treasury. Per the Compliance Supplement, Texas must obtain a system and organization controls for service organization examination report (SOC 1) by an independent auditor of the State EBT service providers (service organization) regarding the issuance, redemption, and settlement of benefits under SNAP. States must have systems in place to reconcile all of the funds entering into, exiting from, and remaining in the system each day with the State's benefit account with Treasury and EBT contractor records (7 CFR sections 274.3(a)(1) and 274.4(a)). Also, the State is required to maintain adequate security over, and documentation/records for, EBT cards, to prevent their theft, embezzlement, loss, damage, destruction, unauthorized transfer, negotiation, or use (7 CFR section 274.8(b)(3)).

HHSC is responsible for determining eligibility of the SNAP recipients and validating amounts paid to the retailers by performing daily reconciliations between the EBT system and the Automated Standard Application for Payments (ASAP) and Account Management Agent (AMA) maintained by the US Treasury. No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to eligibility or the daily EBT reconciliations to cash.

### **EBT Reconciliations to Eligible Recipients**

HHSC must have systems in place to reconcile all of the funds entering into, exiting from, and remaining in the system each day with the State's Treasury benefit account and EBT contractor records. This includes a reconciliation of the State's issuance files of posting to recipient accounts with the EBT contract. HHSC must also have systems in place to reconcile retailer credit activity as reported into the banking system to client transactions maintained by the processor and to the funds drawn down from the EBT benefit account with Treasury (7 CFR section 274.4(a)).

HHSC utilizes the Texas Integrated Eligibility Reporting System (TIERS) for determining eligibility for SNAP. However, there were no reconciliations from the EBT system to TIERS performed during fiscal year 2018 to fulfill the requirement to reconcile to client transactions maintained by the processor (i.e., eligible recipients utilized the EBT card benefits). No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to eligibility.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should perform reconciliations from the EBT system to TIERS to fulfill the requirement to reconcile retailer credit activity as reported into the banking system to client transaction.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC agrees with the finding related to EBT system to TIERS client benefit issuance reconciliation. TIERS benefit issuance requests are sent to EBT via automated interface and EBT returns an acknowledgement for each record. Records that do not match generate issuance exceptions which are reconciled on a daily basis. Additionally, HHSC has processes in place to reconcile benefits issued in TIERS and EBT on a daily basis through issuance summary reports with the EBT vendor. HHSC will strengthen existing processes by adding a reconciliation of benefit issuance summaries between EBT and TIERS on a monthly basis.

### Corrective Action Plan:

To address the finding, HHSC will develop and implement a reconciliation process and reconcile past months going back to the start of the current fiscal year. Additionally, HHSC will implement management oversight of the process to ensure compliance.

Implementation Dates: Determine data needed: April 30, 2020

Develop and document processes: May 15, 2020

Train staff: May 31, 2020

Implement monthly reconciliation: June 30, 2020 (for May 2020)

Implement management oversight: July 31, 2020

Reconcile past months to September 2019: August 31, 2020

### Reference No. 2019-013 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

CFDA 93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)
Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2020 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018
Award numbers – 1905TX5021 and 1705TX0301

### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1905TXINCT, 1905TXIMPL, 1905TX5MAP, 1905TX5ADM, 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, and 1805TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Material Weakness and Material Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

HHSC utilizes various service providers to perform system processing and other functions on behalf of HHSC. One service provider is Accenture State Healthcare Services, LLC (Accenture), who commenced the full responsibility for operations of the Texas Medicaid Claims Administration System on August 1, 2014 to provide services to the State of Texas (State) and the State's Medicaid clients and providers. Prior to August 2014, Accenture performed only the information technology services to support the maintenance and operation of the Medicaid Claims Administration System as part of a team referred to as the Texas Medicaid & Healthcare Partnership (TMHP).

Another service provider is Conduent State Healthcare, LLC (Conduent), who began processing outpatient pharmacy claims through the Medicaid/Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Vendor Drug Program's point-of-sale pharmacy claim system starting in 2010. They now perform services related to the pharmacy claims processing system and managing the rebate administration functions for the HHSC Vendor Drug Program (VDP). The Medicaid Vendor Drug Program processes prescription drug claims for: Medicaid, Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Services Program, and the Kidney Health Care (KHC) program. The Vendor Drug Program provides services for nearly 5,000 Texas pharmacies.

Service providers annually provide a System and Organization Controls (SOC) Report. The report from a Certified Public Accounting (CPA) firm provides their opinion on the fairness of the presentation of the description and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of the controls to achieve the control objectives stated in the description, based on the firm's examination.

Based on our review, we noted a qualified opinion was issued by the CPA firm for the Accenture SOC report. A qualified opinion effectively means one or more controls were not designed and/or operating effectively. For Accenture, the qualified opinion was due to a developer having access to production, which is a segregation of duties conflict.

Our review of the Conduent SOC report noted that, while their CPA firm issued an unqualified opinion (meaning in the CPA firm's opinion the controls appear to be designed and operating effectively), Conduent had a similar segregation of duties conflict of a developer having access to production. Conduent also had numerous other exceptions.

Outsourcing services does not relinquish an organization from the responsibility of ensuring an effective control environment is in place and operating effectively. In order to obtain assurance that service providers have a sound control environment, it is important to thoroughly review provided SOC reports and determine any operational risks associated with the noted control exceptions.

We determined the HHSC Contract Administration & Provider Monitoring group does perform a review of the SOC reports and, when warranted, follow-up with the service providers based on the exceptions identified. However, we did note there are no documented procedures in place to ensure consistency of the review process, which is especially beneficial when there is staff turnover.

### Recommendation:

We recommend management document their process for reviewing service provider's SOC reports. Items to consider include assessing the service auditor's opinion, identified control exceptions and the service provider's response, complementary user entity controls, and reliance on subservice providers.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

CAPM agrees with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan:

CAPM will develop and implement an SOP (Standard Operating Procedure) document to include assessing the service auditor's opinion, identified control exceptions and the service provider's response, complementary user entity controls, and reliance on subservice providers.

Implementation Dates: May 1, 2020

Responsible Person: Michael Blood, Director, Contract Administration

Dana Collins, Director, Contract Administration and Provider Monitoring

Reference No. 2019-014

Special Tests and Provisions - ADP Risk Analysis and System Security Review

(Prior Audit Issues – 2018-023, 2017-015, 2016-020, and 2015-021)

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1905TXINCT, 1905TXIMPL, 1905TX5MAP, 1905TX5ADM, 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, and 1805TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

State agencies must establish and maintain a program for conducting periodic risk analyses to ensure that appropriate, cost effective safeguards are incorporated into new and existing systems. State agencies must perform risk analyses whenever significant system changes occur. State agencies shall review the ADP system security installations involved in the administration of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs on a biennial basis. At a minimum, the reviews shall include an evaluation of physical and data security

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

operating procedures and personnel practices. The State agency shall maintain reports on its biennial ADP system security reviews, together with pertinent supporting documentation, for HHS on-site reviews (45 CFR section 95.621). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

SysCat, HHSC's enterprise-wide repository for approved HHS systems, is HHSC's book of record of all information technology (IT) systems, including both in-house and third party systems. During 2018, HHSC worked to consolidate the list by linking subsystems to the parent system, resulting in 83 Medicaid related systems. HHSC refreshed the Information Security Controls Guide and completed standardizing security assessment templates for both in-house and third party systems. Additional templates were developed to track potential deficiencies and resolution. The deficiencies and resolutions are tracked in a centralized database and a review is conducted to close any open recommendations. During fiscal year 2018, 19 risk assessments were executed based on internal methodology or third party assessments. In fiscal year 2019, an additional 12 risk assessments were completed. Noncompliance is due to HHSC not performing risk assessments over all 83 systems in a two-year period.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should ensure all systems are reviewed in a two year period. HHSC should also implement oversight controls to ensure progress toward the plan is executed during the two year period, including resolution of remediation items.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

CAPM agrees with and has as of December 2019 fully complied with the Acute Care Recommendation.

### Corrective Action Plan:

### Acute Care

Accenture State Healthcare Services (the service organization) performs monthly checks on all providers per contract requirement PRV-0405. HHSC validated that Accenture is fulfilling its requirements via Functional Requirement review PRVENMNT001, which was completed in December 2019.

Implementation Dates: December 11, 2019

Responsible Persons: Michael Blood, Director, Contract Administration

Dana Collins, Director, Contract Administration and Provider Monitoring

**Reference No. 2019-015** 

Special Tests and Provisions – Provider Health and Safety Standards

(Prior Audit Issues - 2018-020, 2017-016, 2016-021, 2015-026, 2014-015, and 2013-017)

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1905TXINCT, 1905TXIMPL, 1905TX5MAP, 1905TX5ADM, 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, and 1805TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 42 CFR part 442, providers must meet the prescribed health and safety standards for hospitals, nursing facilities, and ICF/MR. The standards may be modified in the State plan. Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0
U.S. Department of Health and

**Human Services** 

### Acute Care

An out-of-state (OOS) provider may come into the program based on several different circumstances, including: (1) the client being sent out of state for services that are not readily available in Texas; and (2) border states where it is the norm for clients to receive a service in that border state. Under current HHSC procedures, HHSC requires OOS providers to fill out the same application as an in-state provider. OOS providers are to receive a letter that tells them that their enrollment is limited and informing them of the amount of time that has been granted.

Effective June 18, 2018, the Termination Notification Database (CMS Medicaid Termination File and the CMS Medicare for Cause Revocations File) was combined into one file titled the Averse Action File. As directed by HHSC, TMHP implemented a project (deploying end of January 2019) to perform monthly post-enrollment data matches against the Adverse Actions file in order to identify TMHP-enrolled providers, including their owner and managing employees, who Texas is required to take termination action against, to include out of state providers. Although the service organization utilizes Medicare enrollment as a prerequisite for the provider adhering to standards, the monitoring protocol was not finalized until December 2019 to check on the providers Medicare numbers to ensure that they are current and up to date.

### Recommendation:

### **Acute Care**

The implementation date of the new monitoring protocol for OOS providers was outside of fiscal year 2019. HHSC should continue performing monthly checks on all providers to ensure that they are not excluded or prohibited from participation in Medicaid through contract requirement and validate that the contractor is fulfilling its requirements through the Functional Requirement review.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Agree

### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC will create a formal project following Project Management Office guidelines and assign a project manager from the Applications team who will report monthly through established project management processes on completion of risk assessments and track required remediation efforts.

HHSC has hired two security analysts and one business analyst dedicated to conducting risk assessments for internal Medicaid-funded systems and coordinating risk assessments by vendor-managed systems which receive Medicaid funding. The team will continue to complete risk assessments with the following charges:

- A goal of completion of eight or more assessments per quarter has been established.
- Remediation items identified during assessments will be assigned to the appropriate support team and prioritized through established change management processes.
- Development of a biannual schedule for on-going assessments by August 31, 2020.
- Completion of assessments on remaining systems is targeted for December 31, 2020.

Implementation Dates: December 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: P.J. Fritsche, HHSC IT Director, Medical and Social Services Applications

Thuy Cao, Chief Information Security Officer

Reference No. 2019-016
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking

CFDA 93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)
Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2020 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018
Award numbers – 1905TX5021 and 1705TX0301
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per the 2018 Compliance Supplement, expenditures not directly related to providing child health insurance assistance under the plan are limited to 10% of the state's total expenditures through CHIP.

Questioned Cost:

\$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

In prior years, HHSC Budget staff were monitoring compliance with this requirement through a series of calculations. In fiscal year 2019, this calculation was not being performed to review compliance. No compliance issues were noted during the recalculation of the requirements.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should ensure that the controls over earmarking requirements are being followed to ensure that any future changes to the program funding will not result in noncompliance.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Concurs with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan:

Will ensure that the 10% administrative cap calculation is reinstated into the process.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Randolph Lovejoy, Deputy Budget Director

### Reference No. 2019-017 Eligibility

CFDA 93.791 – Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Award years – January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2020 Award number – 1LICMS300151 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Material Weakness and Material Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$225,695

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The Money Follows the Person Demonstration (MFPD) was implemented to eliminate barriers and enable Medicaid-eligible individuals to transition from

nursing facilities (NF) to the community and receive necessary long term services and supports (LTSS) in the setting of the individual's choice. Individuals can participate in the program through the STAR+ program and through the Home and Community Based Services (HCS) program. To be eligible for MFPD, the individual must meet eligibility criteria that requires the individual to:

- reside continuously in an institutional setting for at least 90 days prior to the eligibility date and be enrolled from a Medicaid certified nursing facility (NF);
- be Medicaid eligible under Title XIX of the Social Security Act;
- be transitioning\* from a NF into a qualified residence that includes:
  - o a home owned or leased by the individual or individual's family member;
  - an apartment with an individual lease that includes living, sleeping, bathing, and cooking areas in which the individual/or family member has domain;
  - Assisted Living (AL) apartment (service code 19);
  - o Residential Care apartment (service code 19A); and
  - Adult Foster Care (AFC) home (no more than four unrelated individuals living in the home);
- agree to participate in the MFPD by completing Form 1580, Texas Money Follows the Person Demonstration Project Informed Consent for Participation, signed by the individual or authorized representative (AR) and MCO staff after explanation of MFPD and prior to delivery of services.\*The MCO must include the AR in the actual transition planning, if applicable.

HHSC has outsourced the eligibility determination for the STAR+ program and the HCS program to Managed Care Organizations (MCO) and Local Intellectual and Developmental Disability Authorities (LIDDA).

During our testing, we noted the following:

- Out of 40 individuals determined eligible by MCOs/LIDDAs, HHSC did not retain or receive Form 1580 for 6 individuals.
- HHSC did not retain or receive documentation showing that the MCO/LIDDAs screened the case for eligibility for the MFPD program for 2 out of 40 cases.
- 1 out of 40 participants reviewed stayed in the program more than 365-days entitlement period.
- 1 out of 40 participants reviewed did not stay in a qualified institutions for 90 days or more.
- 14 out of 40 participants reviewed were not eligible for MFPD.
- 40 out of 40 samples selected did not have a monitoring process in place to ensure if the MCO/service coordinator determined eligibility correctly.

### Recommendation:

We recommend the Program to maintain effective internal controls, implement monitoring procedures, and provide training to staff to ensure proper record retention in order to comply with applicable procedures and federal regulations.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

In accordance with The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) approved Sustainability Plan, Texas ended MFPD enrollment December 31, 2017, with the entitlement period continuing for the following 12 months.

MFPD was modeled after the Texas Promoting Independence initiative, the state's model to transition people from institutional services to community settings. Texas implemented MFPD with sustainability in mind, using its own waiver structure. Over the course of the project, functions such as informed consent and verifying eligibility for the demonstration were transferred through contract changes to the entities performing service coordination or transition planning. The contracts are to be monitored by the specific HHSC division issuing the contract.

The informed consent form was used to obtain permission to be in the national evaluation. During the evaluation, DADS/HHSC monitored those closely each month. The evaluation ended in September 2017. The consent form also identified unique demonstration services. Those services were incorporated into the service array and are now available to anyone who transitions from an institution to STAR+PLUS HCBS or HCS.

Verifying MFPD eligibility continues to be important. For people in HCS, the LIDDAs were required to determine eligibility. For members enrolled in STAR+PLUS HCBS, the MCOs check eligibility, the PSU is required to verify eligibility before entering the MFPS code.

### Corrective Action Plan:

To improve internal controls, program staff will:

- Coordinate with the LIDDA, Program Support Unit, Managed Care Contract Compliance and Oversight, and Money Follows the Person Demonstration staff to clarify roles and responsibilities for MFP demonstration enrollment, verification of demonstration qualifications, and monitoring. September 30, 2020
- Revise the Informed Consent Form (Form 1580) to add signature of MCO and LIDDA service coordinators to sign they verified the person's qualifications and request the form be securely emailed to a program email address to reduce the reliance on a staff member or FAX machine. June 2020
- Provide training to MCO and LIDDA staff on obtaining informed consent to participate in the MFP demonstration. November 2020

Implementation Date: November 30, 2020

Responsible Persons: Michelle Erwin, Interim Deputy Associate Commissioner for Medicaid/CHIP

Program & Policy

### Reference No. 2019-018 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

According to §200.303 Internal Controls of 2 CFR Part 200, the non-Federal entity must establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. Beginning September 1, 2017, HHSC utilizes version 9.2 of PeopleSoft's General Ledger (referred to at HHSC as CAPPS FIN) as a financial management application. HHSC relies on

Questioned Cost:

\$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

information produced from CAPPS FIN to comply with various aspects of compliance requirements, including Allowable Costs/Cost Principles. Specific to the Department, each voucher is reviewed and approved prior to the payment being made. The item noted below appeared to no have been reviewed appropriately.

### During our testing, we noted the following:

• 1 out of 9 invoices coded the incorrect department ID, resulting in the expense being drawn off the incorrect grant and, therefore, resulting in an over payment by the federal grant awards involved.

### Recommendation:

We recommend the Department implements procedures to ensure compliance with federal Allowable Costs/Cost Principles regulation.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

The prosecuting authority's payment voucher was divided between the two programs involved in the beneficiary prosecution case (Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program). However, the wrong budget code (the one assigned with TANF) was associated with the Medicaid portion of the invoice allocation.

### Corrective Action Plan:

In order to better prevent further recurrence, OIG's Benefits Program Integrity (BPI) division will develop and implement a job aid to supplement the OIG's 'Invoice Processing and Receiving Goods' policy, which will better guide staff and managers who process and verify invoices on what to look for when confirming appropriate billing and payment (including the definitions of each budget code, what they are for, and tips for verifying that the proper budget codes are associated with invoice payment) to ensure compliance with federal Allowable Costs/Cost Principles regulations.

Implementation Dates: April 1, 2020

Responsible Persons: Diane Salisbury, Deputy IG of Benefits Program Integrity

Reference No. 2019-019 Eligibility

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per policy in the Texas Works Handbook, Part A, Section 2110, caretakers, second parents, payees, and disqualified adults are required to sign form H1073, the Personal Responsibility Agreement (PRA), in order to receive TANF benefits. Per Texas Works Handbook, Part A, Section 1600, school attendance by the dependent children is required. Staff must verify school attendance at application, if school is in session, and at each complete review. Staff must document their verification source and the date they verified school attendance.

Questioned Cost:

\$343

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

### Out of 40 TANF cases sampled:

- One case did not have a Personal Responsibility Agreement (PRA) on file. A signed PRA is required at initial
  application and at redeterminations.
- One case did not have school verification on file. School attendance must be verified for children receiving TANF benefits at initial application and at redeterminations.

A signed PRA was either not obtained or not retained electronically in TIERS as it should be. School attendance was not verified, or the verification procedures were not documented in TIERS as it should be.

### Recommendation:

When a case worker is verifying all components of eligibility are documented to begin issuing benefits or to recertify benefits for another period, they should check to see that a signed PRA and verified school attendance exists in the recipient's case in TIERS.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC agrees with the finding. After a thorough review of both cases, HHSC determined the PRA was likely obtained, but not imaged for document retention. The client demonstrates knowledge of the requirements by complying with all requirements over the past three years. The case missing the school attendance verification was completed while school in the Houston Independent School District was not in session for Spring Break and the eligibility advisor followed policy in place for summer breaks.

### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC will send written reminders to eligibility staff on the importance of document imaging and school attendance verification policy.

Implementation Dates: August 31, 2020

Reference No. 2019-020 Special Tests and Provisions – Child Support Non-Cooperation

**TANF Cluster** 

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per policy in the Texas Works Handbook, Part B, Section 810, households are entitled to restored benefits when HHSC makes an error in the household's amount of benefits and the household was not at fault. Per policy in Texas Works Handbook, Part A, Section 2146 case workers should apply sanctions received from the OAG Child Support Division within five workdays. Per policy in the Texas Works Handbook, Part B, Section 700, staff must file an overpayment referral when a household receives benefits the household is not entitled to receive.

Questioned Cost:

\$156

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

### Out of 40 TANF cases sampled:

- One case did not receive benefits for a month in which they should have. The case had been sanctioned for non-cooperation, but it was later determined that the sanction was imposed in error. Upon removal of the sanction, the case should have received the benefit amount for the month they were sanctioned. TIERS did not automatically issue this corrective benefit and no manual issuance was processed for the case.
- One case received benefits for a month in which they were not eligible. The case was sanctioned for noncooperation, but the sanction was not input into TIERS timely, resulting in the case receiving an additional month of benefits. Additionally, recoupment for that benefit amount was not pursued.
- One case received benefits for months in which they were not eligible. The sanction was not worked timely or
  properly by a case worker, resulting in the case receiving eight months of benefits erroneously. Additionally,
  recoupment for those benefit amounts was not pursued.

Policy in the Texas Works Handbook was not followed in all three cases by the workers who dealt with the cases.

### Recommendation:

Upon removal of an improper sanction, the worker should check to see if benefits need to be issued to the client for missed months, and should begin that process. Sanction requests should be worked timely per policy to ensure clients don't receive benefits for ineligible months. The case worker should check to see if recoupment needs to be pursued for benefit amounts when they are imposing a sanction on a case.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC agrees with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC will conduct an end-to-end review of the sanctions process to identify and implement any needed changes to the business process, training, or system.

Implementation Dates: Complete Review: August 31, 2020

Implement Process Changes: January 31, 2021

Implement Training: February 28, 2021

Implement System Changes: February 28, 2021

Reference No. 2019-021 Special Tests and Provisions – Penalty for Refusal to Work

**TANF Cluster** 

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per policy in the Texas Works Handbook, Part A, Section 2146, case workers should apply sanctions received from Choices within five workdays. Per policy in the Texas Works Handbook, Part B, Section 700, staff must file an overpayment referral when a household receives benefits the household is not entitled to receive.

Questioned Cost: \$649

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Out of 40 TANF cases sampled, two cases received benefits for a month in which they were not eligible. The cases were sanctioned by Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) Choices program for non-cooperation. The sanction was worked untimely resulting in an additional month of benefits being issued. Additionally, recoupment for the benefit amounts was not pursued. In the first case, the sanction from Choices was transmitted in a bundle with several other items. This bundle was not addressed by a worker for 32 days, at which point the sanction was imposed on the recipient. Due to the sanction not being imposed for over a month, TIERS issued benefits for the month in which the recipient should have begun receiving nothing. In the second case, Choices transmitted a sanction that exceptioned out due to the case being in complete action mode. This exception was not addressed and a second sanction request was sent a month later, which also exceptioned out. These exceptions were not worked until two months from the first sanction request. Due to untimely action, TIERS issued benefits for the month in which the recipient should have begun receiving nothing.

### Recommendation:

Bundles received should be reviewed by a case worker timely to check for time-sensitive items that require action, such as sanctions. Those items should then be addressed in a timely manner. Exception reports should be addressed timely by workers to ensure time-sensitive items get addressed appropriately.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC agrees with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC will conduct an end-to-end review of the sanctions process to identify and implement any needed changes to the business process, training, or system.

Implementation Dates: Complete Review: August 31, 2020

Implement Process Changes: January 31, 2021

Implement Training: February 28, 2021

Implement System Changes: February 28, 2021

Reference No. 2019-022
Reporting
Special Tests and Provisions – Penalty for Failure to Comply with Work Verification Plan

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 265.7, each State's quarterly reports (the TANF Data Report, the TANF Financial Report (or Territorial Financial Report), and the SSP-MOE Data Report) must be complete and accurate and filed by the due date.

Questioned Cost:

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

\$0

For an aggregated data report, "a complete and accurate report" means that:

- (1) The reported data accurately reflect information available to the State in case records, financial records, and automated data systems;
- (2) The data are free from computational errors and are internally consistent (e.g., items that should add to totals do so);
- (3) The State reports data on all applicable elements; and
- (4) Monthly totals are unduplicated counts for all families (e.g., the number of families and the number of outof-wedlock births are unduplicated counts).

60 cases from the TANF ACF-199 report were sampled for accuracy. One case in the sample had incorrect birth demographics listed on the report. The altered birth demographics were received by HHSC from TWC in the process of compiling the report. The altered birth demographics were not reviewed by HHSC to ensure accuracy of the information prior to submitting the report.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should institute system controls that flag key item changes for worker review. This would allow HHSC an opportunity to correct the disputed data before submission of the report.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC CADS acknowledges the finding that one record had an incorrect birth date and work participation status code.

### Corrective Action Plan:

Programming changes have been made to the report syntax to ensure that an individual's reported date of birth is based on HHSC data. The quick reference guide for Work Participation codes has been corrected to match the official ACF-199 coding scheme. Staff have been trained on the updated documents and instructed to review the updated syntax and reference document prior to each report to ensure any necessary programming edits have been made and documented.

Implementation Date: February 28, 2020

Responsible Person: Carlos Garza, Research Specialist, Strategic Decision Support

Kathleen Yeoman, Research Specialist, Strategic Decision Support

### STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF

Reference No. 2019-023 Reporting

CFDA 93.568 – Low-Income Home Energy Assistance
Award year – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019
Award number – G-1901TXLIEA
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost:

\$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

TDHCA must submit the *LIHEAP Performance Data Form (OMB No 0970-0449)* each year regarding the prior Federal fiscal year. Module 1 of the report is the Grantee Survey that covers sources and allocation of funding. Module 2 of the report is regarding performance metrics, mostly related to home energy burden targeting and reduction, as well as the continuity of home energy service.

Audit procedures included tracing and agreeing amounts from the supporting schedules generated from PeopleSoft and the Community Affairs Contract System to the 2019 LIHEAP Performance Data Form. The following line items did not agree to the supporting schedules for amounts reported in Module 2:

- Part V.B Line 4: Average Annual Main Heating Fuel Bill for Propane and Other Fuels
- Part V.B Line 5: Average Annual Electricity Bill for All Households and Electricity
- Part V.C Line 4: Average Annual Main Heating Fuel Bill for High Burden Households for Other Fuels
- Part VI.A Line 2: Fuel Delivered to Home that Ran Out of Fuel for All Occurrences, Propane and Other Fuels
- Part VI.A Line 3: Repair/ Replacement of Inoperable Home Energy Equipment for Propane and Other Fuels
- Part VII.A Line 1: Past Due Notice or Utility Disconnect Notice for All Occurrences and Electricity

Line items that are calculations based on the amounts above were also incorrect as a result of the errors identified.

Incorrect reporting was due to transcription and calculation errors as data is manually transcribed onto the LIHEAP Performance Data Form. Management made all corrections to the report prior to submission.

### **Recommendation:**

TDHCA should enhance review controls over the LIHEAP Performance Data Form. Reviews should include verifying that the data is transcribed completely and accurately from supporting schedules and calculated correctly in accordance with the Performance Data Form Instructions.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs agrees with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan:

Staff will immediately request automation of the query of the data and implement a tiered review prior to the submission of next year LIHEAP Performance Data Report. Final reported figures will be verified by the Team Lead and Manager of Fiscal & Reporting prior to submission.

# STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF

<u>Implementation Date:</u> June 1, 2020

Responsible Person: Cathy Jung, Manager of Fiscal & Reporting

### STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

Reference No. 2019-024 Reporting

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1905TXINCT, 1905TXIMPL, 1905TX5MAP, 1905TX5ADM, 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, and 1805TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Office of Attorney General (OAG) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

OAG uses Active Directory to grant network access to OAG personnel. OAG also utilizes their mainframe accounting application for recording of daily transactions as well as for general reports to assist with the federal reporting requirements. Based on the OAG's Information Security policy, account access levels will be reviewed, at a minimum, every twelve (12) months for appropriateness. We determined that management has not completed this review for Active Directory as well as for their mainframe accounting application during the single audit period. User access reviews include but are not limited to reviewing users with privilege access, ensuring all active service accounts (non-employee accounts) have a required business purpose, verifying that users are assigned to security groups or roles based on their existing job description, and ensuring that accounts no longer required are adequately removed.

Based on our review of the listing of individuals with administrative rights to the active directory, we identified two domain admin accounts that have not logged-in for over a year. As a domain administrator, an individual is able to add/remove users, modify group policy configuration, and access files on the network. Hence, it is critical to ensure that access is limited to only authorized personnel.

### Recommendation:

OAG should resolve this exception by performing a periodic review in accordance with the agency's information security program. As part of this review, OAG should also ensure that privilege access, service accounts, and employee access are restricted to authorized personnel in accordance with their respective job description.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

The OAG agrees with the reporting observations and recommendation identified in Attachment A related to user account access reviews. The OAG will implement quality control checks to ensure periodic performance of user account access reviews as specified in established OAG Information Security Policy (ISP). The OAG completed the required 2020 active directory and mainframe accounting system user access reviews. Future reviews will be conducted at least annually per the OAG ISP. User access reviews may be executed more frequently for privileged and system user accounts.

### Corrective Action Plan:

The OAG agrees with the reporting observations and recommendation identified in Attachment A related to user account access reviews. The OAG will implement quality control checks to ensure periodic performance of user account access reviews as specified in established OAG Information Security Policy (ISP). The OAG completed the required 2020 active directory and mainframe accounting system user access reviews. Future reviews will be conducted at least annually per the OAG ISP. User access reviews may be executed more frequently for privileged and system user accounts.

### STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

Implementation Dates: Continuous Process - Confirmation review complete as of February 19, 2020.

Responsible Persons: Stephen Paxman

### STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Reference No. 2019-025 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States
Award years – July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2020 and July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019
Award numbers – V048A180043 – 18A and V048A170043
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Education Agency (TEA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a direct and material effect on each of its Federal programs. Title 2

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Education

Code of Federal Regulations Section 200.430 Compensation – Personal Services sets standards for payroll documentation, which include:

- (1) Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:
  - (i) Be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;
  - (ii) Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;
  - (iii) Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities (for IHE, this per the IHE's definition of IBS);
  - (iv) Encompass both federally assisted and all other activities compensated by the non-Federal entity on an integrated basis, but may include the use of subsidiary records as defined in the non-Federal entity's written policy;
  - (v) Comply with established accounting policies and practices of the non-Federal entity and
  - (vi) Reserved1
  - (vii) Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity.
  - (viii) Budget estimates (i.e., estimates determined before the services are performed) alone do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards, but may be used for interim accounting purposes, provided that:
    - (A) The system for establishing the estimates produces reasonable approximations of the activity actually performed;
    - (B) Significant changes in the corresponding work activity (as defined by the non-Federal entity's written policies) are identified and entered into the records in a timely manner. Short term (such as one or two months) fluctuation between workload categories need not be considered as long as the distribution of salaries and wages is reasonable over the longer term; and
    - (C) The non-Federal entity's system of internal controls includes processes to review after-the-fact interim charges made to a Federal award based on budget estimates. All necessary adjustment must be made such that the final amount charged to the Federal award is accurate, allowable, and properly allocated.

TEA's payroll process ensures only allowable salaries are charged to the federal program by reallocating costs on a quarterly basis through the Cost Allocation Feeder System for employees included in its cost allocation method plan. The reallocation reflects differences between budgeted time allocations and actual time allocations reported by employees in the time and effort system. For employees not included in the cost allocation method plan, TEA's payroll process requires that employees' time be certified by their supervisor through a time and effort certification. Time and effort is entered into TEA's time and effort system by the employee and electronically approved by their supervisor.

### STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Of the 40 payroll and payroll-related samples tested, one employee, who was not included in the cost allocation method plan, did not have a time and effort certification approved by their supervisor. The error was a result of an oversight by the supervisor to complete the certification.

### Recommendation:

TEA should strengthen controls in place to ensure time and effort certifications are reviewed and approved by each employee's supervisor.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) agrees with this recommendation. To address the Budget Division's need to strengthen controls, Budget management has met to discuss the need for a delinquent supervisory report to be created and generated from the existing Time & Effort System.

### Corrective Action Plan:

The Texas Education Agency is developing a process to identify Time and Effort entries that do not have supervisory certification. Review for delinquent certifications will be performed on a quarterly basis. Supervisors will be notified and required to certify within a respective due date.

Implementation Date: The anticipated date to implement the corrective action is August 31, 2020.

Responsible Person: Patricia Molina

### STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD

### Reference No. 2019-026 Subrecipient Monitoring

CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States
Award years – July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2020 and July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019
Award numbers – V048A180043 – 18A and V048A170043
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.331(a), Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) must ensure that every subaward is clearly identified to the subrecipient as a subaward and includes the following information at the time of the subaward and, if any of these data elements change, include the changes in subsequent subaward modification. When some of this information is not available, the pass-through entity must provide the best information available to describe the

Questioned Cost:

\$0

U.S. Department of Education

Federal award and subaward. Required information includes: (1) Federal Award Identification – (iv) Federal Award Date (see 2 CFR 200.39 Federal award date) of award to the recipient by the Federal agency and (xii) Identification of whether the award is R&D.

THECB issues a Notice of Grant Award (NOGA) to each approved subrecipient prior to expending funds to that subrecipient under each grant. THECB maintains a template NOGA that is used for all agreements with subrecipients under the grant. In accordance with 2 CFR 200.331(a) and per THECB's template NOGA, each NOGA includes the following information: amount of federal funds, federal grant title, CFDA number, federal grant award number, term of grant, date all funds must be expended by, federal grant funding agency, and DUNS number.

THECB's template NOGA does not contain fields for the grant's federal award date or whether the grant is considered research development. For five (5) out of five (5) NOGAs tested, the grant's federal award date or whether the grant was considered research and development was not included. In fiscal year 2019, THECB passed through approximately 97.6% of Career and Technical Education funds to subrecipients. Providing incomplete information to subrecipients may result in inaccurate reporting by the subrecipients and ultimately by THECB.

### Recommendation:

THECB should revise its standard NOGA template to include all required information to be communicated to subrecipients per 2 CFR 200.331. Additionally, for existing NOGAs, THECB should communicate required information to subrecipients in an addendum to the NOGA.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

THECB agrees with this recommendation.

### Corrective Action Plan:

THECB will integrate the federal award date and identification of whether a grant is considered research and development into the Perkins subrecipient NOGA template.

Implementation Date: July 15, 2020

Responsible Person: Melinda Nobles

### STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

### Reference No. 2019-027 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

**TANF Cluster** 

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 1901TXTANF, 1901TXTAN3, 1801TXTANF, and 1801TXTAN3

### **CCDF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2021, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2020, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2019, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers – G1901TXCCDF, G1801TXCCDF, G1701TXCCDF, and G1601TXCCDF

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The TWC PeopleSoft (PS) application is a single system comprised of integrated

process modules. The financial modules are referred to as WRAPS: Workforce, Reporting, Accounting and Purchasing System. PeopleSoft Financials is used for creating and inquiring on financial journals, payment requests, and requisitions. The Cash Draw and Expenditure Reporting System (CDER) is an automated accounting system used by Local Workforce Development Boards (LWDB) to process and track cash draws to grant recipients. The functions for LWDB users include editing, submitting, making adjustments, and generating reports relating to cash draws.

Based on the TWC's policy, access reviews are to be performed on a periodic basis. We determined that this review of access to WRAPS was conducted in May 2019 by submission of employees' names and access information to the various supervisors. We determined there were several instances of no responses being received; as a result, the review was only partially completed. Access reviews are critical to ensure that TWC personnel only have access in accordance with their respective job description.

As part of management's user access review for WRAPS, we determined that review of service accounts was not documented. Service accounts are non-employee accounts that are required to authenticate to the application to either perform a specific function (for example, backups or transaction processing). Service accounts are required to be reviewed as part of the periodic review process to ensure only accounts with a business purpose are maintained and all other accounts are removed or disabled.

Based on our review of privilege accounts for the WRAPS application, we identified one shared account which is being used by TWC personnel to release code into production. In addition, password changes were not forced on this user account. Shared administrative accounts limit the effectiveness of audit logs in identifying the origination of changes to access or report configurations. Each administrator should have a unique administrative account in order to monitor changes made to the application. Without unique administrative accounts, the risk of unauthorized changes is increased. Password changes are critical to ensure that privilege accounts are not accessed by employees no longer with TWC, as well as for better security.

### STATE OF TEXAS FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

Based on our review of privilege accounts for the CDER application, we identified one instance of an employee having administrative access to the application that was outside of their job description. This individual was a developer with administrative rights to the application, thus causing a segregation of duty conflict. The user's access was removed on September 19, 2019 once it was brought to management's attention. Administrator access gives the rights to the individual to add or remove users, modify configuration, as well as modify reports.

### Recommendation:

TWC should ensure that user access reviews are completed for accounts for WRAPS. As part of this review, TWC should also ensure that privilege access, service accounts, and employee access are restricted to authorized personnel in accordance with their respective job description.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) agrees with the finding. TWC will strengthen procedures to ensure WRAPS access reviews are completed. Reviews will include privileged and service accounts with employee access restricted to authorized personnel in accordance with their respective job duties.

### Corrective Action Plan:

A Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) will be developed to document the process:


- to ensure that access to WRAPS by TWC employees is limited in accordance with their job duties;
- for reviewing service accounts to ensure only service accounts with a business purpose are maintained; and
- that privilege access is restricted to authorized personnel in accordance with their respective job duties.

The WRAPS security group will modify the access to the shared account, PSBATCH, to not allow that user account to migrate code to production. Separate user accounts will be created for each system administrator that will allow them to migrate code to production. That way, TWC will be able to identify which system administrator migrated code. Those accounts will be set up with passwords that expire as per the TWC policy. PSBATCH will continue to be used to schedule and run batch jobs. The system administrators will continue to share that account and access to that account will be limited to the application system administrators.

Implementation Dates: March 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Jorge Guerra and Pat Gutierrez

# SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FEDERAL PORTION OF STATEWIDE SINGLE AUDIT REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019



### STATE OF TEXAS TABLE OF CONTENTS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS - CLA	
COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS	205
DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES	211
GENERAL LAND OFFICE	215
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMISSION	216
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY	262
DEPARTMENT OF STATE HEALTH SERVICES	266
TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	269
TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD	272
TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION	275
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	278
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS – OTHER AUDITORS	
LAMAR UNIVERSITY	288
PRAIRIE VIEW A&M UNIVERSITY	292
TEXAS A&M AGRILIFE RESEARCH	297
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY	309
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY – CENTRAL TEXAS	323
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY – KINGSVILLE	324
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY – SAN ANTONIO	326
TEXAS SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY	334
TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY	346
TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER	348
TEXAS WOMAN'S UNIVERSITY	351
UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON	363
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS	371
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT ARLINGTON	373

## STATE OF TEXAS TABLE OF CONTENTS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN	380
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO	392
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER AT HOUSTON	395
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER AT SAN ANTONIO	405
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO	406
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS M.D. ANDERSON CANCER CENTER	407
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MEDICAL BRANCH AT GALVESTON	421
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SOUTHWESTERN MEDICAL CENTER	432

### **Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings - CLA**

Federal regulations, Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Section 200.511, state, "the auditee is responsible for follow-up and corrective action on all audit findings." As part of this responsibility, the auditee reports the corrective action it has taken for the following:

- Each finding in the 2018 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
- Each finding in the 2018 Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings that as not identified as implemented or reissued as a current year finding.

The Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings for the year ended August 31, 2019 has been prepared to address these responsibilities.

### Reference No. 2018-001 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

CFDA 84.010 – Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies

Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - S010A170043-17B, S010A160043-16B, and S010A150043-15B

CFDA 84.048 - Career and Technical Education - Basic Grants to States (Perkins IV)

Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - V048A170043, V048A160043-16A, and V048A150043-15B

CFDA 84.287 – Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers

Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - S287C170044, S287C160044, and S287C150044

### **Employment Service Cluster**

Award years – July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2021, July 1 2017 to June 30, 2020, July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2019, and July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2018

Award numbers - ES-31877-18-55-A-48, ES-31015-17-55-A-48, ES-29440-16-55-A-48, and ES-27519-15-55-A-48

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster

Award vears - various

Award numbers -various

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTAN3, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTAN3

### WIOA Cluster

Award years – July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2021, July 1 2017 to June 30, 2020, July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2019, and July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2018

Award numbers – AA-32205-18-55-A-48, AA-30959-17-55-A-48, AA-28345-16-55-A-48, and AA-26808-15-55-A-48

### Non-Major Programs:

- 10.025 Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care
- 11.407 Interiurisdictional Fisheries Act of 1986
- 11.434 Cooperative Fishery Statistics
- 11.441 Regional Fishery Management Councils
- 11.549 State and Local Implementation Grant Program
- 12.106 Flood Control Projects
- 12.401 National Guard Military Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Projects
- 12.404 National Guard Challenge Program
- 14.000 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
- 14.228 Community Development Block Grants/State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii
- 14.231 Emergency Solutions Grant Program
- 14.239 Home Investment Partnerships Program
- 14.275 Housing Trust Fund
- 14.326 Project Rental Assistance Demonstration (PRA Demo) Program of Section 811 Supportive Housing for Persons with Disabilities
- 15.250 Regulation of Surface Coal Mining and Surface Effects of Underground Coal Mining
- 15.252 Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation (AMLR) 15.634 State Wildlife Grants
- 15.669 Cooperative Landscape Conservation
- 16.540 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention
- 16.575 Crime Victim Assistance
- 16.588 Violence Against Women Formula Grants 16.741 DNA Backlog Reduction Program
- 16.742 Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grant Program
- 17.005 Compensation and Working Conditions
- 17.504 Consultation Agreements
- 20.218 Motor Carrier Safety Assistance
- 20.700 Pipeline Safety Program State Base Grant
- 20.703 Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector Training and Planning Grants
- 45.310 Grants to States
- 64.124 All-Volunteer Force Educational Assistance
- 66.433 State Underground Water Source Protection
- 66.817 State and Tribal Response Program Grants
- 81.41 State Energy Program
- 81.42 Weatherization Assistance for Low-Income Persons
- 81.106 Transport of Transuranic Wastes to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant: States and Tribal Concerns, Proposed Solutions
- 81.214 Environmental Monitoring/Cleanup, Cultural and Resource Mgmt., Emergency Response Research, Outreach, Technical Analysis
- 84.011 Migrant Education State Grant Program
- 84.013 Title I State Agency Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children and Youth
- 84.282 Charter Schools
- 84.305 Education Research, Development and Dissemination
- 84.326 Special Education Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities
- 84.334 Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs

- 84.358 Rural Education
  - English Language Acquisition State Grants
  - Mathematics and Science Partnerships
  - Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants (formerly Improving Teacher Quality State Grants)
  - Competitive Grants for State Assessments (formerly Grants for Enhanced Assessment Instruments)
- 84.371 Comprehensive Literacy Development
- 84.372 Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems
- 84.377 School Improvement Grants
- 84.424 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Program 84.938 Hurricane Education Recovery
- 93.568 Low-Income Home Energy Assistance
- 93.569 Community Services Block Grant
- 93.586 State Court Improvement Program
- 93.630 Developmental Disabilities Basic Support and Advocacy Grants
- 95.001 High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program
- 97.012 Boating Safety Financial Assistance
- 97.036 Disaster Grants- Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
- 97.039 Hazard Mitigation Grant
- 97.042 Emergency Management Performance Grants
- 97.047 Pre-Disaster Mitigation
- 97.067 Homeland Security Grant Program

**CCDF Cluster** 

Fish and Wildlife Cluster

Highway Safety Cluster

Housing Voucher Cluster

Special Education Cluster (IDEA)

Statistically valid sample - No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Texas utilizes the PeopleSoft's Human Capital Management (HCM) system (referred to as CAPPS Central HR) as an HR/Payroll application for certain agencies. The application is managed by the Comptroller of Public Accounts (CPA). The CPA has implemented general IT controls, including logical access and change management controls, to help manage the system on behalf of the participating state agencies. More specifically, the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) and Texas Education Agency utilize CAPPS Central HR to process payroll for the Career and Technical Education program which was a major program for the fiscal year 2018 single audit. In addition, the Governor's Office, Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Military Department, Department of Public Safety, Texas Education Agency, and Texas Parks and Wildlife Department used CAPPS Central HR during fiscal year 2018 for processing payroll expenditures for other federal programs that are included in the August 31, 2018 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Per 2 CFR 200.303, the agencies must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Commerce
- U.S. Department of Defense
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
- U.S. Department of Interior
- U.S. Department of Justice
- U.S. Department of Labor
- U.S. Department of Transportation National Endowment for the Humanities
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Department of Education
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Executive Office of the President

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

CPA has a change management workflow in place to confirm changes to CAPPS Central HR are authorized, tested, approved, and documented prior to deploying the change. Out of 14 selected changes implemented before March 2018, four changes lacked documented approval of system and accessibility testing and four changes lacked documented approval of user acceptance testing (UAT). Changes migrated without testing present the risk of changes to application functionality that could hinder performance or cause the application to process data inaccurately or inappropriately. The CPA remediated their process during March 2018 and a selection of changes implemented after March 2018 were found to have the appropriate documentation for authorization, testing and approval.

CPA has a process in place to utilize the Phire tool to migrate changes for CAPPS Central HR. Four developers had access to bypass the Phire tool through access to Application Designer from April 17, 2018 to May 14, 2018. The access was authorized by management but was not temporary in nature and was not monitored. Access to migrate changes to the production environment should be restricted appropriately and based on job function to help ensure adequate internal controls are in place and appropriate segregation of duties exist. Developers should not have access to migrate changes to the production environment as this introduces the risk of unauthorized changes to applications and data. All program changes during the period were found to follow the workflow in Phire.

In addition, four CAPPS Central HR developers had access to system administrator functions within the CAPPS Central HR application. Developers should not have access privileges above read-only in the production environment. This access was removed on May 14, 2018.

Twenty-nine (29) members of the Technical Team also had access to Data Mover outside of their job responsibilities. Data Mover is a PeopleSoft tool granting the ability to modify application data and run SQL statements in the production database. The existence of users with access to Data Mover outside their job responsibilities increases the risk of unauthorized changes to production data. As of August 2, 2018, access to Data Mover was restricted to a limited number of members of the Technical Team through system/service accounts. Access to these system/service accounts is managed via KeePass, a password management tool.

Total payroll and benefits expenditures for the programs noted above and included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the fiscal year 2019 is noted below by agency.

Total Payroll

		nd Benefits
Texas Agency	<u>Ex</u>	penditures
Comptroller of Public Accounts (State Energy Conservation Office) - CFDA 81.041	\$	536,217
Comptroller of Public Accounts (State Energy Conservation Office) - CFDA 81.106		41,534
Comptroller of Public Accounts (State Energy Conservation Office) - CFDA 81.214		139,049
Animal Health Commission - CFDA 10.025		1,820,354
Governor's Office - CFDA 17.278 (WIOA Cluster) *		32,105
Governor's Office - CFDA 16.540 *		6,209
Governor's Office - CFDA 16.575 *		85,308
Governor's Office - CFDA 16.588 *		12,257
Governor's Office - CFDA 97.067 *		154,662
Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board - CFDA 84.048		529,440
Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board - CFDA 84.305		90,428
Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board - CFDA 84.367		157,462
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 14.000		184,536
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 14.228		131,400
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 14.231		259,716
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 14.239		1,720,411
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 14.275		29,225
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 14.326		63,751

	Total Payroll and Benefits
Texas Agency	Expenditures
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 14.871 (Housing Voucher Cluster)	\$ 429,429
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 81.042	211,201
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 93.568	966,287
Department of Housing and Community Affairs - CFDA 93.569	927,179
Department of Insurance - CFDA 17.005	203,961
Department of Insurance - CFDA 17.504	2,175,344
Juvenile Justice Department - CFDA 84.013	260,681
Juvenile Justice Department - CFDA 84.027 (Special Education IDEA Cluster)	556,009
Juvenile Justice Department - CFDA 84.367	110,038
Library and Archives Commission - CFDA 45.310	1,419,412
Military Department - CFDA 12.401	17,533,980
Military Department - CFDA 12.404	4,626,180
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 11.549	50,163 62,455
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 16.575  Department of Public Safety - CFDA 16.741	62,455 353,732
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 16.741  Department of Public Safety - CFDA 16.742	65,197
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 20.218	36,812
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 20.600 (Highway Safety Cluster)	139,634
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 20.616 (Highway Safety Cluster)	181,700
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 20.703	16,615
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 81.106	53,827
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 95.001	3,918
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 97.036	801,539
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 97.039	297,975
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 97.042	2,574,955
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 97.047	137
Department of Public Safety - CFDA 97.067	67,357
Railroad Commission - CFDA 15.250	1,468,929
Railroad Commission - CFDA 15.252	383,149
Railroad Commission - CFDA 20.700	3,086,653
Railroad Commission - CFDA 66.433	64,265
Railroad Commission - CFDA 66.817	48,896
Supreme Court of Texas - CFDA 93.586	563,549
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.010	8,470,204
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.011	348,523
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.013	13,769
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.027 (Special Education IDEA Cluster)	10,012,241
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.048	1350635
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.173 (Special Education IDEA Cluster)	112,847
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.282	457,137
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.287	1,481,324
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.305	21,527
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.326	7,240
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.334	437,487
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.358	266,391
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.365	1,948,060
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.366	176,666
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.367	1,118,852
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.368	6,353
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.371	(2,147)
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.372	95,686

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

	Total Payroll and Benefits Expenditures	
Texas Agency		
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.377	\$	134,874
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.424		187,846
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 84.938		8,676
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 93.558		204,672
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 93.575 (CCDF Cluster)		127,815
Texas Education Agency - CFDA 93.630		1,262,667
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 11.407 *		11,335
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 11.434 *		27,060
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 11.441 *		4,276
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 12.106 *		22,822
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 15.605 *		255,656
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 15.611 *		1,731,972
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 15.634 *		44,746
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 15.669 *		11,222
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 20.219 (Highway Planning and Construction Cluster) *		3,130
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department - CFDA 97.012 *		680,863
Veterans Commission - CFDA 17.801 (Employment Service Cluster) *		1,002,622
Veterans Commission - CFDA 17.804 (Employment Service Cluster) *		531,179
Veterans Commission - CFDA 64.124 *		178,574
Total	\$	78,490,024

## Corrective Action Plan:

Reference No. 2018-002 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

(Prior Audit Issues - 2017-005 and 2016-008)

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

**TANF Cluster** 

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTANS, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTANS

Non-Major Programs:

93.090 – Guardianship Assistance 93.658 – Foster Care – Title IV – E 93.659 – Adoption Assistance

Statistically valid sample - No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per 45 CFR Section 95.507, the State shall submit a cost allocation plan for the

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

State agency as required below to the Director, Division of Cost Allocation (DCA), in the appropriate HHS Regional Office. The plan shall describe the procedures used to identify, measure, and allocate all costs to each of the programs operated by the State agency. The cost allocation plan shall contain the procedures used to identify, measure, and allocate all costs to each benefitting program and activity. Per 45 CFR Section 95.509, the State shall promptly amend the cost allocation plan and submit the amended plan to the Director, DCA, if any of the following events occur, including if other changes occur which make the allocation basis or procedures in the approval cost allocation plan invalid.

DFPS's approved Public Assistance Cost Allocation Plan (PACAP) expenditures and revenues are initially allocated based on an estimate of Project ID percentages. After actual base statistical data is available, expenditures are reallocated and adjustments between estimated and actual costs are made. The adjustments result in costs claimed for each period being allocated based on actual base statistics for the same period. Data is updated either monthly or quarterly depending on the Project ID. There are approximately 20 Project IDs. Control procedures are in place to review these reallocation entries.

During fiscal year 2017, all Project IDs were based on static factors and reallocation entries were not performed. Therefore, a contractor was hired to implement a more automated process for reallocating entries. During fiscal year 2018, reallocations were done through December 2017 for recording in the general ledger. Reallocations through March 2018 were recorded in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards through a top-side entry. DFPS completed the allocations through June 2018 during January 2019. The table below includes the expenditures for fiscal year 2018 that were inappropriately included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA).

Federal Programs in 2018 SEFA	2018 SEFA Expenditure		Percentage of Total SEFA Expenditures
CFDA 93.090	\$ 7,920,5	\$28 \$ 84,542	2 1.07 %
CFDA 93.658	209,346,1	06 (980,522	2) (0.47)
CFDA 93.659	150,262,0	26 (450,963	3) (0.30)
Medicaid Cluster	22,547,702,0	086 (312,108	-
Total Adjustment with Offset			<del>_</del>
to General Revenue		\$ 1,659,051	<u> </u>

DFPS has a review process in place for each type of reallocation journal. As noted above, the headcount allocation process was automated during fiscal year 2018. Currently the same person prepares the reallocation percentages and loads the information into the general ledger, thus resulting in no secondary review. In addition, a team member within the DFPS information support group has access to prepare the reallocation journal entry and to upload the journal entry to the general ledger for the reallocation process. A sample of four months of headcount reallocations were reviewed to validate the accuracy of the calculation. For one month (March 2017), the incorrect reallocation percentages were utilized in the calculation. The impact of this error was evaluated by CFDA number, noting the difference between the original reallocation percentages and the corrected percentages was less than \$5,000.

#### Recommendation:

DFPS should address the lack of segregation of duties within the headcount reallocation process.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

The agency acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the agency will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes

### Corrective Action Plan:

The agency has implemented a plan to add additional review steps, enforce segregation of duties, and create a sign off process for each step involved in creating reallocation projects and creating and posting reallocation journals. The segregation of duties and additional review process should prevent errors (such as those that occurred in March 2017) from occurring.

Implementation Date: January 9, 2019

Responsible Person: Kristen Norris

### Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued as current year reference number 2019-003.

Reference No. 2018-003 Eligibility

(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-006, 2016-010, and 2015-008)

TANF Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTAN3, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per the TANF State Plan, DFPS provides any service the State previously was

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

authorized to use IV-A or IV-F funds under prior law, as in effect on September 30, 1995 and as clarified by the State's 1997 plan amendment. This includes the TANF Emergency Assistance (EA) program.

Per the Texas Administrative Code, Title 40, Part 19, Chapter 700, Subchapter Z, Rule §700.2703:

- (a) The Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) or its authorized designee determines eligibility of a child and/or his family for Title IV-A Emergency Services if all of the following criteria are met:
  - (1) An emergency exists, as defined in subsection (b) of this section.
  - (2) The family applies for care and services available in emergency situations, or DFPS or its authorized designee applies on behalf of a child whose parents are unavailable or unwilling to apply.
  - (3) The child has lived with a relative at sometime within the six-month period prior to application.
  - (4) The emergency arose for a reason other than an adult family member's refusal to accept employment without good cause.
  - (5) The applicant, child, or family declares annual income of less than \$63,000.
- (b) An emergency exists when DFPS:
  - (1) Determines that a child is at risk:
  - (2) Has removed a child from the child's home and placed the child in its care; or
  - (3) Determines that a child formerly in its care is at risk of being returned to that care.

There are no automated controls to require recertification of EA recipients in Information Management Protecting Adults and Children in Texas (IMPACT), DFPS's eligibility system. The process of gathering information related to EA eligibility is done in conjunction with the case investigation process. Information, including the reason for an emergency and income levels, is determined based on the caseworker's interviews with the family and child. This information is input into IMPACT to determine eligibility. IMPACT's automated controls to determine if the child is EA eligible were found to be effective including the assignment of service codes that determine monthly payment amounts. Only the information that the caseworker considers necessary to support the conclusions regarding eligibility is included in IMPACT as case notes. In April 2018, a quality assurance (QA) review process was implemented to review the IMPACT screens after they are signed off on by the supervisor. The QA review tool currently focuses on the income requirements associated with emergency assistance. However, DFPS has yet to incorporate compliance with all eligibility requirements, not just compliance with annual family income level limit, into the tool. Additionally, a formal policy was not maintained to show how the cases selected for QA were determined. No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to determining EA eligibility.

Additionally, a sample of six kinship care cases were selected through the EA sampling process. Kinship care cases have requirements per the Texas State Plan for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, Section 2 Part 6 Eligibility. These requirements are attested to in the Kinship Caregiver Agreement. For one case sampled, the Kinship Caregiver Agreement was not on file. No compliance issues were found regarding the eligibility of the child.

### Recommendation:

DFPS should continue to enhance their QA process to ensure all significant elements of eligibility are addressed and consistently applied. The QA process should also ensure eligibility determinations are consistently documented and reviewed. The resulting QA policy should be formally documented including the selection of the QA cases. Additionally, DFPS should ensure that appropriate documentation related to cases is maintained.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Work on the Emergency Assistance Eligibility process was needed in order for Texas to be in compliance.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Recent updates to the QA guide have been made to ensure significant elements of eligibility are addressed. The guide will be used beginning in the January, 2019 QA review. Training will be provided to the QA specialists prior to their start date to ensure the reviewers are competent and consistent in their documentation and review process.

The agency has updated the Review Guide and the Instruction Guide to reflect all of the elements of Emergency Assistance Eligibility. The updated guide will begin being used in the January 2019 quarterly samples. The QA reading guide (which becomes the agency policy on QA reviews) has been updated to reflect the sample selection process.

Implementation Date: April 1, 2019 (That is the end of the first QA review period)

Responsible Person: Gwen Gray

#### Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued as current year reference number 2019-004.

## STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 GENERAL LAND OFFICE

Reference No. 2018-004
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Period of Performance

CFDA 97.048 – Federal Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households in Presidential Declared Disaster Areas

Award year – August 25, 2017 to February 2020 Award number – DR-4332-TX Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, the General Land Office (GLO) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. In fiscal year 2018, GLO utilized a third-party software system, Carbon, to help facilitate its Hurricane

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Harvey recovery efforts. Carbon was used to create and retain work orders, to receive the inbound FEMA file approving work orders, and to retain other applicable supporting documents and approvals.

During the summer of 2018, GLO management decided to decommission Carbon. All of the data previously retained in Carbon was downloaded and placed in a data file maintained by GLO. As a result of decommissioning Carbon, audit procedures were unable to be performed and therefore no reliance could be placed on general information technology controls or application controls within Carbon. To compensate for the inability to audit IT controls, manual controls were identified and compliance sample sizes were increased to 100 for applicable compliance requirements. As part of the compliance procedures performed, redacted FEMA eligibility files were obtained to verify that selected sample items had been properly approved by FEMA. No compliance exceptions were noted.

Manual controls noted below were found to be operating effectively:

- Review of site inspections, invoices, and certificates of occupancy prior to payment in the DALHR program.
- Review of site inspections, invoices, ready for occupancy, and other required supporting documents prior to payment in the RV and MHU programs.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Reference No. 2018-005

## **Allowable Costs/Cost Principles**

(Prior Audit Issues - 2017-009 and 2016-024)

CFDA 10.557 - WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507, 6TX700506 and 6TX700527

### CDFA 84.181 – Special Education-Grants for Infants and Families

Award years – July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - H181A180171, H181A170171, and H181A160171

### CFDA 93.958 - Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - 2B09SM010051-18, 3B09SM010051-17S1, 3B09SM010051-16S2, 3B09SM010051-16S1, and 2B09SM010051-16

#### Aging Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 18AATXNSIP, 18AATXT3SS, 18AATXT3CM, 18AATXT3HD, 17AATXNSIP, 17AATXT3SS, 17AATXT3CM, and 17AATXT3HD

### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

## **SNAP Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers - 6TX430176, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176

### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTAN3, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTAN3

### Non-Major Programs:

- 10.578 WIC Grants to States (WGS)
- 10.579 Child Nutrition Discretionary Grants Limited Availability
- 84.126 Rehabilitation Services Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States
- 93.052 National Family Caregiver Support, Title III, Part E
- 93.071 Medicare Enrollment Assistance Program
- 93.104 Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)
- 93.150 Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)
- 93.235 Title V State Sexual Risk Avoidance Education (Title V State SRAE) Program
- 93.243 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance

93.296 - State Partnership Grant Program to Improve Minority Health 93.658 - Foster Care Title IV-E

93.667 - Social Services Block Grant

93.671 - Family Violence Prevention and Services/Domestic Violence Shelter and Supportive Services

93.752 – Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations financed in part by Prevention and Public Health Funds

93.767 - Children's Health Insurance Program

93.788 - Opioid STR

93.791 - Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration

93.796 - State Survey Certification of Health Care Providers and Suppliers (Title XIX) Medicaid

93.829 - Section 223 Demonstration Programs to Improve Community Mental Health Services

93.898 - Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organization

93.959 - Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse

93.994 - Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States

**CCDF Cluster** 

SSI Cluster

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per 45 CFR Section 95.507, the` State shall submit a cost allocation plan for the State agency as required below to the Director, Division of Cost Allocation (DCA), in the appropriate HHS Regional Office. The plan shall describe the procedures used to identify, measure, and allocate all costs to each of the

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Agriculture

U.S. Department of Education

U. S. Department of Health and Human Services Social Security Administration

programs operated by the State agency. The cost allocation plan shall contain the procedures used to identify, measure, and allocate all costs to each benefitting program and activity. Per 45 CFR Section 95.509, the State shall promptly amend the cost allocation plan and submit the amended plan to the Director, DCA if any of the following events occur including if other changes occur which make the allocation basis or procedures in the approval cost allocation plan invalid.

HHSC's approved Public Assistance Cost Allocation Plan (PACAP) expenditures and revenues are initially allocated based on an estimate of Project ID percentages. After actual base statistical data is available, expenditures are reallocated and adjustments between estimated and actual costs are made. The adjustments will result in costs claimed for each period being allocated based on actual base statistics for the same period. Data is updated either by voucher, monthly, quarterly, semi-annually, or annually depending on the Project ID.

The number of Project IDs included in the PACAP increased significantly during fiscal year 2017 and 2018 as a result of functions of various health and human services agencies in Texas consolidating into HHSC as part of the Health and Human Services (HHS) Transformation. As a result of these additional Project IDs, HHSC has experienced significant delays in updating factors. Expenditure reallocations were completed through various months of fiscal year 2018 with regard to reflection in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Based on information provided by HHSC as of January 2019, all factors have been updated through August 2018. The table below includes the expenditures of approximately \$13.6 million for fiscal year 2018 that were not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA).

	2018 SEFA	2018 Expenditures	Percentage of Total SEFA
Federal Programs in 2018 SEFA	Expenditures	Not in SEFA	Expenditures
CFDA 10.557	\$ 469,128,374	1214888	0.26 %
CFDA 10.578	-	\$ 29,032	NM*
CFDA 10.579	3,159,207	16,788	0.53 %
CFDA 84.126	249,911,609	44,105	0.02 %
CFDA 84.181	44,460,998	119,237	0.27 %
CFDA 93.052	9,101,121	7,824	0.09 %
CFDA 93.071	996,808	(555)	(0.06)%
CFDA 93.104	2,195,873	4,881	0.22 %
CFDA 93.150	4,474,833	131	0.01 %
CFDA 93.235	3,268,218	15,627	0.48 %
CFDA 93.243	5,622,282	59,880	1.07 %
CFDA 93.296	296,706	6,907	2.33 %
CFDA 93.658	209,346,106	188,895	0.09 %
CFDA 93.667	191,036,842	5,296,572	2.77 %
CFDA 93.671	6,173,348	883	0.01 %
CFDA 93.752	(1,995,315)	2,823	(0.14)%
CFDA 93.767	1,507,508,100	(425,349)	(0.03)%
CFDA 93.788	26,950,611	691,201	2.56 %
CFDA 93.791	26,027,252	17,407	0.07 %
CFDA 93.796	43,431,078	2,749,450	6.33 %
CFDA 93.829	2,994	(2,885)	NM*
CFDA 93.898	7,813,891	61,925	0.79 %
CFDA 93.958	33,621,258	(27,046)	(0.08)%
CFDA 93.959	125,496,685	(708,431)	(0.56)%
CFDA 93.994	31,222,759	163	0.01 %
Aging Cluster	78,386,541	52,284	0.07 %
CCDF Cluster	591,123,571	3,316,088	0.56 %
Medicaid Cluster	22,547,702,086	3,271,657	0.01 %
SNAP Cluster	6,209,324,901	355,880	0.01 %
SSI Cluster	124,499,997	(3,072,706)	(2.47)%
TANF Cluster	511,680,217	328,434	0.06 %
Total Adjustment to General Revenue		\$ (13,615,990)	

<sup>\*</sup>NM – Not meaningful

HHSC has a review process in place to ensure that the various factor allocation calculations are reviewed after preparation to ensure the accuracy of the adjustments. Reports are utilized to capture the allocation basis information. This information is imported into spreadsheets and then manually manipulated to calculate the factors. The reports were found to be complete and accurate. Five factors were reviewed for four periods (20 calculations) through fiscal year 2017 and 2018. For two calculations, incorrect data elements were utilized in the calculation. These calculation sheets had evidence of supervisory review. HHSC corrected these errors so there are no questioned costs.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should continue to update all factors to current month/quarter in the general ledger to ensure accurate financial information is available and presented in federal reports. HHSC should consistently perform the review control at the correct precision level to detect data entry errors for all factors.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding. The number of Project IDs included in the PACAP increased significantly, as well as complexity of factors, during fiscal year 2017 and 2018 as a result of consolidating functions of various health and human services agencies in Texas into HHSC as part of the Health and Human Services (HHS) Transformation. As a result of the addition of these Project IDs, HHSC has experienced significant delays in updating factors. FY 2018 reallocations completion date January 23, 2019.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

For FY 2019, Accounting will use an automated application (AlloCAP) to maintain all monthly, quarterly and annual statistics to create the allocation percentages for each PACAP factor. Accounting added two full-time employees on September 24, 2018, as additional resources to address the complexity and the increased volume of cost allocation factors related to the additional programs and associated funding sources as a result of transformation.

Implementation Date: January 1, 2019

Responsible Person: Scotty Burks and Kathy Hubert

### Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued as current year reference number 2019-006.

## Reference No. 2018-006 Cash Management

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507, 6TX700506 and 6TX700527

### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

#### **SNAP Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers - 6TX430176, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176

#### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTAN3, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. A Federal assistance program must abide by the rules in Subpart A, interest calculation procedures, if it is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Agriculture
U.S. Department of Health
and Human Services

Assistance (CFDA) and falls within the funding threshold set forth by the Federal government. The dollar threshold is calculated using the most recent Single Audit data. Programs not subject to these rules are considered under Subpart B.

The identification of the major programs and their funding techniques, clearance patterns, and interest liability calculation methodologies are documented in the Treasury-State agreement (TSA) made between the Financial Management Service (FMS) of the Department of the Treasury and Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (CPA). The TSA identifies various acceptable funding techniques, including zero balance accounting (ZBA), average clearance, and pre-issuance. Pre-issuance is the method predominately used by the State of Texas (State). Interest begins to accrue on funds the day the State draws down the funds and ends when they are paid out for program purposes. Using the pre-issuance method, the Federal Agency must transfer the requested amount to the State who will then disburse the funds. Additionally, interest accrues on refunds exceeding \$50,000 beginning the day the funds are credited and ending when they are paid out. HHSC funding technique is pre-issuance, which requires HHSC to develop sample data and calculate clearance days on federal funds from the time of deposit in the State Treasury until warrants are issued on those funds (Period 1).

The Period 1 calculation is reviewed and approved by the HHSC Accounting Director prior to submission to the CPA. The approved calculations incorrectly included interagency amounts in the draw and disbursement data. Interagency amounts are reported in similar Period 1 calculations at the respective receiving agencies. In addition, the SNAP data excluded the EBT expenditures. The calculations were subsequently corrected and resubmitted to the CPA. The reports used to calculate the Period 1 calculation were complete and accurate. No compliance exceptions were noted in the revised calculations.

<u>Corrective Action Plan</u>: Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-007

**Procurement and Suspension and Debarment** 

(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-023, 2016-026 and 2015-024)

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507, 6TX700506 and 6TX700527

#### TANF Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTAN3, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Material Weakness and Material Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR Section 200.317, States and governmental subrecipients of States, will use the same State policies and procedures used for procurements from non-Federal funds. They also must ensure that every purchase order or other contract includes any clauses required by Federal statutes and executive orders and their implementing regulations. Per 2 CFR 200.303, HHSC must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Agriculture
U.S. Department of Health
and Human Services

Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

An open market solicitation is used to purchase a good or service by soliciting from any available source. The open market solicitation procedure is authorized by Texas Government Code §§2155.062(a)(3) and 2156.061. Open market informal solicitations can be used for procurements of commodities or services greater than \$5,000 but not greater than \$25,000. Open Market Formal Solicitation is used for agency-administered open market purchases of services greater than \$25,000 and for commodities if delegated by Texas Comptroller or through statutory authority specific to an agency. Per the Health and Human Services (HHSC) Procurement Manual, for small purchases (\$5,000 or less excluding IT purchases) competition is not required; however, HHSC's Procurement and Contracting Services (PCS) Division does require contact with at least one Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) vendor to provide them with an opportunity to quote.

HHSC Procurement Contracting Services (PCS) conducts procurement activities for all HHS agencies, resulting in a purchase order, contract, or other agreement for the requesting agency. Following the procurement process, HHS agency staff are responsible for subsequent contract management and monitoring activities. Audit procedures included a review of procurement files related to 40 TANF Cluster (TANF) purchases and 40 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) purchases. Results of test work are as follows:

#### TANF:

- For one sample, the file did not contain the required TCI waiver form per the Prison Made Goods Act. Purchase order (PO) amounts were approximately \$119.
- For three samples, the files did not contain evidence that a TCI search was performed. PO amounts were approximately \$7,130.
- For four samples, the files noted that the HUB search was performed by vendor name rather than by item code or evidence of the HUB search was not included in the file. PO amounts were approximately \$6,453.
- For one sample, there was no evidence in the file to support that there was only one qualified vendor for proprietary subscription services. PO amount was approximately \$79,249.
- For two samples, there was no clear evidence to document that the purchase was the best value. Also, there was no evidence that a search was performed to ensure that the items were not available through TIBH, TCI, or TxSmartBuy contracts prior to purchasing from TXMAS. PO amounts were approximately \$657.

- For one sample, PCS was unable to locate and provide any documentation. PO amount was approximately \$1.250.
- For one sample, the NIGP code charged per the voucher was not included as allowable under the contract. PO amount was approximately \$13,864,267. No questioned costs as documentation error only.
- For one sample, the contract was coded to the wrong PCC code. PO amount was approximately \$561. No questioned costs as documentation error only.

### WIC:

- For 15 samples, the contract was coded to the wrong PCC code. PO amounts were approximately \$16,910,689. No questioned costs as documentation error only.
- For two samples, there was no evidence that a HUB search was performed for contracts under \$5,000. PO amounts were approximately \$2,308.
- For two samples, PCS was unable to associate a purchase order with the expenditure and therefore the procurement file could not be reviewed. Sample amounts were approximately \$5,422.

PCS has put a quality control (QC) process in place to review a sampling of procurement files for each buyer. Results of QC activities are submitted to each manager to review and take corrective actions. The QC control appears to be adequately designed to meet process requirements; however, HHSC did not execute the QC process during fiscal year 2018.

#### Recommendation:

HHSC PCS should implement effective controls that include a QC process to address the significant elements of procurement. HHSC PCS should review their current checklists and tools to determine if revision is necessary to further facilitate compliance with State and Federal regulations. Automated controls should be considered to ensure segregation of duties between initiation of procurement activities and buying activities.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding. HHSC management concurs with the recommendation that effective quality controls are needed, including a QC process that addresses the significant procurement processes. HHSC has implemented several improvements since summer of 2018, including the drafting of operating procedures, checklists and templates to ensure compliance with the law and to move HHSC closer to the required level of quality control. In addition, the agency has adopted a comprehensive Procurement and Contracting Improvement Plan (PCIP) that will complete a full review and redesign, as necessary, of the procurement and contracting processes and focuses on reevaluating and strengthening compliance efforts. The PCIP has been implemented, and HHSC has begun the review and redesign process.

### Corrective Action Plan:

PCS will continue to fully implement and strengthen the quality control efforts put in place over the last six months and finalize all operating procedures, forms, checklists and templates to ensure compliance with required laws and regulations. The PCIP effort will establish concrete metrics and milestones to meet the goals reflected in the recommendation.

Significant efforts to enhance quality control have taken place in the last six months and more will be implemented through the remainder of the upcoming fiscal year and beyond. The specific findings addressed in the report will be addressed by May 31, 2019, with further refinements continuing after that date.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2019

Responsible Person: Bart Broz

### Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued in the current year as reference number 2019-009.

## Reference No. 2018-008 Subrecipient Monitoring

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507, 6TX700506 and 6TX700527 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Initial Year Written: 20185 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Agriculture

HHSC is required by 2 CFR Part 200.330-332 to monitor the activities of the subrecipients as necessary to ensure that the subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward. Per 2 CFR Section 200.331 (b), HHSC must evaluate each subrecipient's risk of noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward for purposes of determining the appropriate subrecipient monitoring described in paragraph (e) of this section.

HHSC Women, Infants, Children (WIC) Program Services policy states that HHSC must evaluate contracts for the level of risk they present to the State using a Risk Assessment Instrument for all contracts that have a monetary value.

HHSC is required to use the HHS Baseline Risk Assessment Tool. The Baseline Risk Assessment Tool identifies "point in time" data related to a Contractor as well as a specific contract. Key risk categories include the number of active contracts, total expenditures from all HHSC contracts, the number of adverse actions taken against the subrecipient within the previous three years, the contractual relationship, the dollar amount of the contract, the risk to health and safety, the length of time since last monitoring, and personnel changes. These risk categories are all scored based on a risk weighting system and the total scores fall into one of three final score ranges: low, medium, and high. For all subrecipients with active monetary contracts, the risk assessment tool is prepared by the WIC Program Services Contract Manager and reviewed and approved by the WIC Program Services Branch Manager. However, no formal documentation of the review and approval is maintained. There were no compliance exceptions noted.

Corrective Action Plan:

Reference No. 2018-009 Special Tests and Provisions – Compliance Investigations of High-Risk Vendors

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507, 6TX700506 and 6TX700527 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

A State agency operating a retail food delivery system must conduct compliance investigations. These investigations consist of inventory audits and/or compliance buys, on a minimum of 5 percent of the vendors authorized as of October 1 of each year. Farmers are not included in this requirement. A State agency must conduct compliance investigations on its high-risk vendors up to the 5 percent minimum. High-risk vendors are identified at least once annually,

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Agriculture

using criteria developed by FNS and/or other statistically based criteria developed by the State agency and approved by FNS. If the number of high-risk vendors exceeds 5 percent of the total, then the State agency must prioritize vendors for investigative purposes based on their potential for noncompliance and/or loss. If the number of high-risk vendors falls short of 5 percent of the total, the State agency must randomly select enough additional vendors to meet the 5 percent requirement. When a compliance investigation discloses vendor violations, the State agency must take appropriate action against the vendor. Such action includes delaying payment or establishing a claim if a violation affects payment to the vendor; imposing sanctions mandated by program regulations for certain stated violations; and imposing other, less severe sanctions prescribed by the State agency's sanction schedule for lesser violations (7 CFR sections 246.2 (definitions of "compliance buy," "high-risk vendor," and "inventory audit"), 246.12(j)(4)(i) through (iii), 246.12(k)(2) through (4), and 246.12(l)(1) and (2)). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

HHSC performs compliance buys and invoice audits in each given fiscal year for high risk vendors selected from the risk assessment. The inspector performing the audit summarizes the results provided to the WIC Vendor Monitoring Manager for review. The summary results are reviewed in detail by the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) Vendor Monitoring Manager for consistency with the supporting data prior to the vendor being notified. During the fiscal year, there was no formal evidence of the review being performed by the WIC Vendor Monitoring Manager. No compliance exceptions were noted.

#### Recommendation:

HHSC should formally document the review process for both the compliance buys and invoice audits results.

## Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding. HHSC/WIC vendor monitoring team concurs that there is no formal evidence of the review being performed by the WIC vendor monitoring manager.

### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC/WIC vendor monitoring team will revise its current standardized process to include documentation of compliance buy and invoice audit results review by program.

Implementation Date: February 1, 2019

Responsible Person: LaDon Woodson

Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued as current year reference number 2019-010.

#### Reference No. 2018-010

## Special Tests and Provisions - Food Instrument and Cash-Value Voucher Disposition

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507, 6TX700506 and 6TX700527

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

A State agency must account for all Food Instruments (FIs) issued within 120 days of the FI's first valid date for participant use. This requirement also applies to Cash-Value Voucher (CVVs). The State agency must identify all FIs and CVVs as either issued or voided and identify issued FIs and CVVs as either redeemed or unredeemed. Redeemed FIs and CVVs must be identified as one of the following: (1) validly issued, (2) lost or stolen, (3) expired, (4) duplicate, or (5) not

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Agriculture

matching valid enrollment and issuance records. State agencies generally do this by analyzing computer reports that provide detailed issuance and redemption information on each FI and CVV. However, in an EBT system this requirement may be met by linking the Primary Account Number (PAN) or Benefit Issuance ID number associated with the electronic transaction to valid enrollment and issuance records. EBT systems aggregate benefits for all participants in a family or household. Therefore, the benefits issued shall match benefits redeemed only at the aggregate (household or family) level. The State agency's management information system shall account for individual participant benefits aggregated for any family or household (7 CFR section 246.12(q)). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

HHSC Cost Containment Team personnel perform a monthly one-to-one food instrument reconciliation to verify participants do not redeem incorrect food or formula benefits per what was available on their EBT card. Per HHSC policy, the reconciliation is performed by a Program Specialist and reviewed by a Team Lead. Due to departmental turnover in fiscal year 2018, the reconciliations were performed by the Team Lead with no independent review, creating a segregation of duties conflict. System controls were found to be effective with regard to PAN identification and issuance discrepancies. In addition, no compliance exceptions were noted.

### Corrective Action Plan:

Reference No. 2018-011
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking

CFDA 93.958 - Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

 $Award\ numbers-2B09SM010051-18,\ 3B09SM010051-17S1,\ 3B09SM010051-16S2,\ 3B09SM010051-16S1,\ and\ 2B09SM010051-16$ 

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per 42 USC 300x-4(b), HHSC shall for each fiscal year maintain aggregate

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

State expenditures for community mental health services at a level that is not less than the average level of expenditures maintained by the State for the two State fiscal years preceding the fiscal year of the grant. Additionally, HHSC shall for each fiscal year expend an amount not less than an amount equal to the amount expended in fiscal year 1994 for systems of integrated services for children with serious emotional disturbance (42 USC 300x-2(a)(1)(C)).

HHSC calculates the community mental health services and systems of integrated services for children with serious emotional disturbance expenditures for compliance with the above standards. These calculations are reviewed by two separate members of the Budget Analysis and Control team. There is currently no documentation maintained to substantiate the occurrence of these two reviews. The reports used in the calculations were determined to be complete and accurate. No compliance exceptions noted.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

## Reference No. 2018-012 Subrecipient Monitoring

(Prior Audit Issues - 2017-020 and 2016-023)

CFDA 93.958 – Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

 $Award\ numbers-2B09SM010051-18,\ 3B09SM010051-17S1,\ 3B09SM010051-16S2,\ 3B09SM010051-16S1,\ and\ 2B09SM010051-16$ 

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per 2 CFR Section 200.343, the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

will close-out the Federal award when it determines that all applicable administrative actions and all required work of the Federal award have been completed by the non-Federal entity. The Federal awarding agency or

pass-through entity should complete all closeout actions for Federal awards no later than one year after receipt and acceptance of all required final reports. HHSC is required by 2 CFR Part 200.330-332 to monitor the activities of the subrecipients as necessary to ensure that the subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward. Additionally, all pass-through entities must identify the dollar amount made available under each Federal award and the CFDA number at the time of disbursement per 2 CFR Part 200.331(1)(xi).

The Behavioral Health Services Section team coordinates the subrecipient monitoring process for the Mental Health Program (MH) at HHSC. Nine subrecipients were sampled for compliance with the requirements. The total amount provided to MH subrecipients by HHSC for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2018 was approximately \$30 million. The following items were noted with regard to the monitoring process:

- The contracts contained the required elements, except the indirect cost rate and Federal agency name were not incorporated in the fiscal year 2018 contracts.
- Subrecipients were not informed of the CFDA number at the time of disbursement.
- A bi-annual performance summary report based on performance measures is submitted by the subrecipient and reviewed by the Unit Manager. No evidence of review was maintained as it relates to the reports.
- Due to staffing constraints, fiscal year 2015 through fiscal year 2017 contracts have not been closed out.
- When an issue is identified and an enforcement action (sanction) is put on the subrecipient, the Unit Manager will review the associated documentation prior to approval of the sanction. One of nine subrecipients sampled had a sanction in fiscal year 2018. No evidence of review of the documentation was maintained for this subrecipient.

In addition to the above items, MH approved contracts with budget schedules during the contracting process, approved risk assessment, and conducted fiscal and program site visits. Beginning in 2018, MH has a five-year fiscal site visit policy. For the past seven years (including 2018), all 41 subrecipients obtained a fiscal review. Based on the fiscal and contract reviews performed, sufficient monitoring coverage was obtained over the last seven years.

#### Recommendation:

HHSC should ensure that controls that are in place are adequately documented and that the documentation is retained. More specifically, HHSC should include the indirect cost rate and Federal agency name in the MH contracts, notify subrecipients of the CFDA number at time of disbursement, and close out grants in accordance with regulations.

#### Views of Responsible Officials - 2018:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding. HHSC uses the statewide Centralized Accounting and Payroll/Personnel System (CAPPS) Financials as its financial system of record. CAPPS Financials does not capture CFDA at the voucher level which results in CFDA not appearing on each disbursement (warrant or direct deposit) to a subrecipient. KPMG agreed that due to the current limitations within CAPPS Financials, HHSC may provide subrecipients with annual expenditure reports by CFDA number after the end of each grantees' fiscal year end, as opposed to at time of disbursement. HHSC IDD-BH also accepts other subrecipient monitoring findings.

### Corrective Action Plan – 2018:

HHSC IDD-BH is coordinating with HHS PCS to develop and deploy an HHS System process for negotiating/accepting subrecipient indirect cost rates. HHSC IDD-BH will include language regarding indirect cost rate negotiation as well as the Federal agency name into contracts and amendments. HHSC IDD-BH will develop unit policies and procedures supporting documentation of Unit Manager review and approval of the bi-annual performance report based on performance measures, and of closeout on grants. HHSC IDD-BH has developed and will comply with the unit policy and procedures supporting documentation of Unit Manager review and approval of contract enforcement actions (i.e., sanctions). PCS Contract Oversight and Support will provide subrecipients with annual expenditure reports by CFDA number after each grantees' fiscal year end. HHSC CFO Operations Support will assist in development of a CAPPS Financials report to facilitate this new process.

### 2019 Update

Based upon inquiry and review of the new template for contracts, the indirect cost rate process is in place, however not completed throughout 2019.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the 2019 update; reflecting partial implementation compared to last year.

The HHS Federal Funds Indirect Cost Rate (ICR) Group developed the basic infrastructure for accepting, negotiating, and acknowledging rates for the Health and Human Services Commission and the Department of State Health Services (DSHS). The approved indirect cost rate is applicable across all cost reimbursement contracts.

The HHS System Indirect Cost Rate Group is currently coordinating with program contracting areas to educate subrecipients regarding the new process and bring the subawards into compliance with federal, state, and agency requirements. Subrecipients using an unapproved method to recover indirect costs are required to obtain an approved indirect cost rate using one of the following methods:

- Federal Negotiated ICR,
- Other State Agency Negotiated ICR,
- 10% De Minimis, or
- Negotiate an ICR with HHS COS

Subrecipients are being outreached using a phased approach to complete an online ICR Questionnaire via the Grantee Landing Page over the next fiscal year. Once a rate is issued, the letter is sent to all associated Texas HHS program contract managers and the signed letter is sent to SCOR for uploading to the "Contractors" library. The program contracting areas are required to update contracts once a rate is established.

The following activities have been completed:

- Updated Grantee Uniform Term and Conditions
- Update Signature Document to include guidelines for indirect costs
- Established an Indirect Cost Rate Questionnaire to identify the grantees intentions regarding indirect costs
- Developed External training to notify subrecipients of the new requirements
- Developed Internal training regarding indirect cost rates
- Held RoundTable to discuss changes related to the indirect cost rate process
- Held internal stakeholder meeting to discuss impacts of changes
- Developed procedures for negotiating indirect cost rates

This is an ongoing project that is in the process of implementation.

HHSC IDD-Behavioral Health Services (IDD-BHS) updated and implemented policies and procedures addressing documentation of Unit Director review and approval.

Additionally, HHS fully implemented the solution approved by KPMG to address the finding related to notifying subrecipients of the CFDA number at disbursement. Effective March 2019, HHS PCS Contract Oversight and Support implemented the process for sending HHSC subrecipients an annual expenditure report by CFDA number after the grantee's fiscal year end. Annual Expenditure reports were sent to subrecipients in accordance with the Annual Expenditure Report to Grantees Business Procedure. PCS COS continues to send annual expenditure notifications.

### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

HHS Federal Funds System Indirect Cost Rate Group will complete the Indirect Cost Rate Process of accepting, negotiating and acknowledging indirect cost rates for IDD-BHS cost reimbursement contracts. HHSC IDD-BHS will incorporate approved indirect cost rates into contracts. Additionally, IDD-BHS will complete contract closeouts for 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.

Implementation Dates: September 30, 2022

Responsible Persons: Christina Lundy, Manager, HHSC Indirect Cost Rate Group

Roderick Swan, Associate Commissioner, HHSC IDD-BH Contract Operations

Sonja Gaines, Deputy Executive Commissioner, HHSC IDD-BHS

Reference No. 2018-013
Special Tests and Provisions – Independent Peer Reviews

CFDA 93.958 - Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - 2B09SM010051-18, 3B09SM010051-17S1, 3B09SM010051-16S2, 3B09SM010051-16S1, and 2B09SM010051-16

Statistically valid sample - No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per 42 USC 300x-53(a), HHSC must:

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

- Provide for independent peer review to assess the quality, appropriateness, and efficacy of treatment services provided in the State to individuals under the program involved; and
- Ensure that, in the conduct of such peer review, not fewer than 5 percent of the entities providing services in the State under such program are reviewed (which 5 percent is representative of the total population of such entities).

While HHSC had a peer review process in place for fiscal year 2018, the peer reviewers did not sign conflict of interest forms that certify the independence of the reviewer from the entity that they are reviewing. Additionally, the Quality Management Unit Manager reviewed the entities selected for peer review to ensure they were representative of the entities providing services. However, this review was not appropriately documented for fiscal year 2018. No compliance exceptions with regard to number of required reviews.

Corrective Action Plan:

Reference No. 2018-014
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking Subrecipient Monitoring

Aging Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 18AATXNSIP, 18AATXT3SS, 18AATXT3CM, 18AATXT3HD, 17AATXNSIP, 17AATXT3SS, 17AATXT3CM, and 17AATXT3HD

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. HHSC is required by 2 CFR Part 200.330-332 to monitor the activities of the

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

subrecipients as necessary to ensure that the subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward. Additionally, all pass-through entities must identify the dollar amount made available under each Federal award and the CFDA number at the time of disbursement per 2 CFR Part 200.331(1)(xi).

Beginning in spring 2018, HHSC Finance and Contract Operations (FCO – formerly BOS) and the Office of Area Agencies on Aging (OAAA) coordinated the subrecipient monitoring process for the Aging Cluster (Aging) at HHSC. Prior to transition, OAAA was solely responsible for all contracting, program and fiscal reviews, and closeout processes. Nine subrecipients were sampled for compliance with the requirements. The total amount provided to subrecipients by HHSC for Aging for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2018 was approximately \$72 million.

The following items were noted with regard to the monitoring process:

- Contracts were reviewed for required content prior to be issued. However, the contract review process
  was unable to be validated due to the retirement of the contract reviewer. The contracts contained the
  required elements except the indirect cost rate was not incorporated in the fiscal year 2018 contracts.
- The Program Monitoring team reviews a quarterly performance report (QPR) for the subrecipient to ensure that costs incurred are within the budgeted line items and that the matching and earmarking requirements are being met. This control was implemented in the third quarter of 2018. In addition, there is currently no formal documentation maintained of the review of the QPRs by the OAAA manager.
- Beginning with the transition, a process is in place for both FCO and OAAA to review the request for reimbursement (RFRs) prior to the subrecipient being reimbursed to ensure that they are allowable and within the correct period of performance. This dual review process began in April 2018. For the months of April 2018 and May 2018, FCO approved certain RFRs without OAAA approval. In addition, OAAA approval was not consistently documented through fiscal year 2018. No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to allowability of period of performance.
- Subrecipients were not informed of the CFDA number at the time of disbursement.
- A risk assessment was performed during fiscal year 2018, however there was no formal documentation retained to support the review and approval.
- Due to transition of fiscal monitoring from OAAA to FCO during fiscal year 2018, the risk assessment that was completed was not executed as designed. Under FCO, lead contract managers perform a review of the monitoring output prior to issuing a letter to the subrecipient. One review was performed in August 2018 but was not completed as of December 2018.
- The monitoring tool used by FCO did not include: validation of provider eligibility, cash maintenance of effort procedures, verifying use of program income, allowability of matching and maintenance of effort expenditures, validating the 10% administrative allowance requirements, ensuring expenditures were paid prior to the subrecipient requesting reimbursement, and tie out of reports to the general ledger.

In addition to the above items, OAAA approved budget schedules during the contracting process, performed close- out reconciliations for the prior year contracts, and executed program site visits, which included a review of program income and in-kind match allowability during fiscal year 2018. Prior to the transition noted above, OAAA had a policy to visit all subrecipients within a four-year cycle with the most current cycle beginning in 2017. During 2017, 13 fiscal reviews of the 28 subrecipients were performed. Based on the closeout reconciliations for the prior year contracts, the 2017 fiscal reviews performed, and the approvals of the 2018 budget schedules, sufficient monitoring coverage was obtained over the two-year period 2017-2018.

## Recommendation:

HHSC should ensure the controls in place are adequately documented and that the documentation is retained. More specifically, HHSC should include the indirect cost rate in the Aging contracts, notify subrecipients of the CFDA number at time of disbursement, and ensure that all key Aging compliance provisions are incorporated into the review tool. Due to the transition of fiscal monitoring to FCO, HHSC should revise and formalize their monitoring selection criteria based on their risk assessment and develop a roadmap to execute the monitoring plan with sufficient coverage.

#### Views of Responsible Officials - 2018:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding and recommendation. A number of functions and duties transitioned from the Office of Area Agencies on Aging (OAAA) to Finance and Contract Operations (FCO), necessitating the review and development of processes under the new structure. Current FCO processes include developing the annual monitoring plan, which is primarily based on risk assessment outcomes. Additionally, FCO allocates staff resources to ensure all contracts are monitored within a four-year cycle.

### Corrective Action Plan - 2018:

### Documentation of quarterly performance report (QPR) Review

Documentation of the QPR review implemented in the third quarter of 2018 will be completed by September 1, 2019. This documentation will address the review to ensure:

- Reported costs incurred are within the budgeted line items; and
- Matching and earmarking requirements are being met.

Validation of these requirements against source data is a component of FCO's fiscal monitoring. This QPR includes monitoring and validation against source data.

## **FCO Monitoring**

Regarding the monitoring process of subrecipient contracts, FCO will develop and strengthen processes and will ensure adequate controls are in place. Overall, the FCO Monitoring Team will:

- Develop new processes as needed and maintain documentation of established processes related to subrecipient monitoring; and
- Verify that the monitoring tools incorporate all Aging compliance provisions.

FCO Monitoring is currently revising the fiscal monitoring tool. All tools will be fully implemented by September 1, 2019.

#### Contracts & Required Payment Information

FCO will coordinate with Procurement and Contracting Services' (PCS) Contract Oversight and Support unit and HHSC Fiscal Management to include indirect cost rates in the Aging contracts. The HHS system is rectifying findings related to the notification to subrecipients of the CFDA number at time of disbursement, and FCO will incorporate any solutions identified.

### Addressing this recommendation includes:

- PCS Contract Oversight and Support is currently calculating or acknowledging indirect cost rates for Area Agencies on Aging for Fiscal Year (FY) 2019. FCO will ensure that rates are incorporated in the upcoming contract amendments FY 2020, as appropriate. This will be completed before October 1, 2019.
- FCO Finance Operations is collaborating with HHSC Fiscal Management to identify a solution to the CFDA notification.

### Update for 2019

#### Documentation of quarterly performance report (QPR) Review

Per inquiry of HHSC personnel there is currently no formal documentation maintained of the review of the QPRs by the OAAA manager.

## **FCO Monitoring**

Based upon review of the RFP voucher packets, verified that OAAA and FCO reviewed and approved the RFR Voucher packet before making the payment.

We reviewed and noted the signed Final Monitoring Report (FMR), by the Director of the Business Operation and Support and Contract Monitoring prior to the Final FMR report.

We reviewed and noted the Live Template and Fiscal Tools utilized by FCO in Fiscal Year 2019,

### Contracts & Required Payment Information

Per inquiry the HHSC Federal Funds Indirect Cost Rate Group (formerly Contract Oversight and Support team) is responsible for acknowledging or approving the use of indirect cost for HHSC contracts. This process is being rolled out in phases. They are now requesting completion of the online ICR Questionnaire for FY19 indirect cost rates. Once a rate is approved, the HHSC contract managers and grantees are sent a formal letter approving the use of the rate. However the rates have not been incorporated into all contracts.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

FCO coordinated with HHSC Fiscal Management to include indirect cost rates in the Aging contracts. HHSC is working to approve rates and amend contracts to incorporate rate approval letters. The first set of contracts are scheduled to be executed by April 30, 2020. This was initially scheduled to be completed before October 1, 2019. However, due to the timing of the approved rates, the information was incorporated into the amendments slated for execution by April 30, 2020.

Implementation Dates: April 30, 2020

Responsible Persons: Julie Beisert-Smith, Associate Commissioner

Reference No. 2018-015
Cash Management Reporting
Special Tests and Provisions – EBT Reconciliation Special Tests and Provisions – EBT Card Security

#### **SNAP Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers – 6TX430176, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Material Weakness and Material Non-Compliance

### **EBT SOC 1 Report**

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department Agriculture

The SNAP Cluster is an entitlement program with standard eligibility and benefits. The benefits each household receives are used to purchase food at authorized retail stores. States issue benefits in the form of debit cards, which recipients can use to purchase food. This is known as electronic benefits transfer (EBT). The State's EBT contractor is responsible for settlement, or payment, to retailers that have accepted EBT cards for food purchases. The contractor's "concentrator bank" makes the payment through the National Automated Clearing House (ACH) system. The concentrator bank is reimbursed for the payments by a draw made on the State's EBT benefit account with the U.S. Treasury. The State is responsible for reconciling the payments made to retailers by its EBT contractor with the amounts drawn from its EBT account with the U.S. Treasury. Per the Compliance Supplement, Texas must obtain a system and organization controls for service organization examination report (SOC 1) by an independent auditor of the State EBT service providers (service organization) regarding the issuance, redemption, and settlement of benefits under SNAP. States must have systems in place to reconcile all of the funds entering into, exiting from, and remaining in the system each day with the State's benefit account with Treasury and EBT contractor records (7 CFR sections 274.3(a)(1) and 274.4(a)). Also, the State is required to maintain adequate security over, and documentation/records for, EBT cards, to prevent their theft, embezzlement, loss, damage, destruction, unauthorized transfer, negotiation, or use (7 CFR section 274.8(b)(3)).

Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. (FIS) is the service organization for the EBT central processing, retailer management functions, and EBT card security providing service to Texas. FIS has multi-user SOC 1 reports over application processing and the information technology infrastructure. In addition, FIS has a system and organization controls for service organizations report to the security and processing integrity trust services principle (SOC 2) over card personalization and issuance. The SOC1 and SOC 2 reports (SOC reports) are part of HHSC's control structure for the following compliance areas for SNAP:

- HHSC performs daily reconciliations of EBT activity to cash settled which incorporates the daily data from the EBT system.
- The processing of EBT cards and transactions is the responsibility of the EBT vendor, including the scheduling of processing, data transmissions, the distribution of data, the receipt of data from authorized sources, the authorization and recording of transactions, and the transaction processing related to EBT Card Security requirements.
- Various EBT reports are utilized for the FNS-46 report.
- SNAP is subject to Cash Management Improvement Act (CMIA) Type A and thus all draws are required
  to be included in the potential interest calculation. EBT reports are utilized for this calculation.
- The EBT reports are the source for benefits recorded in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

In order for HHSC to obtain assurance that the EBT services provided by FIS are functioning effectively, HHSC's oversight of FIS should include a timely analysis of the SOC reports, which HHSC has not performed on 2017 or 2018 reports. HHSC obtained the SOC reports related to the 2018 fiscal year in January 2019 upon request by the KPMG. Per review of the SOC reports, the auditor determined that the key elements of EBT reconciliation and EBT card security and management were appropriately included and the opinion was unqualified. Key elements to be considered in the SOC reports analysis include:

- Mapping the outsourced elements of compliance to the associated control objectives to validate all key
  areas are being addressed by the respective report. This would include consideration of statements in the
  report(s) that note Texas has options to elect certain services.
- Determining how FIS reports utilized by HHSC are complete and accurate and any potential exposure HHSC has for reports that are not addressed in the SOC reports procedures.
- Determining which data centers and solutions discussed are being utilized by HHSC.
- Concluding if there is any significant impact to HHSC processes for opinion carve outs and/or exceptions noted.
- Addressing the user control considerations to validate HHSC has adequate controls in place to address the integrity of information exchanged and received.
- Considering the need to overlap FIS reports to obtain coverage for the entire state fiscal year such that the above analysis would need to be completed on two sets of SOC reports for a given state fiscal year.

HHSC is responsible for determining eligibility of the SNAP recipients and validating amounts paid to the retailers by performing daily reconciliations between the EBT system and the Automated Standard Application for Payments (ASAP) and Account Management Agent (AMA) maintained by the US Treasury. No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to eligibility or the daily EBT reconciliations to cash.

### EBT Reconciliations to Eligible Recipients

HHSC must have systems in place to reconcile all of the funds entering into, exiting from, and remaining in the system each day with the State's Treasury benefit account and EBT contractor records. This includes a reconciliation of the State's issuance files of posting to recipient accounts with the EBT contract. HHSC must also have systems in place to reconcile retailer credit activity as reported into the banking system to client transactions maintained by the processor and to the funds drawn down from the EBT benefit account with Treasury (7 CFR section 274.4(a)).

HHSC utilizes the Texas Integrated Eligibility Reporting System (TIERS) for determining eligibility for SNAP. However, there were no reconciliations from the EBT system to TIERS performed during fiscal year 2018 to fulfill the requirement to reconcile to client transactions maintained by the processor (i.e. eligible recipients utilized the EBT card benefits). No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to eligibility.

### **EBT Card Security**

HHSC is required to maintain adequate security over, and documentation/records for EBT cards to prevent their theft, embezzlement, loss, damage, destruction, unauthorized transfer, negotiation, or use (7 CFR section 274.8(b)(3)).

Monitoring of security over issuance documents is done by the HHSC EBT Regional Coordinator in field offices located in each region and is required to be completed every three years, but currently is being performed annually. The HHSC Access and Eligibility Services Operations Officer tracks the status of annual EBT office reviews on a quarterly basis to ensure timely completion. There is currently no control in place to verify the accuracy of the reviews being performed. No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to the performance of the annual review controls.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should develop a process to formally review the SOC reports from FIS in order for HHSC management to assert the control structure including the service organization services is operating effectively. In addition, HHSC should ensure that reconciliations from the EBT system to TIERS are performed and are adequately reviewed. HHSC should also implement a monitoring control to ensure the accuracy of the EBT card security reviews being performed.

## Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding. HHSC has processes in place to review FIS SOC reports. To address the audit finding, HHSC will conduct a thorough review and update these processes as necessary to ensure the control structure is operating effectively.

During FY 2018, as a result of a miscommunication, AES believed the reconciliation was completed through another process. Once AES discovered the error, staff completed the reconciliation process for FY 2018 in October 2018. Effective October 2018, AES staff are once again completing the reconciliation on a monthly basis, using the appropriate process.

### Corrective Action Plan:

<u>FIS SOC Report</u>: HHSC will conduct a thorough review and update these processes as necessary to ensure the control structure is operating effectively. This includes mapping federal requirements to FIS SOC reports to ensure necessary areas are addressed.

EBT/TIERS Reconciliation: Fully Implemented

Accuracy of EBT Reviews: AES developed a process to complete a desk review of a sample of EBT onsite reviews on a quarterly basis to ensure accuracy. This process was distributed on January 24, 2019. To address the finding for the full FY 2019, AES will conduct the accuracy review going back to September 2018 and will complete ongoing reviews no later than 30 days after the end of the quarter.

Implementation Dates: FIS SOC 1 Report by June 30, 2019

EBT/TIERS Reconciliation: Not Applicable

Accuracy of EBT Reviews - Complete FY2019 Q1 by February 28, 2019 and

Q2 by March 31, 2019

Responsible Persons: Julie Beisert-Smith (FIS SOC1) and Todd Byrnes (Accuracy of EBT Reviews)

#### Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued as current year reference number 2019-012.

Reference No. 2018-016 Reporting

**SNAP Cluster** 

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers – 6TX430176, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per the

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department Agriculture

Compliance Supplement, HHSC is required to submit a Federal Financial Report (SF-425) for the SNAP Cluster.

The SF-425 report relies on the completeness and accuracy of the data in the FNS-778 report as the SF-425 report is a summary of the FNS-778 report. Review procedures were in place at HHSC to ensure that the totals in the SF-425 report agree to the totals in the FNS-778 report. However, there is no documented control to ensure that the break out of the funds in the FNS-778 report is complete and accurate. The FNS-778 information is therefore the source documentation for the SF-425. The FNS-778 query was found to be operating effectively. No compliance exceptions were noted.

Corrective Action Plan:

## Reference No. 2018-017 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

HHSC operates State Supported Living Centers (SSLCs) that are funded through the Medicaid Cluster. The living centers provide campus-based direct services and support to people with intellectual and developmental disabilities. These SSLCs had been previously operated by the Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services (DADS) prior to the consolidation into HHSC as part of the Health and Human Services (HHS) Transformation. The expenditures for these SSLCs are not included in the general ledger and are booked to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) through a top-side entry. These expenditures are maintained in a separate database. As such, these expenditures are not subject to the automated controls over recording or approval in the HHSC general ledger system. Also, these expenditures have to be manually included in any type of general ledger report or query used to produce HHSC financial information. SSLCs represent approximately \$541 million of the \$22.5 billion in expenditures for FY 2018 reported on the SEFA (2.4%).

Corrective Action Plan:

Correction action plan taken.

## Reference No. 2018-018 Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. HHSC is required to pay part of the costs of providing health care to the poor and

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

part of the costs of administering the program. Different State participation rates apply to medical assistance payments. There are also different Federal financial participation rates for the different types of costs incurred in administering the Medicaid program (42 CFR section 433.10 and 433.15).

The Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) is input into CAPPS FIN, the book of record, on a yearly basis as the rate is updated annually based on the Federal Register Circular. For fiscal year 2018, the HHSC General Ledger Manager input the percentage and there was no secondary review of this data entry. No compliance exceptions were noted that would indicate that the rate in CAPPS FIN was incorrect.

In addition, a state waiver may contain level of effort or earmarking requirements. A sample of four waivers were selected for testwork over the required reporting of the level of effort or earmarking requirements. The Community First Choice (TX-25) 1915 B4 waiver was selected for testwork, which includes an annual level of effort requirement (42 CFR 441.510). During fiscal year 2018, the required report related to the Community First Choice waiver was not submitted.

Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-019

**Program Income** 

(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-012, 2016-017, 2015-015, and 2014-011)

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Title XIX, Section 1927 of the Social Security Act, allows states to receive the same rebates for drug purchases as other payers. Drug manufacturers are required to provide a listing to Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) of all covered outpatient drugs, and, on a quarterly basis, are required to provide their average manufacturer's price and their best prices for each covered outpatient drug. Based on this data, CMS calculates a unit rebate

Initial Year Written: 2014 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

amount for each drug, which it then provides to states. No later than 60 days after the end of the quarter, the State Medicaid agency must provide drug utilization data to manufacturers. Within 37 days of receipt of the utilization data from the state, the manufacturers are required to pay the rebate or provide the state with written notice of disputed items not paid because of discrepancies found. Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

HHSC contracts with Conduent, as the Pharmacy Claims and Rebate Administrator (PCRA), to administer the Vendor Drug Rebate Program for the Medicaid Cluster. In order to identify potential drug rebates for drugs that were administered in a clinician's office and billed on a medical claim, Conduent has an electronic interface with the Texas Medicaid & Healthcare Partnership (TMHP). Conduent receives processed fee-for-service medical claims and processed managed care medical encounter data in order to administer rebates for clinician-administered drugs. HHSC utilizes the Conduent DRAMS application to validate and bill drug manufacturers for rebates and the OS+ application to construct drug coverage rules related to payment for pharmacy services. The weekly medical claims/encounters interface from TMHP to Conduent generates exceptions that are not uploaded to DRAMS and therefore not invoiced to rebate. The exceptions are sent to TMHP each week; however, these exceptions are not investigated or resolved. This results in claims with potential drug rebates not being processed by Conduent. HHSC and TMHP implemented an automated process to resolve these errors in April 2018.

Corrective Action Plan:

Reference No. 2018-020

Special Tests and Provisions – Provider Health and Safety Standards

(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-016, 2016-021, 2015-026, 2014-015, and 2013-017)

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Material Weakness and Material Non-Compliance

Per 42 CFR part 442, providers must meet the prescribed health and safety standards for hospitals, nursing facilities, and ICF/MR. The standards may be modified in the State plan. Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of

Initial Year Written: 2013 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. HHSC has approximately 5,000 providers for long-term care and 500 hospitals for acute care. During fiscal year 2018, long-term care provider claims were approximately \$4 billion and acute care hospital claims were approximately \$1.2 billion.

### Acute Care

An out-of-state (OOS) provider may come into the program based on several different circumstances, including: (1) the client being sent out of state for services that are not readily available in Texas; and (2) border states where it is the norm for clients to receive a service in that border state. Under current HHSC procedures, HHSC requires OOS providers to fill out the same application as an in-state provider. OOS providers are to receive a letter that tells them that their enrollment is limited and informing them of the amount of time that has been granted. Of a sample of 65 providers receiving Medicaid payments during fiscal year 2018, 25 were OOS providers. Controls were not in place to ensure current health and safety information was obtained for these OOS providers, which is a process HHSC outsources to a service organization. Although the service organization utilizes Medicare enrollment as a prerequisite for the provider adhering to standards, there is no annual check on the providers Medicare numbers to ensure that they are current and up to date. Payments to OOS providers during fiscal year 2018 were less than \$0.5 million. No exceptions were noted with in-state providers, which constitute the majority of the HHSC providers.

### Long-Term Care

Requirements for meeting prescribed health and safety standards for Long-Term Care Facilities are dictated by the State Plan. Effective September 1, 2017, the long-term care division of Medicaid Department of Aging and Disability Services (DADS) consolidated into HHSC as part of the Health and Human Services (HHS) Transformation. A sample of 65 Long-Term Care providers was selected to ensure compliance with these requirements. Of the 65 providers, HHSC was unable to provide documentation of compliance with the required health and safety standards for 20 providers.

The Compliance and Oversight Unit performs monthly quality control reviews over surveys conducted in each region. Surveys are onsite inspections of the facilities conducted by a regional reviewer. The quality control reviews only cover nursing facilities, intermediate care, and certain home health and hospice long-term care providers, which is approximately 25% of the providers. Other Long-Term Care Facility types do not currently have a quality control process. With regard to the accuracy portion of the quality control process, the current methodology only has high-risk surveys being reviewed. Surveys that did not result in findings do not meet the high-risk threshold and are not eligible for review. As a result, the quality control process is not effectively designed to address all provider types and survey outcomes.

For controls over completeness, health inspections and life safety code inspections are required to occur within a 9 to 15 month window from the time the last inspection was performed. The Compliance and Oversight Unit manager reviews a schedule of health and safety inspections that will be due within the fiscal year on an ongoing basis to ensure all inspections are conducted in a timely manner. There is currently no documentation being maintained to evidence this review. As noted above, only nursing facilities, intermediate care, and certain home health and hospice long-term care providers, approximately 25% of the providers, are included in this process.

#### Recommendation:

### Acute Care

HHSC should implement controls to ensure Federal and State plan requirements regarding health and safety standards are achieved for OOS providers. In addition, HHSC needs to implement monitoring controls over the services provided by the service organization to ensure State plan and policy requirements are being met.

### Long-Term Care

HHSC should identify the business owners for the various provider types. These business owners should collaborate to ensure all provider types are being monitored for health and safety requirements and that appropriate controls have been implemented. The controls should focus on the completeness of the reviews along with the accuracy based on a risk profile. Documentation should be retained to evidence the performance of controls and compliance procedures. In addition, the various provider types have unique requirements that should be considered in documenting the above processes.

## Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan - Acute Care:

Effective June 18, 2018, the Termination Notification Database (CMS Medicaid Termination File and the CMS Medicare for Cause Revocations File) was combined into one file titled the Adverse Action File.

As directed by HHSC, TMHP implemented a project (deploying end of January 2019) to perform monthly post-enrollment data matches against the Adverse Actions file in order to identify TMHP-enrolled providers, including their owners and managing employees, who Texas is required to take termination action against, to include out of state providers.

The Office of Inspector General is now responsible for any necessary termination action. A monitoring protocol will be finalized when the system changes are complete.

Implementation Date: March 31, 2019

Responsible Person: Dana L. Collins

## <u>Corrective Action Plan – Long Term Care Compliance and Oversight Unit:</u>

For the 75% of the providers not covered by Long-term Care Regulatory (LTCR) Survey Operations, HHSC will identify business owners who will ensure Long Term Care provider types are being monitored for health and safety requirements and that appropriate controls have been implemented. HHSC will ensure documentation is thorough and accurate based on risk profile, and documentation of these processes will be appropriately retained.

Long-term Care Regulatory (LTCR) Survey Operations will enhance the current quality controls in place by:

- Continuing to perform monthly quality control reviews of surveys conducted in each region
  - Note that the surveys subject to this quality control review are limited to those surveys under the oversight
    of the Compliance and Oversight Unit (approximately 25% of LTC providers)
- Expanding quality control review to include review of surveys resulting in a "low risk" determination
  - Quality control reports will include lower level deficiencies for review, along with the higher-level deficiencies that have historically been reviewed. Feedback will continue to be presented to the regional management staff.

- Ensure completeness controls by:
  - Continuing to provide monthly reports to regional management indicating providers with health inspections and life safety code inspections with upcoming due dates
  - Documenting the LTCR Survey Operations, Compliance and Oversight Unit management review of the monthly report prior to distribution to regional management
  - Expanding quality control review to include review of surveys identified as low risk surveys, as noted above

Implementation Date: May 1, 2019

Responsible Persons: Nancy K. Taylor and Dana Collins

Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued as current year reference number 2019-015.

#### **Reference No. 2018-021**

Special Tests and Provisions – Inpatient Hospital and Long-Term Care Facility Audits (Prior Audit Issues – 2017-013, 2016-018, and 2015-019)

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

The State Medicaid agency pays for inpatient hospital services and long-term care facility services through the use of rates that are reasonable and adequate to meet the costs that must be incurred by efficiently and economically operated providers. The State Medicaid agency must provide for the filing of uniform cost reports for each participating provider. These cost reports are used to establish payment rates. The State Medicaid agency must provide for the periodic audits

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

of financial and statistical records of participating providers. The specific audit requirements will be established by the State Plan (42 CFR section 447.253). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

HHSC contracts with a service organization, Texas Medicaid and Healthcare Partnership (TMHP), to conduct hospital cost report audits on its behalf. These audits are primarily used to set hospital reimbursement rates. The service organization has an annual plan in place that is submitted to and reviewed by HHSC. TMHP provides HHSC monthly reports so that HHSC can ensure the audits are being completed within the specified timeframes. However, HHSC does not have adequate monitoring controls in place to ensure that the service organization audits are conducted in accordance with HHSC policy. HHSC does review and approve the TMHP planned audit approach. Forty hospital audits, including both field and desk audits, were selected for testwork to ascertain if they were in compliance with HHSC's policies and no compliance exceptions were noted. No control or compliance exceptions were noted with regard to long-term care facility audits.

### Corrective Action Plan:

Reference No. 2018-022

Special Tests and Provisions - Utilization Control and Program Integrity

(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-014, 2016-019, and 2015-020)

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

The State plan must provide methods and procedures to safeguard against unnecessary utilization of care and services, including long-term care institutions. In addition, the State must have: (1) methods or criteria for identifying suspected fraud cases; (2) methods for investigating these cases; and (3) procedures developed in cooperation with legal authorities for referring suspected fraud cases to law enforcement officials (42 CFR parts 455, 456, and

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

1002). Suspected fraud should be referred to the State Medicaid Fraud Control Units (42 CFR part 1007). The State Medicaid agency must establish and use written criteria for evaluating the appropriateness and quality of Medicaid services. The agency must have procedures for the ongoing post-payment review, on a sample basis, of the need for and the quality and timeliness of Medicaid services. The State Medicaid agency may conduct this review directly or may contract with a Quality Improvement Organization (QIO). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes,

regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

### **Nursing Facilities**

HHSC Office of the Inspector General (HHSC-IG) Quality Review Unit (Unit) is required by policy to perform utilization reviews of all nursing homes at least every 15 months. The Unit is currently unable to meet this 15-month rule for 100% of the nursing homes requiring reviews so a risk-based approach has been put in place in order to leverage its efforts on the higher risk facilities. This is not in accordance with state policy. During fiscal year 2018, 31 of a total 40 nursing home reviews selected for testwork were performed after the 15-month policy.

### Managed Care Organizations (MCOs)

MCOs that contract with HHSC to provide insurance to Medicaid beneficiaries are required by contract to perform utilization reviews over claims they process. HHSC monitors these MCOs by reviewing provider and consumer complaints. Monthly, the two team leads will select a random sample of cases for review. At a minimum, six secondary reviews per employee per month are completed. HHSC management reassigned one team to other duties resulting in no reviews being completed after April 2018 for their assigned complaint cases. No compliance exceptions noted.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Reference No. 2018-023

Special Tests and Provisions - ADP Risk Analysis and System Security Review

(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-015, 2016-020, and 2015-021)

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL,

1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample - No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

State agencies must establish and maintain a program for conducting periodic risk analyses to ensure that appropriate, cost effective safeguards are incorporated into new and existing systems. State agencies must perform risk analyses whenever significant system changes occur. State agencies shall review the ADP system security installations involved in the administration of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs on a biennial basis. At a

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

minimum, the reviews shall include an evaluation of physical and data security operating procedures and personnel practices. The State agency shall maintain reports on its biennial ADP system security reviews, together with pertinent supporting documentation, for HHS on-site reviews (45 CFR section 95.621). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

SysCat, HHSC's enterprise-wide repository for approved HHS systems, is HHSC's book of record of all information technology (IT) systems including both in-house and third party systems. During 2018, HHSC worked to consolidate the list by linking subsystems to the parent system resulting in 83 Medicaid related systems. HHSC refreshed the Information Security Controls Guide and completed standardizing security assessment templates for both in-house and third party systems. Additional templates were developed to track potential deficiencies and resolution. Currently the deficiencies and resolutions are tracked in a centralized database and a review is conducted to close any open recommendations. Further, during fiscal year 2018, 19 risk assessments were executed based on internal methodology or third party assessments. Noncompliance is due to HHSC not performing risk assessments over all 83 systems in a two-year period.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should execute the revised methodology and ensure all systems are reviewed in a two year period. HHSC should consider oversight controls in order to ensure progress toward the plan is executed during the two year period, including resolution of remediation items.

#### Views of Responsible Officials:

Texas Health and Human Services (HHS) concurs with the audit finding that risk assessments should be performed on HHS systems in compliance with Texas and federal regulations.

### Corrective Action Plan:

For all Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) subsystems, Information Security and IT Applications will:

- a) Conduct risk assessments in compliance with Texas and federal regulations.
- b) Request each third party system owner to submit, on a regular schedule, a security assessment report attesting to their compliance with HHS security requirements.

More specifics regarding the confidential nature of this Corrective Action Plan will be provided to the auditor.

Implementation Date: a) December 31, 2019 and (b) December 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Steven Pryor and P.J. Fritsche

Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued in the current year reference number 2019-014.

Reference No. 2018-024

Special Tests and Provisions – Provider Eligibility

(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-017, 2017-001, 2016-001, and 2015-002)

#### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 42 CFR Section 455.412, in order to receive Medicaid payments, providers of medical services must be licensed in accordance with federal, state, and local laws and regulations to participate in the Medicaid program. Per 42 CFR Section 455.106(a), before the Medicaid agency enters into or renews a provider agreement, the provider must disclose to the Medicaid agency the identity of any person who: (1) has ownership or control interest in the provider, or is an agent

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

or managing employee of the provider, and (2) has been convicted of a criminal offense related to that person's involvement in any program under Medicare, Medicaid, or the Title XX services program since the inception of those programs. Additionally, per 42 CFR Section 455.103, a State plan must provide that the requirements of 455.106 are met. Per review of the State plan, a search should be conducted to ensure that the provider is not included on the Medicaid exclusion list. Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

#### Acute Care

HHSC contracts with a service organization, Texas Medicaid and Healthcare Partnership (TMHP) to enroll and determine provider eligibility. Currently, HHSC has a monthly quality control process in place where certain providers are selected for review and certain elements of enrollment are reviewed to verify compliance with contractual requirements. However, the control is not designed to ensure that the service organization is obtaining the information necessary to comply with the Federal requirements and State policy including the requirements of 42 CFR Section 455.106 discussed above. For example, the current quality checklist does not consider Medicaid exclusion, disclosure and control interest statements, and validating that signed agreements have been obtained. A sample of 65 providers receiving Medicaid payments during fiscal year 2018 were selected for review. No compliance exceptions were noted.

## Long-Term Care

HHSC Regulatory Services Division or a regional office enrolls providers that request to participate in Medicaid programs for long-term care facilities. Effective September 1, 2017, the long-term care division of Medicaid Department of Aging and Disability Services (DADS) consolidated into HHSC as part of the Health and Human Services (HHS) Transformation. A sample of 65 providers were selected for review. Of the 65 files reviewed, there were six instances where certain elements of the required documentation could not be provided. This includes two missing approval letters from the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services for hospice providers, two missing signed ownership disclosures, and two missing compliance review summaries for consumer directed services (CDS) participants.

The Long-Term Care Licensing and Credentialing Unit (Unit) is responsible for ensuring current licensure information is obtained and retained. The unit performs quality control reviews on a quarterly and annual basis over Long-Term Care providers. The quarterly quality control was implemented in March 2018, covering all Long-Term Care provider types by selecting 10% of applications for validation. The review checklist used to document the quality control review included appropriate elements but did not have any signoffs to evidence the performance of the review.

Annual reviews are performed over the caseworkers who enroll providers related to home health, nursing facilities, intermediate care facilities, and hospice facilities. The annual review control was implemented in March 2018 and focused on evaluating each caseworker as part of their annual performance review. There are four managers responsible for these reviews, but only two managers retained some type of documentation evidencing their reviews. The documentation for one of the two managers was informal (i.e. notes) and the standard checklist was not completed. Manager three had retired and the documentation could not be located. Manager four did not complete any reviews due to the timing of annual evaluations. Consistency of documentation for all four managers was lacking.

### Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

## Reference No. 2018-025 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

#### Non-Major Programs:

93.767 – Children's Health Insurance Program
93.959 – Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per Section 1115 of the Social Security Act, a State may obtain a waiver of statutory requirements in order to develop a system that more effectively addresses the health care needs of its population. A waiver may involve the use of a program of managed care for selected elements of the client population, or allow the use of program funds to serve specified populations that would otherwise be ineligible. Managed care providers must be eligible to participate in

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

the program at the time services are rendered, payments to managed care plans should only be for eligible clients for the proper period, and the capitation payment should be properly calculated. Medicaid service payments (e.g., hospital and doctor charges) should not be made for services that are covered by managed care. States should ensure that capitated payments to providers are discontinued when a beneficiary is no longer enrolled for services. Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

HHSC has a managed care program through a Section 1115 waiver. HHSC's Premiums Payable System (PPS) maintains participant risk groups, capitated rates for risk groups, and Managed Care Organizations (MCO) to which individuals are assigned. Eligibility of individuals is received via interface files primarily from Texas Integrated Eligibility Reporting System (TIERS). Each month, TIERS exports a flat file of all clients with Medicaid eligibility to PPS so that PPS may calculate payments to the MCOs. The file includes eligibility data for the 22 previous months, the current month, and one month in the future. When the file is received, PPS runs validation checks for each client and month of eligibility to identify cases that do not follow the business rules in PPS. These errors are generally due to data errors in TIERS that need to be corrected. The April 2018 interface was selected for testing and out of the 1,680 clients that errored out on the interface, 1,270 were unresolved as of September 2018. Unresolved errors represent the risk of incorrect payments being sent to the MCOs as the individuals could be classified in the incorrect risk group. Sixty-five sample items for MCO allowable costs were selected and no compliance exceptions were noted.

<u>Corrective Action Plan</u>: Corrective action plan taken.

Health and Human Services Commission / Department of Family and Protective Services / Department of State Health Services
Reference No. 2018-026
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Cash Management
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
Period of Performance
Reporting

(Prior Audit Issue – 2017-025)

CFDA 10.557 – WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507, 6TX700506 and 6TX700527

CDFA 84.181 – Special Education-Grants for Infants and Families

Award years – July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - H181A180171, H181A170171, and H181A160171

CFDA 93.917 – HIV Care Formula Grants

Award years – April 1, 2018 to March 31. 2019 and April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018 Award numbers – X07HA00054-28 and X07HA00054-27

CFDA 93.958 - Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - 2B09SM010051-18, 3B09SM010051-17S1, 3B09SM010051-16S2, 3B09SM010051-16S1, and 2B09SM010051-16

CFDA 97.050 – Presidential Declared Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households - Other Needs Award years – May 29, 2015, November 25, 2015, March 19, 2016, April 25, 2016, June 11, 2016, August 25, 2017, and July 6, 2018

Award numbers – FEMA-4223-DR-TX, FEMA-4245-DR-TX, FEMA-4266-DR-TX, FEMA-4269-DR-TX, FEMA-4272-DR-TX, FEMA-4332-DR-TX, and FEMA-4377-DR-TX

#### Aging Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 18AATXNSIP, 18AATXT3SS, 18AATXT3CM, 18AATXT3HD, 17AATXNSIP, 17AATXT3SS, 17AATXT3CM, and 17AATXT3HD

#### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

#### **SNAP Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers - 6TX430176, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176

#### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTAN3, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTAN3
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, the Health and Human Services Commission, Department of State Health Services, and Department of Family and Protective Services (collectively HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. HHSC utilized PeopleSoft's General Ledger version 8.8 (referred to at HHSC as HHSAS) as a financial management application prior to September 1, 2017, and currently maintains the application for reporting

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Partially Implemented

- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Education
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security

purposes. Beginning September 1, 2017, HHSC utilizes version 9.2 of PeopleSoft's General Ledger (referred to at HHSC as CAPPS FIN) as a financial management application. In addition, HHSC utilizes PeopleSoft's Human Capital Management (HCM) system (referred to at HHSC as CAPPS HR) as an HR/Payroll application. HHSC relies on information produced from HHSAS, CAPPS FIN and CAPPS HCM to comply with various aspects of compliance requirements, including Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Cash Management, Matching, Level of Effort and Earmarking, Period of Performance, and Reporting. No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to the use of HHSAS, CAPPS FIN, or CAPPS HCM data in the analysis related to the applicable compliance requirements.

### **Application Designer**

HHSC has a process in place to utilize the STAT tool to perform program changes for HHSAS, CAPPS FIN and CAPPS HR. STAT workflow requires a financial user to perform the approval for migration and to confirm that the developer and migrator is different.

#### Developers with Access

Nine HHSAS developers, eight CAPPS FIN, and two CAPPS HR developers have access to bypass the STAT tool through access to Application Designer. Access to migrate changes to the production environment should be restricted appropriately and based on job function to help ensure adequate internal controls are in place and appropriate segregation of duties exist. Developers with access to migrate changes to production systems introduce the risk of unauthorized changes to applications and data. Developers should not have access to migrate changes to the production environment. Application Designer access was removed on August 31, 2018 for the CAPPS FIN developers.

### Inappropriate Access

Application Designer access is also granted to individuals whose job responsibilities do not require access to the PeopleSoft development tool. Three System Administrators and five Identity Access Management (IAM) team members have access to the CAPPS HCM application. Two System Analysts and five IAM team members have access to the CAPPS FIN application. Four IAM team members, five System Analysts, one Financial Analyst, and two DBAs have access to the HHSAS applications. Application Designer access was removed on August 31, 2018 for the CAPPS FIN users.

All program changes during the period were found to follow the workflow in STAT.

#### DataMover

Developers with Access

Five HHSAS, nine CAPPS FIN, and two CAPPS HR developers also have access to Data Mover. Access for the two CAPPS HR developers is partially restricted as the access is granted every week for an eight-hour window. DataMover is a PeopleSoft tool granting the ability to modify application data and run SQL statements in the production database. The existence of developer with access to Data Mover introduces the risk of unauthorized changes to production data. Also, one CAPPS HCM developer has access to system administrator functions within the application including the ability to provision new users and roles, and has administrative access to the database. Developers should not have access privileges above read-only in the production database or application. The Data Mover permissions were removed for the CAPPS FIN developers on August 31, 2018 and for the HHSAS developers on November 1, 2018.

### Application and Database Segregation of Duties

Eight CAPPS HR users (three System Administrators and five IAM team members), five CAPPS FIN users (IAM team members), and four HHSAS users (IAM team members) have administrative access on the application and have access to Data Mover. The three CAPPS HCM System Administrators also have administrative accounts on the CAPPS HCM database. Users with administrative access to both the application and database layers within a system introduces the risk of unauthorized changes to production data.

#### Inappropriate Access to Database

In addition, four system analysts and two database administrators have CAPPS FIN Data Mover access. Data Mover permissions should be removed from access rights for all CAPPS FIN users and should only be used when necessary for data migrations.

### Recommendation:

HHSC should properly segregate duties so that developers do not have Application Designer and Data Mover access to the production environment. If developers are determined to need access to production, adequate approval for temporary access and monitoring controls should be in place. In addition, Data Mover and Application Designer permissions should be removed from all roles for all users and should only be used when necessary for special projects.

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the recommendation.

### Corrective Action Plan # 1:

HHSC Administrative Applications team will:

- 1. Develop a report and implement a process to review production code change migrations by comparing production changes to the change management system of record.
- 2. Remove production Data Mover and Application Designer access from all team members that currently have this access. Access will be provided only on an as-needed temporary basis and subjected to the above production change review process to achieve required monitoring controls.
- 3. HHSC Administrative Applications team will work with the HHSC Identity and Access Management (IAM) team to remove access from team members as required per the above procedure changes.

Implementation Date: June 30, 2019

Responsible Person: Scott Rawls

#### Corrective Action Plan # 2:

Access to Application Designer and Data Mover are not required for HHSC Identity and Access Management (IAM) personnel to provision access to these modules. IAM will:

- 1. Remove access for IAM individuals that currently have it.
- 2. Assist with removing access that is not required as determined by the HHSC Administrative Applications team

Implementation Date: July 31, 2019
Responsible Person: Michele Hermes

### Corrective Action Plan:

This finding was reissued in the current year as reference number 2019-005.

### Reference No. 2018-027 Subrecipient Monitorina

(Prior Audit Issues - 2017-026, 2016-025, 2015-025, and 2014-013)

CFDA 10.557 - WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, January 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and January 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 Award numbers – 6TX700507, 6TX700506 and 6TX700527

### CDFA 84.181 – Special Education-Grants for Infants and Families

Award years – July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - H181A180171, H181A170171, and H181A160171

#### CFDA 93.917 – HIV Care Formula Grants

Award years - April 1, 2018 to March 31. 2019 and April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018

Award numbers - X07HA00054-28 and X07HA00054-27

### CFDA 93.958 - Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

 $Award\ numbers-2B09SM010051-18,\ 3B09SM010051-17S1,\ 3B09SM010051-16S2,\ 3B09SM010051-16S1,\ and\ 2B09SM010051-16$ 

### Aging Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 18AATXNSIP, 18AATXT3SS, 18AATXT3CM, 18AATXT3HD, 17AATXNSIP, 17AATXT3SS, 17AATXT3CM, and 17AATXT3HD

#### Medicaid Cluster

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1805TXINCT, 1805TXIMPL, 1805TX5MAP, 1805TX5ADM, 1705TXINCT, 1705TXIMPL, 1705TX5MAP, and 1705TX5ADM

#### **SNAP Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018, and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018

Award numbers - 6TX430176, 6TX400105, and 6TX430176

#### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTAN3, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTAN3

#### Non-Major Programs:

- 10.559 Summer Food Service Program for Children
- 10.579 Child Nutrition Discretionary Grants Limited Availability
- 14.241 Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS
- 84.027 Special Education Grants to States
- 84.126 Rehabilitation Services Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States
- 93.41 Special Programs for the Aging, Title VII, Chapter 3, Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Exploration
- 93.42 Special Programs for the Aging, Title VII, Chapter 2, Long-Term Care Ombudsman Services for Older Individuals
- 93.43 Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part D, Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services
- 93.048 Special Programs for the Aging, Title IV, and Title II, Discretionary Projects
- 93.51 Alzheimer's Disease Demonstration Grants to States
- 93.52 National Family Caregiver Support, Title III, Part E
- 93.069 Public Health Emergency Preparedness
- 93.71 Medicare Enrollment Assistance Program
- 93.72 Lifespan Respite Care Program
- 93.73 Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities Prevention and Surveillance
- 93.74 Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements
- 93.103 Food and Drug Administration Research
- 93.104 Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)
- 93.116 Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs
- 93.150 Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)
- 93.235 Title V State Sexual Risk Avoidance Education (Title V State SRAE) Program
- 93.243 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance
- 93.251 Universal Newborn Hearing Screening
- 93.268 Immunization Cooperative Agreements
- 93.296 State Partnership Grant Program to Improve Minority Health
- 93.323 Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)
- 93.324 State Health Insurance Assistance Program
- 93.369 ACL Independent Living State Grants
- 93.505 Affordable Care (ACA) Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program
- 93.539 PPHF Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health Immunization Infrastructure and Performance financed in part by Prevention and Public Health Funds
- 93.556 Promoting Safe and Stable Families
- 93.590 Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants 93.643 Children's Justice Grants to States
- 93.658 Foster Care Title IV-E 93.667 Social Services Block Grant
- 93.669 Child Abuse and Neglect State Grants
- 93.671 Family Violence Prevention and Services/Domestic Violence Shelter and Supportive Services 93.674 John H. Chafee Foster Care Program for Successful Transition to Adulthood
- 93.752 Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations financed in part by Prevention and Public Health Funds

- 93.757 State and Local Public Health Actions to Prevent Obesity, Diabetes, Heart Disease and Stroke (PPHF)
- 93.758 Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant funded solely with Prevention and Public Health Funds (PPHF)
- 93.788 Opioid STR
- 93.791 Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration
- 93.815 Domestic Ebola Supplement to the Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)
- 93.817 Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) Ebola Preparedness and Response Activities
- 93.870 Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Grant Program
- 93.898 Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations 93.940 HIV Prevention Activities Health Department Based
- 93.944 Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficiency Virus Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance
- 93.945 Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control
- 93.959 Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse 93.966 The Zika Health Care Services Program
- 93.977 Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants 93.982 Mental Health Disaster Assistance and Emergency Mental Health 93.994 Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States
- 94.011 Foster Grandparent Program
- 97.032 Crisis Counseling
- 97.036 Disaster Grants Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters
- Statistically valid sample No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
- Type of finding Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) – Procurement and Contracting Services Single Audit Unit (PCS SAU) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

According to the 2 CFR Part 200, Appendix XI Compliance Supplement (the Compliance Supplement), a pass-through entity must assure that subrecipients requiring a Single Audit based on expenditures of Federal funds have a Single Audit performed and provide a copy of the auditor's report to the pass-through entity within nine months of the subrecipient's fiscal year end. The pass- through entity is to review the report and issue a management decision within six months, if applicable. The pass-through entity shall be responsible for making the management decision for audit findings that relate to Federal awards it makes to subrecipients. Per 2 CFR Section 200.521, the management decision shall clearly state whether or not the audit finding is sustained, the reasons for the decision, and the expected auditee action. The expected auditee action may include repaying disallowed costs, making financial adjustments, or taking other action. The management decision should describe any appeal process available

Initial Year Written: 2014 Status: Implemented

- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Education
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security
- Corporation for National and Community Service

to the auditee. If the auditee has not completed corrective action, a timetable for follow-up should be given. The entity responsible for making the management decision shall do so within six months of receipt of the audit report. Corrective action should be initiated within six months after receipt of the audit report and proceed as rapidly as possible.

PCS SAU is responsible for collecting Single Audits performed over subrecipients of the three agencies in the Health and Human Services (HHS) enterprise: HHSC, Department of State Health Services (DSHS), and Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS). Prior to October/November 2017, HHSC – Inspector General (HHSC-IG) was responsible for this process.

Quarterly, PCS SAU generates an email requesting an updated list of subrecipients for which a Single Audit was required in the most recent fiscal year from the three agencies. The listing is compared to expenditures for completeness. Once the list is updated by each agency, PCS SAU issues a Single Audit Request Letter to each subrecipient on the list instructing them to complete the online Single Audit Status Form within 30 days of receiving the letter. On this form, the subrecipient indicates if they require a Single Audit and if a Single Audit has been completed. If the subrecipient indicates that they do not require a Single Audit, they are required to submit their financial statements to PCS SAU. PCS SAU uses the information in the form to track the due date for a subrecipient's Single Audit report. The report is due to PCS SAU nine months after the subrecipient's fiscal year end or 30 days after report issuance, whichever is earlier. If a Single Audit report is overdue for a subrecipient,

PCS SAU issues a delinquency letter as part of its due diligence. Processes are in place to ensure timely issuance of delinquency letters. PCS-SAU tracks the above information in a report produced from their single audit database, which is reviewed monthly. However, this control was not implemented until December 2017, after the transition from HHSC-IG to PCS SAU. In addition, there is currently no quality control process over the information in the report (i.e. date audit received, priority codes, etc.).

When a Single Audit report is received by PCS SAU, a preliminary review is performed to determine a risk score. The risk score is utilized to assign priority to reports that contain potential issues and may require a management decision letter to be issued within the six-month timeframe. If required, PCS SAU coordinates with the program personnel to ensure that a management decision letter is issued within six months of receipt of the Single Audit report. The six-month deadline is entered into a database to assist with monitoring deadlines. PCS SAU review a weekly report to assist with timely issuance of management decision letters and follow-up on corrective action plans (CAPs). Weekly one-on-one meetings are held with PCS SAU staff to discuss the status of management decision letters and CAPs noted on the report with a focus on the reports and CAPs that are approaching the six-month deadlines. These meetings were implemented and documented beginning in June 2018.

A sample of 40 subrecipients were selected among DSHS, HHSC, and DFPS as subrecipient monitoring was in scope for these three agencies. Of the subrecipients tested, eight subrecipients' Single Audit reports were received late and adequate follow up was not performed with the subrecipient. The responsibility of following up on these Single Audit reports fell under the responsibility of HHSC-IG. Counts by program are as follows:

- HHSC 93.958 Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services two
- HHSC 84.181 Special Education Grants for Infants and Families two
- HHSC Aging Cluster two
- DSHS 93.917 HIV Care Formula Grants two

Below is a list of all amounts within the schedule of federal awards that were passed through to subrecipients for HHSC, DSHS, and DPFS during fiscal year 2018.

CFDA			Non-State Entities
Number	Program Name	Amount	
10.557	WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	\$	177,678,814
10.559	Summer Food Service Program for Children		73,611
10.561	State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program		9,855,381
10.579	Child Nutrition Discretionary Grants Limited Availability		5,610
14.241	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS		3,102,020
84.027	Special Education Grants to States		5,344,386
84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States		8,572,438
84.181	Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families		40,938,146
93.041	Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 3 - Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation		281,604
93.420	Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 2 - Long Term Care Ombudsman Services for Older Individuals		1,110,746
93.430	Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D - Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services		1,377,307
93.440	Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers		23,149,379
93.450	Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services		38,723,083
93.048	Special Programs for the Aging - Title IV and Title II - Discretionary Projects		272,829
93.051	Alzheimer's Disease Demonstration Grants to State		190,798
93.052	National Family Caregiver Support, Title III, Part E		8,607,025
93.053	Nutrition Services Incentive Program		9,726,215
93.069	Public Health Emergency Preparedness		2,418,787

CFDA		Non-State Entities
Number	Program Name	Amount
93.071	Medicare Enrollment Assistance Program	\$ 897,304
93.072	Lifespan Respite Care Program	152,749
93.073	Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities - Prevention and Surveillance	34,512
93.074	Hospital Preparedness Program (HPR) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	35,647,475
93.103	Food and Drug Administration Research	50,270
93.104	Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)	1,978,686
93.116	Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Program	4,279,076
93.150	Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)	4,395,973
93.235	Affordable Care Act (ACA) Abstinence Education Program	2,438,727
93.243	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance	1,877,165
93.251	Universal Newborn Hearing Screening	58,223
93.268	Immunization Cooperative Agreements (Nonmonetary)	2,555,698
93.296	State Partnership Grant Program to Improve Minority Health	97,545
93.323	Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infections Diseases (ELC)	2,987,190
93.324	State Health Insurance Assistance Program	2,734,280
93.369	ACL Independent Living State Grants	1,103,327
93.505	Affordable Care Act (ACA) Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program	1,238,915
93.539	PPHF Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health Immunization Infrastructure and Performance Financed in Part by Prevention and Public Health Funds	4,767,372
93.556	Promoting Safe and Stable Families	6,934,541
93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	16,224,871
93.590	Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	1,610,230
93.643	Children's Justice Grants to States	39,607
93.658	Foster Care Title IV-E	4,361,203
93.667	Social Services Block Grant	31,580,922
93.669	Child Abuse and Neglect State Grants	12,802
93.671 93.752	Family Violence Prevention and Services/Domestic Violence Shelter and Supportive Services Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations  Figures in Port by Programs and Public Health Funds (PPHF)	6,173,348 (914,125)
93.757	Finance in Part by Prevention and Public Health Funds (PPHF) State and Local Public Health Actions to Prevent Obesity, Diabetes, Heart Disease, and Stroke (PPHF)	17,127
93.758	Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant Funded Solely with Prevention and Public Health Funds	4,353,738
93.778	Medical Assistance Program	8,889,825
93.788	Opioid STR	13,655,526
93.791	Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration	6,094,938
93.815	Domestic Ebola Supplement to the Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)	53,441
93.817	Hospital Preparedness Program (HP) Ebola Preparedness and Response Activities	409,912
93.870	Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Grant Program	7,960,906
93.898	Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Territorial and Tribal Organizations	4,500,282
93.917	HIV Care Formula Grants	21,799,095
93.940	HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Board	11,413,742
93.944	Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficiency Virus Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance	709,902
93.945	Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control	6,513
93.958	Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	32,005,486
93.959	Bock Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	111,536,303
93.966	The Zika Health Care Services Program	144,461

CFDA Number	Program Name	Non-State Entities Amount
93.977	Preventive Health Services - Sexually Transmitted Diseases Control Grants	\$ 3,129,694
93.982	Mental Health Disaster Assistance and Emergency Mental Health	5,307,365
93.994	Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	13,569,942
94.011	Foster Grandparent Program	25,004
97.032	Crisis Counseling	1,233,087
97.036	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	8,845,296
	Total	\$ 720,407,650

### Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

### Reference No. 2017-021 Subrecipient Monitoring

CFDA 93.959 – Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse Award years – October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 2B08Tl010051-17 and 2B08Tl010051-16 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

The Behavioral Health Services Section coordinates the subrecipient monitoring process for the Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse (SABG) at the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

statues, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Per 2 CFR Section 200.331, all pass-through entities must ensure that every subaward is clearly identified to the subrecipient as a subaward and includes the certain information at the time of the subaward and if any of these data elements change, include the changes in the subsequent award modification. Required information includes the indirect cost rate for the Federal award (including if the de minimis rate is charged). In addition, the approved federally recognized indirect cost rate negotiated between the subrecipient and the Federal Government should be used, or, if no such rate exists, either a rate negotiated between the pass-through entity and the subrecipient (in compliance with this part), or a de minimis indirect cost rate.

HHSC is not applying the correct indirect cost rates for Federal awards per the regulations when the subrecipient has an existing federally recognized indirect cost rate. When the subrecipient does not have a federally recognized indirect cost rate, HHSC is negotiating methodologies for applying indirect costs rather than rates. These methodologies are only being validated through periodic onsite reviews.

#### Recommendation:

HHSC should apply federally negotiated indirect cost rates to subrecipient contracts who have a federally negotiated rate. For subrecipients who do not have a federally negotiated indirect cost rate, HHSC should work with the subrecipient to obtain an indirect cost rate or determine if the subrecipient is eligible for the de minimis 10% rate.

### Views of Responsible Officials – 2017:

Accepted. HHSC will research methodology for indirect cost rate as appropriate to comply with Uniform Grant Guidance; this includes determining an appropriate approach for pass-through funding to treatment providers.

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2017:

HHSC will update procedures for subrecipient contracts to apply federally negotiated indirect cost rate or determine eligibility for use of the de minimis indirect cost rate in the absence of a federally negotiated indirect cost rate. HHSC will research methodologies in determining an appropriate approach and instrument to provide pass-through funding to treatment providers.

#### 2018 Update

Based on review of subrecipient contracts, federally negotiated indirect cost rates have not been incorporated into the current year contracts.

### Views of Responsible Officials – 2018:

HHSC IDD-BH accepts finding that federally negotiated indirect cost rates have not been incorporated into the current year contracts.

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2018:

HHSC IDD-BH is coordinating with HHS PCS to develop and deploy an HHS System process for negotiating/accepting subrecipient indirect cost rates. HHSC IDD-BH will include language regarding indirect cost rate negotiation into procurements, contracts, and amendments.

#### 2019 Update

Per inquiry noted the indirect cost rate process is in place but not completed throughout 2019.

#### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the 2019 update.

The HHS Federal Funds Indirect Cost Rate Group developed the basic infrastructure for accepting, negotiating, and acknowledging rates for the Health and Human Services Commission and the Department of State Health Services (DSHS). The approved indirect cost rate is applicable across all cost reimbursement contracts.

The HHS System Indirect Cost Rate (ICR) Group is currently coordinating with program contracting areas to educate subrecipients regarding the new process and bring the subawards into compliance with federal, state, and agency requirements. Subrecipients using an unapproved method to recover indirect costs are required to obtain an approved indirect cost rate using one of the following methods:

- Federal Negotiated ICR,
- Other State Agency Negotiated ICR,
- 10% De Minimis, or
- Negotiate an ICR with HHS COS

Subrecipients are being outreached using a phased approach to complete an online ICR Questionnaire via the Grantee Landing Page over the next fiscal year. Once a rate is issued, the letter is sent to all associated Texas HHS program contract managers and the signed letter is sent to SCOR for uploading to the "Contractors" library. The program contracting areas are required to update contracts once a rate is established.

The following activities have been completed:

- Updated Grantee Uniform Term and Conditions
- Update Signature Document to include guidelines for indirect costs
- Established an Indirect Cost Rate Questionnaire to identify the grantees intentions regarding indirect costs

- Developed External training to notify subrecipients of the new requirements
- Developed Internal training regarding indirect cost rates
- Held RoundTable to discuss changes related to the indirect cost rate process
- Held internal stakeholder meeting to discuss impacts of changes
- Developed procedures for negotiating indirect cost rates

This is an ongoing project that is in the process of implementation.

HHSC IDD-Behavioral Health Services (IDD-BHS) Substance Use Disorder (SUD) contracts reprocured effective 9/1/2019 and contain language regarding the indirect cost rate process. Draft documents for other SUD procurements, pending or active, contain similar language.

In January 2019, HHS consulted with KPMG regarding the practice of monitoring fee for service contracts as cost reimbursement contracts. KPMG advised that HHS assess the requirements of the program, the monitoring practices for services, and how the costs were considered when setting the rates. The discussion reiterated that by utilizing an appropriate costing method (e.g., cost reports) to set rates, it is not necessary to conduct a review of costs through the type of review where fee for service payments are treated as a billing rate and actual costs of the program are reconciled. To mitigate risk, KPMG advised that HHS can document the methods of programmatic oversight used and the work of Rate Analysis Department (RAD) to demonstrate that HHS has appropriate monitoring procedures to comply with state and federal requirements. IDD-BH Contract Operations Quality Management Unit conducts onsite and desk reviews to determine compliance with contracts, grants, laws, regulations, policies and procedures.

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

HHS Federal Funds System Indirect Cost Rate Group will complete the Indirect Cost Rate Process of accepting, negotiating and acknowledging indirect cost rates for IDD-BHS cost reimbursement contracts. HHSC IDD-BHS will incorporate approved indirect cost rates into contracts.

Implementation Dates: September 30, 2022

Responsible Persons: Christina Lundy, Manager, HHSC Indirect Cost Rate Group

Roderick Swan, Associate Commissioner, HHSC IDD-BH Contract Operations

Sonja Gaines, Deputy Executive Commissioner, HHSC IDD-BH

### Reference No. 02-23 Auto-Eligibility Approval by FEMA

CFDA 83.543 - Individual Family Grants (FEMA) Type of Finding – Compliance

In an effort to expedite assistance, FEMA automated the awarding process for selected individuals affected by Tropical Storm Allison. When caseworkers (both Federal and DHS employees) visit sites and perform inspections, their case files are loaded into NEMIS, FEMA's computer system. If the case file passed established threshold checks, approval was automatic and the award was transferred by DHS' computer system into the nightly batch of warrants

Initial Year Written: 2001 Status: Partially Implemented

Federal Emergency Management Agency

requested from the State Treasury. For the files that were not auto approved, DHS personnel worked the files and when approval was given, they too were transferred into the nightly batch of warrant requests.

FEMA has quality control procedures in place to monitor disasters. During the performance of these procedures, FEMA discovered that over payments were made to the auto approved (i.e., no DHS involvement) eligible recipients. The recipients were eligible for grant funds but the calculation of the amount was incorrect. FEMA has established an IFG Recoupment Process which includes reviewing 3,029 auto-approved files. Per their review, FEMA noted 814 over awards or a 27% error rate due to a FEMA programming error. The estimated dollars with those 814 files is \$1,835,207. These files were considered to be high-risk by FEMA (i.e., based on the nature of the programming error). DHS estimates that about 36,715 files were auto approved and the average claim per file is \$5,014.

IFG personnel worked with FEMA personnel throughout fiscal year 2002 to identify cases and recoup Federal and State funds from Tropical Storm Allison. The State and FEMA are currently discussing the management and monitoring of recoupment cases.

IFG is manually testing as many cases as possible related to Disaster 1425 that are auto-approved by NEMIS. As amounts that should be recouped are identified, the cases are placed in the NEMIS recoupement queue.

#### Recommendation:

DHS is currently involved with FEMA assisting with the resolution of these over awards. The weekly Situation Reports published by FEMA include the current status of the Recoupment Process. DHS should continue to monitor FEMA's process.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2003:

IFG personnel worked with FEMA personnel throughout fiscal year 2002 to identify cases and recoup Federal and State funds from Tropical Storm Allison. The State and FEMA are currently discussing the management and monitoring of recoupment cases. IFG is manually testing as many cases as possible related to Disaster 1425 that are auto-approved by NEMIS. As amounts that should be recouped are identified, the case is placed in the NEMIS recoupment queue. At present, there are about 700 cases representing \$1,624,000 in debt collection at FEMA's disaster finance center, of which approximately \$44,000 has been collected as of August 2003. Discussion is being held with U.S. Department of Treasury (IRS) regarding collection of these outstanding amounts.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan - 2004:

There are about 700 cases with overpayments of approximately \$1,617,000 being pursued by FEMA and the U.S. Department of Treasury. As of February 2005, approximately \$78,000 total has been returned. The U.S. Department of Treasury has begun turning cases over to private collection agencies.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2005:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of November 2005, a total of \$473,662 has been recouped, consisting of \$152,229 in interest and \$321,433 in principal.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2006:

FEMA and HHSC staffs continue to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of January 19, 2007, a total of \$363,779 in principal has been collected.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2007:

FEMA and HHSC staffs continue to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of January 31, 2008, a total of \$425,878 in principal has been collected.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2008:

FEMA and HHSC staffs continue to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of December 31, 2008, a total of \$483,535 in principal has been collected.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2009:

FEMA and HHSC staffs continue to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of December 31, 2009, a total of \$514,141 in principal has been collected.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2010:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of December 31, 2010, a total of \$591,587 in principal has been collected.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2011:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of December 31, 2011, a total of \$584,131 in principal has been collected.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2012:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of December 5, 2012, a total of \$469,032 in principal has been collected. The reduced amount is a result of a refund returned back to the state in the amount of \$147.896.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2013:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of January 21, 2014, a total of \$639,017 in principal has been collected.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2014:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of January 15, 2015, a total of \$668,753 in principal has been collected.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2015:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of October 31, 2015, a total of \$670,873 in principal has been collected.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2016:

Accepted. FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of January 5, 2017, a total of \$668,753 in principal has been collected. The AR activity amount is the same as the year for \$668,753.04 but, the refund amount has changed from \$204,034.73 to \$208,085.76 for a difference of \$4,051.03. Last year the refund amount was added to AR activity amount in error 2015 total should have been \$668,753.04.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2017:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of November 15, 2017, a total of \$724,790.99 in principal has been collected. The remaining balance is \$408,610.87.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan – 2018:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of November 15, 2018, a total of \$729,771.28 in principal has been collected. The remaining balance is \$398,208.57.

#### Views of Responsible Officials – 2019:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed a collection process.

### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of November 21, 2019, a total of \$736,242.45 in principal has been collected. The remaining balance is \$393,075.40.

Implementation Dates: Ongoing

Responsible Persons: Valerie Cunningham, Operations Manager, Emergency Management

Russell Cook, Director, Emergency and Risk Management

Reference No. 2017-027 Subrecipient Monitoring (Prior Audit Issues – 2016-029 and 2015-031)

CFDA 97.036 – Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) Award years – See below Award numbers – See below Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

The Department of Public Safety (DPS) is required by 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart F to monitor subrecipients to ensure compliance with Federal rules and regulations, as well as the provisions of the contracts or grant agreements. This monitoring includes but is not limited to: award identification, during-the-award monitoring, and close out and sanctions activities. Additionally per 2 CFR Part 200.331, all pass-through entities must identify the dollar amount made available

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

under each Federal award as well as other required information such as CFDA number at the time of each disbursement and indirect cost rate for the Federal award (including if the de minimis rate is charged). Per 2 CFR 200.303, DPS must also establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statues, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

The procedures performed over subrecipient monitoring noted the following:

- For fiscal year 2017, notification of the 10% de minims indirect cost rate was not offered to subrecipients as required. However, it was noted that DPS did include this as part of the Grant Terms and Conditions for fiscal year 2018 subawards.
- CFDA notification in the payment description for subrecipient payments was added to accounts payable
  procedures effective November 1, 2016, with no exceptions noted in our sample selections after this date.
  However, no control was in place to ensure the completeness and accuracy of this process as it is done
  manually by one individual at the time the payment is entered.
- The risk assessment process for subrecipients was also delegated to third party affiliates with little to no
  oversight or review performed by DPS to ensure these risk assessments were appropriately completed and
  subrecipients were monitored accordingly.
- Lastly, large projects under Public Assistance are required by Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to have quarterly reports submitted; however, no process was in place by DPS' Department of Emergency Management (TDEM) for fiscal year 2017 to monitor the receipt and review of these quarterly reports from subrecipients. Of the 16 large project samples selected for testing, four were missing one or more required FEMA quarterly reports.

Per 44 CFR 206.205 (a), for small projects under Public Assistance, the final payment of the Federal share of these projects will be made to the Grantee upon approval of the Project Worksheet. DPS will make payment of the Federal share to the subrecipient as soon as practicable after Federal approval of funding. Before the closeout of the disaster contract, DPS must certify that all such projects were completed in accordance with Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) approvals and that the State contribution to the non-Federal share, as specified in the FEMA-State Agreement, has been paid and met. The Public Assistance Policy indicates that in order to complete this certification, the State may decide to review some, or all, of an applicant's small projects.

Based on the above, DPS' TDEM put a new policy in place in the middle of fiscal year 2017 to verify the completion of 20% of small projects to support certification of small projects. There were approximately 774 small projects selected for site inspections in late 2017 to make up the 20% selection, of which 112 of these projects closed in fiscal year 2017. Of the 112 of these projects, 17 were sampled. Three of the project worksheets sampled did not have a site inspection. It was determined that one of these should not have been included in the population as it was 100% complete when the selection process was performed. The other two samples had closed out before the 20% selection process was completed, and although selected, was not designated as needing a site inspection at the time the project worksheet was closed due to a gap in the timing of the selection process and when the project closed. DPS noted that completion of the site inspection is also reviewed at account closeout, however, these accounts had not yet been closed, only the projects selected. Furthermore, DPS reviews the projects at closeout to determine if a site inspection was required and completed; however, there is no current process to monitor the overall progress of the completion of the 20% selected for the year, thereby ensuring compliance with the 20% policy. There is also no review of the selection process itself of the 20% of small projects up front, as this process was done by one individual in fiscal year 2017.

Open disasters during fiscal year 2017 were:

Disaster Number	Award Number	Disaster Declaration Date
1606	1606DRTXP00000001	September 24, 2005
1709	1709DRTXP00000001	June 29, 2007
1780	1780DRTXP00000001	July 24, 2008
1791	1791DRTXP00000001	September 13, 2008
1931	1931DRTXP00000001	August 3, 2010
1999	1999DRTXP00000001	July 1, 2011
4029	4029DRTXP00000001	September 9, 2011
4136	4136DRTXP00000001	August 2, 2013
4159	4159DRTXP00000001	December 20, 2013
4223	4223DRTXP00000001	May 29, 2015
4245	4245DRTXP00000001	November 25, 2015
4255	4255DRTXP00000001	February 9, 2016
4266	4266DRTXP00000001	March 19, 2016
4269	4269DRTXP00000001	April 25, 2016
4272	4272DRTXP00000001	June 11, 2016
4332	4332DRTXP00000001	August 25, 2017

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2017-028 Subrecipient Monitoring

CFDA 97.039 – Hazard Mitigation Grant (HMGP) Award years – See below Award numbers – See below Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

The Department of Public Safety (DPS) is required by 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart F to monitor subrecipients to ensure compliance with Federal rules and regulations, as well as the provisions of the contracts or grant agreements. This monitoring includes but is not limited to: award identification, during-the-award monitoring, and close out and sanctions activities. Additionally per 2 CFR Part 200.331, all pass-through entities must identify the dollar amount made available under each Federal award as well as other required information such as CFDA number at the time of each disbursement and indirect cost rate for the Federal award (including if the de minimis rate is charged). Per 2 CFR 200.303, DPS must also establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statues, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

The procedures performed over subrecipient monitoring noted the following:

- For fiscal year 2017, notification of the 10% de minims indirect cost rate was not offered to subrecipients as required. However, it was noted that DPS did include the notification as part of the Grant Terms and Conditions for fiscal year 2018 subawards.
- CFDA notification in the payment description for subrecipient payments was added to accounts payable procedures effective November 1, 2016, with no exceptions noted in our sample selections after this date. However, no control was in place to ensure the completeness and accuracy of this process as it is done manually by one individual at the time the payment is entered.
- DPS prepares a risk assessment as a formality; however, there is no review process by DPS' Department of Emergency Management (TDEM) to ensure the risk assessment was appropriately completed and the subrecipient was monitored accordingly. Out of 14 subrecipient files reviewed:
  - Five did not have a risk assessment on file.
  - Two subrecipient files did not have a contract eligibility checklist on file, although no eligibility exceptions were noted.
  - No process was in place by DPS' TDEM for fiscal year 2017 to monitor the receipt and review of quarterly reports from subrecipients required by Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Of the 14 project samples selected for testing, four were missing one or more required FEMA quarterly reports.
  - Lastly, for one out of 14 project payments a Quality Assurance Testing Worksheet (QATW) was not on file, which is evidence of review by DPS to ensure payment was properly reviewed.

Open disasters during fiscal year 2017 were:

Disaster Number	Award Number	Disaster Declaration Date
1606	1606DRTXP00000001	September 24, 2005
1709	1709DRTXP00000001	June 29, 2007
1780	1780DRTXP00000001	July 24, 2008
1791	1791DRTXP00000001	September 13, 2008
1931	1931DRTXP00000001	August 3, 2010
1999	1999DRTXP00000001	July 1, 2011
4029	4029DRTXP00000001	September 9, 2011
4136	4136DRTXP00000001	August 2, 2013
4159	4159DRTXP00000001	December 20, 2013
4223	4223DRTXP00000001	May 29, 2015
4245	4245DRTXP00000001	November 25, 2015
4255	4255DRTXP00000001	February 9, 2016
4266	4266DRTXP00000001	March 19, 2016
4269	4269DRTXP00000001	April 25, 2016
4272	4272DRTXP00000001	June 11, 2016
4332	4332DRTXP00000001	August 25, 2017

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-028 Cash Management

CFDA 93.917 – HIV Care Formula Grants
Award years – April 1, 2018 to March 31. 2019 and April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018
Award numbers – X07HA00054-28 and X07HA00054-27
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Department of State Health Services (DSHS) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its federal programs.

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

In September 2016, DSHS was placed on draw restrictions by the Human Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) for failure to certify individuals receiving services in the HIV Care Program. DSHS is required to submit requests for reimbursement by the 20<sup>th</sup> day of the following month. The Accounting Department obtains all of the vouchers from program personnel and completes Form 270 – Request for Advance or Reimbursement. Once compiled, this form is uploaded and certified by DSHS Accounting into HRSA's Electronic Handbook (EHB) system for review. HRSA personnel review the completed form and supporting invoices to determine if the costs are reimbursable. Upon HRSA's approval, DSHS can draw the related funds.

During fiscal year 2018, the above process was performed entirely by the Funds Reporting Manager. No additional review was performed to ensure the reimbursement request was complete and accurate prior to submission to HRSA. Thus, the control currently in place is not designed appropriately and there is a lack of segregation of duties. The controls were found to be effective for the cash draw reports utilized by the Fund Reporting Manager to prepare the draw request. In addition, no compliance exceptions were noted.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-029

(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-029, 2016-030, and 2015-036)

CFDA 93.917 – HIV Care Formula Grants
Award years – April 1, 2018 to March 31. 2019 and April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018
Award numbers – X07HA00054-28 and X07HA00054-27
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Department of State Health Services (DSHS) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its federal programs. To be eligible to receive assistance in the form of therapeutics, an individual must

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

have a medical diagnosis of HIV/AIDS and be a low-income individual, be a resident of the State and also be uninsured or underinsured, as defined by the State (42 USC 300ff-26(b)).

Department of State Health Services' (DSHS) Prevention and Care Branch Texas HIV Medication Program (THMP) has a process in place to process all completed applications for individuals to receive grant funded medications under the HIV Care Program. Eligibility is determined based on review of the completed application and verification of medical diagnosis of HIV/AIDS, income, residency, and insurance status. Once a participant is deemed eligible and becomes active in the program, they will be automatically dropped if there has been no activity for this participant in the last six months. Additionally, all active participants are to be recertified every 12 months in order to determine continued eligibility in the program. DSHS' policy is to have an eligibility worker review each incoming application and determine eligibility.

DSHS has a quarterly quality assurance review process where management selects 20 client records from new applications and re-certifications to validate the eligibility documentation and determination. Additionally, a monthly check of all active participants is run against Health Management System (HMS) to make sure applicants have no insurance and the State of Texas is a last resort for payments. The results of this report are reviewed and letters are sent to applicants to drop them from the program if no longer deemed eligible based on insurance status. No exceptions were noted for these control processes in fiscal year 2018.

Effective June 2017, DSHS began a formal recertification process whereby at the beginning of each month, a recertification mailing is compiled from the HIV Database for recipients who are to recertify within 60 days. If a recertification application is not received after 45 days or the information provided is incomplete, the recipient is dropped from THMP through an automated process. If a recertification application is received, it is processed and an eligibility worker will determine whether to continue or terminate eligibility based on the information in the application. Those who do not submit complete information to the program are administratively dropped by the THMP data manager. This prevents ineligible persons from continuing to be served through THMP.

Out of a sample of 40 active client files that had been certified or recertified in fiscal year 2018, four were not recertified within 12 months of the prior certification date. DSHS noted all four recipients had incomplete data in the HIV Database, which excluded them from the recertification mailing report. Additionally, DSHS provided a list of HIV participants from the HIV Database that was utilized to select our 40 active client files. To conclude on the completeness of the list, the 40 active files were validated against documentation and an additional 25 client files were selected to validate inclusion in the list. Four of the 25 client files selected were not included in the list. Exclusion from the list was due to incomplete data in the HIV Database.

#### Recommendation:

DSHS should analyze the HIV Database and determine current program recipients whom do not have a valid recertification date so that the information can be corrected. This will allow the recipients to be included in the recertification mailing report.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2018:

While DSHS accepts the finding, there was significant improvement from the previous year. The issue is the four cases that were not recertified in time were due to the program's database (HIV2000), which is antiquated and has certain functionalities that at times fail. The program recently completed an assessment for replacing the database and has plans to initiate an implementation project this year. However, a replacement system will take several years to complete. In the interim, we are diligently working to determine alternative methods to identify these database issues.

### Corrective Action Plan - 2018:

The program will run additional outlier reports to identify individuals that the due date for recertifications may be missing. This report will identify the cases that will require additional manual review to determine appropriate timing for recertifications.

#### 2019 Update

Per inquiry department is working on a code lift to capture recertifications timely.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

DSHS accepts that the finding needs to be carried forward from 2018. Four cases in 2018 were not recertified in a timely manner due to the program's HIV2000 database. The program currently is receiving a code uplift of HIV2000. This uplift will be completed by the end of April 2020. This code uplift is expected to resolve the issues with HIV2000. However, we will need to run quality assurance reports to ensure that the database is functioning properly.

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

In 2018, the program plan was to run additional outlier reports to identify individuals that the due date for recertifications may be missing. This report would identify the cases that will require additional manual review to determine appropriate timing for recertifications. The creation of this report has proven to be more time-intensive than originally expected. The TB/HIV/STD Program Informatics and Evaluation Group is working to create a Tableau report that will allow program staff to review outliers on a monthly basis. This report will be used with the existing database and will continue to be used after the code uplift for quality assurance purposes.

Implementation Date: April 30, 2020

Responsible Person: Rachel Sanor, Texas HIV Medication Program Manager

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Reference No. 2018-030 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Cash Management Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking Period of Performance

(Prior Audit Issues - 2017-032, 2016-035, 2015-041, 2014-021, and 2013-031)

CFDA 84.010 – Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies

Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - S010A170043-17B, S010A160043-16B, and S010A150043-15B

CFDA 84.048 - Career and Technical Education - Basic Grants to States (Perkins IV)

Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - V048A170043, V048A160043-16A, and V048A150043-15B

CFDA 84.287 – Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers

Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers - S287C170044, S287C160044, and S287C150044

Statistically valid sample - No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Education Agency (TEA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. TEA utilizes PeopleSoft's General Ledger as a financial management application. TEA's

Initial Year Written: 2013 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

implementation of the application is known as TCAPPS. TEA uses information produced from TCAPPS to adhere to applicable compliance requirements under various components of Cash Management, Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking, Period of Performance, Reporting, Subrecipient Monitoring, and Special Tests and Provisions – Access to Federal Funds for New or Significantly Expanded Charter Schools. No compliance exceptions were noted with regard to the use of TCAPPS data in the analysis related to the applicable compliance requirements.

Developers with Access: Application Designer and DataMover

TEA has a formal policy to develop, test, and approve program changes for TCAPPS. TEA utilizes the STAT tool to perform program changes for TCAPPS, which follows a workflow. This workflow requires testing and approval for each change. However, four developers also had access to Application Designer, a PeopleSoft development tool which can be utilized to make program changes to TCAPPS bypassing the workflow in STAT. Access to migrate changes to the production environment should be restricted appropriately and based on job function to help ensure adequate internal controls are in place and appropriate segregation of duties exist. Developers with access to migrate changes to production systems introduce the risk of unauthorized changes to applications and data. Developers should not have access to migrate changes to the production environment. Audit procedures performed noted that the program changes implemented during the fiscal period followed the workflow in STAT.

The four developers also had access to Data Mover, a PeopleSoft tool with the ability to modify application data and run SQL statements in the production database. Developers with access to Data Mover introduce the risk of unauthorized changes to production data. In addition, the four developers had access to security administrator functions within the application that would allow them to grant themselves system privileges, including access to Application Designer and Data Mover. Developers should not have access privileges above read-only in the production database or application.

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

The access rights to Application Designer, Data Mover, and security administration for the four developers were corrected on November 21, 2017. However, during an upgrade of TCAPPS in May 2018, four developers were granted access to Application Designer and two of those four developers were granted access to Data Mover. Developer access to Application Designer and Data Mover was removed on October 22, 2018.

#### Inappropriate Access:

Six users were granted administrative accounts to both the TCAPPS application and database. Users with administrative access to the application and database layers within a system introduce the risk of unauthorized changes to production data. Access was corrected for one individual on October 22, 2018 and two additional individuals on January 10, 2019, so these three users did not have administrative accounts on both the application and database layers.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

### Reference No. 2018-031 Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking

CFDA 84.048 - Career and Technical Education - Basic Grants to States (Perkins IV)

Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers – V048A170043, V048A160043-16A, and V048A150043-15B Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Education Agency (TEA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

TEA may not reserve more than 10 percent for state leadership activities. Within the state leadership activities, not more than one percent of the allocated to each State in Section 111 of Perkins IV (20 USC 232) shall be allotted to activities that serve individuals in State institutions. Also, not less than \$60,000 and not more than \$150,000 of the amount allocated to each State in Section 111 of Perkins IV shall be made available for services that prepare individuals for nontraditional fields (Section 112(a)(2) of Perkins IV (20 USC 2322(a)(2))). TEA must also not spend more than 5 percent or \$250,000, whichever is greater, for administration of the State plan (Section 112 (a)(3) of Perkins IV (20 USC 2322 (a)(3))). The inverse populations related to state leadership and administrative activities include State funded allowable payroll and administrative expenses. In auditing the inverse populations of payroll, TEA did not obtain the certifications for the period of September 2017 to February 2018 as required under 2 CFR 200.430 for compensation expenditures. The certifications were not obtained due to department turnover. Prior to fiscal year end, TEA sent out and received back all of the required certifications signed by the employee and supervisor. Therefore, there are no associated questioned costs.

### Corrective Action Plan:

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Reference No. 2018-032
Procurement and Suspension and Debarment

CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States (Perkins IV)

Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019, July 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018, and July 1, 2015 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers – V048A170043, V048A160043-16A, and V048A150043-15B Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Material Weakness

Per 2 CFR Section 200.317, States and governmental subrecipients of States, will use the same State policies and procedures used for procurements from non-Federal funds. They also must ensure that every purchase order or other contract includes any clauses required by Federal statutes and executive orders and their implementing regulations. Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Education Agency (TEA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Beginning in November 2017, procurement activities at TEA were centralized through the Contracts and Purchasing Division (CPD), who is responsible for reviewing all procurement activities before they are finalized. Procurement activities are initiated and conducted by program personnel. In January 2018, the division implemented a compliance review process for service purchases, which included use of a compliance checklist. Currently there is not a review process over commodity or technology purchases. No compliance exceptions were noted.

Corrective Action Plan:

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD

Reference No. 2018-033 Special Tests and Provisions – Timely Claims Filings by Lenders or Servicers

CFDA 84.032L – Federal Family Education Loans - Lenders Award year – July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 Award number – N/A
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Lenders are required to timely file claims with the guaranty agency for

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

payment of death, disability, closed schools, false certification, bankruptcy, and default claims. Each type of claim has a separate timely filing requirement (34 CFR 682.402).

THECB Account Services obtains documentation of all death, disability, and bankruptcy claims that have not been filed and adds them to a tracker to ensure that the claims are being worked and filed timely. There were no claims listed in the tracker during fiscal year 2018. A query was run on the Laserfisch system that houses these incoming claims as a method of validation of completeness and accuracy. The query yielded two death claims and one bankruptcy claim for the fiscal year. The two death claims were appropriately excluded from the tracker. The bankruptcy claim was first filed by THECB on September 21, 2017. Per the bankruptcy notice received, it stated that creditors should not file a Proof of Claim as there appeared to be no property available to creditors. This claim was then discharged on January 18, 2018 allowing THECB to pursue payment. The bankruptcy claim should have been included in the tracker until the discharge date to ensure that it was being worked and filed timely. No questioned costs were noted as the claim was appropriately excluded from being filed based on the bankruptcy notice received.

Corrective Action Plan:

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD

Reference No. 2018-034 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States

Award years – September 25, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and August 8, 2016 to September 30, 2017

Award numbers – 184202067120001, 184202077110001, 184202087110001, 18420209711000, 174202067120001, 174202077110001, 174202087110001, and 74202097110001

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Title 2 Code of Federal Regulations Section 200.430 Compensation – Personal Services sets standards for payroll documentation, which include:

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

- Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:
  - i. Be supported by a system of internal control that provides reasonable assurance the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;
  - ii. Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;
  - iii. Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities (for IHE, this per the IHE's definition of IBS);
  - iv. Encompass both federally assisted and all other activities compensated by the non-Federal entity on an integrated basis, but may include the use of subsidiary records as defined in the non-Federal entity's written policy;
  - v. Comply with the established accounting policies and practices of the non-Federal entity and
  - vi. [Reserved]
  - vii. Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity.
  - viii. Budget estimates (i.e., estimates determined before the services are performed) alone do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards, but may be used for interim accounting purposes, provided that:
    - A) The system for establishing the estimates produces reasonable approximations of the activity actually performed;
    - B) Significant changes in the corresponding work activity (as defined by the non-Federal entity's written policies) are identified and entered into the records in a timely manner. Short term (such as one or two months) fluctuation between workload categories need not be considered as long as the distribution of salaries and wages is reasonable over the longer term; and
    - C) The non-Federal entity's system of internal controls includes processes to review after-the-fact interim charges made to a Federal awards based on budget estimates. All necessary adjustment must be made such that the final amount charged to the Federal award is accurate, allowable, and properly allocated.

THECB requires its employees to complete monthly time and leave reporting for employees that work on federal programs. After employees have certified their time, the employees' timesheets are routed to their supervisors for approval via a workflow. The Human Resources Department (HR) will run two lists each month, one list for timesheets with missing employee certification and one list for supervisors who have not yet reviewed their

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD

employees' timesheets. HR will then follow up with the employee or supervisor to ensure that this is completed. The reports utilized were found to be complete and accurate. Four months were selected for test work to review the reports and evidence of follow up. For certain months, there was no evidence of the reports or follow up done with employees and supervisors. In addition, there is currently no formal tracking system to ensure that the late employees or supervisors complete the task prior to when accounting runs payroll allocation entries. No compliance exceptions were noted.

Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-035 Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking

CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States
Award year – August 8, 2016 to September 30, 2017
Award numbers – 174202067120001, 174202077110001, 174202087110001, and 174202097110001
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. Per 20 USC 2391(b), no payments shall be made for any fiscal year to a State

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

for career and technical education programs or tech prep programs unless the Secretary determines that the fiscal effort per student or the aggregate expenditures of such State for career and technical education programs for the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which the determination is made, equaled or exceeded such effort or expenditures for career and technical education programs for the second fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which the determination is made.

The state maintenance of effort (MOE) requirements are monitored by the Texas Education Agency (TEA), who is responsible for submitting required information to the U.S. Department of Education. THECB receives a MOE request form from TEA on a yearly basis to fill out with self-reported information certified and received by each higher education institution. A report of fundable operating expenses (RFOE) is pulled from the PERKINS application and summarized to populate the information to provide to TEA. The IT reports were found to be complete and accurate. Certain data elements from the RFOE tables within PERKINS were not completely and accurately included in the MOE report submitted to TEA due to manual error. An estimated \$37.8 million was underreported to TEA. The underreporting did not have an impact on the MOE requirement. Therefore, there are no questioned costs.

Corrective Action Plan:

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

Reference No. 2018-036 Special Tests and Provisions – UI Benefit Payments

CFDA 17.225 - Unemployment Insurance

Award years – October 1, 2014 to September 30, 2017, October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2018, October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2019, and October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2020

Award numbers – UI-26563-15-55-A48, UI-28005-16-55-A-48, UI-29870-17-55-A-48, and UI-31320-18-55-A-48 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

The Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act (IPERA) of 2010 codified the requirement for valid statistical estimates of improper payments. State Workforce Agencies (SWAs) are required by 20 CFR section 602.11(d) to operate and maintain a quality control system. The Benefits Accuracy Measurement (BAM) program is the Department of Labor's (DOL) quality control system designed to assess the accuracy of UI benefit payments and denied

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Labor

claims, unless the SWA is exempt from such requirement (20 CFR section 602.22). The program estimates error rates, that is, numbers of claims improperly paid or denied and dollar amounts of benefits improperly paid or denied. by projecting the results from investigations of small random samples to the universe of all claims paid and denied in a State. Specifically, the SWA's BAM unit is required to draw a weekly sample of payments and denied claims and complete prompt and in-depth investigations to determine the degree of accuracy in the administration of the State UC and Federal law (20 CFR section 602.21(d)). DOL has promulgated investigational requirements and instructions in ET Handbook No. 395 (see below), pursuant to 20 CFR section 602.30(a). As presented in the handbook, the investigation involves a review of the records. The investigation also involves contacting the claimant, employers, and third parties (either in-person, by telephone, or by fax) to complete standard questionnaires and conduct new and original fact-finding to assess all of the information pertinent to the paid or denied claim that was sampled. BAM investigators review cases for adherence to State law as well as Federal law and official policy. For claims that were overpaid, underpaid, or erroneously denied, the BAM investigator determines the amount of payment error or, for erroneously denied claims, the potential eligibility of the claimant. The BAM investigator will also research the cause of and the responsibility for any payment error, the point in the UI claims process at which the error was detected, and actions taken by the agency and employer prior to the payment or denial decision that is in error. BAM covers State UC, UCFE, and UCX. Per 2 CFR 200.303, the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

The case files are assembled by the BAM Unit's case auditors using standardized forms in order to meet the DOL requirements for a completed case. The TWC BAM Unit Supervisor completes the BAM Audit Review Form when reviewing completed case files to ensure completeness and accuracy of the files prior to keying the information into the federal database. The preparation and review process is the same for both paid and denied cases. During fiscal year 2018, review of BAM files was not consistently executed throughout the year.

- For September 2017 through mid-January 2018, TWC's policy was to review 100% of paid and denied cases. Five of 40 samples related to September to December 2017 did not include a signed BAM Audit Review Form or any other evidence of review. Seven files were reviewed during this time period.
- For the months of mid-January through July 2018, there were no reviews performed due to resource constraints. Twenty-seven of the 40 sample items related to this time period.
- In August 2018, additional resources were hired and a new informal process was developed for case reviews where only new auditor files would be reviewed. TWC should formalize their review process and address procedures to review all auditors, both new and experienced. One of the 40 files related to this time period.

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

The ET Handbook No. 395 states that for paid cases, a minimum of (1) 70% of cases must be completed within 60 days of the week-ending date of the batch, (2) 95% of cases must be completed within 90 days of the week-ending date of the batch, and (3) 98% of cases for the calendar year must be completed within 120 days of the ending date of the calendar year. For fiscal year 2018, TWC's paid case results were:

- (1) 67.7% of cases were completed within 60 days,
- (2) 81.3% of cases were completed within 90 days, and
- (3) 91.6% of cases were completed within 120 days.

Thus, TWC did not achieve the required percentage of case reviews within the required timeframe. TWC did inform DOL that the reviews were not going to be completed by the deadlines as required by the ET Handbook No. 395. Additionally, the BAM Unit developed a corrective action plan to address the issue going forward and submitted it to the DOL. No compliance exceptions were noted.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-037

Reporting

Special Tests and Provisions – Penalty for Failure to Comply with Work Verification Plan

(Prior Audit Issue – 2017-037)

#### **TANF Cluster**

Award years – October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018 and October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 Award numbers – 1801TXTANF, 1801TXTAN3, 1701TXTANF, and 1701TXTAN3 Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

State agencies must maintain adequate documentation, verification, and internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data used in calculating work participation rates. In so doing, it must have in place procedures to (a) determine whether its work activities may count for participation rate purposes; (b) determine how to count and verify reported hours of work; (c) identify who is a work-eligible individual; and (d) control internal data transmission and accuracy.

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Each State agency must comply with its HHS-approved Work Verification Plan in effect for the period that is audited. HHS may penalize the State by an amount not less than one percent and not more than five percent of the SFAG for violation of this provision (42 USC 601, 602, 607, and 609) 45 CFR sections 261.60, 261.61, 261.62, 261.63, 261.64, and 261.65). Per 2 CFR 200.303, the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) and the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

HHSC determines TANF eligibility. HHSC provides TWC with information on clients who are receiving TANF and are eligible for Choices (employment and training) services. TWC administers the Choices program, which is operated by 28 Local Workforce Development Boards (Boards). The Boards contract with providers (contractors) to provide Choices services through the Texas Workforce System. The contractors' schedule, monitor, and verify Choices participation, and submit sanction requests for noncompliance with Choices' work requirements to HHSC.

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

The Workforce Information System of Texas (TWIST) is TWC's case management and reporting application. Information on families receiving TANF is transmitted from HHSC to TWIST. The interface between TWIST and the HHSC Texas Integrated Eligibility Redesign System (TIERS) links HHSC eligibility information related to TANF recipients with TWC's corresponding work-related information for the same recipients.

TWC provides quarterly work verification dates to HHSC to include on the ACF-199 report filed for TANF. Under the current process, data can be updated until the time the data files lock (20 days after the quarter ends). Of the 40 cases reviewed, two cases had differences in the calculation of average work hours reported to the Federal Government on the ACF-199 report. These errors were the result of revisions made by TWC after information was provided to HHSC as the information was sent to HHSC before the data was locked at 20 days. The total net result of these differences is an understatement of one work hour on the ACF-199 report. There does not appear to be an adequate process in place at TWC to ensure that final information is submitted to HHSC.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-038
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Cash Management
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
Period of Performance
Reporting
Special Tests and Provisions – Wage Rate Requirements
Special Tests and Provisions – Utilities
Special Tests and Provisions – Project Approvals
Special Tests and Provisions – Value Engineering
Special Tests and Provisions – Quality Assurance

CFDA 20.106 – Airport Improvement Program Award years – various Award numbers – various

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster
Award years – various
Award numbers – various
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. TxDOT utilizes the following applications in managing activities in its Highway Planning and Construction Cluster and Airport Improvement Program, all of which were in scope for the fiscal year 2018 single audit:

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

- U.S. Department of
  Transportation Federal
  Highway Administration
- U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Aviation Administration
- PeopleSoft's General Ledger (ERP) financial management application for all programs.
- Site Manager highway construction management application.
- Right of Way Information System (ROWIS) application to facilitate right of way management, mapping and tracking for highway projects.
- LCPtracker (LCP) application to facilitate contractor wage rate reporting for highway projects.
- Design and Construction Information System (DCIS) application for planning, programming, and developing highway construction projects.
- EGrants AIP application to facilitate funding and assist with managing state and federal grant funding for airport maintenance and improvement projects.

TxDOT has an Informational Technology (IT) Security Manual, which establishes policies/procedures for areas such as information security roles and responsibilities, change management, access criteria for provisioning new hires and terminations, password security, etc. In managing and maintaining the various IT applications, TxDOT utilizes third parties to assist with managing their IT infrastructure. In addition, several applications are managed by the respective software vendors. During the performance of the 2018 single audit, the following matters were noted with regard to infrastructure, third party management, and access. There were no significant observations for change management.

### IT Infrastructure and Third Party Risk Management

TxDOT outsources the maintenance and management of their IT infrastructure and certain applications to third parties. In addition, some of the third parties have further outsourced to additional parties. However, the risks associated with these applications remains the responsibility of TxDOT. TxDOT does not consistently monitor the third parties for compliance with laws and regulations and mitigations of the outsourced/third party risks. As part of monitoring third parties, TxDOT should evaluate information technology policies utilized as compared to TxDOT policies and/or the contracts. If appropriate, policy exceptions should be documented and approved in the event the third party is not able to comply with TxDOT policies. In addition, TxDOT should retain a right of audit related to these third parties and their subcontractors.

For certain applications, TxDOT was unable to readily identify the servers in use and/or the process owners with responsibility for maintaining the applications. TxDOT should maintain a current inventory of all applications, primary point of contact, related infrastructure and if applicable, the related third parties.

#### Access

There were areas of access noncompliance with TxDOT policies across all applications noted. These include:

- Passwords TxDOT has policies and procedures in place regarding passwords including password length, complexity, and/or expirations. For all of the above applications, passwords are not configured in accordance with policy for at least one of the requirements.
- User Access Reviews TxDOT did not perform periodic full user access reviews for ERP, Site Manager, LCP, DCIS and EGrants AIP. TxDOT policy requires an annual user access reviews to validate application and access levels.
- Terminations TxDOT did not remove access for terminated employees to the various applications or
  access was not removed in three business days. For some applications, last log in dates were able to be
  provided to mitigate the risk of inappropriate access. For the ERP application, authentication is linked to
  active directory; however, last login dates were not provided. For the DCIS application, none of the
  terminated employees had administrative access to DCIS. Also, TxDOT policy does not specify a
  timeframe to disable application user access for terminated employees or contractors after their
  termination date. Provisioning of new hires was found to comply with policy.
- Administrative Access Inappropriate or excessive administrative functions on any production
  applications results in the risk of unauthorized changes to applications and data. Inappropriate
  administrative access was noted as follows:
  - ERP Five administrators with server access.
  - EGrants AIP Seven administrators with application access.

#### Recommendation:

TxDOT should develop a third party management program to properly oversee and manage the risks associated with outsourcing large portions of their IT environment. TxDOT should identify where third party policies are not in alignment with TxDOT policy to better understand potential compliance risks. In addition, TxDOT should maintain a current inventory of all applications, primary point of contact, related infrastructure and if applicable, the related third parties.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2018:

The Department concurs with the Audit recommendation.

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2018:

Information Management Division (IMD) will work with TxDOT application business owners, on-site contractors and third-party contractors to ensure that processes and procedures are aligned to the recommendation of the audit. A documented plan to ensure alignment of processes and procedures will be developed to include the following considerations:

- document applications to identify:
  - infrastructure of application (access model, servers associated with environment (e.g. development, user testing, production
  - application owners showing owning organization and point(s) of contact; application management point(s) of contact
  - third-party vendor(s), if applicable showing vendor information and involvement with the system
  - users and rights associated with:
    - o infrastructure access (e.g. server access)
    - o database access
  - evidence that access criteria and user reviews are completed and documented, or exceptions have been noted and escalated appropriately
- ensure coordination on application risk(s) by:
  - working with the business owner and IMD Security to:
    - o document potential risk(s) in the risk register
    - o identify potential resolution(s) through mitigation(s) or remediation(s) per the risk register process
    - identify resolution date(s)
- receive sign off from business owner and IMD security on any risk or risk mitigation / remediation
- coordinate with HR regarding the off-boardings and transfers of TxDOT employees by:
  - seeking agreement from HR to establish reporting to notify managers / supervisors on actions that occur after the fact
  - continuing to utilize reports from HR showing future terminations to ensure that we are performing termination actions in a timely manner
- define documents that are required for mission critical applications
  - identify documents that are required for these applications to be approved through our Change Advisory Board (CAB)
  - identify review cycles for these application to ensure application information remains accurate
- ensure that documentation and associated artifacts are stored in a location that is accessible by appropriate, authorized personnel

IMD will begin with the identified applications in this document and extend these processes and procedures to other mission critical applications. This effort will ensure that applications maintain proper controls and accesses through iterative reviews. Processes and procedures will provide for appropriate escalations to prevent tasks from going on without completion. All decisions and reviews will have documented sign offs to provide evidence of review. As part of this effort, IMD will assess opportunities to automate processes when appropriate.

#### 2019 Update

Per inquiry the documentation of the six applications noted in the finding is ongoing. The initial data collected has been focused on the assets the systems reside on and exchange data with. An inventory of vendors that access TxDOT's data within these applications and an assessment of the risk the vendors pose to TxDOT data is underway.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

The Department concurs with the Audit recommendations.

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

Action Item 1: Document application details to include owners, infrastructure, vendors, user rights, and user reviews of the applications noted in the finding.

Status: In Progress – Estimated completion June 15, 2020.

Summary: Documentation of the six applications noted in the finding is ongoing. The initial data collected has been focused on the assets the systems reside on and exchange data with. An inventory of vendors that access TxDOT's data within these applications and an assessment of the risk the vendors pose to TxDOT data is underway.

The identification and assessment of the risk is dependent on the Categorization effort being led by AT&T as part of a project currently underway.

Implementation Dates: Estimated completion June 15, 2020

Responsible Person: Steven Pryor, Information Security Officer, Information Technology Division

Action Item 2: Document risks to the in-scope applications, the risk mitigation or remediation plans, and business owner acceptance of residual risks.

Status: In Progress – Estimated completion October 30, 2020.

Summary: A NIST-based risk management program is in development to include updating the TxDOT security requirements that the systems must comply with, to include access control requirements. Additionally, TxDOT is refining its risk register and developing a process for tracking findings, plans of action and milestones, and owner acceptance of residual risk. The in-scope applications are being assessed against the new requirements and the identified risks are being documented in the new risk register.

This will be addressed as a separate risk assessment project and is contingent on the Categorization effort currently being led by AT&T as part of a project currently underway. A project to complete risk assessments has been identified and funded.

Implementation Dates: Estimated completion October 30, 2020

Responsible Person: Steven Pryor, Information Security Officer, Information Technology Division

Action Item 3: Develop a process for removing/changing access during employee off-boarding and transfers.

Status: Implemented – January 16, 2020.

Summary: There is a process in place to ensure that all off-boarding and transfers are being processed appropriately. Any areas of non-compliance will be documented and tracked in the risk register until remediated.

Implementation Dates: January 16, 2020

Responsible Person: George Higginbotham, IT Operations Section Manager, Information Technology

Division

Action Item 4: Identify required change documents for critical applications, to include periodic reviews of application documentation to ensure it is accurate.

Status: In Progress – Estimated completion October 30, 2020.

Summary: Application changes are approved by a standing change board that includes a voting member from Information Security. The process is being evaluated to ensure that security documentation for critical applications is included. The change process has not been formalized; however, the process has been identified and is currently undergoing further evaluation and refinement.

Implementation Dates: Estimated completion October 30, 2020

Responsible Person: George Higginbotham, IT Operations Section Manager, Information Technology

Division

Action Item 5: Store all documents and artifacts in a repository that is accessible by appropriate authorized personnel.

Status: In Progress – Estimated completion March 31, 2021.

Summary: Documents that are associated with the security of the application are stored in the TxDOT ServiceNow instance as part of the change ticket which are associated with the application. Future state will have the system inventory in ServiceNow that will include a document repository for current system documentation. Completion is based on the contract review cycle for ServiceNow. The required module is currently not active, and we are in the process of negotiating/evaluating this tool. Security is currently building out the inventory. Until TxDOTNow is complete, Security is looking at the mechanisms to use SharePoint in the interim.

Implementation Dates: Estimated completion March 31, 2021

Responsible person: Steven Pryor, Information Security Officer, Information Technology Division

Reference No. 2018-039
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Cash Management
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking Period of Performance
Reporting

(Prior Audit Issue – 2017-038)

CFDA 20.106 – Airport Improvement Program Award years – various Award numbers – various

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster
Award years – various
Award numbers – various
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. TxDOT utilizes PeopleSoft's General Ledger as a financial management application. TxDOT's application is known as ERP. TxDOT has implemented general IT controls, including logical access and change management controls, to help manage their system. However, control issues in both areas have been noted.

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Highway Administration

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Aviation Administration

Fifteen accounts had inappropriate access to Data Mover, a PeopleSoft tool granting the ability to modify application data and run SQL statements in the production database. Four of the 15 accounts were accessible by developers. Inappropriate access to Data Mover introduces the risk of unauthorized changes to production data. Access to Data Mover was corrected on December 13, 2017.

In addition, developers have administrative access to the ERP application through access to three system accounts. Administrative access includes ability to delete roles, delete users, change passwords, and approve and post vouchers. Developers with administrative access introduces risk of unauthorized changes to application, user access, and data. Developers should not have access privileges above read-only in the production application. TxDOT implemented a control in March 2018 to review the activity of the developers regarding role changes.

No compliance exceptions were noted during 2018 with regard to the use of ERP data in the analysis related to the applicable compliance requirements.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-040
Procurement and Suspension and Debarment

CFDA 20.106 – Airport Improvement Program
Award year – N/A
Award numbers – 3-48-SBGP-090-2015 and 3-48-SBGP-090-2015
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. A grant manager in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) reviews all completed procurement contracts prior to sending the documents for signature. The grant

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Aviation Administration

manager ensures that all required elements of the contract are included, information within the contract agrees to the supporting documentation, the payment and performance bond is completed, the contract is properly made in the name of grant provider, and suspension and debarment requirements are observed.

For one of 25 contracts selected, the contract was prepared and reviewed by the same person. For proper segregation of duties, the preparer of a contract should not also be the reviewer. Lack of segregation of duties in the preparation and review of contracts could expose TxDOT to the potential risk that an error during the preparation of a contract will not be detected during the review. No compliance exceptions were noted.

Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

#### Reference No. 2018-041 Subrecipient Monitoring

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster

Award years - 2001, 2006, 2009, 2011, 2012, and 2017

Award numbers - STP2001(243)MM, STP2007(136)SFT, PFT2009(886), DMO2012(232), and STP1102(558), STP1702(006)MM

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) is required by 2 CFR Part 200.330-332, to monitor the activities of the subrecipients as necessary to ensure that the subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward. Additionally per 2 CFR Part 200.331(1)(xi), all pass-through entities must identify the dollar amount made available under each Federal award and the CFDA number at the time of disbursement. Per 2 CFR 200.303, TxDOT must also establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Highway Administration

provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

In fiscal year 2018, TxDOT passed through approximately 5% of the Highway Planning and Construction Cluster funds to subrecipients. Audit procedures involved a review of 40 subrecipients at six of the 25 TxDOT districts. TxDOT subrecipient monitoring procedures include procedures performed at headquarters and at the district level. Headquarters addresses issuance of the advance funding agreements (AFAs), obtains the Federal Project Authorization Agreement (FPAA), collects and reviews the single audit reports, and reconciles the final costs prior to project close out. The districts are responsible for completing a standardized risk assessment for each subrecipient project, approving payment requests which are accompanied by source documents, and performing of onsite reviews as determined necessary though the risk assessments. The onsite reviews include consideration of wage requirement and quality assurance. Within the 25 districts, the onsite review process is not consistent in execution and/or documentation.

Additionally, six of the 40 subrecipient payments tested did not include CFDA information with the payment at time of disbursement. TxDOT implemented a new process during the fiscal year for ensuring CFDA information was provided. These six payments were prior to the effective date of the new process.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

#### Reference No. 2018-042

#### Special Tests and Provisions – Wage Rate Requirements

(Prior Audit Issues - 2017-039, 2015-049, 2014-132, 2013-156, 13-134, 12-142, 11-142, and 10-82)

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster Award years – N/A Award numbers – N/A

#### Non-Major Program:

20.223 Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA) Program Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

The provisions of the Wage Rate Requirements apply to projects receiving Highway Planning and Construction Cluster funds. All laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors to work on construction contracts in excess of \$2,000 financed by Federal assistance funds must be paid wages not less than those established for the locality of the project (prevailing wage rates) by the Department of Labor (DOL) (40 USC 3141-3144, 3146, 3147).

Initial Year Written: 2013 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Highway Administration

Non-federal entities shall include in their construction contracts subject to the Wage Rate Requirements (which still may be referenced as the Davis-Bacon Act) a provision that the contractor or subcontractor comply with those requirements and the DOL regulations (29 CFR part 5, Labor Standards Provisions Applicable to Contracts Governing Federally Financed and Assisted Construction). This includes a requirement for the contractor or subcontractor to submit to the non-Federal entity weekly, for each week in which any contract work is performed, a copy of the payroll and a statement of compliance (certified payrolls) (29 CFR sections 5.5 and 5.6; and A-102

Common Rule (§\_.36(i)(5)); OMB Circular A-110 (2 CFR part 215, Appendix A, Contract Provisions); 2 CFR part 176, subpart C; and 2 CFR section 200.326). Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) must also establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

TxDOT utilizes a standard contract that contains the wage rate requirement clauses. TxDOT has a new IT system, LCPTracker, for the district offices to track certified payrolls from contractors; however, the process is being implemented prospectively for all new contracts. Under the old process, each district office determined its own method for ensuring contractors had submitted certified payrolls, including confirming that the statements of compliance was complete and signed by the contractors. By not having a standardized process, TxDOT increases the risk that contractors with missing weekly-certified payrolls are not appropriately identified. No compliance exceptions were noted.

Corrective Action Plan:

Corrective action plan taken.

Reference No. 2018-043 Special Tests and Provisions – Value Engineering

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster Award year – N/A
Award number – N/A
Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

State departments of transportation are required to establish a Value Engineering (VE) program and ensure that a VE analysis is performed on all applicable projects. The program should include procedures to approve or reject recommendations and for monitoring to ensure that resulting, approved recommendations are incorporated into the plans, specifications, and estimate. Applicable projects are (a) projects located on the national highway system (NHS) with an estimated total project cost of \$50 million or more that utilize

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Highway Administration

Federal-aid highway program funding; (b) bridge projects located on the NHS with an estimated total cost of \$40 million or more that utilize Federal-aid highway program funding; and (c) any other projects that the FHWA determines to be appropriate. Projects utilizing the design build method of construction do not require a VE analysis (23 USC 106(e)(5)). Critical elements of VE programs include identification of a State VE coordinator; establishment of a VE policy, and documented VE procedures, including requirements to identify applicable projects, verify required VE analyses are completed on State DOT and subrecipient projects; and monitor, assess, and report on the performance of the VE program (23 USC 106(e); 23 CFR part 627).

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) must also establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

TxDOT's VE Coordinator has historically reviewed all projects that may require a VE study and informed the districts of the potential need to complete a VE study. The review was completed in 2018 but was not sent to the districts due to retirement of the VE Coordinator. Additionally, the VE Coordinator has historically tracked the progress of VE studies to completion. This control was not performed in 2018 due to the turnover noted. Also, the districts could not consistently provide documentation that VE recommendations were tracked and implemented. The districts were able to evidence changes to schematics and other evidence regarding VE recommendations, but did not have documentation that there was a review process to ensure all accepted changes were made to the project. No compliance exceptions were noted.

#### Recommendation:

TxDOT VE Coordinator should execute the controls noted above in fiscal year 2019. Additionally TxDOT should work with the districts to ensure that districts have a procedure in place to document how VE recommendations are tracked and implemented. Completion of VE studies and their implementation should be monitored by the VE Coordinator.

#### Views of Responsible Officials - 2018:

As the audit pointed out in the recommendation, the Design Division, together with Administration, will implement additional measures to more proactively track the status and implementation of VE recommendations. These will be in addition to the standard yearly notification of the VE program requirements, and list of projects near VE threshold that are provided to the Districts (most recently provided to Districts in Dec. 2018).

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2018:

- Planned update to Form 1002 to incorporate check boxes for VE study necessity, and whether one was conducted.
- Conduct Peer Exchanges with selected Districts in order to determine the range of practices on the incorporation of VE studies, and how they track recommendations from the VE study that are incorporated into the plans.
- Provide a quarterly follow-up communication to Districts emphasizing the VE project thresholds, and program reporting requirements.
- Coordinate with the future planned update of TxDOT Connect to incorporate flagging/tracking of VE study needs into the future system.

#### **2019 Update**

During FY 2019, the VE coordinator position was filled and the yearly review of projects that are at or near the VE threshold were sent. However, the corrective actions regarding the tracking of the implementation of the recommendations that were a result of the VE analysis of the project was not implemented before year end.

#### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

TxDOT's Design Division continued the implementation of measures to proactively track the status and implementation of VE recommendations. These were in addition to the standard yearly notification of the VE program requirements and list of projects near VE threshold that are provided to the Districts (most recently provided to Districts in Nov. 2019).

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

Action plan was implemented by November 2019. The annual notification memo, quarterly communications and the monitoring and tracking activities will be ongoing.

Implementation Date: November 2019

Responsible Person: Jane C. Lundquist, Transportation Engineer, Design Division

#### **Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings – Other Auditors**

Federal regulations Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 200.511(a) states, "the auditee is responsible for follow-up and corrective action on all findings." As part of this responsibility, the auditee reports the corrective action it has taken for the following:

- Each finding in the 2018 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.
- Each finding in the 2018 Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings that was not identified as implemented or reissued as a current year finding.

The Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (year ended August 31, 2019) has been prepared to address these responsibilities.

#### Reference No. 2016-101 Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016

Award numbers – CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P152282; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K162282

Statistically valid sample - No

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis; (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended; or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b), and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)).

Institutions are required to use the date of a student's withdrawal for purposes of reporting enrollment status changes to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education and determining when a refund or return of Title IV funds must be paid (Title 34, CFR, Section 685.305(c)). In addition, the *National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide* states that, in the absence of a formal withdrawal, the last recorded date of attendance should be reported as the status change date (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C).

To protect a student's interest subsidy, institutions are required to report a graduated status for students who have completed their course of study (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C and Chapter 4, and *Dear Colleague Letter*, April 14, 2014 (GEN-14-07)).

Lamar University (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS, as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Chapter 3).

For 9 (15 percent) of 60 students tested who had a status change, the University did not report status changes or effective dates to NSLDS accurately. Specifically:

- The University incorrectly reported that seven of those students withdrew from the Fall term. However, those students withdrew from the Spring term.
- The University reported an incorrect effective date for one student who withdrew in the Spring term. The
  University reported the first date of the Spring term; however, the student withdrew after the census date for
  that term.
- The University reported an incorrect status of withdrawn for one student. That error occurred because of a manual error the University made while updating the student's status to less than half-time. After auditors brought that error to the University's attention, it corrected the status in NSLDS.

In addition, for 11 (18 percent) of 60 students tested who had a status change, the University did not report the status changes to NSLDS in a timely manner. Specifically, the University submitted those enrollment status changes to NSLDS between 68 and 144 days after the effective date of the status change. The University asserted that it had submitted those status changes to NSC in a timely manner; however, NSC did not submit those changes to NSLDS in a timely manner.

Those errors occurred because the University did not have a formal process during the award year to prepare information to send to NSC, and it did not have controls to ensure that NSC submitted accurate information to NSLDS in a timely manner.

Not reporting student status changes accurately and in a timely manner could affect determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

#### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Accurately report all status changes and effective dates to NSLDS in a timely manner.
- Develop and implement policies and procedures to monitor the information that NSC submits to NSLDS on the University's behalf.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2016:

Lamar University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Corrections to the issues noted below were being put in place at the time of audit, and these analysis of these exceptions identified in the audit will assist Lamar University in their efforts to develop and apply solutions to further improve the process.

#### Accurate and Timely NSLDS Reporting:

Lamar University (LU) has already initiated the first phase of this corrective action in hiring a full-time staff member whose primary duty is to monitor the accuracy and timely reporting to National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) in December of 2015. As the discrepancy between NSC and NSLDS reporting became apparent, said employee now additionally has direct access to the NSLDS database as well – allowing LU to more closely monitor the accuracy of reporting. The last phase in this corrective action is to adjust the reporting date from that NSLDS sends the SCCR roster to NSC. Previously, this report was always sent at the first of the month. At our request, this report will now be sent five to seven (5-7) days from the time the report is initially submitted to NSC. This should address the timeliness issues and give more time to quickly identify issues of accuracy.

#### Development and Implementation of Policy and Procedure:

LU has begun the revision of their policy and procedure manuals to reflect and emphasize the need for closer monitoring of NSC data submitted to NSLDS. These P&P will continue to be updated and new processes developed. Further, these P&P will undergo review twice a year to ensure their currency and relevance.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

### Accurate and Timely NSLDS Reporting:

Lamar University (LU) has already initiated the first phase of this corrective action in hiring a full-time staff member whose primary duty is to monitor the accuracy and timely reporting to National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) in December of 2015. With the new hire of a Student Records Specialist, every report is worked diligently and in a timely manner to correct errors and ensure that all information is reported accurately and efficiently.

- 1. As the discrepancy between NSC and NSLDS reporting became apparent, the new Student Records Specialist now has direct access to the NSLDS database as well allowing LU to more closely monitor the accuracy of reporting.
- 2. The Financial Aid Office is working in collaboration with the Student Record Specialist to ensure the timely reporting of financial aid recipients who withdraw.
  - a. Official Withdrawals

When the Financial Aid Office completes the R2T4 calculation on a Title IV aid recipient, the Student Record Specialist is notified to ensure the student's enrollment status is updated with NSLDS in a timely manner.

b. Unofficial Withdrawals

After each long semester has concluded the Financial Aid Office sends the Student Records Specialist a list of students whom have been identified as unofficial withdrawals. The Student Record Specialist manually updates the identified students' NSLDS record to withdrawn, using the last date of attendance reported as the withdrawal date. Reporting is completed as soon as possible but no later than 45 days after the school has determined the student withdraw.

- 3. Designated Staff from the Records and Financial Aid Offices will continue to participate in trainings offered by NSC and NSLDS.
- 4. The last phase in this corrective action is to adjust the reporting date from that NSLDS sends the SCCR roster to NSC. Previously, this report was always sent at the first of the month. At our request, this report is now sent five to seven (5-7) days from the time the report is initially submitted to NSC. This addresses the timeliness issues and gives more time to quickly identify issues of accuracy.

#### Development and Implementation of Policy and Procedure:

LU has reviewed their policy and procedure manuals to reflect and emphasize the need for closer monitoring of NSC data submitted to NSLDS. Policies and Procedures will undergo review twice a year. During this review LU will address internal compliance findings which may result in the revision and/or creation of new policies and procedures to correct the deficiency.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the audit findings. The Registrar's Office continues to work closely with the NSC and NSLDS to improve the accuracy and timeliness of enrollment reporting.

- 1. Lamar University (LU) has already initiated the first phase of this corrective action in hiring a full-time staff member whose primary duty is to monitor the accuracy and timely reporting to National Student Clearinghouse (NSC). With the new hire of a Student Records Specialist, every report is worked diligently and in a timely manner to correct errors and ensure that all information is reported accurately and efficiently.
- 2. As the discrepancy between NSC and NSLDS reporting became apparent, the Student Records Specialist now has direct access to the NSLDS database as well, allowing LU to more closely monitor the accuracy of reporting.
- 3. The Registrar Office has adjusted the reporting date that NSLDS sends the SSCR roster to NSC. Previously, this report was always sent at the first of the month. At our request, this report is now sent five to seven (5-7) days from the time the report is initially submitted to NSC. This addresses the timeliness issues and gives more time to quickly identify issues of accuracy.
- 4. The Financial Aid Office is working in collaboration with the Registrar Office to ensure the timely reporting of financial aid recipients who withdraw (officially or unofficially) and/or never attend. Current policy and procedures are under review to determine what action is needed to report these students in a timely manner.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the finding. After reviewing the exceptions identified in the audit, we believe the measures outlined below in the corrective action will improve processes and eliminate reporting discrepancies.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

When processing unofficial withdrawals during mid semester, in the past, Lamar University had a challenge of the withdrawn status being changed back to the original status. To correct this, we have created a new time status of 'UW' that should prevent the withdrawn status from being changed on National Student Clearinghouse (NSC). We have implemented this in the Fall 2019. A secondary review is completed at the end of the term to determine the accuracy of the student's withdrawn enrollment status.

A new policy is being implemented that allows instructors to provide a reasoning when a student receives an "F" to detail if the failure was due to no attendance, a mid-semester stop in attendance, or poor course performance at the end of the semester. This will allow for more accurate reporting to NSC and NSLDS, with more detailed information from instructors about attendance. This end of semester confirmation of attendance data will provide accurate information for reporting.

Implementation Date: Fall 2019

Responsible Persons: Cheri Lewis and Lakrystal Joubert

Reference No. 2017-103
Special Tests and Provisions - Verification
(Prior Audit Issue – 2014-102)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164098; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164098; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162319; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172319; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172319

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits, education credits, individual retirement account deductions, other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of

Initial Year Written: 2014 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and Federal Register, volume 80, number 123).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized student financial assistance awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

For 7 (11 percent) of 61 students tested, Prairie View A&M University (University) did not accurately verify all required items on the FAFSA; therefore, it did not subsequently update its records and request updated ISIRs as required. For those seven students, the University did not accurately verify one or more of the following items: (1) income information for tax filers, including adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, and education credits; (2) number of household members; (3) Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits reported; and (4) other untaxed income. Those errors occurred because of manual errors the University made in the verification process. The University also did not have an adequate monitoring process during the award year to ensure that it performed verifications accurately. The University did not make corrections to those students' ISIRs; as a result, auditors could not determine whether there was an effect on the students' EFCs or financial assistance awards.

In addition, for 2 (3 percent) of 61 students tested, the University could not provide evidence that it had accurately verified all required items on the FAFSA. The University's process was to scan all verification documents that students submitted into its imaging system and then shred the original documents. For those two students, the University had not scanned all pages of the supporting documentation into its imaging system and did not retain the original documents; therefore, auditors were unable to confirm whether the University accurately verified all required items. As a result, auditors could not determine whether there was an effect on the students' EFCs or financial assistance awards.

Not verifying FAFSA information appropriately and accurately could result in the University overawarding or underawarding student financial assistance.

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2017-104
Special Tests and Provisions – Return of Title IV Funds

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164098; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162319; CFDA 84.268 Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172319; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172319 Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

When a recipient of Title IV grant or loan assistance withdraws from an institution during a payment period or period of enrollment in which the recipient began attendance, the institution must determine the amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance that the student earned as of the student's withdrawal date (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 668.22(a)(1)). A student is considered to have withdrawn from a payment period or period of enrollment if the student does not complete all the days in the payment period or period of

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

enrollment that the student was scheduled to complete (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(a)(2)). If the total amount of Title IV assistance earned by the student is less than the amount that was disbursed to the student or on his or her behalf as of the date of the institution's determination that the student withdrew, the difference must be returned to the Title IV programs and no additional disbursements may be made to the student for the payment period or period of enrollment (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(a)(4)).

An otherwise eligible student becomes ineligible to receive Title IV program funds on the date that the student is no longer enrolled at the institution as at least a half-time student for the period of enrollment for which the loan was intended. A student who becomes ineligible qualifies for a late disbursement (and the parent qualifies for a parent Direct PLUS Loan disbursement) if, before the date the student became ineligible, (1) the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education processed a Student Aid Report (SAR) or *Institutional Student Information Record* (ISIR) with an official expected family contribution for the student for the relevant award year and (2) for a loan made under the Direct Loan program, the institution originated the loan (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.164(j)).

#### Identifying Withdrawn Students

Prairie View A&M University (University) did not have a process to identify students who withdrew without providing official notification to the University for the purpose of determining when a return of Title IV funds must be paid. As a result, auditors were unable to determine how many students may have unofficially withdrawn from a term in the 2016-2017 federal award year and whether the University would have been required to return Title IV funds for any of those students.

In addition, the University did not always identify students who officially withdrew. As a result, for 2 (5 percent) of 43 students tested, the University did not perform return of Title IV funds calculations as required. Those two students provided official withdrawal information to the University; however, that information was not fully documented in the University's student financial assistance system. As a result, the University did not perform return calculations for those students. After auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, it performed the calculations and returned funds as required; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

Auditors also identified one student to whom the University disbursed Title IV funds after that student had withdrawn from the term and was no longer eligible to receive those funds. That error occurred because the University did not document the student's withdrawal in its student financial assistance system, as described above, which resulted in \$1,436 in questioned costs associated with CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, award number P268K172319.

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### Reference No. 2017-105 Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

Student Financial Assistance Cluster
Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017
Award numbers – CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162319; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172319
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis; (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended; or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b), and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)). Institutions are required to report the campus-level enrollment for the student, including enrollment status and the effective date of that enrollment status (*National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 1).

Institutions are required to use the date of a student's withdrawal for purposes of reporting enrollment status changes to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education and determining when a refund or return of Title IV funds must be paid (Title 34, CFR, Section 685.305(c)). In addition, the *NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide* states that, in the absence of a formal withdrawal, the last recorded date of attendance should be reported as the status change date (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C).

In the case of a student who completes a term and does not return for the next term, leaving the course of study uncompleted, the final day of the term in which the student was last enrolled should be used as the effective date. For three-quarter-time, half-time, and less-than-half-time status, the institution must use the effective date that the student dropped to those particular statuses (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C).

The effective date for a completion/graduation status ("G") is the date that the institution assigns to the completion/graduation. To protect a student's interest subsidy, institutions may report a student as withdrawn (enrollment status of "W") while the student's academic record is being reviewed to determine whether all graduation requirements have been met (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C and chapter 4, and *Dear Colleague Letter*, April 14, 2014 (GEN-14-07)).

Prairie View A&M University (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS, as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 3).

The University did not report a withdrawn status for students who unofficially withdrew from all courses for a term to NSLDS. The University did not have a process to identify students who withdrew without providing official notification. As a result, auditors were unable to determine how many students may have unofficially withdrawn from a term in the 2016-2017 federal award year.

For 19 (31 percent) of 61 students tested who had enrollment status changes, the University did not report those status changes or the effective dates of those changes to NSLDS accurately. Specifically:

- The University did not report 17 students' enrollment level reductions to NSLDS. Those errors occurred because the University did not correctly configure its student financial assistance system, Banner, to identify when students dropped courses.
- The University did not report the correct effective date for two students' status changes when those students completed a term and did not return for the subsequent term. The University reported the first day of the term that the students did not attend as the effective date, instead of the final day of the term in which the students were last enrolled.

The University also did not always report status changes in a timely manner. The University did not submit its first-of-term transmissions to NSC until after the 20th class day. To provide reporting to NSLDS in a timely manner, NSC instructs institutions to send first-of-term transmissions immediately after the end of the registration "add" period. As a result, for 2 (3 percent) of 61 students tested, the University did not report the students' withdrawn status in a timely manner. The University also reported an incorrect effective date for those withdrawn statuses, as described above.

In addition, for 13 (21 percent) of 61 students tested, the University did not report the students' graduated status in a timely manner. The University did not report the graduated status because it asserted that it was in the process of conferring degrees, which took six to eight weeks after commencement. In addition, the University did not report those students as withdrawn while it conferred degrees due to the first-of-term transmission issue discussed above.

The errors discussed above occurred because the University did not have adequate controls or monitoring processes to ensure that it reported student status changes accurately to NSLDS in a timely manner. In addition, the University did not review and correct errors or discrepancies NSC identified unless they were considered critical and would prevent a submission.

Not reporting student status changes accurately and in a timely manner could affect determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

#### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Report accurate student status changes and effective dates to NSLDS in a timely manner.
- Establish and implement a monitoring process to ensure that it reports accurate student status changes to NSLDS in a timely manner.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University agrees with the findings and recommendations as it pertains to enrollment reporting. The University will develop and implement corrective actions to ensure compliance.

The University has developed the following actions:

- The Registrar's Office has updated the appropriate Banner validation form (STVRSTS) and has outlined procedures that will reflect the appropriate time status changes to ensure that all updated time status changes are accurately reported to the Clearinghouse and NSLDS. In addition, this process will be documented and updated when necessary.
- Financial Aid management and Registrar's Office management have developed a monitoring process that includes reviewing the reject reports monthly.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The Office of the Registrar reports time status changes and withdrawal statuses electronically by utilizing an internally developed Banner job. The Office of the Registrar updated the appropriate Banner validation form (STVRSTS) and outlined procedures that will reflect that the updated time status changes are accurately reported to NSC and National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS).

The university will update policies and procedures related to Enrollment Reporting. Enrollment Services and Financial Aid are monitoring monthly reject reports to ensure compliance with any enrollment reporting requirements.

#### 2019 Update

The University established and implemented a process to identify and report unofficially withdrawn students to NSLDS for the 2018-2019 award year. However, for 3 (20 percent) of 15 students tested who had enrollment status changes, the University did not accurately report the effective date of those changes to NSLDS. For 1 of those 3 students, the University also did not report the status change in a timely manner. For an additional 3 of the 15 students tested who had enrollment status changes, the University did not report the status change in a timely manner.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

We made significant changes during fiscal year 2019 in our Financial Aid and Registrar offices to address control weaknesses and non-compliance with Title IV requirements. While significant improvements were made, we recognize that additional improvements are needed to ensure compliance with all enrollment reporting requirements. Effective December 1, 2019, the University named a permanent Registrar. This position will ensure the University has an effective enrollment reporting system.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

To ensure that the effective date for student enrollment status changes are reported accurately and timely, we will:

- Train staff on the correct date to use as the effective date of student enrollment status changes.
- Continue bi-weekly meetings with Financial Aid, Registrar's Office, and Compliance to discuss and address compliance and Title IV issues.
- Update the student enrollment status reporting procedures.
- Continue to implement the five-point monitoring and quality control process.

Implementation Date: December 2019

Responsible Person: Tina Montgomery

Reference No. 2018-101
Equipment and Real Property Management
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Cash Management
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
Period of Performance

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### **Equipment Property Records**

A recipient's property records for equipment acquired with federal funds must be maintained accurately and include all of the following: a description of the equipment; serial number or other identification number; the source of funding for the equipment, including the federal award identification number; whether title vests in the recipient or the federal government; acquisition date and cost of the equipment; the percentage of federal participation in the cost of the equipment; the location, use, and condition of the equipment; and ultimate disposition data, including the date of disposal and sale price (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.313(d)(1)).

Initial Year Written: 2018
Status: Partially Implemented

- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Environmental Protection
  Agency
- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

### Texas A&M AgriLife Research (AgriLife) did not maintain complete and accurate property records for 31 (52 percent) of 60 equipment items tested. Specifically:

- For 25 equipment items, AgriLife did not maintain in its property records some or all of the funding source information. For 14 of those items, AgriLife maintained the catalog of federal domestic assistance (CFDA) number and the federal awarding agency; however, it did not maintain the federal award number. For 11 of those items, AgriLife did not maintain any federal award information in its property records. AgriLife asserted that for older awards, the award information may not have transferred when its information technology system was converted.
- For 4 equipment items, the serial number was missing from the property record or the serial number was inaccurate in the property record. Those errors occurred because AgriLife did not enter that information into its property records accurately or because it did not always follow its policies and procedures to update property records as needed.
- For 1 equipment item, AgriLife did not maintain any federal award information and the serial number was inaccurate in the property record.
- For 1 equipment item, the location of the item was inaccurate. That item had been transferred to surplus; however, the property record had not been updated to reflect the transfer.

In addition, for 6 (75 percent) of 8 equipment disposals reviewed, AgriLife did not maintain some or all of the funding source information in its property records. For 1 of those equipment items, AgriLife maintained the CFDA number and the federal awarding agency; however, it did not maintain the federal award number. For 5 of those equipment items, AgriLife did not maintain any federal award information in its property records. Without federal award information, auditors were unable to determine if AgriLife followed any applicable federal awarding agency disposition instructions.

Not maintaining complete and accurate property records increases the risk that equipment may be lost, stolen, or improperly disposed.

The following awards were affected by the issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
10.200	Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants	99-34402-7589	June 15, 1999 to June 30, 2001
10.200	Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants	Unknown	Unknown
10.206	Grants for Agricultural Research_Competitive Research Grants	99-35102-8526	December 1, 1999 to November 30, 2002
66.460	Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	04-18- TAESBRC-2005	August 1, 2005 to June 15, 2009
81.087	Renewable Energy Research and Development	NAABB #28302- P	April 1, 2010 to March 31, 2013
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	1-R01- GM62326-01A1	June 1, 2001 to May 31, 2007

Other awards were affected by the issues discussed above; however, because AgriLife did not maintain the award information, a complete list of awards affected could not be determined.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### Other Compliance Areas

Although the general control weaknesses described below apply to activities allowed or unallowed, allowable costs/cost principles, cash management, matching, level of effort, earmarking, and period of performance, auditors identified no compliance issues regarding those compliance requirements.

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

AgriLife did not appropriately restrict user access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, AgriLife did not always promptly remove user accounts when an employee transferred to a new position or otherwise no longer needed access. AgriLife also did not consistently ensure that access to system accounts was limited only to users who needed access.

AgriLife did not have a process to perform documented user access reviews for all system levels. Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### Recommendations:

AgriLife should:

- Ensure that user access is appropriately limited to employees based on job responsibilities.
- Implement a process to perform periodic user access reviews at all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M AgriLife Research will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### General Controls:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M AgriLife Research will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### General Controls:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2020

Responsible Person: Mark Schulz

Reference No. 2018-102 Reporting

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Financial Reporting

Recipients are required to report financial information to ensure effective monitoring of federal awards (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.327). Recipients use the Federal Financial Report Standard Form (SF-425), or alternate forms of financial reporting that report the same or similar information, to report financial activity to federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget provides specific instructions for completing the SF-425, including definitions and requirements of key reporting elements.

Texas A&M AgriLife Research (AgriLife) did not ensure that its financial reports were accurate and complete. Specifically, for 24 (40 percent) of 60 reports tested, AgriLife incorrectly reported one or more of the following reporting elements: federal award number, award period, or reporting period end date in the cover information section of SF-425 reports; financial activity in the

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Commerce
- U.S. Department of Defense
- U.S. Department of Interior
- U.S. Department of Justice
- U.S. Department of State National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

federal expenditures and unobligated balance, recipient share, and indirect expense sections of SF-425 reports; or expenditure information on other required financial reports.

In addition, AgriLife did not correctly report the basis of accounting it used to prepare its financial reports. AgriLife uses modified accrual accounting and prepares financial reports on the accrual accounting basis, unless the federal agency or pass-through entity requires reporting on the cash accounting basis. While AgriLife correctly prepared its financial reports on the accrual accounting basis, it incorrectly reported that it used the cash accounting basis on 42 (70 percent) of 60 reports tested.

Those errors occurred because of manual errors AgriLife made when preparing the financial reports and because for the majority of fiscal year 2018, AgriLife did not have policies and procedures in place to help ensure that it completed reports in accordance with SF-425 instructions. In addition, while AgriLife had a process in place for reviewing and approving financial reports prior to submission, that review and approval process was not sufficient to ensure that the financial reports it submitted were accurate and complete.

Inaccurate information in financial reports increases the risk that federal agencies and pass-through entities could rely on inaccurate information to manage and monitor their awards.

The following awards were affected by the reporting issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-5348-2-385	August 30, 2012 to July 31, 2017
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-3091-6-028	September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2017
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-5090-6-066	September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2017
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-3094-7-017	September 1, 2017 to August 31, 2018
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-8042-7-070	September 1, 2017 to December 31, 2018
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	59-8042-6-003	April 1, 2016 to July 31, 2019
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-3042-6-066	August 1, 2016 to July 31, 2021
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-6066-5-048	August 15, 2015 to December 31, 2019
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-3098-7-003	January 2, 2017 to December 31, 2017
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	59-3091-7-002	October 1, 2016 to May 31, 2021
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-3090-5-008	September 1, 2015 to August 31, 2020
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-3070-6-027	September 15, 2016 to September 14, 2018
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	58-3094-6-020	September 18, 2016 to August 31, 2018
10.025	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	16-9794-2543-CA	September 30, 2016 to September 29, 2018
10.025	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	AP17VSSPRS00C126	September 30, 2017 to September 29, 2019
10.291	Agricultural and Food Policy Research Centers	58-0111-17-003	August 1, 2017 to April 30, 2019
10.309	Specialty Crop Research Initiative	2016-51181-25422	September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2018

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
10.310	Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)	2017-67012-25999	December 15, 2016 to December 14, 2018
10.310	Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)	2016-67015-24923	February 15, 2016 to February 14, 2020
10.310	Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)	2016-67015-24958	March 1, 2016 to February 29, 2020
10.310	Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)	2017-68008-26205	March 15, 2017 to March 14, 2020
10.606	Food for Progress	DOM001-16-03 (AgriLife received funds as a pass-through from the National Cooperative Business Association)	August 1, 2016 to July 31, 2019
10.652	Forestry Research	15-JV-11330126-065	July 23, 2015 to July 22, 2020
10.777	Norman E. Borlaug International Agricultural Science and Technology Fellowship	BF-CR-15-001	June 25, 2015 to July 31, 2017
10.777	Norman E. Borlaug International Agricultural Science and Technology Fellowship	BF-CR-15-016	August 25, 2015 to December 31, 2017
10.902	Soil and Water Conservation	68-7442-17-028	September 11, 2017 to September 30, 2018
10.902	Soil and Water Conservation	68-7482-17-016	September 20, 2017 to September 30, 2019
10.902	Soil and Water Conservation	68-7442-15-532	September 21, 2015 to December 31, 2017
10.902	Soil and Water Conservation	68-7442-17-046	September 22, 2017 to September 30, 2018
10.912	Environmental Quality Incentives Program	69-3A75-17-286	September 19, 2017 to July 31, 2020
10.912	Environmental Quality Incentives Program	69-3A75-14-245	September 26, 2014 to September 30, 2017
10.960	Technical Agricultural Assistance	TA-CR-16-036-FI-Q3- 17	September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2017

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
10.960	Technical Agricultural Assistance	TA-CR-16-041	September 19, 2016 to September 30, 2018
11.427	Fisheries Development and Utilization Research and Development Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program	NA15NMF4270344	September 1, 2015 to August 31, 2018
12.300	Basic and Applied Scientific Research	W9126G-16-2-0010	September 2, 2016 to March 2, 2018
15.945	Cooperative Research and Training Programs – Resources of the National Park System	P16AC00917	August 31, 2016 to December 31, 2018
16.560	National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants	2015-DN-BX-K020	January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2019
16.560	National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants	2016-DN-BX-0204	March 14, 2017 to December 31, 2019
19.021	Investing in People in the Middle East and North Africa	S-TS800-15-CA- 034/PDPR 03	September 21, 2015 to September 30, 2017
43.003	Exploration	NNX15AD64G	January 7, 2015 to January 2, 2018
81.087	Renewable Energy Research and Development	DE-EE0007104	April 15, 2016 to December 31, 2018
93.103	Food and Drug Administration Research	5U18FD005013	September 1, 2013 to December 31, 2017
93.103	Food and Drug Administration Research	1U18FD004638-01	September 15, 2012 to September 14, 2017
93.351	Research Infrastructure Programs	5T35OD010991-13	August 2, 2004 to February 28, 2020
93.837	Cardiovascular Disease Research	1R56HL122612-01A1	September 14, 2015 to August 31, 2017
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5R21Al107135-03	August 18, 2014, to December 31, 2017

<u>Corrective Action:</u> Corrective action was taken.

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

AgriLife did not appropriately restrict user access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, AgriLife did not always promptly remove user accounts when an employee transferred to a new position or otherwise no longer needed access. AgriLife also did not consistently ensure that access to system accounts was limited only to users who needed access.

AgriLife did not have a process to perform documented user access reviews for all system levels. Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### **Recommendations:**

AgriLife should:

- Ensure that user access is appropriately limited to employees based on job responsibilities.
- Implement a process to perform periodic user access reviews at all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M AgriLife Research and Texas A&M Sponsored Research Services will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### **General Controls:**

Texas A&M AgriLife Research will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M AgriLife Research will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### General Controls:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2020

Responsible Person: Mark Schulz

Reference No. 2018-103 Subrecipient Monitoring

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – Multiple
Award numbers – Multiple
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Pass-through entities are required to evaluate each subrecipient's risk of noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward for purposes of determining the appropriate subrecipient monitoring. The pass-through entity may consider such factors as the subrecipient's prior experience with the same or similar subawards, the results of previous audits, whether the subrecipient has new personnel or new or substantially changed systems, and the extent and results of federal awarding

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

Federal agencies that award R&D funds

agency monitoring (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.331(b)). The pass-through entity must monitor the activities of each subrecipient as necessary to ensure that a subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward; and that subaward performance goals are achieved. Pass-through entity monitoring must include (1) reviewing financial and performance reports, (2) following up and ensuring that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate action on all deficiencies, and (3) issuing a management decision for audit findings (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.331(d)). Depending on the pass-through entity's assessment of risk posed by the subrecipient, the following monitoring tools may be useful for the pass-through entity to ensure proper accountability and compliance with program requirements and achievement of performance goals: (1) providing subrecipients with training and technical assistance on program-related matters, (2) performing on-site reviews of the subrecipient's program operations, and (3) arranging for agreed-upon procedures engagements (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.331(e)).

When establishing a new subaward, Texas A&M AgriLife Research (AgriLife) uses a subrecipient risk assessment template that allows it to assess risk based on criteria such as the amount of a subaward, cost sharing requirements, and previous audit findings. Based on the results of the risk assessment, AgriLife determines for the subrecipient an overall risk level of low, medium, or high.

### AgriLife did not have adequate policies and procedures in place over its subrecipient monitoring processes. Specifically:

- AgriLife's policies do not address additional monitoring tools for medium- or high-risk subrecipients to ensure proper accountability and compliance with program requirements. According to AgriLife's policy, certain executive approval is required before an award is made to a medium- or high-risk subrecipient; however, the policy does not address any additional monitoring those subrecipients should receive after the award is executed. Auditors observed examples of low- and medium-risk assessments during testing.
- AgriLife's policy requires subrecipient expenditures to be reviewed for allowability; however, that policy does not specify what level of detail should be included in the subrecipient's invoice. For example, one subrecipient's invoice totaling \$8,973 included only a date and the subaward number and did not include an itemized list of expenses, budget categories, or any other information regarding the type of expenses that invoice covered.

Insufficient monitoring policies and procedures for subrecipients increases the risk that AgriLife would not detect subrecipients' noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and terms and conditions of the subaward.

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2018-104 Special Tests and Provisions – Key Personnel

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Key Personnel

A recipient of federal awards must obtain approval from federal awarding agencies for (1) changes to a key person specified in the application or the federal award, or (2) the disengagement from the project for more than three months or a 25 percent reduction in time devoted to the project by the approved project director or principal investigator (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.308(c)(1)).

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

- U.S Department of Agriculture
  U.S Department of Health and
  Human Services
- U.S Agency for International Development

### Texas A&M AgriLife Research (AgriLife) did not consistently ensure that key personnel were involved in projects as required. Specifically, for 5 (8)

percent) of 60 projects tested, the key personnel specified in the award agreement did not meet the identified level of involvement for fiscal year 2018. AgriLife did not obtain approval from the federal awarding agency for changes to the level of involvement for the key personnel for all 5 of those projects. Those errors occurred because AgriLife did not have a process in place to monitor changes in the level of involvement for key personnel.

Not obtaining prior approval for reductions in the level of involvement, or disengagement from the project, for key personnel may result in federal sponsors being unaware of changes to key personnel.

The following awards were affected by the issue discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
10.310	Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)	2017-68007-26318	May 1, 2017 to April 30, 2019
93.103	Food and Drug Administration Research	5U18FD005608-03	September 1, 2015 to August 31, 2020
93.113	Environmental Health	5R01ES025713-03	June 1, 2016 to May 31, 2021
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	5R21NS093487-02	June 15, 2015 to May 31, 2018
98.001	USAID Foreign Assistance for Programs Overseas	AID-OAA-A-13- 00003	November 8, 2012 to September 30, 2022

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

AgriLife did not appropriately restrict user access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, AgriLife did not always promptly remove user accounts when an employee transferred to a new position or otherwise no longer needed access. AgriLife also did not consistently ensure that access to system accounts was limited only to users who needed access.

AgriLife did not have a process to perform documented user access reviews for all system levels. Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### **Recommendations:**

AgriLife should:

- Strengthen its processes for identifying changes to key personnel requiring approval from the federal sponsor and ensure that it requests approval from the federal sponsor prior to those changes taking effect.
- Ensure that user access is appropriately limited to employees based on job responsibilities.
- Implement a process to perform periodic user access reviews at all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M AgriLife Research and Texas A&M Sponsored Research Services will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### Key Personnel:

Texas A&M Sponsored Research Services will strengthen controls designed to ensure changes to key personnel requiring approval from the federal sponsor are identified. Approval will be requested prior to key personnel changes taking effect.

#### General Controls:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M AgriLife Research will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### Key Personnel:

Texas A&M Sponsored Research Services has developed and implemented a report that will assist Project Administrators in the timely identification of changes to key personnel. Sponsor approval is requested prior to key personnel changes taking effect.

Implementation Date: January 31, 2020

Responsible Person: Julie Bishop

#### General Controls:

Texas A&M AgriLife Research will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2020

Responsible Person: Mark Schulz

Reference No. 2018-105
Cash Management
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
Period of Performance
Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
Special Tests and Provisions - Key Personnel

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See Below
Award numbers – See Below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Interest on Advances

A non-federal entity must maintain advances of federal funds in interest-bearing accounts unless: (1) the non-federal entity receives less than \$120,000 in federal awards per year, (2) the best reasonably available interest-bearing account would not be expected to earn interest in excess of \$500 per year on federal cash balances, or (3) the depository would require an average or minimum balance so high that it would not be feasible within the expected

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Defense U.S. Department of Education

federal and non-federal cash resources (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.305(b)(8)). Interest earned up to \$500 per year may be retained by the non-federal entity for administrative expense. Any additional interest earned on federal advance payments deposited in interest-bearing accounts must be remitted annually to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Payment Management System (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.305(b)(9)).

Texas A&M University (University) includes the Texas A&M Health Science Center (Health Science Center), which is an academic unit under the administration of the University. The University also has a branch campus, Texas A&M University at Galveston.

The University did not correctly calculate the amount of interest it was required to remit to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. While the University has a process to track federal projects that receive advances of federal funds and to calculate and remit interest earned on those advances, it separately tracks interest and calculates the amount to remit for the Health Science Center and its branch campus. As a result, the University separately retained \$500 for administrative expenses for the Health Science Center, instead of retaining only \$500 for the University as a whole. Texas A&M University at Galveston did not earn any interest.

In addition, the University did not correctly calculate the full amount of interest earned because when it calculated the interest earned, it netted the positive cash balances of projects for which it received advances with negative cash balances of projects that had expenditures that preceded the federal advances. Instead, it should have calculated the interest earned only on the advances of federal funds. As a result, the University should have remitted an additional \$207 in interest.

The following awards were affected by the issue described above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0389	September 30, 2015 to September 29, 2019
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-13-1-0279	September 15, 2013 to March 14, 2017
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-1-0572	September 30, 2014 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-1-0558	September 30, 2014 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0340	September 30, 2015 to September 29, 2018
84.367	Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants (formerly Improving Teacher Quality State Grants)	12905 (the University received funds as a pass-through from the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board)	February 1, 2014 to April 30, 2016

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### Other Compliance Areas

Although the general control weaknesses described below apply to activities allowed or unallowed, allowable costs/cost principles, matching, level of effort, earmarking, period of performance, procurement and suspension and debarment, and special tests and provisions – key personnel, auditors identified no compliance issues regarding those compliance requirements.

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The University did not appropriately restrict user access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, the University did not always promptly remove user accounts when an employee transferred to a new position or otherwise no longer needed access. The University also did not consistently ensure that access to system accounts was limited only to users who needed access.

The University did not have a process to perform documented user access reviews for all system levels. Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Ensure that user access is appropriately limited to employees based on job responsibilities.
- Implement a process to perform periodic user access reviews at all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

Texas A&M University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M University will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### **General Controls:**

The University will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Texas A&M University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M University will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### General Controls:

The University will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2020

Responsible Person: Mark Schulz

### Reference No. 2018-106 Equipment and Real Property Management

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### **Equipment Property Records**

A recipient's property records for equipment acquired with federal funds must be maintained accurately and include all of the following: a description of the equipment; serial number or other identification number; the source of funding for the equipment, including the federal award identification number; whether title vests in the recipient or the federal government; acquisition date and cost of the equipment; the percentage of federal participation in the cost of the equipment; the location, use, and condition of the equipment; and ultimate disposition data, including the date of disposal and sale price (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.313(d)(1)).

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Commerce National Science Foundation U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

In addition, Texas A&M University (University) is required by its *Departmental Property Management Procedures Manual (Manual)* to affix an inventory tag to new equipment items within 10 days of receipt.

### The University did not maintain accurate property records for 8 (13 percent) of 62 equipment items tested. Specifically:

- For 5 items, the equipment was located in an off-campus warehouse instead of the location specified in the property record. All 5 of those items did not have an inventory tag affixed as required by the University's policy and 3 items also did not have a serial number or other identification number to link the item to the property record. The University asserted that inventory tags were not affixed to those 5 items because they are regularly sanitized in high temperature water. However, the University's *Manual* suggests various methods of affixing tags on items that are too small, delicate, or in inhospitable conditions for standard tags, and the University did not use any of those methods to affix tags.
- For 2 items, the equipment was on long-term loan and the property records were not updated to reflect that the items were not located on campus. The University asserted that the location was purposely not updated for the items so that the property manager would know whom to contact within the University for information about the items; however, according to the University's policy, the property records should reflect the off-campus location of each item.
- For 1 item, the principal investigator took the equipment to a different university without following the University's process to inform the property management department. As a result, the location and disposition of the item was not accurately reflected in the property records.

Not maintaining accurate property records increases the risk that equipment may be lost, stolen, or not adequately safeguarded.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### **Equipment Disposition**

The University's *Manual* requires University departments to submit specific forms to the University's property management department depending on the method used to dispose of any equipment.

For 2 (50 percent) of 4 equipment disposals tested, the University did not dispose of equipment in accordance with its policy. Specifically, the University incorrectly recorded that it disposed of the two equipment items due to them being missing; however, the University had disposed one item by transferring it to surplus and disposed the other item because it was obsolete. Those items were recorded as missing because the department that had custody of the equipment used the University's missing asset form to process the disposals rather than the forms for transferring assets to the University's surplus or adding/deleting property records for obsolete assets. As a result, the disposition information in the property records for both items was inaccurate.

The following awards were affected by the equipment issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
11.419	Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards	01-507R	April 1, 2001 to July 31, 2001
11.419	Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards	02-329R	March 6, 2002 to March 31, 2002
47.049	Mathematical and Physical Sciences	PHY-1120138 (the University received funds as a pass-through from Princeton University)	January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017
47.049	Mathematical and Physical Sciences	AST-0647970 (the University received funds as a pass-through from the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc.)	April 12, 2016 to April 12, 2018
47.050	Geosciences	OCE-0849246	October 1, 2008 to November 30, 2012
93.389	National Center for Research Resources	1G20RR14311-01A1	September 1, 2000 to August 31, 2004

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The University did not appropriately restrict user access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, the University did not always promptly remove user accounts when an employee transferred to a new position or otherwise no longer needed access. The University also did not consistently ensure that access to system accounts was limited only to users who needed access.

The University did not have a process to perform documented user access reviews for all system levels. Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### **Recommendations:**

The University should:

- Ensure that user access is appropriately limited to employees based on job responsibilities.
- Implement a process to perform periodic user access reviews at all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

Texas A&M University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M University will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### General Controls:

The University will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Texas A&M University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M University will work to develop and implement corrective action.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### General Controls:

The University will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2020

Responsible Person: Mark Schulz

Reference No. 2018-107 Reporting

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Financial Reporting

Recipients are required to report financial information to ensure effective monitoring of federal awards (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.327). Recipients use the Federal Financial Report Standard Form (SF-425), or alternate forms of financial reporting that report the same or similar information, to report financial activity to federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget provides specific instructions for completing the SF-425, including definitions and requirements of key reporting elements.

Texas A&M University (University) did not ensure that its financial reports were accurate and complete. Specifically, for 34 (57 percent) of 60 reports tested, the University incorrectly reported one or more of the following reporting elements: recipient account number or award period in the cover information section of SF-425 reports; financial activity in the federal expenditures and unobligated balance, recipient share, and indirect expense sections of SF-425 reports; or cost share information on other required financial reports.

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Commerce
- U.S. Department of Defense
- U.S. Department of the Interior
- U.S. Department of Justice
- U.S. Department of Transportation

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

In addition, the University did not correctly report the basis of accounting it used to prepare its financial reports. The University uses modified accrual accounting and prepares financial reports on the accrual accounting basis, unless the federal agency or pass-through entity requires reporting on the cash accounting basis. While the University correctly prepared its financial reports on the accrual accounting basis, it incorrectly reported that it used the cash accounting basis for 44 (73 percent) of 60 reports tested.

Those errors occurred because of manual errors the University made when preparing the financial reports and because for the majority of fiscal year 2018, the University did not have policies and procedures in place to help ensure that it completed reports in accordance with SF-425 instructions. In addition, while the University had a process in place to review and approve financial reports prior to submission, it did not have documentation showing that it completed that review and approval for 11 (18 percent) of 60 reports tested. That review and approval process also was not sufficient to ensure that the financial reports it submitted were accurate and complete. Inaccurate information in financial reports increases the risk that federal agencies and pass-through entities could rely on inaccurate information to manage and monitor their awards.

The following awards were affected by the reporting issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	OAO-HSINP-17-1	December 22, 2016 to September 30, 2017
10.001	Agricultural Research Basic and Applied Research	OAO-HSINP-18-2	March 12, 2018 to September 30, 2018
10.903	Soil Survey	68-7482-15-526	September 9, 2015 to December 31, 2017
10.950	Agricultural Statistics Reports	58-3AEU-7-0075	June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018
11.012	Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS)	NA16NOS0120018	June 1, 2016 to May 31, 2019
11.427	Fisheries Development and Utilization Research and Development Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program	NA16NMF4270221	September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2019
12.351	Scientific Research - Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction	HDTRA1-13-1-003	October 22, 2012 to October 31, 2017
12.351	Scientific Research - Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction	HDTRA1-14-1-0004	November 15, 2013 to December 31, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-1-0558	September 1, 2014 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0340	September 30, 2015 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-17-1-0446	September 1, 2017 to August 31, 2020
12.431	Basic Scientific Research	W911NF15-1-0517	August 5, 2015 to February 4, 2019
15.423	Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Environmental Studies (ES)	M14AC00028	September 27, 2014 to September 30, 2019
15.663	National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	0104.13.040537	January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
16.560	National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants	2016-R2-CX-0054	January 1, 2017 to June 30, 2019
20.215	Highway Training and Education	DTFH6416G00050	September 29, 2016 to September 29, 2017
43.001	Science	NNX13AG91G	February 11, 2013 to February 10, 2018
43.001	Science	NNX12AL90G	September 1, 2012 to August 31, 2017
43.001	Science	NNX14AD52G	January 16, 2014 to January 16, 2019
43.001	Science	NNX14AF15G	April 1, 2014 to March 31, 2018
43.008	Education	NNX12AL64A	July 10, 2012 to August 18, 2017
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	NNX16AR29G	June 1, 2015 to June 30, 2018
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-FG02-93ER40773	January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2018
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0010813	August 1, 2013 to March 31, 2019
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0010713	September 1, 2013 to August 31, 2018
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0012582	September 15, 2014 to September 14, 2018
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0013543	April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2018
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0014036	June 1, 2015 to June 30, 2018
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0014037	July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2019

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0014154	August 15, 2015 to May 14, 2019
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0015636	June 1, 2016 to June 14, 2019
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0016243	August 15, 2016 to August 14, 2017
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0017859	May 31, 2017 to March 31, 2019
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-SC0017864	August 1, 2017 to July 31, 2019
81.121	Nuclear Energy Research, Development, and Demonstration	DE-EM0004381	October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2019
93.059	Training in General, Pediatric, and Public Health Dentistry	T93HP30393	September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2021
93.173	Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	2R56DC0003086-21	June 7, 2018 to May 31, 2019
93.847	Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases Extramural Research	5R01DK099221-03	September 1, 2013 to May 31, 2017
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	5U01NS083460-05	September 1, 2017 to August 31, 2019
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5R03AI103627-02	August 6, 2013 to July 31, 2017

### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The University did not appropriately restrict user access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, the University did not always promptly remove user accounts when an employee transferred to a new position or otherwise no longer needed access. The University also did not consistently ensure that access to system accounts was limited only to users who needed access.

The University did not have a process to perform documented user access reviews for all system levels. Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

### **Recommendations:**

The University should:

- Strengthen controls to ensure that the financial reports it submits are complete and accurate.
- Ensure that user access is appropriately limited to employees based on job responsibilities.
- Implement a process to perform periodic user access reviews at all system levels.

### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

Texas A&M University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M University will work to develop and implement corrective action.

### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### Reporting:

Texas A&M Sponsored Research Services has revised written procedures to increase the level of guidance provided to staff who prepare federal financial reports (SF-425). Additional monitoring controls will be implemented to promote the completeness and accuracy of financial reports.

#### General Controls:

The University will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Texas A&M University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Texas A&M University will work to develop and implement corrective action.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### Reporting:

Texas A&M Sponsored Research Services will provide accounts receivable accountants additional training on using the SF-425 Business Objects tool and completing the SF-425 in a non-standard way as required by some sponsors.

Implementation Date: January 31, 2020

Responsible Person: Diane Hassel

#### General Controls:

The University will continue to work with the Texas A&M System Chief Information Officer to improve existing information security controls in order to appropriately limit user access and to promptly remove or change user accounts when user needs change.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2020

Responsible Person: Mark Schulz

Reference No. 2018-108 Subrecipient Monitoring

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – Multiple
Award numbers – Multiple
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Pass-through entities are required to evaluate each subrecipient's risk of noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward for purposes of determining the appropriate subrecipient monitoring. The pass-through entity may consider such factors as the subrecipient's prior experience with the same or similar subawards, the results of previous audits, whether the subrecipient has new personnel or new or substantially changed systems, and the extent and results of federal awarding

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

Federal agencies that award R&D funds

agency monitoring (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.331(b)). The pass-through entity must monitor the activities of each subrecipient as necessary to ensure that a subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward; and that subaward performance goals are achieved. Pass-through entity monitoring must include (1) reviewing financial and performance reports, (2) following up and ensuring that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate action on all deficiencies, and (3) issuing a management decision for audit findings (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.331(d)). Depending on the pass-through entity's assessment of risk posed by the subrecipient, the following monitoring tools may be useful for the pass-through entity to ensure proper accountability and compliance with program requirements and achievement of performance goals: (1) providing subrecipients with training and technical assistance on program-related matters, (2) performing on-site reviews of the subrecipient's program operations, and (3) arranging for agreed-upon procedures engagements (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.331(e)).

When establishing a new subaward, Texas A&M University (University) uses a subrecipient risk assessment template that allows it to assess risk based on criteria such as the amount of a subaward, cost sharing requirements, and previous audit findings. Based on the results of the risk assessment, the University determines for the subrecipient an overall risk level of low, medium, or high.

## The University did not have adequate policies and procedures in place over its subrecipient monitoring processes. Specifically:

- The University's policies do not address additional monitoring tools for medium- or high-risk subrecipients to ensure proper accountability and compliance with program requirements. According to the University's policy, certain executive approval is required before an award is made to a medium- or high-risk subrecipient; however, the policy does not address any additional monitoring those subrecipients should receive after the award is executed. Auditors observed examples of low- and medium-risk assessments during testing.
- The University's policy requires subrecipient expenditures to be reviewed for allowability; however, that policy does not specify what level of detail should be included in the subrecipient's invoice. For example, one subrecipient's invoice totaling \$37,511 included only a date range and did not include an itemized list of expenses, budget categories, or any other information regarding the type of expenses that invoice covered.

Insufficient monitoring policies and procedures for subrecipients increases the risk that the University would not detect subrecipients' noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and terms and conditions of the subaward.

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2017-111

Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting
(Prior Audit Issues – 2015-106 and 2016-108)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P165286; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K175286

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis; (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended; or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b), and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)). Institutions are required to report the campus-level enrollment for the student, including enrollment status and the effective date of that enrollment status (*National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 1).

Institutions must report students on whose behalf a loan was certified or awarded who were admitted, may have enrolled, but never attended classes at the institution as never attended to NSLDS. Institutions must report the effective date of a student's full-time enrollment status as the date on which the student most recently began uninterrupted enrollment on a full-time basis (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C).

Texas A&M University (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS, as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide, chapter 3).

## For 2 (3 percent) of 62 students tested who had a status change, the University did not report status changes or effective dates to NSLDS accurately. Specifically:

- For one student who enrolled but never attended the Fall 2016 term, the University correctly reported the student's never attended status to NSLDS. However, NSC changed that student's status to withdrawn in a subsequent report submission. The University had a monitoring process for the information it reported to NSC; however, the University did not have a process to ensure that NSC reported accurate information to NSLDS.
- For one student, the University incorrectly reported the effective date for the student's enrollment status in the Spring 2017 term due to a manual error it made in reporting the term start date.

Not reporting student status changes accurately could affect determination that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2015-110 Special Tests and Provisions - Verification

Student Financial Assistance Cluster
Award year – June 1, 2014, to July 31, 2015
Award numbers – CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P148151 and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K158151
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Verification of Applications

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, food stamps, education credits, individual retirement account deductions, other untaxed income, high school completion

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

status, and identity and statement of educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and *Federal Register*, Volume 78, Number 114).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's financial aid package on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR). For the Federal Pell Grant Program, if an applicant's FAFSA information changes as a result of verification, an institution must recalculate the applicant's Federal Pell Grant on the basis of the EFC on the corrected ISIR and disburse any additional funds under that award (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

For 5 (8 percent) of 60 students tested, Texas A&M University - Central Texas (University) did not adequately verify all required items, and it did not always update its records and request updated ISIRs as required. For those students, the University did not accurately verify one or more of the following items: income information for tax filers, the amount of child support paid, receipt of Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program benefits, or number of household members. Those errors occurred because of manual errors the University made during the verification process and because the University does not have an adequate process to monitor verification.

When auditors brought the errors to its attention, the University made corrections to all of the students' ISIRs. For four of those students, no change in EFC or financial assistance was associated with the errors; however, not properly verifying FAFSA information could result in the University overawarding or underawarding financial assistance. For one student, the errors resulted in an overaward of Federal Pell Grant funds totaling \$818. The University subsequently adjusted the student's award; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2014-118 Eligibility

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A134135; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A134135; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P132325; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K142325

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Cost of Attendance

The determination of the federal student financial assistance award amount is based on financial need. Financial need is defined as a student's cost of attendance (COA) minus the expected family contribution (EFC) (Title 20, United States Code, Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087kk). The phrase "cost of attendance" refers to the "tuition and fees normally assessed a student carrying

Initial Year Written: 2014 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

the same academic workload as determined by the institution, and including costs for rental or purchase of any equipment, materials, or supplies required of all students in the same course of study." An institution may also include an allowance for books, supplies, transportation, miscellaneous personal expenses, and room and board (Title 20, United States Code, Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087II).

For Title IV programs, the EFC is the amount a student and his or her family are expected to pay for educational expenses and is computed by the federal central processor and included on the student's Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) provided to the institution. Awards must be coordinated among the various programs and with other federal and non-federal assistance to ensure that total assistance is not awarded in excess of the student's financial need (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.2 and 673.5).

A full-time student is defined as an enrolled student who is carrying a full-time academic workload, as determined by the institution, under a standard applicable to all students enrolled in a particular educational program. For an undergraduate student, an institution's minimum standard must equal or exceed 12 semester hours. A half-time student is defined as an enrolled student who is carrying a half-time workload, as determined by the institution, which amounts to at least half of the workload of the applicable minimum requirement outlined in the definition of a full-time student (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.2).

Texas A&M University - Kingsville (University) administers student financial assistance for Texas A&M University - San Antonio. The University uses its financial aid system to calculate the COA for all students at both the Kingsville and San Antonio campuses.

For 9 (15 percent) of 60 of students tested, the University incorrectly calculated COA. Those errors occurred because the University set up specific budget groups incorrectly in the financial aid system. Specifically:

When establishing budgets in the system for the 2013-2014 financial aid year, the University used budget information from the 2011-2012 financial aid year for certain budget groups. That affected all students who were enrolled in Texas A&M University - San Antonio for a Fall and/or Spring semester and a Summer semester. Seven students tested were affected by that issue.

■ The University did not accurately establish budgets in the system for students enrolled at Texas A&M University – Kingsville who had mixed enrollment (full-time enrollment for one term and less than full-time enrollment for one term) for the 2013-2014 aid year. The University asserted that issue affected all students assigned to a mixed enrollment budget in the 2013-2014 aid year. Two students tested were affected by that issue.

Auditors were not able to quantify the total number of students affected by the budgeting errors. While the errors did not result in overawards for the nine students discussed above, they increase the risk of overawarding or underawarding financial assistance to students.

### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2017-112
Eligibility
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Cash Management
Special Tests and Provisions – Institutional Eligibility

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P168324; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Leans, P269K178334

Student Loans, P268K178324

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### **Cost of Attendance**

The determination of the federal student financial assistance award amount is based on financial need. Financial need is defined as a student's cost of attendance (COA) minus the expected family contribution (EFC) (Title 20, United States Code (USC), Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087kk). The phrase "cost of attendance" refers to the "tuition and fees normally assessed a student carrying the same academic workload as determined by the institution, and

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

including costs for rental or purchase of any equipment, materials, or supplies required of all students in the same course of study." An institution may also include an allowance for books, supplies, transportation, miscellaneous personal expenses, and room and board (Title 20, USC, Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087II).

Texas A&M University – San Antonio (University) established different COA budgets for each term based on a student's classification (for example undergraduate and graduate); residency (in-state and out-of-state); living status (on-campus, off-campus, and living with parents); and enrollment level (full-time, three-quarter-time, half-time, and less-than-half-time). The University used a student's enrollment level as of the census date to calculate a student's final COA.

## For 8 (13 percent) of 61 students tested, the University incorrectly or inconsistently calculated COA. Specifically:

- For five students, the University did not calculate COA based on their actual enrollment as of the census date.
- For two students, the University calculated the COA using incorrect amounts for the budget components because it incorrectly set up the Summer 2017 budget tables in its student financial assistance system, Banner. The University identified that issue and corrected the budget tables in Banner in May 2017; however, the University did not recalculate the COA for those two students. That occurred because the University manually updated and locked the COAs for those two students in Banner before it corrected the budget tables.
- For one student, the University manually input an incorrect COA budget into Banner because, at that time, it did not have less-than-half-time COA amounts established in Banner.

The errors discussed above did not result in overawards of financial assistance; therefore, there were no questioned costs. However, by incorrectly calculating COA, the University increases the risk of overawarding or underawarding financial assistance to students.

#### Recommendation:

The University should consistently follow its process to correctly calculate students' COAs.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

#### Cost of Attendance:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

As of May 2017, the University appointed a new Director of Scholarships and Financial. With new leadership, the inaccuracy and inconsistency with calculating Cost of Attendance were identified and immediately corrected. Additionally, new budget tables were established and new practices, as well as strategies, were developed and implemented to streamline budgeting for proper awarding. The following corrective actions will also be implemented to address all findings related to Cost of Attendance: (1) To further improve consistency, the Office of Scholarships and Financial Aid will develop monitoring reports to be run after census date to conduct Quality Control and identify any students whose budget information is not consistent with census enrollment statuses, and (2) The management team in the Office of Scholarships and Financial Aid will also conduct Quality Control on COA budgets that were manually adjusted to ensure accuracy and consistency with the department's established budget tables.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges the findings and has moved to create and implement a process by which we have further improved our processes.

To further improve consistency, the Office of Scholarships and Financial Aid has developed monitoring reports which begin to run after census on a bi-weekly basis (Tuesday and Thursday) to identify any newly packaged students in order for their COA to be manually reviewed, adjusted (when necessary), and frozen to ensure accuracy and consistency with the department's established budget tables.

### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The Institution acknowledges and agrees with the finding. The Institution will continue to work and develop a corrective action to improve the processes further.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

Staff actively review reports regarding COA's until the census date. Afterward, staff requests a census report list that also captures COA's to accurately determine student's budgets. Internal Controls have been implemented by the Student Financial Aid Office, which reviews student's files and awards to determine eligibility. Additional training and webinars will be implemented during the academic year to ensure staff understands and correctly calculate COA's.

In March 2019, with our new Director of Financial Aid, automation, and a thorough review of the COA's on every attendance level will be conducted. In addition, we will update expected enrollment, COA, and Pell before student's disbursement, as well as on Census date. Staff continuously will run and review a COA report throughout the semester that will ensure student's enrollment hours, the budget amount, and budget groups are within compliance.

Implementation Date: May 2019

Responsible Person: Roberto Flores

Reference No. 2017-114 Special Tests and Provisions - Verification

Student Financial Assistance Cluster
Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017
Award numbers – CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P168324; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K178324
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Verification of Applications

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income (AGI), U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits, education credits, individual retirement account deductions,

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and *Federal Register*, volume 80, number 123).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized financial aid awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

Household size for a dependent student includes (1) the student; (2) the student's parents; (3) the student's siblings and children, if they will receive more than half their support from the student's parent(s) for the entire award year; and (4) other persons who live with and receive more than half their support from the student's parent(s) and will receive more than half support for the entire award year. Household size for an independent student includes (1) the student; (2) his or her spouse; (3) the student's children if they will receive more than half their support from the student for the entire award year; and (4) other persons who live with and receive more than half their support from the student and will receive more than half support for the entire award year. Number in college always includes (1) the student and (2) those in the household size who are or will be enrolled at least half time during the award year in a degree or certificate program at a Title IV-eligible school and who can reasonably be expected to receive aid from the family for their education (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, Application and Verification Guide, chapter 2). Acceptable documentation for verifying household size and the number of household members who are in college includes a statement signed by the applicant, and if the applicant is a dependent student, by one of the applicant's parents, that lists the name and age of each household member, the relationship of that household member to the applicant, and the name of the educational institution for each household member who is or will be attending at least half-time in a program that leads to a degree or certificate (Title 34, CFR, Sections 668.57(b) and (c), and Federal Register, volume 80, number 123).

For 6 (10 percent) of 61 students tested, Texas A&M University – San Antonio (University) did not accurately verify certain required items on the students' FAFSAs, or it made unsupported changes to FAFSA items. Specifically:

The University incorrectly reduced the household size and/or the number of household members in college for four students. Those students certified their household size and the number of household members in college on their verification forms, but the University removed household member(s) from the household size and/or the number of household members in college without obtaining additional support from those students. In addition, for one of those students, the University incorrectly increased that student's AGI, but it did not obtain

documentation for that change from the student. When auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, the deadline to submit corrections for the award year had passed. However, the University performed procedures in its student financial assistance system, Banner, to correct the errors. For two students, the University asserted those errors did not result in changes to the students' EFCs or financial assistance awards. For the remaining two students, the University asserted those errors resulted in changes to the students' EFCs and that, as a result, both students were underawarded financial assistance.

- For one student, the University incorrectly verified the parents' income tax paid because it reviewed the incorrect field on the tax return transcript. When auditors brought that error to the University's attention, the deadline to submit corrections for the award year had passed. However, the University performed procedures in Banner to correct the error and asserted that the error resulted in a change to the student's EFC and that, as a result, the student was overawarded \$200 in Pell Grant funds. The University subsequently returned the overawarded amount to the U.S. Department of Education.
- For one student, the University did not obtain supporting documentation from the student for income information and inappropriately waived the request for that information from the student. As a result, auditors were not able to determine whether there were any questioned costs for that student.

#### Recommendation:

The University should accurately verify all required FAFSA information for students selected for verification and make changes based only on the supporting documentation that students provide.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

#### Verification of Applications:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Additional training will be provided to Financial Aid staff on verification procedures and required documentation needed from students/parents to ensure all information is required and received in order to accurately complete verification of student files. On a monthly basis, the Associate Director will conduct Quality Control of sample/selected files to ensure accuracy and make certain all required information/documentation has been received.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University has moved to implement correction action to further improve out processes.

Additional training has been provided to Financial Aid staff by way of National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators U (NASFAA U) courses, of which relevant staff has partaken and received credentialed training. Additionally, Global Financial Aid Services conducted Verification Training for all staff members on September 7, 2018. Moreover, we currently conduct weekly internal Quality Control reviews of sample/selected files to ensure required information/documentation has been received.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The Institution acknowledges and agrees with the finding.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

In addition to training has been provided by the National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators U (NASFAA U) courses, of which relevant staff has partaken and received credentialed training March 2019 brought about a change in financial aid administration. Through this change, in addition to the verification process being delegated and established through a caseload concept instead of one individual, more investment in the upgrade and use of automation. These enhancements have been implemented to ensure the verification process is aligned such that standard reports are generated to ensure resolution of conflicting information and verification has been accurately conducted.

Implementation Date: April 2019

Responsible Person: Gail Johnson

### Reference No. 2017-115 Special Tests and Provisions – Disbursements To or On Behalf of Students

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P168324; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans. P268K178324

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### **Disbursement Notification Letters**

If an institution credits a student's ledger account with Direct Student Loan funds, the institution must notify the student or parent of (1) the anticipated date and amount of the disbursement, (2) the student's or parent's right to cancel all or a portion of that loan and have the loan proceeds returned to the U.S. Department of Education, and (3) the procedures and time by which the student or parent must notify the institution that he or she wishes to cancel the loan or loan

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

disbursement. The institution must provide the notice in writing no earlier than 30 days before, and no later than 30 days after, crediting the student's ledger account at the institution. (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.165).

The University did not send disbursement notification letters or did not send disbursement notification letters in accordance with required time frames for 34 (83 percent) of 41 students tested who received Direct Student Loans. Specifically, it did not send any disbursement notification letters to 27 students; for the remaining 7 students, the University sent disbursement notification letters 78 days after crediting the students' ledger accounts. Those errors occurred because the University (1) did not perform its manual process to generate the disbursement notification letters and (2) had weaknesses in its monitoring process that prevented it from detecting that it had not sent disbursement notification letters.

Not receiving disbursement notifications or receiving them late impairs students' and parents' ability to cancel their loans.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2017-116 Special Tests and Provisions – Return of Title IV Funds

Student Financial Assistance Cluster
Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017
Award numbers – CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P168324; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K178324
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Return of Title IV Calculations

When a recipient of Title IV grant or loan assistance withdraws from an institution during a payment period or period of enrollment in which the recipient began attendance, the institution must determine the amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance that the student earned as of the student's withdrawal date (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 668.22(a)(1)). If the total amount of Title IV assistance earned by the student is less than the amount that was

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

disbursed to the student or on his or her behalf as of the date of the institution's determination that the student withdrew, the difference must be returned to the Title IV programs and no additional disbursements may be made to the student for the payment period or period of enrollment (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(a)(4)).

The amount of earned Title IV grant or loan assistance is calculated by (1) determining the percentage of Title IV grant or loan assistance that the student has earned and (2) applying that percentage to the total amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance that was or could have been disbursed to the student for the payment period or period of enrollment as of the student's withdrawal date. A student earns 100 percent if his or her withdrawal date is after the completion of 60 percent of the payment period or period of enrollment. The unearned amount of Title IV assistance to be returned is calculated by subtracting the amount of Title IV assistance the student earned from the amount of Title IV assistance that was disbursed to the student as of the date that the institution determined that the student withdrew (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(e)). The institution must return the lesser of the total amount of unearned Title IV grant or loan assistance calculated as described above or an amount equal to the total institutional charges incurred by the student for the payment period or period of enrollment multiplied by the percentage of Title IV grant or loan assistance that had not been earned by the student. For purposes of this calculation, "institutional charges" are tuition, fees, room and board (if the student contracts with the institution for the room and board), and other educationally related expenses assessed by the institution (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(g)).

The total number of calendar days in a payment period or period of enrollment includes all days within the period that the student was scheduled to complete, except that scheduled breaks of at least five consecutive days are excluded from the total number of calendar days in a payment period or period of enrollment and the number of calendar days completed in that period (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(f)(2)(i)).

## Texas A&M University – San Antonio (University) made errors in its return of Title IV funds calculations for 26 (42 percent) of 62 students tested. Specifically:

- For 24 students, the University incorrectly determined the number of days in the payment period. The University incorrectly used 6 days (instead of 8 days) for its Spring break period when it determined the payment period for the Spring 2017 term. In addition, for two of those students the University also made manual errors in its calculation of institutional charges.
- For 2 students, the University made manual errors in its calculation of institutional charges.

As a result of those errors, the University returned less than it was required to return for 10 students. However, after auditors brought the issues to the University's attention, it corrected the return calculations and returned the additional funds; therefore, there were no questions costs. For the remaining students, the University returned more than it was required to return or the errors did not affect the amount of funds to be returned.

#### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Accurately determine the number of days in scheduled breaks and calculate returns of Title IV funds correctly based on the payment period excluding scheduled breaks.
- Calculate institutional charges correctly and consistently in accordance with U.S. Department of Education requirements.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Additional training will be provided to Financial Aid staff on federal regulations related to the number of days in the payment period while considering scheduled breaks. Also, Financial Aid staff will receive training on calculating institutional charges. The management team in the Office of Scholarships and Financial Aid will conduct monthly quality control to ensure the accuracy of Return of Title IV calculations.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in this, and the previous audit, the University has moved to create internal auditing to further improve our processes.

Additional training has been provided to Financial Aid staff by way of National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators U (NASFAA U) courses, of which relevant staff has partaken and received credentialed training. Additionally, training has been provided regarding federal regulations related to the number of days in a payment period while considering scheduled break. Moreover, The University has created the position of Compliance Officer within the Office of Scholarships and Financial Aid in order to ensure the accuracy of Return of Title IV Calculations.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The Institution acknowledges. In addition to state discussions and training on these issues to ensure compliance is achieved, the Institution will continue to work, develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

For November 2018, new staff received training regarding the Return of Title IV processes and procedures. The training guide was approved by Interim Financial Aid Director and marked a how-to guide for beginning staff members to follow along and perform calculations in BANNER. During this time, the Financial Aid Compliance Office conducted monthly QA reports of withdraws given by Program Coordinator-Loans to spot check for errors. Additional training to all financial aid staff on federal regulations and Return of Title IV funds were held through NASFAA webinars. The training helped the team to correctly calculate the number of days in the payment period while considering breaks and institutional charges to accurately determine the amount of total charges to be used in the Return of Title IV calculation. In conjunction with financial aid staff trainings, the Financial Aid leadership held monthly meetings with the Registrar's office Director and Associate Director to establish improvement plans to assist in institutionally accurate withdrawal dates, date of determination, and schedule breaks related Return of Title IV BANNER screens.

Under new supervision and direction as of March 2019, the Return of Title IV program went back to the Compliance & Assurance team to conduct all processes and procedures and monthly QA reviews. The Compliance & Assurance team has implemented an internal control spreadsheet for R2T4 as well as making the new spreadsheet part of the training and a control document reviewed monthly.

Implementation Date: April 2019

Responsible Person: Jeannine Tovar

Reference No. 2017-119
Eligibility
Special Tests and Provisions – Institutional Eligibility
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Cash Management
Reporting
Special Tests and Provisions – Disbursements To or On Behalf of Students
Special Tests and Provisions – Return of Title IV Funds
Special Tests and Provisions – Borrower Data Transmission and Reconciliation (Direct Loan)
(Prior Audit Issues – 2016-109, 2016-111, 2016-112, and 2016-114)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164145; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164145; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162327; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172327; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172327

Statistically valid sample - No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### Cost of Attendance

The determination of the federal student financial assistance award amount is based on financial need. Financial need is defined as a student's cost of attendance (COA) minus the expected family contribution (EFC) (Title 20, United States Code (USC), Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087kk). The phrase "cost of attendance" refers to the "tuition and fees normally assessed a student carrying the same academic workload as determined by the institution, and

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

including costs for rental or purchase of any equipment, materials, or supplies required of all students in the same course of study." An institution may also include an allowance for books, supplies, transportation, miscellaneous personal expenses, and room and board (Title 20, USC, Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087II).

For Title IV programs, the EFC is the amount a student and his or her family are expected to pay for educational expenses and is computed by the federal central processor and included on the student's Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) provided to the institution. Awards must be coordinated among the various programs and with other federal and non-federal assistance to ensure that total assistance is not awarded in excess of the student's financial need (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, Application and Verification Guide, chapter 1, and Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.2, 673.5, and 685.301).

Texas Southern University (University) established different COA budgets based on a student's classification (for example undergraduate and graduate); residency (in-state and out-of-state); living status (on-campus, off-campus, and commuter); and enrollment level (full-time, three-quarter-time, half-time, and less-than-half-time). The University's student financial assistance system, Banner, initially budgeted students for full-time enrollment. At the census date, the University locked a student's enrollment level for financial aid purposes and used the student's actual enrollment level to calculate a revised COA, if applicable.

For 16 (26 percent) of 62 students tested, the University incorrectly calculated the COA. Specifically, the University:

- Assigned incorrect loan fee budgets to 11 students' COAs. As a result, nine of those students' COAs were
  understated. The other two students' COAs were overstated; however, the University did not overaward those
  students financial assistance; therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- Assigned one student a COA for a term in which the student was not enrolled. As a result, the University overawarded that student a Federal Direct PLUS Loan in the amount of \$6,353. After auditors brought that error to the University's attention, it returned the loan funds to the U.S. Department of Education; therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- Did not assign one student the correct COA for the program in which the student was enrolled. As a result, the student's COA was understated; however, the University did not underaward financial assistance to that student because that student had already received the maximum financial assistance.
- Applied an incorrect room and board budget to the COA for two students for the Summer term. That error occurred because the University incorrectly established the Summer term room and board component for the COA in Banner. As a result, those students' COAs were overstated; however, the University did not overaward those students financial assistance. Therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- Did not assign one student a COA in Banner for the Summer term. As a result, the University awarded Federal Direct PLUS Loans in excess of that student's financial need. Specifically, the University awarded and disbursed a \$22,093 Graduate Direct PLUS Loan that exceeded the student's COA minus other estimated financial assistance. After auditors brought that error to the University's attention, it corrected the award and returned funds to the U.S. Department of Education; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

#### Other Compliance Requirements

Although the general control weaknesses described below apply to activities allowed or unallowed, cash management, reporting, special tests and provisions – disbursements to or on behalf of students, special tests and provisions – return of Title IV funds, and special tests and provisions – borrower data transmission and reconciliation (direct loan), auditors identified no compliance issues regarding those compliance areas.

### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The University did not appropriately restrict access to its student financial assistance information system, Banner. Specifically:

- Eleven contractor employees had inappropriate high-level administrative access at the network level. After auditors brought those issues to its attention, the University removed the inappropriate access.
- Nine contractors had inappropriate access to screens in Banner that control budget tables, fund rules, disbursement dates, and other programmed rules. After auditors brought those issues to its attention, the University removed the inappropriate access.
- The University's contractor was not able to identify which employees had access to two database administrator-level service accounts.

Five former contractor employees had inappropriate access to the Web and application servers and the database server; the University did not disable their network access promptly after their termination dates. One current contractor employee also had inappropriate access to the database server. In addition, the University did not disable an unused test account on the Web and application servers.

The University shares responsibility for administration of its network—as well as the server, database, and application levels of Banner—with its contractor.

Those errors occurred because the University and its contractor did not appropriately review users' access based on their job responsibilities and employment status. Allowing inappropriate or excessive access to a system increases the risk of inappropriate changes to the system and does not allow for proper segregation of duties.

#### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Strengthen controls to ensure that it properly assigns COA components and does not overaward financial
  assistance to students.
- Appropriately limit access to its student financial assistance information system to users based on their job responsibilities and employment status.
- Appropriately monitor its contractor to help ensure that access to its information systems is appropriately limited to users based on their job responsibilities and employment status.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

Texas Southern University agrees with the finding. The corrective active plan to improve the processes based on the stated recommendations are provided below.

### Cost of Attendance:

Texas Southern University has updated the batch posting process to ensure students in each category are properly identified when posting loan fees. The University is developing a monitoring report to assist in reviewing the accuracy of the budget components for consistency and accuracy based on program, term of enrollment, level and classification.

#### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a weekly security review with the technology service provider, Ellucian. The Office of Technology will verify on a monthly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users.

The Office of Information Technology is currently in the process of conducting a review of all database administrator-level service accounts held by contractors, Ellucian. The Office of Information Technology will work with its contractor to change all accounts that should not have database administrator-level service access. The Office of Information Technology will implement controls to ensure that Ellucian identifies end users with access to all of the remaining database administrator-level service accounts, and that the purpose for such access is identified/documented in the job description.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### Cost of Attendance:

Texas Southern University will utilize the batch posting process in all terms inclusive of summer to strengthen consistency and accuracy in all budget components.

#### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a monthly security review with the technology service provider, Ellucian. The Office of Technology will verify on a monthly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users. This review will include Database Server accounts. Profile files and all other resources.

We are still following this plan and the exceptions were due to the time that we implemented to remediate the finding and the audit.

### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

#### Cost of Attendance:

Texas Southern University acknowledges and agrees with the finding. The university has analyzed the exceptions identified during the audit and developed a corrective action plan to further improve the processes.

#### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a quarterly security review with the Data Custodians in various departments. The Office of Technology will verify on a quarterly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users. This review will include Database Server accounts, Profile files and all other resources.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### Cost of Attendance:

The University has implemented significant process enhancements in this area. TSU has increased the frequency of the loan fee batch posting process and created an edit report to serve as an internal compensating control to minimize potential processing errors in the calculation of loan fees within the cost of attendance.

Implementation Date: December 1, 2019

Responsible Person: Linda Ballard

#### General Controls:

Quarterly meetings have been setup with Data Custodians of the various departments to review end user accounts (TSU & Contractors) access and service accounts effective May 2019 for the critical modules of BANNER (i.e. Student). The Office of Information Technology executes the User Access Permissions Report on a quarterly basis and presents to the Data/Module owner for review, modification and certification.

Implementation Date: May 2019

Responsible Persons: Mario Berry and Sonny Gulati

Reference No. 2017-120 Special Tests and Provisions – Verification (Prior Audit Issue – 2016-110)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164145; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164145; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162327; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172327; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172327

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### **Verification of Applications**

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, education credits, individual retirement account

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

deductions, other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and *Federal Register*, volume 80, number 123).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized student financial assistance awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

For 8 (13 percent) of 61 students tested, Texas Southern University (University) did not accurately update its records when it performed verification. For those eight students, the University did not correct those students' ISIRs for one or more of the following items: adjusted gross income; U.S. income taxes paid; number of household members; number of household members in college; and SNAP benefits. Those errors occurred because the University did not update its student financial assistance system with the appropriate information after it had reviewed documentation that the students had submitted. The University also did not have an adequate monitoring process to help ensure that it accurately documented verification information in its student financial assistance system.

When auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, the deadline to submit corrections for the award year had passed. As a result, the University did not make corrections to those students' ISIRs and auditors could not determine whether there was an effect on those students' EFCs or financial assistance awards. The University asserted that those errors resulted in changes to three of those students' EFCs and it returned the Federal Pell Grant funds that it overawarded. Auditors confirmed that the University returned Federal Pell Grant funds to the U.S. Department of Education but could not confirm whether the amounts it returned were accurate. Not verifying FAFSA information appropriately could result in the University overawarding or underawarding student financial assistance.

### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The University did not appropriately restrict access to its student financial assistance information system, Banner. Specifically:

- Eleven contractor employees had inappropriate high-level administrative access at the network level. After auditors brought those issues to its attention, the University removed the inappropriate access.
- Nine contractors had inappropriate access to screens in Banner that control budget tables, fund rules, disbursement dates, and other programmed rules. After auditors brought those issues to its attention, the University removed the inappropriate access.
- The University's contractor was not able to identify which employees had access to two database administrator-level service accounts.
- Five former contractor employees had inappropriate access to the Web and application servers and the database server; the University did not disable their network access promptly after their termination dates. One current contractor employee also had inappropriate access to the database server. In addition, the University did not disable an unused test account on the Web and application servers.

The University shares responsibility for administration of its network—as well as the server, database, and application levels of Banner—with its contractor.

Those errors occurred because the University and its contractor did not appropriately review users' access based on their job responsibilities and employment status. Allowing inappropriate or excessive access to a system increases the risk of inappropriate changes to the system and does not allow for proper segregation of duties.

### **Recommendations:**

The University should:

- Accurately update its records when it performs verification and request updated ISIRs when required.
- Strengthen its monitoring of the verification process to ensure that it makes corrections when required.
- Appropriately limit access to its student financial assistance information system to users based on their job responsibilities and employment status.
- Appropriately monitor its contractor to help ensure that access to its information systems is appropriately limited to users based on their job responsibilities and employment status.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

Texas Southern University agrees with the finding. The corrective active plan to improve the processes based on the stated recommendations are provided below.

#### Verification:

Currently, the ISIRS are exported on every student that has an update to the file for changes affecting the applicable items, which include: household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, education credits, individual retirement account deductions, other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and Federal Register, volume 80, number 123). The records not sent during the auditing period exceeded September 9, 2017 due to the timing of the review. September 9 is the official cut-off date for submitting corrections to the Common Origination and Disbursements. No exceptions were identified or found with the actual transmission/receipt of corrections for files (a technical control managed by Ellucian).

Texas Southern will enhance monitoring controls in this area of compliance and implement modifications where appropriate based on regulatory updates and/or best practices within the industry. Additionally, the BANNER system is monitored throughout the year. Corrections are not accepted and paid until the BANNER generated system EFC and the EFC returned on the ISIR record are equal to ensure the BANNER system continues to produce accurate calculations. Validation checks will be performed when the EFC and ISIR data changes.

Texas Southern University will performed enhanced training of its Scholarships & Financial Aid staff on these verification controls. Additionally, a quality assurance process will be implemented a (sample) portion of the total verification population to identify errors more readily.

#### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a weekly security review with the technology service provider, Ellucian. The Office of Technology will verify on a monthly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users.

The Office of Information Technology is currently in the process of conducting a review of all database administrator-level service accounts held by contractors, Ellucian. The Office of Information Technology will work with its contractor to change all accounts that should not have database administrator-level service access. The Office of Information Technology will implement controls to ensure that Ellucian identifies end users with access to all of the remaining database administrator-level service accounts, and that the purpose for such access is identified/documented in the job description.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### **Verification of Applications:**

Texas Southern University has performed enhanced training with the Financial Aid staff on verification policies and procedures. Additionally, the university has outsourced a portion of the verification process to adequately manage the increase in verifications processed during peak periods.

#### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a monthly security review with the technology service provider, Ellucian. The Office of Technology will verify on a monthly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users. This review will include Database Server accounts. Profile files and all other resources.

We are still following this plan and the exceptions were due to the time that we implemented to remediate the finding and the audit.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

#### Verification:

Texas Southern University acknowledges and agrees with the finding. The Third-Party Service Provider, Inceptia Inc., entered the IRA deduction as untaxed income during the verification process. The data element was subsequently resubmitted as an IRA deduction and there were no changes to the expected family contribution as a result of the correction. Inceptia has submitted a copy of the Compliance Attestation Examination of Title IV Student Financial Assistance Programs and management letter both indicated there no findings for the year ending September 2018. The report did not identify any exceptions in reporting. Per the organization's management staff, there were also no other errors found during reviews. At this time TSU will remain with the servicer for the remainder of the 2019-2020 school year.

#### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a quarterly security review with the Data Custodians in various departments. The Office of Technology will verify on a quarterly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users. This review will include Database Server accounts, Profile files and all other resources.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### Verification:

The Office of Student Financial Assistance (OSFA) will continue the processing cycle for verification utilizing the indicated third party through the completion of the 2019-2020 award year. Selected files are reviewed through the academic year to ensure accuracy and completeness. Texas Southern University verifies 100% of the files selected for verification by the U.S. Department of Education. Inceptia will continue to complete the verification process as contracted on 100% of the files. Inceptia will also perform a secondary managerial review on 70% of the verified files for accuracy and completeness of the data.

Implementation Date: September 1, 2019

Responsible Person: Linda Ballard

### General Controls:

Quarterly meetings have been setup with Data Custodians of the various departments to review end user accounts (TSU & Contractors) access and service accounts effective May 2019. OIT-Enterprise Applications team runs a report and sends to Data Custodians to review and provide feedback on which accounts should be disabled.

Implementation Date: May 2019

Responsible Persons: Mario Berry and Sonny Gulati

Reference No. 2017-121
Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting (Prior Audit Issue – 2016-113)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162327; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct

Student Loans, P268K172327

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### **Enrollment Reporting**

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

half-time basis, (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended, or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b) and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and Dear Colleague Letter, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)). Institutions are required to report the campus-level enrollment for the student, including enrollment status and the effective date of that enrollment status (National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide, chapter 1).

When a student does not re-enroll at an institution for the next regular (non-Summer) term without completing the course of study, the student should be reported as withdrawn. In the case of a student who completes a term and does not return for the next term, leaving the course of study uncompleted, the final day of the term in which the student was last enrolled should be used as the effective date. For three-quarter time, half-time, and less-than-half-time status, the institution must use the effective date that the student dropped to those particular statuses. For students who registered but never attended classes at an institution, the institution must report a never attended status (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C). To protect a student's interest subsidy, institutions are required to report a graduated status for students who have completed their course of study (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C and chapter 4, and *Dear Colleague Letter*, April 14, 2014 (GEN-14-07)).

Texas Southern University (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS, as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 3).

For 25 (38 percent) of 65 students tested who had a status change, the University did not (1) report status changes to NSLDS when required or (2) accurately report status changes to NSLDS. Specifically, the University:

- Reported 19 students as enrolled half-time when those students were enrolled as three-quarter time. That
  occurred because the University did not have a process to report three-quarter-time statuses to NSLDS.
- Reported two students as withdrawn when it should have reported those students as never attended to NSLDS.

- Did not report three students' graduated status to NSLDS.
- Did not report one student's enrollment status to NSLDS.

For 5 (8 percent) of 65 students tested who had a status change, the University did not accurately report the effective date of the status change to NSLDS. Specifically:

- For four students who did not begin attendance for the term, the University did not report the final day of the term in which the students were last enrolled as the effective date of the withdrawal.
- For one student, the University reported a graduation date that differed from the graduation date recorded in the University's financial assistance system, Banner.

For 2 (3 percent) of 65 students tested who had a status change, the University did not report the status change to NSLDS in a timely manner. Specifically, the University reported those students' status changes 62 days and 77 days after the status changes occurred.

The University did not have adequate controls to help ensure that status changes were reported to NSLDS accurately and in a timely manner. Not reporting student status changes or not reporting status changes accurately and in a timely manner could affect determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The University did not appropriately restrict access to its student financial assistance information system, Banner. Specifically:

- Eleven contractor employees had inappropriate high-level administrative access at the network level. After auditors brought those issues to its attention, the University removed the inappropriate access.
- Nine contractors had inappropriate access to screens in Banner that control budget tables, fund rules, disbursement dates, and other programmed rules. After auditors brought those issues to its attention, the University removed the inappropriate access.
- The University's contractor was not able to identify which employees had access to two database administrator-level service accounts.
- Five former contractor employees had inappropriate access to the Web and application servers and the database server; the University did not disable their network access promptly after their termination dates. One current contractor employee also had inappropriate access to the database server. In addition, the University did not disable an unused test account on the Web and application servers.

The University shares responsibility for administration of its network—as well as the server, database, and application levels of Banner—with its contractor.

Those errors occurred because the University and its contractor did not appropriately review users' access based on their job responsibilities and employment status. Allowing inappropriate or excessive access to a system increases the risk of inappropriate changes to the system and does not allow for proper segregation of duties.

#### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Appropriately limit access to its student financial assistance information system to users based on their job responsibilities and employment status.
- Appropriately monitor its contractor to help ensure that access to its information systems is appropriately limited to users based on their job responsibilities and employment status.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

Texas Southern University agrees with the finding. The corrective active plan to improve the processes based on the stated recommendations are provided below.

#### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a weekly security review with the technology service provider, Ellucian. The Office of Technology will verify on a monthly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users.

The Office of Information Technology is currently in the process of conducting a review of all database administrator-level service accounts held by contractors, Ellucian. The Office of Information Technology will work with its contractor to change all accounts that should not have database administrator-level service access. The Office of Information Technology will implement controls to ensure that Ellucian identifies end users with access to all of the remaining database administrator-level service accounts, and that the purpose for such access is identified/documented in the job description.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a monthly security review with the technology service provider, Ellucian. The Office of Technology will verify on a monthly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users. This review will include Database Server accounts. Profile files and all other resources.

We are still following this plan and the exceptions were due to the time that we implemented to remediate the finding and the audit.

### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

#### General Controls:

The Office of Information Technology will perform a quarterly security review with the Data Custodians in various departments. The Office of Technology will verify on a quarterly basis, that all terminated contractor accounts with system and/or administrative access are removed and that existing accounts have the appropriate access, which will be evident in the job description/responsibilities for such roles/profiles or end users. This review will include Database Server accounts, Profile files and all other resources.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

### General Controls:

Quarterly meetings have been setup with Data Custodians of the various departments to review end user accounts (TSU & Contractors) access and service accounts effective May 2019. OIT-Enterprise Applications team runs a report and sends to Data Custodians to review and provide feedback on which accounts should be disabled.

Implementation Date: May 2019

Responsible Persons: Mario Berry and Sonny Gulati

Reference No. 2017-123
Special Tests and Provisions – Verification

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164151; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164151; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162328; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172328; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172328

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits, education credits, individual retirement account deductions, other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and Federal Register, volume 80, number 123).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized student financial assistance awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

The U.S. Department of Education automatically distributes (or "pushes") to institutions certain ISIR transactions processed by the Central Processing System (CPS); it then requires the institutions to take some sort of action. An example of a pushed ISIR would be a student-corrected ISIR that causes a change to the EFC. Institutions are required to review all pushed ISIRs and assess any potential effect on students' eligibility for assistance (2016-2017 Electronic Data Exchange (EDE) Technical Reference).

For 2 (3 percent) of 61 students tested, Texas Tech University (University) did not accurately verify all required items on the FAFSA; therefore, it did not subsequently update its records and request updated ISIRs as required. For those two students, the University did not accurately verify other untaxed income or number of household members. Specifically:

- The verification forms the University used did not allow for students to specify the source(s) of other untaxed income, and the University did not request clarifications for the source of other untaxed income reported for one student. The University subsequently verified that the student's EFC would not have changed; therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- For one student, the number of family members in the household the student reported was inconsistent with information transferred to the University's financial aid system, Banner. The University did not request corrections or clarifications; instead, it relied on information the student submitted the prior year. After auditors brought that issue to its attention, the University confirmed with the student the number of family members in the household; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

Not properly verifying FAFSA information could result in incomplete verification of FAFSA information and overawarding or underawarding student federal financial assistance.

The University also did not properly load ISIRs selected for verification into its financial aid system during the 2016-2017 award year, resulting in 39 students for whom the University did not verify information before it disbursed funds. Specifically, the University's procedure was to load CPS-pushed ISIRs as "non-current" records into its financial aid system. As a result, the University did not identify when those ISIRs were flagged for verification. For 1 (2 percent) of 61 students tested, the University disbursed financial aid prior to completing the verification process for that student. After auditors brought that issue to the University's attention, it identified 38 additional students the U.S. Department of Education had selected for verification but for whom the University did not verify information for the 2016-2017 award year. The University then completed its verification process for those 38 students and determined that it had underawarded 3 students a total of \$1,450 and overawarded 11 students a total of \$58,417. The University subsequently canceled or adjusted awards for those students as necessary; as a result, there were no questioned costs.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER

Reference No. 2016-122 Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016

Award numbers – CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P153367; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student

Loans, P268K163367

Statistically valid sample - No

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### **Enrollment Reporting**

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

half-time basis; (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended; or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b), and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and Dear Colleague Letter, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)).

Institutions are required to use the date of a student's withdrawal for purposes of reporting enrollment status changes to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education and determining when a refund or return of Title IV funds must be paid (Title 34, CFR, Section 685.305(c)). In addition, the *National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide* states that, in the absence of a formal withdrawal, the last recorded date of attendance should be reported as the status change date. For three-quarter-time, half-time, and less-than-half-time status, the institution must use the effective date that the student dropped to those particular statuses (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C).

The Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center (Health Sciences Center) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the Health Sciences Center reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the Health Sciences Center's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS, as applicable. Although the Health Sciences Center uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the Health Sciences Center's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide, Chapter 3).

The Health Sciences Center did not report student status changes or effective dates to NSLDS accurately. For 37 (62 percent) of 60 students tested with a status change, the Health Sciences Center reported inaccurate status changes or reported a status change when there was none. Specifically:

For 30 of those students, the Health Sciences Center reported those students' status changes inaccurately or reported a status change when the student did not have a status change. Those errors occurred because the Health Sciences Center inaccurately established the minimum number of credit hours required for different enrollment levels in its student financial assistance system, Banner. As a result, its submissions to NSLDS included inaccurate information.

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER

• For 7 of those students, the Health Sciences Center did not report the withdrawn status and effective date accurately. Those errors occurred because the Health Sciences Center did not process those withdrawals in Banner and, as a result, it did not include those withdrawals in its reporting process or its last submission date occurred prior to the withdrawal. In addition, for two of those students, the Health Sciences Center also reported an inaccurate status change for a term that differed from the term in which the student withdrew.

The Health Sciences Center did not report status changes to NSLDS in a timely manner. For 16 (27 percent) of 60 students tested who had a status change, the Health Sciences Center did not report status changes to NSLDS in a timely manner. Specifically:

- For 6 of those students, the Health Sciences Center reported those students' status changes between 66 and 267 days after the status change occurred.
- For 10 of those students, the Health Sciences Center did not report those students' status changes to NSLDS.

For 8 of those students, the errors discussed above resulted in the Health Sciences Center not reporting status changes in a timely manner. For the remaining 8 students, the Health Sciences Center asserted that it reported those status changes in a timely manner to NSC; however, NSC did not report those status changes to NSLDS or did not report those status changes to NSLDS within the required time frame.

Not reporting student status changes accurately and in a timely manner could affect determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

#### Recommendations:

The Health Sciences Center should:

- Accurately report all status changes and effective dates to NSLDS in a timely manner.
- Strengthen controls over the establishment of the minimum number of credit hours required for different enrollment levels in Banner to ensure that students' statuses are accurate.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2016:

The University acknowledges and agree with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Procedures have been modified to ensure all student status changes are reported correctly and in a timely manner. Clearinghouse reports are submitted every 30 days.

Procedures have been added that strengthen the controls for the SFATMST table in Banner. This is the table that controls the credit hour requirements for the enrollment levels. In addition, this table will be reviewed prior to the beginning of each term for accuracy.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

Clearinghouse reporting procedures have been modified to run once every 30 days to ensure all student status changes are reported correctly and in a timely manner. Additional edit reports are being generated for the Director of Enrollment Services to review and update prior to CH reports being submitted. An alert report has been created to notify personnel when a time status has changed. This data can also be used to trigger a review of NSLDS data for accuracy.

# STATE OF TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019 TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER

Term set up procedures have been added that strengthen the controls for SFATMST in Banner. This table controls credit hour requirements based on enrollment levels. This form will be set up each term manually and will be reviewed for accuracy at the time of set up.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

Clearinghouse reports are submitted every 30 days. Review of edit reports will take place for every submission. The NSC has acknowledged an oversight that resulted in the incorrect reporting of some status changes to the NSLDS.

"Clearinghouse has practices to avoid this and this was an oversight on our part. We apologize for this oversight and have made an account note in our system to deter from this recurring in the future."

However, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center reaffirms that we are responsible for the actions and inactions of our third party servicers. As such, additional reviews will be made to verify accuracy of data being submitted by the NSC to the NSLDS on the University's behalf.

Review of the status codes was not done on a consistent basis. Additional procedures have been implemented to insure that time statuses match definitions. In addition, a secondary review of those forms is being consistently performed to insure accurate system data.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Enrollment reporting must be completed every 30 days, this includes status changes.

An annual review of status codes is being performed to verify accuracy and to insure that time statuses match their definitions.

Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center reaffirms that the university is responsible for our enrollment reporting regardless if we utilize a third-party service or not. Additional reviews are being made to verify accuracy of data being submitted by the NSC to the NSLDS on the University's behalf.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

Enrollment reporting is being completed every 30 days, including status changes. TTUHSC reports via the Clearinghouse, who in turn reports to NSLDS on our behalf.

Status codes are verified, on an annual basis, insuring their definitions match the information in the Banner system.

Implementation Date: Beginning of Fall 2019, Enrollment Reporting Cycle

Responsible Person: Amanda McSween

Reference No. 2017-125
Eligibility
Special Tests and Provisions - Institutional Eligibility
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Cash Management
Reporting
(Prior Audit Issue – 2016-123)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164153; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164153; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162330; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172330; CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172330; CFDA 93.364, Nursing Student Loans, Award Number Not Applicable; and CFDA 93.925, Scholarships for Health Professions Students from Disadvantaged Backgrounds, T08HP30222-01-00

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Satisfactory Academic Progress

A student is eligible to receive Title IV, Higher Education Act Program assistance if the student maintains satisfactory progress in his or her course of study according to the institution's published standards of satisfactory progress that satisfy the provisions of Title 34, CFR, Section 668.34 (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.32(f); Title 42, CFR, Section 57.306(a)(1)(iv); and Title 42, USC, Section 293a(d)(2)). An institution's satisfactory academic progress (SAP) policy should include a qualitative component that consists of grades or comparable factors

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

that are measureable against a norm and a quantitative component that consists of the pace at which students must progress through their program to ensure that they will graduate within the maximum time frame required to complete their education (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, volume 1, chapter 1).

For an undergraduate program measured in credit hours, a period no longer than 150 percent of the published length of the program as measured in credit hours should be used to determine the maximum time frame for the quantitative component of SAP (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.34(b)). The pace at which the student is progressing is calculated by dividing the cumulative number of hours the student has successfully completed by the cumulative number of hours the student has attempted (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.34(a)(5)(ii)).

In addition, the SAP policy should describe how a student's grade point average (GPA) and pace of completion are affected by course incompletes, withdrawals, or repetitions, or transfers of credit from other institutions (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.34(a)(6)).

Institutions are required to have an established procedure for reviewing and addressing additional information that may have an impact on SAP reviews. For example, if institutions review SAP at the end of a Spring term and receive late notification of a grade change for the previous Fall or Spring term, they must recheck SAP using that new information (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, volume 1, chapter 1).

The University's SAP policy did not meet all federal requirements. The University's SAP policy allowed students to progress through an academic program at a pace that did not ensure that they would graduate within the maximum time frame. The policy specified a minimum number of hours that a student must complete based on the number of hours enrolled in a term, rather than defining pace based on a student's cumulative coursework. Calculating pace on a term basis and in a manner that does not ensure graduation within the maximum time frame increases the risk that students will not graduate within the maximum time frame required and, therefore, will be ineligible for federal financial assistance. Beginning with the Spring 2017 term for SAP evaluations affecting the 2017-2018 award year, the University revised its policy to require students to successfully complete at least 67 percent of their cumulative attempted hours.

In addition, the University's SAP policy did not describe how a student's GPA and pace of completion were affected by course incompletes, withdrawals, or repetitions.

### For 4 (6 percent) of 67 students tested, the University did not assign SAP statuses correctly. Specifically:

- For two students, the University did not assign an appropriate SAP status because it did not calculate pace on a cumulative basis as discussed above. Both of those students should have been placed on suspension and would have been required to submit an appeal, and have that appeal approved, to continue receiving financial assistance. Those two students were ineligible for the \$13,175 in total financial assistance that they received. After auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, it returned funds for those two students to the U.S. Department of Education; therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- For two students, the University did not re-evaluate those students' SAP statuses when it received transfer credit information that affected the SAP reviews it had already performed. Based on that information, both students should have been assigned different SAP statuses. One of those students should have been placed on suspension, and would have been required to submit an appeal and have that appeal approved to continue receiving aid. As a result, that student received \$4,343 in financial assistance for which the student was ineligible. The error did not affect the other student's eligibility for the financial assistance that student received. Those errors occurred because the University did not have a process for re-evaluating SAP when it received new information that may have an effect on SAP reviews. After auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, it recalculated SAP for both students and returned funds for the student who was ineligible to receive aid to the U.S. Department of Education; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

### Recommendation:

The University should update its SAP policy to ensure that it meets all federal requirements, including a description of how a student's GPA and pace of completion are affected by course incompletes, withdrawals, or repetitions.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Regarding SAP findings, the University will update its SAP policy, including a description of how a student's GPA and pace of completion are affected by course incompletes, withdrawals, or repetitions, and ensure that it calculates SAP in accordance with its SAP policy by evaluating pace on a cumulative basis. Additionally, a procedure has been established to re-evaluate a student's SAP status when it receives additional information that may have an effect on SAP reviews.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Regarding SAP findings, the University has updated its SAP policy to include a description of how a student's GPA and pace of completion are affected by course incompletes, withdrawals, or repetitions. The University will farther review and strengthen the policy based on audit recommendations. Additionally, a procedure was implemented on January 4, 2018, to re-evaluate a student's SAP status when the University receives additional information that may have an effect on SAP reviews.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the finding. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University implemented corrective action to further improve the SAP policy.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The University has updated its' SAP policy to include a description of how a student's GPA and pace of completion is affected by course incompletes, withdrawals and repetitions.

Implementation Date: October 2019

Responsible Persons: Lacey Thompson and Kimberly Adams

#### Federal Direct Loans:

The Budget Control Act of 2011 eliminated subsidized loan eligibility for graduate and professional students for loan periods/periods of enrollment beginning on or after July 1, 2012 (Title 20, USC, Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087e(a)). Therefore, only undergraduate students are eligible to receive Subsidized Direct Loans, and graduate students are eligible for only Unsubsidized Direct Loans (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, volume 3, chapter 5).

Based on a review of the full population of student financial assistance recipients, the University awarded \$18,085 in Subsidized Direct Loans to 10 students who were not eligible for that assistance. Those errors occurred because the University did not have adequate controls during the award year to ensure that graduate students did not receive Subsidized Direct Loans. Specifically, the University did not cancel Subsidized Direct Loans for those students when they became graduate students during the award year. After auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, it returned the funds to the U.S. Department of Education; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### Federal Pell Grant Limits:

An institution must disburse a Federal Pell Grant to an eligible student who is otherwise qualified to receive that disbursement (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.61). The amount of a student's Federal Pell Grant for an academic year is based on schedules published by the U.S. Department of Education for each award year (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.62(a)). The amount of the award is obtained from the payment schedule, and it is based on the student's enrollment level, EFC, and COA (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, volume 3, chapter 3).

The University did not disburse Federal Pell Grant funds to one student who was eligible to receive those funds. Based on the student's COA, EFC, and enrollment, the student was eligible to receive a maximum of \$2,908 for the award year. The University asserted that it did not disburse those funds because it made a manual error.

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2017-126 Special Tests and Provisions - Verification (Prior Audit Issue – 2016-124)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164153; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164153; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162330; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172330; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172330

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### Verification of Applications

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, education credits, individual retirement account

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

deductions, other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and *Federal Register*, volume 80, number 123).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized student financial assistance awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

For 8 (13 percent) of 60 students tested, Texas Woman's University (University) did not accurately verify all required items on the FAFSA; therefore, it did not subsequently request updated ISIRs as required. For those eight students, the University did not accurately verify one or more of the following items: income information for tax-filers, number of household members in college, SNAP benefits received, student's identity, or household resources. Those errors occurred because of manual errors the University made during its verification process. The University had a process to review completed verifications during the award year; however, that process was not sufficient to ensure that the University performed verification accurately.

When auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, the deadline to submit corrections for the award year had passed. However, the University performed procedures in its student financial assistance system, Colleague, to correct the errors, and it asserted the following:

- For four students, the errors did not result in changes to students' EFCs or financial assistance awards.
- For two students, the errors resulted in a change in EFC and, as a result, the University overawarded a total
  of \$175 in Pell Grant funds. The University subsequently returned the overawarded amounts to the U.S.
  Department of Education; therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- For two students, the University did not resolve conflicting information regarding verification of household resources; therefore, auditors could not determine the effect on those students' EFCs and whether there were any related questioned costs.

Not properly verifying FAFSA information can result in incomplete verification of FAFSA information and overawarding or underawarding student federal financial assistance.

### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Accurately verify all required FAFSA information for students selected for verification and request updated ISIRs when required.
- Improve its process for monitoring completed verifications to ensure that it identifies and corrects errors.

# Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

The University will conduct additional staff training, modify its procedures to ensure that all required FAFSA information is verified accurately, improve its process for monitoring completed verifications to ensure that it identifies and corrects errors, and requests updated ISIRs when required.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

The University has and continues to conduct additional staff training, modify its procedures to ensure that all required FAFSA information is verified accurately, improve its process for monitoring completed verifications to ensure that it identifies and corrects errors, and requests updated ISIRs when required. The University implemented secondary review procedures on February 2, 2018, to ensure the accuracy of files verified.

# Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the finding. Through analysis of the exception identified in the audit, the University will implement corrective action to further improve the verification processes.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The University has and will continue to conduct additional staff training on verification. The University will review and modify, if necessary, verification procedures to ensure that all required FAFSA information is verified accurately.

Implementation Date: October 2019

Responsible Persons: Lacey Thompson and Jessica Hogan

Reference No. 2017-127 Special Tests and Provisions – Disbursements To or On Behalf of Students

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164153; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164153; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162330; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172330; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172330

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### **Transfer Monitoring**

If a student transfers from one institution to another institution during the same award year, the institution to which the student transfers must request from the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, through the National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS), updated information about that student so that it can make certain eligibility determinations. The institution may not make a disbursement to that student for seven days following its request, unless it

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

receives the information from NSLDS in response to its request or obtains that information directly by accessing NSLDS and the information it receives allows it to make the disbursement (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 668.19).

Texas Woman's University (University) did not always perform or document required reviews of transfer students prior to disbursing student financial assistance. Auditors tested three students who transferred to the University during the academic year. For two students, the University asserted that it obtained and reviewed the financial aid history information from NSLDS for the current award year prior to disbursing financial assistance; however, it did not have documentation in those students' records to show that it performed that review. For the remaining student, the University had documentation to show that it reviewed that student's financial aid history from NSLDS, but it reviewed that information after it disbursed financial assistance to that student.

The University did not overaward student financial assistance as a result of the issues discussed above. However, not reviewing updated NSLDS information prior to disbursing funds increases the risk that the University could overaward financial assistance to students who had received financial assistance at another institution.

### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-128
Special Tests and Provisions – Return of Title IV Funds
(Prior Audit Issue – 2016-125)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164153; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162330; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172330; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172330 Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### Return of Title IV Funds

When a recipient of Title IV grant or loan assistance withdraws from an institution during a payment period or period of enrollment in which the recipient began attendance, the institution must determine the amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance that the student earned as of the student's withdrawal date (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 668.22(a)(1)). If the total amount of Title IV assistance earned by the student is less than the amount that was

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

disbursed to the student or on his or her behalf as of the date of the institution's determination that the student withdrew, the difference must be returned to the Title IV programs and no additional disbursements may be made to the student for the payment period or period of enrollment (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(a)(4)).

The amount of earned Title IV grant or loan assistance is calculated by (1) determining the percentage of Title IV grant or loan assistance that the student has earned and (2) applying that percentage to the total amount of Title IV grant or loan assistance that was or could have been disbursed to the student for the payment period or period of enrollment as of the student's withdrawal date. A student earns 100 percent if his or her withdrawal date is after the completion of 60 percent of the payment period or period of enrollment. The unearned amount of Title IV assistance to be returned is calculated by subtracting the amount of Title IV assistance the student earned from the amount of Title IV assistance that was disbursed to the student as of the date that the institution determined that the student withdrew (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(e)). The institution must return the lesser of the total amount of unearned Title IV grant or loan assistance calculated as described above or an amount equal to the total institutional charges incurred by the student for the payment period or period of enrollment multiplied by the percentage of Title IV grant or loan assistance that had not been earned by the student. For purposes of this calculation, "institutional charges" are tuition, fees, room and board (if the student contracts with the institution for the room and board), and other educationally related expenses assessed by the institution (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(g)).

The total number of calendar days in a payment period or period of enrollment includes all days within the period that the student was scheduled to complete, except that scheduled breaks of at least five consecutive days are excluded from the total number of calendar days in a payment period or period of enrollment and the number of calendar days completed in that period (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(f)(2)(i)).

A program is offered in modules if a course or courses in the program do not span the entire length of the payment period or period of enrollment (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.22(I)(6)). For all programs offered in modules, a student is considered to have withdrawn for Title IV purposes if the student ceases attendance at any point prior to completing the payment period or period of enrollment, unless the institution obtains written confirmation from the student at the time of the withdrawal that he or she will attend a module that begins later in the same payment period or period of enrollment (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, volume 5, chapter 1).

# For 11 (17 percent) of 63 students tested, Texas Woman's University (University) did not accurately determine the amount of Title IV funds to return. Specifically:

- For seven students enrolled in modules during the Summer 2016 payment period, the University did not consider the total amount of Title IV aid disbursed, total institutional charges, and/or the total number of days enrolled for the payment period. The University incorrectly performed return calculations for those students based only on the specific module from which the students withdrew and did not consider the students' enrollment in the overall Summer 2016 payment period. For three of those students, the University also did not accurately determine the students' withdrawal date. As a result, the University did not accurately determine the amount of Title IV aid to return. After auditors brought the errors to the University's attention, it recalculated those students' return amounts. For two students, the University initially returned more than required and for five students, the University returned less than required. The University subsequently returned funds for the five students for whom it needed to return additional funds; therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- For one student, the University did not accurately determine the number of days in the Spring 2017 payment period. As a result, the University returned more funds than was required; therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- For two students, the University incorrectly included aid that could have been disbursed in the return calculation. At the time the return calculation was performed, those students did not have signed master promissory notes; however, the University included Federal Direct Student Loans as aid that could have been disbursed in the calculation. For one student, that resulted in a post-withdrawal disbursement instead of a return of funds. For the other student, that resulted in an inaccurate post-withdrawal disbursement amount. After auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, it returned the correct amount of funds; therefore, there were no questioned costs.
- For one student, the University incorrectly omitted that student's Federal Pell Grant award from the return calculation. The student was eligible for a Federal Pell Grant award for the Summer 2016 term; however, the award had not yet been disbursed at the time of the student's withdrawal. Those funds should have been included as aid that could have been disbursed in the return calculation. As a result, the University returned more funds than required; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

Those errors occurred because of manual errors the University made in performing the return calculations; in addition, the University's review of return calculations was not sufficient to identify those errors.

#### Timeliness of Returns

An institution must return the amount of Title IV funds for which it is responsible as soon as possible but no later than 45 days after the date of the institution's determination that the student withdrew. For an institution that is not required to take attendance, an institution must determine the withdrawal date for a student who withdraws without providing notification to the in

# For 7 (11 percent) of 63 students tested, the University did not return Title IV funds within the required time frames or did not determine withdrawal dates in a timely manner. Specifically:

- For five students who withdrew, the University did not return Title IV funds within the required 45-day time frame because it made manual errors. The University returned those funds between 54 and 132 days after it had determined that those students had withdrawn.
- For two students who unofficially withdrew, the University did not determine the students' withdrawal dates within 30 days after the end of the period of enrollment because it made manual errors. The University determined the withdrawal dates 33 and 49 days after the end of the period of enrollment.

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2017-129 Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

(Prior Audit Issue - 2016-126)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162330; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172330

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### **Enrollment Reporting**

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

half-time basis; (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended; or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b) and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)). Institutions are required to report the campus-level enrollment for the student, including enrollment status and the effective date of that enrollment status (*National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 1).

To protect a student's interest subsidy, institutions are required to report a graduated status for students who have completed their course of study (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C and chapter 4, and *Dear Colleague Letter*, April 14, 2014 (GEN-14-07)). In instances in which a student completes one academic program and then enrolls in another academic program at the same institution, the institution must report two separate enrollment transactions: one showing the completion of the first program and its effective date and credential level, and the other showing the enrollment in the second program and its effective date (*Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)).

Institutions are required to use the date of a student's withdrawal for purposes of reporting enrollment status changes to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education and determining when a refund or return of Title IV funds must be paid (Title 34, CFR, Section 685.305(c)). In addition, in the absence of a formal withdrawal, the last recorded date of attendance should be reported as the status change date (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide,* Appendix C).

Texas Woman's University (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to the NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide, chapter 3).

For 2 (3 percent) of 60 students tested, the University did not report the status change to NSLDS or it did not report the effective date of the status change to NSLDS accurately. Specifically:

- For one student, the University did not report the student's graduated status to NSLDS. That student graduated in the Summer 2016 term and enrolled in the Fall 2016 term. The University reported both statuses to NSC; however, NSC reported only the Fall 2016 enrollment status to NSLDS, rather than reporting both the graduated status and subsequent enrollment status as required.
- For one student, the University did not report the effective date of the student's withdrawal to NSLDS accurately. That student unofficially withdrew from the Fall 2016 term and did not enroll in the Spring 2017 term. The University incorrectly reported the last date of the Fall 2016 term as the effective date of the withdrawal, rather than the student's last date of attendance. That error occurred because the University did not have a process to report unofficial withdrawals to NSLDS at that time; however, the University subsequently improved its process in Spring 2017 to report unofficial withdrawals to NSLDS.

Not reporting student status changes accurately could affect determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

### Recommendation:

The University should strengthen its controls to ensure that it accurately reports status changes and effective dates to NSLDS.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings that the withdrawal date used was the last day of the term rather than the last day of the student's respective attendance.

Regarding the graduated status not being reported to NSLDS, the University acknowledges that the status eventually was not reflected in NSLDS, however, the University maintains that it reported within the proper timeframe to the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) and, in turn, the NSC did report the status to NSLDS. However, because the student re-enrolled immediately after graduation, the new status reflecting the enrollment of the student in the subsequent semester took priority over the graduated status due to the timing of the reporting. These issues were both addressed as a result of the 2016 audit; however, the 2017 audit was reviewing data from the time period during the 2016 audit, so the data did not reflect the subsequent changes and corrections to the processes.

The University implemented significant process enhancements in this area in response to the 2016 audit and prior to the start of the 2017 audit. To address the issue with timing of the graduated status before the student reenrolls, the University now sends multiple files to NSC to accelerate the reporting of the graduated status of students before subsequent enrollment statuses are reported to NSLDS. Specifically, a graduates-only file is sent to the National Student Clearinghouse prior to the start of the subsequent term that reflects the students on the previous term's enrollment files with the new graduated status. Second, a degree-verify file representing all new graduates, whether enrolled in the previous term or not, is also submitted to the NSC prior to the first enrollment file of the subsequent term. This should ensure the graduated status precedes any subsequent new enrollment status.

For the unofficial withdrawals, the Registrar and the Office of Financial Aid have developed a communication process where Financial Aid will notify the Registrar when it has been confirmed that a student stopped attending at a date prior to the last date of the term. The Registrar then updates the Clearinghouse with the new withdrawal date, and the Clearinghouse updates NSLDS.

## Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings that the withdrawal date used was the last day of the term rather than the last day of the student's respective attendance. Regarding the graduated status not being reported to NSLDS, the University acknowledges that the status eventually was not reflected in NSLDS, however, the University maintains that it was reported within the proper timeframe to the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) and, in turn, the NSC did report the status to NSLDS. However, because the student re-enrolled immediately after graduation, the new status reflecting the enrollment of the student in the subsequent semester took priority over the graduated status due to the timing of the reporting. These issues were both addressed as a result of the 2016 audit; however, the 2017 audit was reviewing data from the time period during the 2016 audit, so the data did not reflect the subsequent changes and corrections to the processes.

The University implemented significant process enhancements in this area in response to the 2016 audit and prior to the start of the 2017 audit. To address the issue with timing of the graduated status before the student reenrolls, the University now sends multiple files to the NSC to accelerate the reporting of the graduated status of students before subsequent enrollment statuses are reported to NSLDS. Specifically, a graduates-only file is sent to the National Student Clearinghouse prior to the start of the subsequent term that reflects the students on the previous term's enrollment files with the new graduated status. Second, a degree-verify file representing all new graduates, whether enrolled in the previous term or not, is also submitted to the NSC prior to the first enrollment file of the subsequent term. This should ensure the graduated status precedes any subsequent new enrollment statuses.

For the unofficial withdrawals, the Registrar and the Office of Financial Aid have developed a communication process where Financial Aid will notify the Registrar when it has been confirmed that a student stopped attending at a date prior to the last day of the term. The Registrar then updates the Clearinghouse with the new withdrawal date, and the Clearinghouse updates NSLDS.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings that the one student was not reported to NSLDS due to the record being rejected as a result of a name and social security number mismatch. This is due to the fact that the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) had the social security number for that student assigned to a different name, most likely due to an error by a previous institution's reporting. As such, the NSC failed to report the enrollment status for this student to the NSLDS, and TWU was not informed by the NSC that the record was rejected.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

TWU has reached out to the National Student Clearinghouse to ensure we have access to any records that are rejected and not reported to the NSLDS. In Fall 2019, we have modified the business process to check for any rejected records and review these records with Financial Aid. If Financial Aid has an ISIR report for any of the rejected records confirming we have the accurate social security number for the student, then we will provide a copy of the ISIR report to the National Student Clearinghouse confirming the correct social security number. If we find that we do not have an ISIR or do not have the correct social security number for the student, we will reach out to the student to provide us a copy of their SSN card so that we update the SSN in our system and, if needed, provide this proof of SSN to the NSC and the NSLDS.

Implementation Date: October 2019

Responsible Persons: Robert Lothringer and Jenna Lee

Reference No. 2017-130
Special Tests and Provisions – Borrower Data Transmission and Reconciliation (Direct Loan) (Prior Audit Issue – 2016-127)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster
Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017
Award number – CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172330
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Borrower Data Transmission and Reconciliation

Institutions must report all loan disbursements and submit required records to the Direct Loan Servicing System (DLSS) via the Common Origination and Disbursement (COD) System (Federal Register, volume 81, number 64). Each month, the COD System provides institutions with a School Account Statement (SAS) data file, which consists of a cash summary, cash detail, and loan detail records. The institution is required to reconcile those files to its financial records

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

on a monthly basis (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 685.300(b)(5), and U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, volume 4, chapter 6).

Texas Woman's University (University) did not reconcile the required information in its monthly SAS reconciliations. The University had a process for reconciling the student loan detail portion of the SAS file to its financial aid system, Colleague. However, it did not perform reconciliations of the cash summary and cash detail portions as required.

Although auditors did not identify instances of non-compliance in the reporting of student-level detail to the COD System for Federal Direct Student Loans, not preparing reconciliations in accordance with federal requirements increases the risk that inaccurate or incomplete Direct Loan disbursement data could be reported to the DLSS.

#### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-131
Eligibility
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Special Tests and Provisions – Institutional Eligibility
(Prior Audit Issues – 2016-128 and 2015-120)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164166; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164166; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162333; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172333; CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172333; and CFDA 93.925, Scholarships for Health Professions Students from Disadvantaged Backgrounds, 1-T08HP30152-01-00

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### Satisfactory Academic Progress

A student is eligible to receive Title IV, Higher Education Act Program assistance if the student maintains satisfactory progress in his or her course of study according to the institution's published standards of satisfactory progress that satisfy the provisions of Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 668.34 (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.32(f)). An institution's satisfactory academic progress (SAP) policy should include a qualitative component that consists of grades or comparable factors that are measureable against a norm, and a

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

quantitative component that consists of the pace at which students must progress through their program to ensure that they will graduate within the maximum time frame required to complete their education. The pace at which a student is progressing is calculated by dividing the total number of hours the student has successfully completed by the total number attempted (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, volume 1, chapter 1). For a graduate program, the maximum time frame is a period defined by the institution that is based on the length of the educational program (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.34(b)). The institution's SAP policy should describe how a student's grade point average (GPA) and pace of completion are affected by course incompletes, withdrawals, or repetitions, or transfers of credit from other institutions. Credit hours from another institution that are accepted toward the student's educational program must count as both attempted and completed hours (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.34(a)(6)).

The University of Houston (University) did not configure its student financial assistance system in accordance with its satisfactory academic progress (SAP) policy. The University's policy required the maximum time frame calculation to use 150 percent of a student's academic program hours. However, the University did not configure its student financial assistance system, PeopleSoft, to properly limit the maximum time frame for 43 (45 percent) of 96 graduate, law school, pharmacy, and optometry programs to 150 percent of the academic program hours.

Auditors did not identify any students who were ineligible for student financial assistance as a result of that issue. However, not determining maximum time frames correctly increases the risk that master- and doctoral-level students could receive financial assistance for which they are not eligible or be denied financial assistance for which they are eligible.

In addition, the University's SAP policy did not include all required elements. The University's SAP policy did not specifically state that credit hours from another institution that were accepted toward a student's educational program counted as both attempted and completed hours for purposes of determining the pace of a student's academic progress. Although the University's SAP policy did not meet federal requirements, auditors determined that the University appropriately configured PeopleSoft to evaluate transfer hours in its SAP calculations.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### Federal Pell Grant:

When awarding Federal Pell Grant assistance to students, for each payment period, an institution may award a Federal Pell Grant to an eligible student only after it determines that the student is enrolled in an eligible program as an undergraduate student (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.75(a)). Institutions use the payment and disbursement schedules provided each year by the U.S. Department of Education for determining award amounts (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.62). Those schedules provide the maximum annual amount a student would receive for a full academic year for a given enrollment status, expected family contribution, and cost of attendance. There are separate schedules for three-quarter-time, half-time, and less-than-half-time students (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, volume 3, chapter 3, and Title 34, CFR, Section 690.63(b)).

For 1 (3 percent) of 36 students tested who received a Federal Pell Grant, the University did not award the correct amount of Federal Pell Grant assistance. The University awarded the student \$2,908 in Federal Pell Grant assistance for the Spring term; however, the student was eligible to receive only \$2,181. The University disbursed a Federal Pell Grant to the student for the Spring term in the amount that a student enrolled full-time would be eligible to receive; however, the student was enrolled only three-quarter-time for the Spring term. After auditors brought the error to the University's attention, it corrected the student's awards and returned \$727 in Federal Pell Grant funds to the U.S. Department of Education; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants (FSEOG):

In selecting among eligible students for FSEOG awards in each award year, an institution must select students with the lowest expected family contributions who will also receive Federal Pell Grants in that year. If the institution has FSEOG funds remaining after giving FSEOG awards to all the Federal Pell Grant recipients at the institution, the institution must award the remaining FSEOG funds to eligible students with the lowest expected family contributions who will not receive Federal Pell Grants (Title 34, CFR, Section 676.10).

Based on a review of the full population of federal student financial assistance recipients, the University awarded a total of \$5,000 to 3 students who did not also receive Federal Pell Grants. The University initially awarded those students Federal Pell Grant funds, but it canceled those awards prior to disbursement because those students were ineligible for the Federal Pell Grant funds. However, the University did not identify that cancellation and awarded the FSEOG funds in error. The University conducted a self-review process during this audit and canceled the FSEOG distributions to those students; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

#### Recommendation:

The University should award FSEOG assistance only to eligible students.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Recommendation: Award FSEOG assistance only to eligible students.

The process of monitoring and reconciling FSEOG has been revised. A report is run to identify potentially-ineligible FSEOG awards prior to running the disbursement process each semester.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University has developed and implemented corrective action to further improve the process.

Recommendation: Award FSEOG assistance only to eligible students.

Six students, who had 0 EFCs, had initially been awarded Federal Pell Grants and FSEOG. It was later determined that the students had reached their lifetime eligibility units (LEU) and the FSEOG was not canceled when Pell was canceled.

After each packaging run, a query is now run to cancel FSEOG for any student for whom a Pell Grant has been canceled. In addition, in January 2019, all staff will be provided additional training to cancel FSEOG whenever a Pell Grant is manually canceled from a student's award.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University has developed and implemented corrective action to further improve the process.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

Two students, who had 0 EFCs, had initially been awarded Federal Pell Grants and FSEOG. In one case, a quality control review was conducted after the departure of a staff member from the verification team, and the student was identified as ineligible for Pell, but the FSEOG was not canceled when Pell was canceled. In the other case, the student was not eligible based on reaching Pell LEU. The system cancelled the Pell Grant, but did not properly identify the FSEOG for cancelation.

In each case, the student's FSEOG was canceled and replaced with institutional funds.

A query is run after each packaging run to cancel FSEOG for any student for whom a Pell Grant has been canceled. In addition, a new query will be run at the end of each semester, and on June 30 each year, to identify any student with FSEOG who does not have a Pell Grant, to catch any possible situations in which a Pell Grant was canceled manually and the FSEOG was not canceled.

Implementation Date: September 2019

Responsible Person: Scott Moore

Reference No. 2017-132
Special Tests and Provisions – Verification
(Prior Audit Issues – 2016-129, 2015-121, and 2014-139)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164166; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164166; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162333; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172333; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172333

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding - Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits, education credits, individual retirement account deductions, other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of

Initial Year Written: 2014 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and Federal Register, volume 80, number 123).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized student financial assistance awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

Household size for a dependent student includes (1) the student; (2) the student's parents; (3) the student's siblings and children, if they will receive more than half their support from the student's parent(s) for the entire award year; and (4) other persons who live with and receive more than half their support from the student's parent(s) and will receive more than half support for the entire award year. The number in college always includes (1) the student and (2) those in the household who are or will be enrolled at least half time during the award year in a degree or certificate program at a Title IV-eligible school and who can reasonably be expected to receive aid from the family for their education (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, Application and Verification Guide, chapter 2). Acceptable documentation for verifying household size and the number of household members who are in college includes a statement signed by the applicant, and if the applicant is a dependent student, by one of the applicant's parents, that lists the name and age of each household member, the relationship of that household member to the applicant, and the name of the educational institution for each household member who is or will be attending at least half-time in a program that leads to a degree or certificate (Title 34, CFR, Sections 668.57(b) and (c), and Federal Register, volume 80, number 123).

# For 3 (5 percent) of 62 students tested, the University of Houston (University) did not accurately verify certain required items on the FAFSA or made unsupported changes to FAFSA items. Specifically:

The University did not accurately verify adjusted gross income for one student who submitted an amended tax return. That error occurred because of a manual error the University made in the verification process and because the University did not have an effective monitoring process during the award year to detect that error.

The University inappropriately reduced the number of household members and number in college for two students. Each of those students certified the number of household members and the number in college on the verification forms they submitted to the University, and the University removed siblings from the household size and number in college because of the siblings' age. The University asserted that its practice was to remove household members who were in college and older than age 24; however, the University did not request information from the students to show that the household members it removed did not receive at least half of their support from the family.

After auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, it did not make corrections to those students' ISIRs. Therefore, auditors were unable to determine whether there were any questioned costs.

Not properly verifying FAFSA information could result in the University overawarding or underawarding student financial assistance.

### **Recommendations:**

The University should:

- Accurately verify required FAFSA information for students selected for verification and make changes based only on the supporting documentation that students provide.
- Establish and implement an effective monitoring process for verification.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Recommendation: Accurately verify all required FAFSA information for students selected for verification and make changes based only on the supporting documentation that students provide.

The policy and procedure manual for verification has been updated to include guidance on the treatment of household size for all dependent students. The information is available on the website as a guide for students to follow during the verification process, as well.

Recommendation: Establish and implement an effective monitoring process for verification.

Verification files will be randomly pulled and audited internally by senior staff each month to improve accuracy and determine needed training opportunities. Errors and issues will be dealt with as soon as they are identified.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Recommendation: Accurately verify all required FAFSA information for students selected for verification and make changes based only on the supporting documentation that students provide.

Recommendation: Establish and implement an effective monitoring process for verification.

One student, who was in the process of verification, submitted his own FAFSA correction on 4/14. The University completed verification and submitted a correction on 4/16. When the student's ISIR correction, transaction 03, came back on 4/17, it was presumed to be the institutional correction and the student was incorrectly awarded a full Pell Grant based on his enrollment status. ISIR Transaction 04, the University's correction, rejected and was not reviewed prior to the close of the award year; as such, the University replaced the student's Pell Grant with institutional funds.

The University will create a query to identify rejected ISIRs for which corrections were submitted by the University, to override any corrections also made by students. The query will be run bi-weekly to identify any issues.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

One student's verification document did not provide clarification of the specific school being attended by a sibling who was counted in the household and the number in college.

Clarification of the need for specific information on the verification worksheet has been emphasized during staff training to help prevent the circumstances from recurring.

Implementation Date: October 2019

Responsible Person: Frank Gomez

#### Reference No. 2017-134

### Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

(Prior Audit Issues – 2016-131, 2015-124, 2014-140, 2013-165, 13-147, 12-153, 11-154, 10-98, 09-87, 08-74, and 07-58)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162333; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172333

Statistically valid sample - No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis; (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed

Initial Year Written: 2006 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended; or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b) and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)). Institutions are required to report the campus-level enrollment for the student, including enrollment status and the effective date of that enrollment status (*National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 1).

The University of Houston (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 3).

For 4 (7 percent) of 61 students tested who had a status change, the University did not always report effective dates accurately or did not report the status change in a timely manner to NSLDS. Specifically:

- For one student, the University incorrectly reported the effective date of the status change as the date that the
  University certified the status change to NSC instead of the date that the student dropped below full-time time
  enrollment.
- For one student, the University incorrectly reported the midpoint of the term as the effective date of the student's withdrawal instead of using the student's last day of academically related activity.
- For one student, the University initially reported the effective date for the student's withdrawal as the last day
  of academically related activity, which was accurate. However, a subsequent submission to NSLDS overwrote
  that effective date with an inaccurate effective date (the final day of the term).
- For one student, the University reported the enrollment status change to NSC within the required time frame. However, NSC did not report that student's status enrollment change to NSLDS until 76 days after the effective date of the enrollment status change.

The University did not have a process to ensure that the effective dates of enrollment status changes were reported accurately to NSLDS.

Not reporting the effective date of enrollment status changes accurately and not reporting status changes in a timely manner could affect the determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, and repayment schedules, as well as the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

#### Recommendation:

The University should accurately report the effective dates for all enrollment status changes and report enrollment status changes to NSLDS in a timely manner.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Recommendation: The University should accurately report the effective dates for all enrollment status changes and report enrollment status changes to NSLDS in a timely manner.

The Offices of the University Registrar and Scholarships and Financial Aid have established a regularly-scheduled meeting at the end of every term to review all unofficial withdrawals to help ensure that accurate withdrawal dates are reported to NSLDS in a timely manner.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

Recommendation: The University should accurately report the effective date for all enrollment status changes and report enrollment status changes to NSLD is in a timely manner.

Two students had incorrect withdrawal dates reported to NLDS based on the processing error of a staff member. That staff member has been provided with additional training to prevent the error in the future. In addition, two staff members now review all R2T4 calculations and create a comprehensive list of unofficial withdrawals and the correct dates to provide to the Office of the University Registrar for purposes of accurate NSLDS reporting.

### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. The University has implemented corrective action to further improve the processes.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

One student had a withdrawal date, which was one day early, reported to NSLDS based on the processing error of a staff member. Staffing changes have occurred in the Office of the University Registrar, and NSLDS reporting is now handled at the Associate Registrar level to help improve the accuracy.

Implementation Date: October 2019

Responsible Person: Rachel Honora

Reference No. 2017-135
Special Tests and Provisions – Verification
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Cash Management
Eligibility
Reporting
Special Tests and Provisions – Disburseme

Special Tests and Provisions - Disbursements To or On Behalf of Students

Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

Special Tests and Provisions – Borrower Data Transmission and Reconciliation (Direct Loan)

Special Tests and Provisions – Institutional Eligibility

(Prior Audit Issue – 2016-132)

### Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164085; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164085; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162293; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172293; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172293

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

## **Verification of Applications**

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits, education credits, individual retirement account deductions,

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and *Federal Register*, volume 80, number 123).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized student financial assistance awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

Household size for a dependent student includes (1) the student; (2) the student's parents; (3) the student's siblings and children, if they will receive more than half their support from the student's parent(s) for the entire award year; and (4) other persons who live with and receive more than half their support from the student's parent(s) and will receive more than half support for the entire award year. Household size for an independent student includes (1) the student; (2) his or her spouse; (3) the student's children if they will receive more than half their support from the student and will receive more than half support for the entire award year. Number in college always includes (1) the student and (2) those in the household size who are or will be enrolled at least half time during the award year in a degree or certificate program at a Title IV-eligible school and who can reasonably be expected to receive aid from the family for their education (U.S. Department of Education, 2016-2017 Federal Student Aid Handbook, Application and Verification Guide, chapter 2). Acceptable documentation for verifying household size and the number of household members who are in college includes a statement

signed by the applicant, and if the applicant is a dependent student, by one of the applicant's parents, that lists the name and age of each household member, the relationship of that household member to the applicant, and the name of the educational institution for each household member who is or will be attending at least half-time in a program that leads to a degree or certificate (Title 34, CFR, Sections 668.57(b) and (c), and *Federal Register*, volume 80, number 123).

For 5 (8 percent) of 61 students tested, the University of North Texas (University) did not accurately verify certain required items on the students' FAFSAs or made unsupported changes to FAFSA items. Specifically:

- For one student, the University inappropriately reduced the number of household members in college because it made a manual error during the verification process. That error resulted in the student's EFC being overstated, which resulted in the University underawarding the student a total of \$300 in Federal Pell Grant funds. When auditors brought that error to the University's attention, it corrected the student's ISIR and adjusted the student's award.
- For four students, the University inappropriately reduced the household size, number of household members in college, or both. Those students certified the household size and number of household members in college on the verification form they submitted to the University; however, the University removed family member(s) from the household size and/or number in college without obtaining additional support from the students. After auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, it did not make corrections to those students' ISIRs. Therefore, auditors were unable to determine whether there were any questioned costs.

Not properly verifying FAFSA information could result in overawarding or underawarding financial assistance.

### Recommendation:

The University should accurately verify required FAFSA information for applicants selected for verification and make changes based only on the supporting documentation that students provide.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

## Verification of Applications:

Management acknowledges the findings and recommendations. The University will work to develop and implement the corrective action plan.

Management reviewed the recommendations and updated its verification procedures.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

Management developed and successfully implemented the 2017 Corrective Active Plan. For the 2018 Corrective Active Plan, Management reviewed the one manual error with the employee and made changes to improve its verification process to include all students listed as attending college on the FAFSA.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Management acknowledges the findings and recommendations. The University will work to develop and implement the corrective action plan.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

Management developed and successfully implemented the 2018 Corrective Active Plan. For the 2019 Corrective Active Plan, Management reviewed the one error with employees and made changes to improve its Verification-Separating Income procedures to clarify which divorced spouse is responsible for the income information.

Implementation Date: August 2019

Responsible Persons: Tyra Krause and Zelma DeLeon

Reference No. 2017-137
Cash Management
Reporting
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Eligibility
Special Tests and Provisions – Borrower Data Transmission and Reconciliation (Direct Loan)
Special Tests and Provisions – Institutional Eligibility
(Prior Audit Issue – 2016-135)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164172; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164172; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162335; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172335; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172335

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

# The University did not appropriately restrict user access at the network and server levels. Specifically:

- Two student interns had administrative access to the network, and the University asserted that those students needed that access to test new systems. Although the University asserted that it restricted these student interns' access to their work hours, the University provided that level of access only to those two student interns and the department director.
- Six former employees had access to two of the University's servers, and one former employee had access to another server. In addition, eight current employees had inappropriate access to one of the servers, and another current employee had duplicate accounts on two servers.

The University did not consistently conduct periodic user access reviews for network accounts, and the periodic user access review it performed on its servers was not effective.

Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to systems.

### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-138 Special Tests and Provisions – Verification

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164172; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164172; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162335; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172335; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172335

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

# The University did not appropriately restrict user access at the network and server levels. Specifically:

- Two student interns had administrative access to the network, and the University asserted that those students needed that access to test new systems. Although the University asserted that it restricted these student interns' access to their work hours, the University provided that level of access only to those two student interns and the department director.
- Six former employees had access to two of the University's servers, and one former employee had access to another server. In addition, eight current employees had inappropriate access to one of the servers, and another current employee had duplicate accounts on two servers.

The University did not consistently conduct periodic user access reviews for network accounts, and the periodic user access review it performed on its servers was not effective.

Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to systems.

#### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-139 Special Tests and Provisions – Disbursements To or On Behalf of Students

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164172; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164172; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162335; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172335; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172335

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

# The University did not appropriately restrict user access at the network and server levels. Specifically:

- Two student interns had administrative access to the network, and the University asserted that those students needed that access to test new systems. Although the University asserted that it restricted these student interns' access to their work hours, the University provided that level of access only to those two student interns and the department director.
- Six former employees had access to two of the University's servers, and one former employee had access to another server. In addition, eight current employees had inappropriate access to one of the servers, and another current employee had duplicate accounts on two servers.

The University did not consistently conduct periodic user access reviews for network accounts, and the periodic user access review it performed on its servers was not effective.

Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to systems.

#### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-140
Special Tests and Provisions – Return of Title IV Funds

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164172; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162335; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172335; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172335 Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

# The University did not appropriately restrict user access at the network and server levels. Specifically:

- Two student interns had administrative access to the network, and the University asserted that those students needed that access to test new systems. Although the University asserted that it restricted these student interns' access to their work hours, the University provided that level of access only to those two student interns and the department director.
- Six former employees had access to two of the University's servers, and one former employee had access to another server. In addition, eight current employees had inappropriate access to one of the servers, and another current employee had duplicate accounts on two servers.

The University did not consistently conduct periodic user access reviews for network accounts, and the periodic user access review it performed on its servers was not effective.

Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to systems.

#### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-141
Special Tests and Provisions – E

Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

(Prior Audit Issues – 2016-138, 2015-131, 2014-152, and 2013-173)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162335; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172335

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### **Enrollment Reporting**

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a

Initial Year Written: 2013 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

half-time basis; (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was intended; or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b), and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)). Institutions are required to report the campus-level enrollment for the student, including enrollment status and the effective date of that enrollment status (*National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 1).

Institutions are required to use the date of a student's withdrawal for purposes of reporting enrollment status changes to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education and determining when a refund or return of Title IV funds must be paid (Title 34, CFR, Section 685.305(c)). In addition, in the absence of a formal withdrawal, the last recorded date of attendance should be reported as the status change date (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C).

The University of Texas at Arlington (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS, as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 3).

The University did not always report students who unofficially withdrew from all courses for the term to NSLDS or did not always report the correct effective date for the withdrawn status. For 6 (9 percent) of 67 students tested, the University determined the last date of attendance for students who withdrew without providing official notification; however, it did not report those students as withdrawn to NSLDS. Specifically:

- For three students, the University did not report a withdrawn status to NSLDS.
- For three students, the University ultimately reported a withdrawn status to NSLDS because the students did not return for the subsequent term; however, the effective date it reported was incorrect because the date it reported was the last day of the term, rather than the students' last dates of attendance.

The University did not have an adequate process to ensure that it accurately reported students who unofficially withdrew from all courses for the term to NSLDS.

In addition, the University did not always report the correct effective date for students' status changes. For 3 (4 percent) of 67 students tested, the University correctly reported the students as withdrawn; however, it reported an incorrect effective date for the withdrawn status. For those students, the University incorrectly backdated the withdrawn status to the last day of the previous term or the first day of the current term, rather than reporting the actual date of the students' withdrawals.

The University did not have an adequate process to ensure that it reported student status changes and the effective dates of those changes to NSLDS accurately and in a timely manner.

Not reporting student status changes accurately and in a timely manner could affect determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

#### **Recommendations:**

The University should:

- Strengthen controls over its process to report status changes for students who unofficially withdraw.
- Accurately report all student status changes and effective dates to NSLDS in a timely manner.

### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

### **Enrollment Reporting:**

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University is working to develop and implement corrective actions to ensure compliance.

The University will be completing a full review of Enrollment Reporting Policies and Procedures.

## Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### **Enrollment Reporting:**

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University developed and implemented corrective actions to ensure compliance.

The University completed a full review of the Enrollment Reporting Policies and Procedures and has implemented the necessary changes.

- Updated and revised reporting mechanisms in SIS
- Updated reporting mechanisms to verify student withdrawal dates when a student's status changes from one report submission to the next
- Revised reporting schedule to be more efficient and timely
- Provided access to NSLDS to make corrections in a more timely fashion

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the finding. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The University has implemented process enhancements in this area. The reporting procedure manual was revised to include the new procedures. Management will conduct a second level review to ensure that the University is in compliance with the requirements.

We created a query to identify students who received an F in all courses for a semester with a stated reason of "Never Attended" or "Stopped Attending as of XX". This query is run after grades post at the end of the term. A subsequent report to the National Student Clearinghouse is then submitted adjusting student status to Withdrawn with the appropriate withdrawal date as determined by last dates of attendance indicated by the instructors.

This was discussed in July 2019 when student data was requested. As the manager overseeing the implementation, I was then on maternity leave from July-October. The query is built, we will be posting grades this week and Management will ensure that the query is run, student statuses are adjusted and a review of the student statuses are conducted after updating the National Student Clearinghouse.

Implementation Date: July 2019 – December 2019

Responsible Person: Nichole Mancone Fisher

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

# The University did not appropriately restrict user access at the network and server levels. Specifically:

- Two student interns had administrative access to the network, and the University asserted that those students needed that access to test new systems. Although the University asserted that it restricted these student interns' access to their work hours, the University provided that level of access only to those two student interns and the department director.
- Six former employees had access to two of the University's servers, and one former employee had access to another server. In addition, eight current employees had inappropriate access to one of the servers, and another current employee had duplicate accounts on two servers.

The University did not consistently conduct periodic user access reviews for network accounts, and the periodic user access review it performed on its servers was not effective.

Allowing users inappropriate or excessive access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to systems.

### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2018-109

**Equipment and Real Property Management** 

(Prior Audit Issues – 2015-134, 2014-155, 2013-176, 13-161, and 12-170)

Research and Development Cluster

Award years – See below

Award numbers – See below

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Equipment

A recipient's property records for equipment acquired with federal funds must be maintained accurately and include all of the following: a description of the equipment; serial number or other identification number; the source of funding for the equipment, including the federal award identification number; whether title vests in the recipient or the federal government; acquisition date and cost of the equipment; the percentage of federal participation in the cost of the equipment; the location, use, and condition of the equipment; and ultimate disposition data, including the date of disposal and sale price (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.313(d)(1)).

Initial Year Written: 2011 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Defense
U.S. Department of
Transportation
National Science Foundation
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

In addition, the University of Texas at Austin's (University) *Handbook of Business Procedures* requires that a university inventory barcode tag be affixed to new equipment items that are capitalized or controlled.

The University did not maintain accurate property records for 18 (23 percent) of 77 equipment items tested. Specifically, for each of those 18 items, the property record was inaccurate for 1 or more of the following elements: item location, item condition, serial number or other identification number, or disposition information. In addition, the University did not follow its policy to affix inventory barcode tags to equipment items for 1 of those items. The University relies on its departments to ensure that property records are updated accurately. The errors for those 18 items occurred because the University either (1) did not enter property records accurately and completely into its asset management system or (2) did not always follow its policies and procedures to update property records as needed. Not maintaining accurate property records increases the risk that equipment may be lost or stolen.

The following awards were affected by the equipment issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.000	U.S Department of Defense	DABK39-03-C-0062	July 1, 2003, to June 30, 2007
12.300	Basic and Applied Scientific Research	N00024-07-D-6200-0530	January 29, 2013, to July 28, 2015
12.300	Basic and Applied Scientific Research	N00024-07-D-6200-0781	May 15, 2015, to May 14, 2017
12.300	Basic and Applied Scientific Research	N00024-07-D-6200-0902	September 27, 2016, to September 26, 2018
12.800	Air Force Defense Research Sciences Program	FA9550-11-1-0296	September 30, 2011, to September 29, 2012

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.800	Air Force Defense Research Sciences Program	FA9550-12-1-0494	September 30, 2012, to September 29, 2017
12.910	Research and Technology Development	N66001-01-1-8964	January 1, 2002, to February 27, 2005
12.910	Research and Technology Development	2003377937 (the University received funds as a pass-through from Johns Hopkins University)	March 2, 2017, to March 1, 2018
20.000	U.S Department of Transportation	DTFR53-99-H00006	January 20, 2005, to December 31, 2007
47.041	Engineering Grants	NEES-4101-31903	October 1, 2009, to October 31, 2014
47.049	Mathematical and Physical Sciences	PHY-0854960	August1, 2009, to July 31, 2013
47.070	Computer and Information Science and Engineering	OCI-1134872	September 1, 2011, to September 30, 2017
47.074	Biological Sciences	DEB-0419615	August 16, 2001, to August 31, 2006
47.074	Biological Sciences	DBI-0130647	February 1, 2002, to January 31, 2007
47.074	Biological Sciences	1714555	August 1, 2017, to July 31, 2020
93.464	ACL Assistive Technology	90AG0019-01-00	January 1, 2015, to September 30, 2016
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	5R01GM087562-04	April 1, 2009, to March 31, 2014
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	5DP1GM106408-04	September 30, 2012, to July 31, 2016

## **Physical Inventory**

A recipient must conduct a physical inventory of equipment and reconcile the results with its property records at least once every two years. A control system also must be developed to ensure that adequate safeguards are in place to prevent loss, damage, or theft of equipment. Any loss, damage, or theft of equipment must be investigated (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.313(d)(2) and (3)).

The University's *Handbook of Business Procedures* states that an annual physical inventory will be conducted and that when a unit administrator becomes aware that an item of equipment is missing, a diligent search must be performed until the item is found or until it is established that the equipment has been lost or stolen. The *Handbook of Business Procedures* also specifies that a fine may be assessed for a department whose total missing and stolen property is in excess of 2 percent of the department's total depreciated inventory value.

The University conducted a physical inventory of equipment during fiscal year 2018 in eight cycles, which staggered the time frames between department inventories. Auditors reviewed the fiscal year 2018 physical inventory and identified one department that did not complete an inventory during fiscal year 2018. In addition, the inventory results for 29 departments documented total missing equipment that exceeded the 2 percent threshold of the department's total depreciated inventory value. The University did not have a consistent, documented process in place to follow up on discrepancies and missing equipment identified during the physical inventory. The University also did not impose the sanctions described in its policy.

Not following up on discrepancies and not requiring all departments to complete an annual inventory increases the risk that equipment purchased with federal funds may be lost, stolen, or improperly disposed.

#### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Strengthen controls to ensure that it updates and maintains accurate and complete property records.
- Strengthen controls over its physical inventory, and follow up on equipment items identified as missing during
  its physical inventory.

# Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

The University concurs with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University leadership has initiated a business process review and re-engineering project that will involve a redesign of core business practices, increase transparency, gain efficiencies and improve customer service. This project will be our basis to make necessary changes and updates to the Handbook of Business Procedures (HBP). We strongly believe that these changes will address the recommendations noted above by providing more clarity to our stakeholders in terms of inventory compliance. Additionally, we are also reaching out to inventory contacts and business officers individually to provide training, and raise awareness of inventory compliance. Inventory Services is committed to improving and strengthening controls over inventory management.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University concurs with the findings.

# Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The business process review and re-engineering project initiated by the University leadership is still in its planning phase. It has not yet been rolled out. The project continues to be our basis to make necessary changes to the Handbook of Business Procedures (HBP). Inventory Services has continued to reach out to inventory contacts and business officers to provide training and raise inventory compliance awareness. We continue to commit to improve and strengthen controls over inventory management.

Implementation Date: August 2020

Responsible Person: Lori Peterson

Reference No. 2018-110 Reporting

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Financial Reporting

CEDA

Recipients are required to report financial information to ensure effective monitoring of federal awards (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.327). Recipients use the Federal Financial Report Standard Form (SF-425), or alternate forms of financial reporting that report the same or similar information, to report financial activity to federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget provides specific

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Defense U.S. Department of Energy

instructions for completing the SF-425, including definitions and requirements of key reporting elements.

The University of Texas at Austin (University) did not ensure that its financial reports were accurate and complete. Specifically, for 4 (7 percent) of 60 reports tested, the University incorrectly reported one or more of the following report elements: indirect expenses, including the indirect cost rate, the direct cost base, and the indirect amount charged; federal share of unliquidated obligations; or expense detail to support the amount of funds requested for reimbursement. Those errors occurred because of manual errors the University made when preparing the reports. In addition, while the University had a process in place to review and approve financial reports prior to submission, that review and approval process was (1) not consistently documented and (2) not sufficient to ensure that the financial reports were accurate and complete.

Inaccurate information in financial reports increases the risk that federal agencies and pass-through entities could rely on inaccurate information to manage and monitor their awards.

The following awards were affected by the reporting issues discussed above:

No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.431	Basic Scientific Research	W911NF-17-1-0542	September 15, 2017 to November 14, 2018
12.910	Research and Technology Development	61102421-118342 (the University received funds as a pass-through from Stanford University)	July 30, 2015 to July 31, 2018
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-FG02-06ER15758	November 1, 2005 to January 14, 2018
81.117	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Information Dissemination, Outreach, Training and Technical Analysis/Assistance	DE-EE0007658	January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2018

### Recommendation:

The University should strengthen controls to ensure that the financial reports it submits are complete and accurate.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

The University concurs with the finding.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University will document existing business processes via strengthened guidelines for the preparation and review of manual reporting documents, and reissue to all staff involved in the processes.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University concurs with the finding.

## Corrective Action Plan 2019:

SPAA Management held a meeting on January 17, 2019 to review the deficiencies identified on the four manual financial reports. As a result, the following corrective actions were taken:

The procedure on reporting was updated in January to strengthen emphasis on review of reports.

A SPAA Team Leaders meeting was held in January 2019 to discuss reports with emphasis on the importance of thorough review.

The audit finding and importance for review was discussed with SPAA Specialists in their March 2019 Meeting.

In April 2019, the office started development of an automated program to electronically prepare quarterly FFR's. The benefit for reporting accuracy is two-fold, as this program significantly reduces the need for manual report creation and allows for more time to review reports. The new system was implemented July 2019 for the second quarter FFR's.

A message was sent to all SPAA prior to the July 2019 reporting period clarifying the update in process and secondary review for all financial reports followed by formal training on September 4, 2019.

Implementation Date: July 2019

Responsible Person: Linda Haster

# Reference No. 2018-111 Subrecipient Monitoring

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Subrecipient Audits

A pass-through entity must verify that a subrecipient that expends \$750,000 or more in federal awards during the subrecipient's fiscal year obtains a single audit (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 200.331(f) and 200.501, and Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Subpart D, Section 400(d)).

For 16 (33 percent) of 48 subrecipients tested, the University of Texas at Austin (University) did not verify that the subrecipient obtained a single audit or that the subrecipient was exempt from that requirement. The University's process during fiscal year 2018 was to verify that subrecipients

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

- U.S. Department of Defense National Science Foundation
- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security

obtained single audits for only subrecipients that had subaward amendments during fiscal year 2018. However, the University did not consistently follow that process. In addition, the University did not verify that a subrecipient obtained a single audit if the subrecipient did not have a subaward amendment, although the subaward was active during fiscal year 2018.

Not ensuring that all subrecipients obtain required audits increases the risk that deficiencies could go unaddressed.

The following awards were affected by the issue discussed above.

-	No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
	12.431	Basic Scientific Research	W911NF-14-1-0528	September 1, 2014 to February 28, 2019
	47.041	Engineering Grants	CMMI-1520817	July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2020
	47.041	Engineering Grants	EEC-1160494	September 1, 2012 to August 31, 2020
	47.050	Geosciences	EAR-1322073	September 1, 2013 to August 31, 2018
	47.050	Geosciences	EAR-1324760	August 1, 2013 to July 31, 2018
	47.070	Computer and Information Science and Engineering	ACI-1341711	November 1, 2013 to October 31, 2019
	47.076	Education and Human Resources	DRL-1420241	January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2018

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
81.049	Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	DE-FG02-03ER15430	August 1, 2003 to February 28, 2019
81.089	Fossil Energy Research and Development	DE-FE0026083	September 1, 2015 to August 31, 2019
81.089	Fossil Energy Research and Development	DE-FE0031558	April 1, 2018 to March 31, 2020
81.117	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Information Dissemination, Outreach, Training and Technical Analysis/Assistance	DE-EE0007658	January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2019
93.121	Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	5R01DE023193-05	July 19, 2013 to May 31, 2018
93.286	Discovery and Applied Research for Technological Innovations to Improve Human Health	5R21EB019646-02	March 15, 2015 to February 28, 2019
93.307	Minority Health and Health Disparities Research	5R21MD011431-02	August 14, 2017 to April 30, 2019
93.867	Vision Research	5R01EY017366-08	September 1, 2006 to July 31, 2018
97.044	Assistance to Firefighters Grant	EMW-2016-FP-0083	September 1, 2017 to August 31, 2019

# Recommendation:

The University should strengthen controls to ensure that it verifies that subrecipients obtain single audits as required.

# Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

The University concurs with the finding.

## Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The newly-implemented Subawards Committee will implement a revised process of reviewing subrecipient audit statuses semiannually, with added involvement of the management team.

## Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University concurs with the finding.

### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The Subawards Committee has met and are in the initial stages of formalizing a comprehensive overhaul to streamline the audit collection processes including: reviewing past sub-recipients population for 2017 and 2018, creating a list of non-responsive subs, creating templates for follow-up on missing audit information, and creating a centralized location for data collection.

Management is continuing forward with the implementation phase of the correction action plan which will include updating policies and procedures related to the collection of audit information. Estimated completion is anticipated in the late summer of 2020 and/or fall of 2020.

Implementation Date: July 31, 2019 in part; corrective action continues

Responsible Person: Linda Haster

Reference No. 2018-112 Special Tests and Provisions – Key Personnel

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

A recipient of federal awards must obtain approval from federal awarding agencies for (1) changes to a key person specified in the application or the federal award, or (2) the disengagement from the project for more than three months or a 25 percent reduction in time devoted to the project by the approved project director or principal investigator (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.308(c)(1)).

The University of Texas at Austin (University) did not consistently ensure that key personnel were involved in projects as required. Specifically, for 6 (10 percent) of 60 projects tested, the University was unable to demonstrate

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

- U.S. Department of Commerce
  U.S. Department of Defense
  National Science Foundation
- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

that the key personnel specified in the award agreement met the identified level of involvement for fiscal year 2018. The University did not obtain approval from the federal awarding agency for changes to the level of involvement for the key personnel for all 6 of those projects. The University asserted that level of involvement may vary throughout the project's period of performance; however, it could not provide documentation to support that those key personnel did not disengage from the project during fiscal year 2018.

Not obtaining prior approval of reductions in level of involvement, or disengagement from the project, for key personnel may result in federal sponsors being unaware of changes to key personnel.

The following awards were affected by the issue discussed above.

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
11.000	U.S. Department of Commerce	2013-NE-2400 (the University received funds as a pass-through from the Nanoelectronics Research Corporation)	April 1, 2013 to December 31, 2017
12.431	Basic Scientific Research	W911NF-17-2-0180	September 8, 2017 to September 7, 2018
47.041	Engineering Grants	1760459	November 1, 2017 to October 31, 2018
47.070	Computer and Information Science and Engineering	OAC-1663578	October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2021
81.086	Conservation Research and Development	DE-EE0007762	October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2021
93.866	Aging Research	5R00AG040149-06	September 30, 2013 to June 30, 2019

<u>Corrective Action:</u> Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2017-143
Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162336; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172336

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis, (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed to enroll on at least a half-time basis for the period for which the loan was

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

intended, or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b), and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)). Institutions are required to report the campus-level enrollment for the student, including enrollment status and the effective date of that enrollment status (*National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 1).

The University of Texas at Austin (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS, as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 3).

The University did not ensure that students with enrollment changes were accurately reported to NSLDS. Specifically, for 2 (3 percent) of 60 students tested with enrollment status changes, the University did not report the status change to NSLDS. Both of those students unofficially withdrew during the Fall 2016 term and, while the University reported the status changes to NSC, those status changes were never reported to NSLDS. Both of those students also unofficially withdrew during the Spring 2017 term, and the University determined that those students never attended that term. For one of those students, the University reported the student as withdrawn with an incorrect effective date. For the other student, the University never reported the withdrawal to NSLDS. The University accurately reported the statuses of both students to NSLDS after auditors brought those errors to its attention.

The University did not have an adequate monitoring process to ensure that student status changes were accurately reported to NSLDS. Not reporting student status changes accurately could affect determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

#### **Recommendations:**

The University should:

- Accurately report status changes and effective dates to NSLDS.
- Establish and implement a monitoring process to ensure that the status changes it reports to NSC are accurately reported to NSLDS.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University will work to develop and implement corrective action to further improve the processes.

The University of Texas at Austin has adjusted the student information system software by expanding the search criteria to look for past semester withdrawal dates rather than relying on the last day of the previous semester when the institution has determined that the student is not enrolled in the given term. This will allow us to pick up the correct effective date of the withdrawal. The institution will take steps to identify students who are enrolled in the current semester but retroactively withdrew from a previous semester, and will manually update enrollment status and effective date using NSLDS web. NSC is aware of this issue and has this on their priority of enhancements. Once NSC fully supports the functionality of submitting stacked enrollments for students, we will discontinue to update NSLDS directly. NSC has been collaborating with Federal Student Aid (FSA) for clarification regarding reporting retroactive enrollment status changes and will be taking measures to address this issue in the near future.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University has developed and implemented a corrective action to further improve the processes. The University of Texas at Austin has modified our business and technical processes to identify and report the final date of enrollment for all students identified in the unofficial withdrawal process who receive Federal Title IV financial aid each semester to the NSC. Our institution implemented this modified process retroactively for spring semester 2018 on October 3, 2018 and for summer semester 2018 on October 4, 2018. Previously, our institution's process identified and reported the final date of enrollment for all students identified in the unofficial withdrawal process who received Federal Title IV financial aid whose final date of enrollment was determined to be the 60% or less for the semester. Our new process allows us to report the effective date of withdrawal for all students receiving Title IV aid, regardless of time of withdrawal. Beginning with spring semester 2018 and going forward, each semester's effective date of withdrawal will be submitted to the NSC on a special file following the end of the semester. Based on this special file submission, the student's enrollment status and effective date fields for past semester withdrawals are updated and reported to the NSC, who in turn transmits this data to the NSLDS. Going forward we will continue this same iterative process and will verify that our institution has reported the retroactive withdrawal status to the NSLDS by our next scheduled enrollment submission after our Office of Financial Aid confirms the dates of withdrawal.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The University acknowledges and agrees with the findings. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the University has developed and implemented a corrective action to further improve the processes.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The NSLDS database does not permit the stacking of enrollments (e.g., student withdrawn summer semester, student re-enrolled the subsequent/current fall semester). After an institution's current semester's first of term file has been processed by the NSC and the NSLDS, NSLDS does not accommodate stacking enrollment status and effective date records for students who are both unofficially withdrew in a previous semester (e.g., summer semester 2019) and re-enrolled in the current semester (e.g., fall semester 2019) at a single institution. Consequently, students who are identified as unofficially withdrawn in a past semester and re-enrolled in a current semester would not have their past semester's withdrawn enrollment status nor effective date records reported to the NSLDS.

To address this issue, the University of Texas at Austin has made additional modification to our business and technical processes to identify and report the final date of enrollment for all students identified in the unofficial withdrawal process, who receive Federal Title IV financial aid each semester, to the NSC and NSLDS. Our institution implemented this modified process for our summer semester 2019 unofficial withdrawal records on October 3, 2019. For students identified as unofficially withdrawn in summer 2019 and re-enrolled in fall 2019, our institution manually updated their enrollment statuses and effective date for both semesters using NSLDS web. Upon completion of these updates, our institution verified these students' enrollment statuses and effective dates were present and accurate in the NSLDS database. Our new process allows us to report the accurate enrollment status update and effective date of withdrawal for all students receiving Title IV aid, regardless of whether or not students re-enrolled in the current semester after unofficial withdrawing in the previous semester. Going forward we will continue this same iterative process after our Office of Scholarships and Financial Aid confirms the dates of withdrawal.

Implementation Date: October 3, 2019

Responsible Person: Eric Poch

Reference No. 2017-146
Special Tests and Provisions – Verification

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164176; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164176; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162338; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172338; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T172338

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income (AGI), U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits, education credits, individual retirement account deductions, other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and Federal Register, volume 80, number 123).

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

If an applicant is selected to verify AGI, income earned from work, or U.S. income tax paid, an institution must accept, in lieu of an income tax return or an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) form that lists tax account information if the individual for the specified year has not filed and, under IRS rules or other applicable government agency rules, is not required to file an income tax return, a statement signed by that individual certifying that he or she has not filed and is not required to file an income tax return for the specified aid year and certifying for that year that individual's sources of income earned form work as stated on the FAFSA and the amounts of income from each source (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.57).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized student financial assistance awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

For 3 (5 percent) of 60 students tested, the University of Texas at El Paso (University) did not accurately verify all required items on the FAFSA; therefore, it did not subsequently request updated ISIRs as required. Specifically, for three students, the University did not accurately verify one or more of the following items: education credits, U.S. income taxes paid, or other untaxed income. Those errors occurred because of manual errors the University made during its verification process. When auditors brought those errors to the University's attention, the deadline to submit corrections for the award year had passed. However, the University performed procedures to correct information in its student financial assistance system and asserted the following: For two of those three students, the errors did not result in changes to students' EFCs or financial assistance awards; for the third student, the error resulted in a change in EFC and, as a result, the University overawarded a total of \$100 in Pell Grant funds. The University subsequently returned the overawarded amounts to the U.S. Department of Education. The University asserted that it had a process to review a sample of the verifications it performed; however, that process did not detect the errors discussed above.

Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-148

Special Tests and Provisions - Enrollment Reporting
(Prior Audit Issue – 2016-146)

Student Financial Assistance Cluster Award year – July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan - Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162338; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172338

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

Unless an institution expects to submit its next enrollment reporting roster file to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education within the next 60 days, it must notify the Secretary within 30 days if it discovers that a Federal Perkins Loan, Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL), Direct Subsidized, Direct Unsubsidized, or Direct PLUS Loan has been made to or on behalf of a student who (1) enrolled at that institution but has ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis; (2) has been accepted for enrollment at that institution but failed to enroll on at least a half-

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

time basis for the period for which the loan was intended; or (3) has changed his or her permanent address (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 674.19(f), 685.309(b), and 682.610(c)). Enrollment reporting roster files must also include Federal Pell Grant-only recipients (Title 34, CFR, Section 690.83(b)(2), and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)). Institutions are required to report the campus-level enrollment for the student, including enrollment status and the effective date of that enrollment status (*National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 1).

To protect a student's interest subsidy, institutions are required to report a graduated status for students who have completed their course of study (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C and chapter 4, and *Dear Colleague Letter*, April 14, 2014 (GEN-14-07)). When a student completes one academic program and then enrolls in another academic program at the same institution, the institution must report two separate enrollment transactions: (1) one transaction showing the completion of the first program and its effective date and credential level and (2) another transaction showing the enrollment in the second program and its effective date (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, Appendix C, and *Dear Colleague Letter*, March 30, 2012 (GEN-12-06)).

The University of Texas at El Paso (University) uses the services of the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) to report status changes to NSLDS. Under this arrangement, the University reports all students enrolled and their status to NSC. NSC then identifies any changes in status and reports those changes when required to NSLDS. Additionally, NSC completes the roster file on the University's behalf and communicates status changes to NSLDS as applicable. Although the University uses the services of NSC, it is still ultimately the University's responsibility to submit timely, accurate, and complete responses to roster files and to maintain proper documentation (*NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide*, chapter 3).

For 4 (7 percent) of 60 students tested, the University (1) did not report status changes to NSLDS when required, (2) did not accurately report effective dates of status changes to NSLDS, or (3) did not report status changes to NSLDS in a timely manner. Specifically, the University:

- Did not report one student's graduated status. The University reported that student's graduated status to NSC, but NSC did not report that status to NSLDS because that student enrolled in a subsequent term.
- Reported an incorrect effective date for one student who had a status change between two terms.

Did not report two students' status changes in a timely manner. The status changes for those students were reported 61 and 64 days after their effective dates. According to the University, at the time those changes occurred, the University had not fully implemented its reporting schedule to ensure that changes were reported in a timely manner.

The University asserted that it had developed a monitoring process to ensure that student status changes were accurately reported to NSLDS; however, that process was not formalized or documented and did not detect the errors discussed above. Not reporting student status changes accurately and in a timely manner could affect determinations that guarantors, lenders, and servicers of student loans make related to in-school status, deferments, grace periods, repayment schedules, and the federal government's payment of interest subsidies.

#### Recommendations:

The University should:

- Accurately report status changes and effective dates to NSLDS in a timely manner.
- Implement a formal documented monitoring process to help ensure accurate reporting to NSLDS.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2017:

The University concurs with the auditors' recommendations.

The University will continue to strengthen and monitor its enrollment reporting process to remain in compliance with these regulations.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan 2018:

Upon the University's review of the auditors' findings, 2 students had incorrect status change dates. For both students, the graduation dates were reported late. However, these errors were not caused by the automated enrollment reporting procedures put into place last year as part of the Institution's corrective action plan for 2016-2017. But, instead, in both cases, the graduation date determination was late due to late submissions to the Registrar's Office.

The University will continue to strengthen and monitor its enrollment reporting processes to remain in compliance with these regulations.

#### 2019 Update

The University accurately reported status changes to NSLDS for the 2018-2019 award year. However, for 4 (27 percent) of 15 students tested, the University did not report the status change in a timely manner.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Upon the University's review of the auditors' findings, all four students are "unofficial withdrawals." In order to remain in compliance with federal regulations, the University will reinforce its enrollment reporting procedures as part of the Institution's Corrective Action Plan for 2019-2020.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The University will continue to strengthen and monitor the timeliness of its enrollment reporting and reinforce processes to remain in compliance with these regulations.

Implementation Date: December 2019

Responsible Persons: Gladys Chairez and Nohemi Gallarzo

Reference No. 2018-113 Reporting

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Financial Reporting

Recipients are required to report financial information to ensure effective monitoring of federal awards (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.327). Recipients use the Federal Financial Report Standard Form (SF-425), or alternate forms of financial reporting that report the same or similar information, to report financial activity to federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget provides specific instructions for completing the SF-425, including definitions and requirements of key reporting elements.

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

- U.S. Department of Defense National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security

The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (Health Science

Center) did not always ensure that its financial reports were accurate and complete. Specifically, for 18 (26 percent) of 69 reports tested, the Health Science Center incorrectly reported one or more of the following report elements: relevant project dates, including project period, reporting period, and/or indirect expense period dates; indirect expense information, including the indirect cost base amount and indirect cost amount charged; or unliquidated obligation amount.

The Health Science Center had a process in place to review and approve its financial reports prior to submission; however, that review and approval process was not sufficient to ensure that the financial reports were accurate and complete. Inaccurate information in financial reports increases the risk that federal agencies could rely on inaccurate information to manage and monitor their awards.

The following awards were affected by the reporting issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-17-1-0632	September 15, 2017 to September 14, 2018
43.003	Exploration	NNX15AE25G	February 14, 2015 to September 30, 2017
93.077	Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act Regulatory Research	5P50CA180906-05	September 19, 2013 to August 31, 2019
93.242	Mental Health Research Grants	5R61MH110044-02	August 19, 2016 to September 27, 2017
93.243	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National	1U79SM080021-01	September 30, 2016 to September 29, 2021

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
	Significance		
93.575	Child Care and Development Block Grant	2917INT014	February 1, 2017 to August 31, 2018
93.837	Cardiovascular Diseases Research	5UM1HL087318-12	January 1, 200, to February 28, 2019
93.839	Blood Diseases and Resources Research	4R01HL113304-05	April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2018
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	4K23NS079900-05	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2017
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	7R21NS090422-03	January 1, 2016 to August 31, 2016
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	R01Al116039	February 10, 2015 to January 31, 2019
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	4R01GM060419-17	September 20, 2013 to December 31, 2017
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	5R01GM097290-05	April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2018
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	4R01GM027750-37	April 1, 2008 to July 31, 2017
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	4R01GM104290-04	June 15, 2013 to April 30, 2018
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	4R01GM104411-04	April 1, 2013 to January 31, 2018
97.044	Assistance to Firefighters Grant	EMW-2013-FP- 00983	August 1, 2014 to April 30, 2018

Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2018-114
Subrecipient Monitoring
(Prior Audit Issues – 2015-145 and 2014-158)

Research and Development Cluster

Award years – See below

Award numbers – See below

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Award Identification

At the time of a subaward, the pass-through entity must communicate to the subrecipient: (1) the federal award information, including the catalog of federal domestic assistance (CFDA) number and title, federal award number, and whether the award is research and development; (2) all requirements imposed by the pass-through entity on the subrecipient so that the federal award is used in accordance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award; and (3) a requirement that the subrecipient permit the pass-through entity and auditors to have access to the subrecipient's records and financial statements (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section

Initial Year Written: 2014 Status: Implemented

- U.S. Department of Defense
- U.S. Department of Labor
- U.S. Department of Education
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

200.331(a), and U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Subpart D, Section 400(d)).

For 24 (57 percent) of 42 subawards tested, the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (Health Science Center) did not accurately provide all required information to the subrecipient. The Health Science Center did not provide or provided inaccurate federal award information, including federal award number and date, CFDA number and title, or whether the award was research and development; or it did not include a clause in the subcontract to communicate the requirement that the subrecipient must permit the Health Science Center and auditors access to the subrecipient's records and financial statements as necessary.

While the Health Science Center used templates for its subawards and their amendments, those templates were not always sufficient to ensure that required information was included. Not providing all required award information increases the risk that subrecipients will not comply with all applicable statutes, regulations, and terms and conditions of the federal award.

#### **Evaluation of Risk and Monitoring**

Pass-through entities are required to evaluate each subrecipient's risk of noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward for purposes of determining the appropriate subrecipient monitoring. The pass-through entity may consider such factors as the subrecipient's prior experience with the same or similar subawards, the results of previous audits, whether the subrecipient has new personnel or new or substantially changed systems, and the extent and results of federal awarding agency monitoring (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.331(b)). The pass-through entity must monitor the activities of each subrecipient as necessary to ensure that a subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward; and that subaward performance goals are achieved. Pass-through entity monitoring must include (1) reviewing financial and performance reports, (2) following up and ensuring that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate action on all deficiencies, and (3) issuing a management decision for audit findings (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.331(d)).

The Health Science Center uses a subrecipient risk assessment template that allows it to assess risk based on criteria such as amount of the subaward, the subrecipient's prior experience, and the results of previous audits. Based on the results of the risk assessment, the Health Science Center determines for the subrecipient an overall risk level of low, medium, or high. For low risk subrecipients, the Health Science Center's primary monitoring activity is reviewing subrecipient invoices to ensure they are reasonable and for allowable costs. For medium risk subrecipients, the Health Science Center requires that additional detail be included on subrecipient invoices. For high risk subrecipients, detailed invoices and guarterly technical progress reports are required from subrecipients.

For 7 (17 percent) of 42 subawards tested, the Health Science Center did not consistently monitor subrecipient activities to provide reasonable assurance that the subrecipients administered the subawards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward. Specifically:

- For 5 subawards, the Health Science Center did not perform a risk assessment to determine the level of monitoring activities necessary. As a result, auditors were unable to determine whether the Health Science Center performed monitoring activities in accordance with its policies.
- For 2 subawards, the Health Science Center determined that each subrecipient had an overall risk level of medium. However, the Health Science Center did not ensure that the invoices it received from those subrecipients included the level of detail required by its policies.

Insufficient monitoring of subrecipients increases the risk that the Health Science Center would not detect subrecipients' noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward.

#### **Subrecipient Audits**

A pass-through entity must verify that a subrecipient that expends \$750,000 or more in federal awards during the subrecipient's fiscal year obtains a single audit (Title 2, CFR, Sections 200.331(f) and 200.501, and OMB Circular A-133, Subpart D, Section 400(d)).

For 6 (17 percent) of 36 subrecipients tested, the Health Science Center did not verify that the subrecipient obtained a single audit or that the subrecipient was exempt from that requirement. The Health Science Center has a process to request audits from subrecipients on an annual basis; however, it did not consistently follow that process. Not ensuring that subrecipients obtain required audits increases the risk that deficiencies could go unaddressed.

The following awards were affected by the issues discussed above.

CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-16-1-0296	September 15, 2016 to September 14, 2019
International Labor Programs	IL-29677-16-75-K-48	September 1, 2016 to August 31, 2019
Research in Special Education	R324A120363	September 1, 2012 to August 31, 2018
	Military Medical Research and Development International Labor Programs Research in Special	Military Medical Research and Development  International Labor Programs  Research in Special  W81XWH-16-1-0296  IL-29677-16-75-K-48  R324A120363

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
93.073	Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities - Prevention and Surveillance	2016-049368-001A	May 1, 2016 to January 31, 2018
93.110	Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs	6T04MC12785-10-01	June 1, 2009 to May 31, 2019
93.113	Environmental Health	5R01ES022165-05	September 12 2013, to April 30, 2019
93.121	Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	5R01DE024166-04	March 1, 2015 to February 29, 2020
93.135	Centers for Research and Demonstration for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention	6U48DP005002-04-03	September 30, 2014 to September 29, 2019
93.307	Minority Health and Health Disparities Research	5U24MD006941-05	September 20, 2011 to June 30, 2018
93.361	Nursing Research	5R01NR013707-05	June 7, 2013 to March 31, 2019
93.788	Opioid STR	HHS000113200001	February 1, 2018 to April 30, 2019
93.837	Cardiovascular Diseases Research	5U01HL077863-11	January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2018
93.837	Cardiovascular Diseases Research	5UM1HL087318-12	January 1, 2007, to February 28, 2019
93.837	Cardiovascular Diseases Research	5R01HL129191-04	July 2, 2015 to April 30, 2019
93.846	Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases Research	5R01AR065445-06	May 6, 2014 to April 30, 2019
93.847	Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases Extramural Research	5R01DK081866-07	September 25, 2009 to August 31, 2019
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	U01NS062835	September 30, 2009 to April 30, 2019

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	5R01NS080839-04	August 15, 2014 to January 31, 2019
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5R33AI116208-04	September 1, 2014 to August 31, 2019
93.879	Medical Library Assistance	5R01LM011829-05	September 1, 2014 to August 31, 2019

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2018-115
Special Tests and Provisions – Key Personnel
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Key Personnel

A recipient of federal awards must obtain approval from federal awarding agencies for (1) changes to a key person specified in the application or the federal award, or (2) the disengagement from the project for more than three months or a 25 percent reduction in time devoted to the project by the approved project director or principal investigator (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.308(c)(1)).

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (Health Science Center) did not consistently ensure that key personnel were involved in projects as required. Specifically, for 4 (7 percent) of 60 projects tested, the key personnel specified in the award agreement did not meet the identified level of involvement for fiscal year 2018. The Health Science Center did not obtain approval from the federal awarding agency for the changes to the level of involvement for the key personnel for all 4 of those projects. The Health Science Center relies upon departments to identify changes to key personnel that require federal awarding agency approval. The Health Science Center's policy requires departments to send requests for changes to key personnel to the Sponsored Projects Administration department for review and approval prior to sending the request to the federal awarding agency; however, the Health Science Center did not follow that process consistently.

Not obtaining prior approval of reductions in level of involvement for key personnel may result in federal sponsors being unaware of changes to key personnel.

The following awards were affected by the issues discussed above.

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	5U01NS090259-04	September 1, 2015 to June 30, 2019
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	5R01GM113212-03	December 5, 2014 to November 30, 2018
93.867	Vision Research	1P30EY028102-01	September 1, 2017 to June 30, 2022
93.879	Medical Library Assistance	5R01LM010681-08	May 31, 2010 to September 28, 2018

#### Other Compliance Areas

Although the general control weaknesses described below apply to activities allowed or unallowed and allowable costs/cost principles, auditors identified no compliance issues regarding those compliance requirements.

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Health Science Center did not have appropriate segregation of duties in its change management process for its time and effort certification application. The Health Science Center uses the services of a third-party contractor to host and maintain that application. All of the contractor's listed developers for the application have access to migrate changes to the application's production environment. The contractor maintains documentation of who developed and approved each change; however, it does not document the personnel who migrated the change. As a result, for all 10 changes tested, auditors were unable to confirm that the developer did not also migrate the change to the application's production environment.

Not maintaining appropriate segregation of duties or having appropriate controls to track the migration of code to the production environment increases the risk of unauthorized or unintended programming changes being made to critical information systems.

#### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-150 Eligibility

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A165159; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162584; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172584; CFDA 93.264, Nurse Faculty Loan Program, E01HP28779-02-00; CFDA 93.342, Health Professions Student Loans, Including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students, E31HP24333-02-00; and CFDA 93.364, Nursing Student Loans, Award Number Not Applicable

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding - Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Cost of Attendance

The determination of the federal student financial assistance award amount is based on financial need. Financial need is defined as a student's cost of attendance (COA) minus the expected family contribution (EFC) (Title 20, United States Code (USC), Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087kk). The phrase "cost of attendance" refers to the "tuition and fees normally assessed a student carrying the same academic workload as determined by the institution, and including costs for rental or purchase of any equipment, materials, or supplies

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education
U.S. Department of Health
and Human Services

required of all students in the same course of study." An institution may also include an allowance for books, supplies, transportation, miscellaneous personal expenses, and room and board (Title 20, USC, Chapter 28, Subchapter IV, Section 1087II).

For Title IV programs, the EFC is the amount a student and his or her family are expected to pay for educational expenses and is computed by the federal central processor and included on the student's Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) provided to the institution. Awards must be coordinated among the various programs and with other federal and non-federal assistance to ensure that total assistance is not awarded in excess of the student's financial need (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.2, 673.5, and 685.301).

A full-time student is defined as an enrolled student who is carrying a full-time academic workload, as determined by the institution, under a standard applicable to all students enrolled in a particular educational program. For an undergraduate student, an institution's minimum standard must equal or exceed 12 semester hours. A half-time student is defined as an enrolled student who is carrying a half-time academic workload, as determined by the institution, which amounts to at least half of the workload of the applicable minimum requirement outlined in the definition of a full-time student (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.2).

The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (Health Science Center) had established different COA budgets for undergraduate and graduate students based on residency, degree program, and period of enrollment. The Health Science Center set up the COA budgets in its student financial assistance system, PeopleSoft, only for full-time enrollment; it did not set up COA budgets for less-than-full-time enrollment. The formulas in PeopleSoft automatically determined which budget items are assigned to each student set up for the aid year, and the Health Science Center performed any subsequent adjustments manually.

For 27 (44 percent) of 62 students tested, the Health Science Center incorrectly or inconsistently calculated the student's COA. For each of those 27 students, the Health Science Center made one or more of the following errors in its budget determination:

 Assigned a full-time tuition and fees and/or books and supplies budget when the student was enrolled less than full-time.

- Assigned a tuition and fees budget based on Texas resident tuition when the student was a non-resident.
- Assigned a loan fee budget when the student did not receive a direct loan or incorrectly calculated the loan fee budget amount.
- Did not consistently use its established COA budgets to determine the student's tuition and fees budget amount

The errors discussed above occurred because the Health Science Center did not adequately design the controls over its budgeting process to ensure that it assigned COA budgets to students correctly and consistently. As a result of the COA errors discussed above, the Health Science Center overawarded two students a total of \$2,278 in direct loan assistance. After auditors brought those errors to the Health Science Center's attention, the Health Science Center returned the funds to the U.S. Department of Education; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

### Reference No. 2017-151 Special Tests and Provisions – Verification

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A165159; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P162584; and CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K172584 Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Verification of Applications

For each applicant whose Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) is selected for verification by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, an institution must verify all of the applicable items, which include household size, number of household members who are in college, adjusted gross income, U.S. income taxes paid, child support paid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits, education credits, individual retirement account deductions,

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

other untaxed income, high school completion, and identity and statement of educational purpose (Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Sections 668.54 and 668.56, and Federal Register, volume 80, number 123).

When the verification of an applicant's eligibility results in any change to a non-dollar item or a change to a single dollar item of \$25 or more from the applicant's FAFSA, the institution must submit a correction to the U.S. Department of Education and adjust the applicant's subsidized student financial assistance awards on the basis of the expected family contribution (EFC) on the corrected Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.59).

For 2 (9 percent) of 23 students tested, the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (Health Science Center) did not accurately verify certain items on the FAFSA or made unsupported changes to FAFSA items. Specifically:

The Health Science Center incorrectly verified one student's income earned from work and child support paid. As a result, that student's EFC was understated, which resulted in the Health Science Center overawarding \$100 in Federal Pell Grant assistance to that student.

The Health Science Center incorrectly added taxable interest income as untaxed income to one student's ISIR. As a result, that student's EFC was overstated. However, that student had already been awarded the maximum amount of need-based aid for which that student was eligible; therefore, no adjustment to the student's awards was necessary.

After auditors brought those errors to the Health Science Center's attention, it made corrections to those students' ISIRs and returned funds to the U.S. Department of Education as necessary; therefore, there were no questioned costs.

Those errors occurred because of manual errors the Health Science Center made during its verification process, and they went undetected because the Health Science Center did not have a documented and formal control to monitor the verification process.

#### Verification Policies and Procedures

An institution must establish and use written policies and procedures for verifying an applicant's FAFSA information. Those policies must include (1) the time period within which an applicant must provide any documentation requested by the institution in accordance with Title 34, CFR, Section 668.57; (2) the consequences of an applicant's failure to provide the requested documentation within the specified time period; (3) the method by which the institution notifies an applicant of the results of its verification if, as a result of verification, the applicant's EFC changes and that results in a change in the amount of the applicant's assistance under Title IV, Higher Education Act (HEA) of 1965 programs; (4) the procedures the institution will follow itself or the procedures the institution will require an applicant to follow to correct FAFSA information determined to be in error; and (5) the procedures for making referrals under Title 34, CFR, Section 668.16(g).

An institution's procedures must provide that it will furnish, in a timely manner, to each applicant whose FAFSA information is selected for verification a clear explanation of (1) the documentation needed to satisfy the verification requirements and (2) the applicant's responsibilities with respect to the verification of FAFSA information, including the deadlines for completing any required actions and the consequences of failing to complete any required action. An institution's procedures also must provide that an applicant whose FAFSA information is selected for verification is required to complete verification before the institution exercises any authority under Section 479A(a) of the HEA to make changes to the applicant's cost of attendance or to the values of the data items required to calculate the EFC (Title 34, CFR, Section 668.53).

The Health Science Center's verification policies and procedures did not include two of the required elements. Specifically, the Health Science Center's policies and procedures did not include:

- The method by which the institution notifies an applicant of the results of its verification if, as a result of verification, the applicant's EFC changes and that results in a change in the amount of the applicant's student financial assistance. The Health Science Center's policies and procedures indicated that the Health Science Center relied on the U.S. Department of Education to notify students of any changes that result from the verification process.
- A statement specifying that an applicant whose FAFSA information is selected for verification is required to complete verification before the institution makes changes based on professional judgment to the applicant's cost of attendance or to the values of the data items required to calculate the EFC.

Having incomplete policies and procedures increases the risk that the Health Science Center may not perform verification in compliance with requirements and that students may not be aware of the verification results.

#### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2018-116
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Special Tests and Provisions – Key Personnel

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – Multiple
Award numbers – Multiple
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.303).

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Implemented

Federal agencies that award R&D funds

The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio (Health Science Center) did not have appropriate segregation of duties in its change management process for its time and effort certification application. The Health Science Center uses the services of a third-party contractor to host and maintain that application. All of the contractor's listed developers for the application have access to migrate changes to the application's production environment. The contractor maintains documentation of who developed and approved each change; however, it does not document the personnel who migrated the change. As a result, for all 10 changes tested, auditors were unable to confirm that the developer did not also migrate the change to the application's production environment. Not maintaining appropriate segregation of duties or having appropriate controls to track the migration of code to the production environment increases the risk of unauthorized or unintended programming changes being made to critical information systems.

#### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2017-161
Special Tests and Provisions – Disbursements To or On Behalf of Students Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Cash Management
Eligibility
Reporting

**Special Tests and Provisions – Verification** 

Special Tests and Provisions – Return of Title IV Funds Special Tests and Provisions – Enrollment Reporting

Special Tests and Provisions – Borrower Data Transmission and Reconciliation (Direct Loan)

Special Tests and Provisions – Institutional Eligibility

Student Financial Assistance Cluster

Award year - July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Award numbers – CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, P007A164169; CFDA 84.033, Federal Work-Study Program, P033A164169; CFDA 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan – Federal Capital Contributions, Award Number Not Applicable; CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program, P063P163294; CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans, P268K173294; and CFDA 84.379, Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants, P379T173294

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample

Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institutions are managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

Initial Year Written: 2017 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Education

### The University did not appropriately restrict access to its student financial assistance system, Banner. Specifically:

- One employee had inappropriate access to budget tables, default disbursement dates, and funding rules.
   That employee transferred from the financial aid office to a different department within the University, but the University did not modify that employee's access. After auditors brought that issue to the University's attention, the University removed that employee's user account.
- Four former employees had inappropriate access to Banner. The University locked those employees' user accounts within an appropriate time frame after they separated from the University; however, it did not perform its quarterly inactive user account review process (which removes user accounts after three months of inactivity) during two quarters of the year. Auditors verified that the former employees did not access Banner after they separated from the University.

Allowing inappropriate or excessive access to a system increases the risk of inappropriate changes to the system.

#### Corrective Action:

Reference No. 2018-117
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Program Income
Special Tests and Provisions – Key Personnel

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – Multiple
Award numbers – Multiple
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.303).

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

Federal agencies that award R&D funds

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (Cancer Center) did not have appropriate segregation of duties in its change management process for its time and effort certification application. The Cancer Center uses the services of a third-party contractor to host and maintain that application. All of the contractor's listed developers for the application have access to migrate changes to the application's production environment. The contractor maintains documentation of who developed and approved each change; however, it does not document the personnel who migrated the change. As a result, for all 10 changes tested, auditors were unable to confirm that the developer did not also migrate the change to the application's production environment. Not maintaining appropriate segregation of duties or having appropriate controls to track the migration of code to the production environment increases the risk of unauthorized or unintended programming changes being made to critical information systems.

The Cancer Center did not appropriately restrict access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, the Cancer Center did not remove the account for a user who was no longer employed with the Cancer Center. In addition, the Cancer Center did not conduct an effective user access review for all system levels to verify that access was appropriately limited to current employees. Allowing users inappropriate access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### Recommendation:

The Cancer Center should appropriately limit user access to current employees and strengthen its user access review process for all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center has implemented controls to limit user access to current employees and strengthened its user access review process. In March 2018, the Cancer Center implemented a new Identity Management System; the system is supported by reports that identify discrepancies between the Identity Management System and its active user directory. All discrepancies are investigated and remediated.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center will implement a validation process between the Identity Management System and PeopleSoft and will investigate and remediate discrepancy reports.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center implemented all corrective action documented in its Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 Management Response in September 2019. The Cancer Center requested that SAO not test prior year findings for Systems Access because retesting would have covered all of FY 2019 (September 2018 through August 2019), the time period before corrective action was fully implemented.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### Systems Access:

Not applicable; all corrective action documented in the Cancer Center's FY 2018 Management Response was implemented by or before September 2019 and will be retested in FY 2020.

Implementation Date: September 2019

Responsible Person: Craig Owen

### Reference No. 2018-118 Cash Management

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – Multiple
Award numbers – Multiple
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Interest on Advances

A non-federal entity must maintain advances of federal funds in interest-bearing accounts unless: (1) the non-federal entity receives less than \$120,000 in federal awards per year, (2) the best reasonably available interest-bearing account would not be expected to earn interest in excess of \$500 per year on federal cash balances, or (3) the depository would require an average or minimum balance so high that it would not be feasible within the expected federal and non-federal cash resources (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

Federal agencies that make advances of R&D funds

200.305(b)(8)). Interest earned up to \$500 per year may be retained by the non-federal entity for administrative expense. Any additional interest earned on federal advance payments deposited in interest-bearing accounts must be remitted annually to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Payment Management System (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.305(b)(9)).

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (Cancer Center) did not identify, track, or remit to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services interest it earned on federal funds received in advance of program expenses for fiscal year 2018. The Cancer Center previously had a process in place to track federal projects that receive advances of federal funds and to calculate and remit interest earned on those advances; however, it asserted that it discontinued that process due to a misinterpretation of federal guidance. Because the Cancer Center did not have a process in place to identify and track advances of federal funds, auditors were unable to determine the actual amount of interest that it would be required to remit, if any, to the federal government for fiscal year 2018.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Cancer Center did not appropriately restrict access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, the Cancer Center did not remove the account for a user who was no longer employed with the Cancer Center. In addition, the Cancer Center did not conduct an effective user access review for all system levels to verify that access was appropriately limited to current employees. Allowing users inappropriate access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### Recommendation:

The Cancer Center should appropriately limit user access to current employees and strengthen its user access review process for all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center has implemented controls to limit user access to current employees and strengthened its user access review process. In March 2018, the Cancer Center implemented a new Identity Management System; the system is supported by reports that identify discrepancies between the Identity Management System and its active user directory. All discrepancies are investigated and remediated.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center will implement a validation process between the Identity Management System and PeopleSoft and will investigate and remediate discrepancy reports.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center implemented all corrective action documented in its FY 2018 Management Response in September 2019. The Cancer Center requested that SAO not test prior year findings for Systems Access because retesting would have covered all of FY 2019 (September 2018 through August 2019), the time period before corrective action was fully implemented.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### Systems Access:

Not applicable; all corrective action documented in the Cancer Center's FY 2018 Management Response was implemented by or before September 2019 and will be retested in FY 2020.

Implementation Date: September 2019

Responsible Person: Craig Owen

Reference No. 2018-119
Equipment and Real Property Management

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Equipment

A recipient's property records for equipment acquired with federal funds must be maintained accurately and include all of the following: a description of the equipment; serial number or other identification number; the source of funding for the equipment, including the federal award identification number; whether title vests in the recipient or the federal government; acquisition date and cost of the equipment; the percentage of federal participation in the cost of the equipment; the location, use, and condition of the equipment; and ultimate disposition data,

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Defense
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

including the date of disposal and sale price (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.313(d)(1)). A control system must be developed to ensure that adequate safeguards are in place to prevent loss, damage, or theft of the equipment. Any loss, damage, or theft must be investigated (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.313(d)(3)).

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (Cancer Center) did not maintain adequate property records for 15 (22 percent) of 68 equipment items tested. Specifically, for 9 items tested, the property records contained an inaccurate serial number for each item and for 6 items tested, the property records contained an incorrect location for each item. Those errors occurred because the Cancer Center either (1) did not enter information into its property records accurately or (2) because it did not always appropriately update its property records when conducting its annual inventory.

In addition, the Cancer Center did not always adequately safeguard its equipment. For 1 (1 percent) of 68 equipment items selected for physical inspection, the Cancer Center was unable to locate the item. The Cancer Center did not identify that the item was missing until auditors selected that item for testing.

Not maintaining accurate property records and not adequately safeguarding equipment increases the risk that equipment may be lost or stolen.

The following awards were affected by the equipment issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-1-0218	July 15, 2014 to April 14, 2016
93.286	Discovery and Applied Research for Technological Innovations to Improve Human Health	UTA07-816 (the Cancer Center received funds as a pass-through from the University of Texas at Austin)	September 1, 2007 to February 28, 2013
93.286	Discovery and Applied Research for Technological Innovations to Improve Human Health	5R01EB000117-04	June 1, 2002 to March 31, 2008
93.393	Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	5R01CA160394-04	May 1, 2012, to March 31, 2016
93.395	Cancer Treatment Research	5P01CA124787-05	September 18, 2008 to August 31, 2014
93.395	Cancer Treatment Research	5UG1CA189828-03-MDA1 (the Cancer Center received funds as a pass- through from ECOG - ACRIN Medical Research Foundation, Inc.)	July 1, 2017 to July 31, 2019
93.395	Cancer Treatment Research	5U10CA010953-45	September 1, 1978 to December 31, 2014
93.395	Cancer Treatment Research	5R01CA182450-03	August 1, 2014 to July 31, 2018
93.395	Cancer Treatment Research	CBPO361W-00 (the Cancer Center received funds as a pass-through from the Frontier Science and Technology Research Foundation, Inc.)	August 1, 2014, to February 28, 2016
93.395	Cancer Treatment Research	5R01CA061508-15	September 17, 1993 to March 31, 2010
93.396	Cancer Biology Research	5R01CA111999-05	June 19, 2006 to April 30, 2011
93.397	Cancer Centers Support Grants	5P50CA097007-10	September 30, 2002 to July 31, 2015

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
93.397	Cancer Centers Support Grants	5P30CA016672-27	July 1, 1978 to June 30, 2003
93.701	Trans-NIH Recovery Act Research Support	5R01NS045602-07	April 1, 2003 to June 30, 2012
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5R01Al063063-06	December 15, 2004 to November 30, 2009

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Cancer Center did not appropriately restrict access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, the Cancer Center did not remove the account for a user who was no longer employed with the Cancer Center. In addition, the Cancer Center did not conduct an effective user access review for all system levels to verify that access was appropriately limited to current employees. Allowing users inappropriate access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### **Recommendations:**

The Cancer Center should:

- Strengthen controls to ensure that it updates and maintains accurate property records.
- Strengthen controls to ensure that it adequately safeguards its equipment to prevent loss, damage, or theft of equipment.
- Appropriately limit user access to current employees and strengthen its user access review process for all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

#### **Equipment and Real Property Management:**

The Cancer Center agrees that accurate property records must be maintained for equipment acquired with federal funds. We also acknowledge that many institutional assets are primarily mobile throughout their functional lifecycles, rendering asset location a moving target.

We also wish to highlight that the item unable to be located is a rotor for a centrifuge that represents a small component of the overall centrifuge equipment.

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center has implemented controls to limit user access to current employees and strengthened its user access review process. In March 2018, the Cancer Center implemented a new Identity Management System; the system is supported by reports that identify discrepancies between the Identity Management System and its active user directory. All discrepancies are investigated and remediated.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### **Equipment and Real Property Management:**

- The Cancer Center will continue to emphasize the use of bar code scanners for asset data collection during the receiving and tagging process to alleviate the use of manual data entry to capture serial numbers by providing additional training to Asset Control and Receiving staff.
- The Cancer Center will communicate with Principal Investigators and departmental staff regarding the need to update Asset Management when assets are moved.

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center will implement a validation process between the Identity Management System and PeopleSoft and will investigate and remediate discrepancy reports.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

#### **Equipment and Real Property Management:**

The Cancer Center agrees that accurate property records must be maintained for equipment acquired with federal funds. We also acknowledge that many institutional assets are primarily mobile throughout their functional lifecycles, rendering asset location a moving target.

Complete accuracy in data capture is an ongoing goal and objective of the Cancer Center. Aligned with guidance prescribed in Part 6 of 2 CFR Part 200, Appendix XI (the Compliance Supplement), the Cancer Center continuously seeks to apply "the most appropriate and cost-effective internal control in a given environment or circumstance, to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with Federal program requirements."

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center implemented all corrective action documented in its FY 2018 Management Response in September 2019. The Cancer Center requested that SAO not test prior year findings for Systems Access because retesting would have covered all of FY 2019 (September 2018 through August 2019), the time period before corrective action was fully implemented.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### **Equipment and Real Property Management:**

- The Cancer Center will continue to emphasize the use of bar code scanners for asset data collection during the receiving and tagging process to alleviate the use of manual data entry to capture serial numbers by providing training to Asset Control and Receiving staff.
- The Cancer Center will communicate with Principal Investigators and departmental staff regarding the need to update Asset Management when assets are moved.

Implementation Date: Ongoing throughout FY 19 and FY 20

Responsible Person: Michael Keneker

#### Systems Access:

Not applicable; all corrective action documented in the Cancer Center's FY 2018 Management Response was implemented by or before September 2019 and will be retested in FY 2020.

Implementation Date: September 2019

Responsible Person: Craig Owen

Reference No. 2018-120 Period of Performance

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Period of Performance

A recipient may charge to a federal award only allowable costs incurred during the period of performance and any costs incurred before the federal award that were authorized by the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.309). Costs must be necessary and reasonable for the performance of the federal award to be allowable (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.403(a)).

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Defense
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (Cancer Center) did not always ensure that costs charged to federal awards were allowable and/or incurred within the period of performance. For 8 (13 percent) of 60 transactions tested, the Cancer Center incurred the cost after the period of performance for the federal award or incurred the cost within the period of performance but the cost was unallowable. Specifically:

- For 2 transactions, the federal award was amended to shorten the period of performance. When the Cancer Center received the amendment, it did not update its financial system with the new project end date, which allowed costs to continue to post to the account. This resulted in a total of \$4,580 in questioned costs associated with award number W81XWH-16-1-0126.
- For 2 transactions, an order was placed for items after the period of performance. The Cancer Center asserted that this was due to an oversight by the principal investigator. For one of those transactions, the Cancer Center subsequently transferred the costs to a non-federal account; therefore, there are no questioned costs. For the other transaction, the error resulted in a total of \$618 in questioned costs associated with award number 5R01CA159042-05.
- For 4 transactions, an order was placed for items within the last few days or on the last day of the period of performance. Although those costs were incurred within the period of performance, those costs were not allowable for the federal award. The Cancer Center asserted that this was due to an oversight by the principal investigator. This resulted in a total of \$1,673 in questioned costs associated with award number 12-00482 and \$2,392 in questioned costs associated with award number THE-177821-03.

The following awards were affected by the period of performance issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-16-1-0126	September 30, 2016 to September 29, 2017
93.394	Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Research	5R01CA159042-05	March 1, 2011 to February 28, 2018
93.395	Cancer Treatment Research	12-00482 (the Cancer Center received funds as a pass-through from the New York University School of Medicine)	July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	THE-177821-03 (the Cancer Center received funds as a pass-through from the Mayo Clinic)	June 1, 2016 to February 28, 2018

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Cancer Center did not appropriately restrict access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, the Cancer Center did not remove the account for a user who was no longer employed with the Cancer Center. In addition, the Cancer Center did not conduct an effective user access review for all system levels to verify that access was appropriately limited to current employees. Allowing users inappropriate access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### Recommendations:

The Cancer Center should:

- Develop and implement a process to ensure that it complies with all period of performance requirements for federal awards.
- Appropriately limit user access to current employees and strengthen its user access review process for all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

#### Period of Performance:

The Cancer Center agrees that all charges must comport with Department of Health and Human Services Hospital Cost Principles for Federally Sponsored Research Activities (Cost Principles) as promulgated in 2 CFR 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance), Appendix IX. We agree that charges incurred near the end of the award period require additional review, oversight, and justification to ensure compliance with the Cost Principles considering Period of Performance regulations. We also recognize that research continues up to the award end date, and as such, not all charges incurred near, at, or after the award end date are, by their nature, unallowable. We will implement a revised process considering all of these factors.

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center has implemented controls to limit user access to current employees and strengthened its user access review process. In March 2018, the Cancer Center implemented a new Identity Management System; the system is supported by reports that identify discrepancies between the Identity Management System and its active user directory. All discrepancies are investigated and remediated.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### Period of Performance:

- The Cancer Center will remove/refund each questioned cost from its respective award.
- The Cancer Center is developing workflow to ensure high-risk direct charge and cost transfer transactions are reviewed and approved centrally the Grants and Contracts Accounting Office (GCA). In conjunction with these updated processes and GCA responsibilities, training will be deployed to re-educate GCA staff on the Cost Principles and Period of Performance regulations.
- The Cancer Center is conducting institution training to educate Principal Investigators and departmental staff on award closeout regulations, roles, and responsibilities.
- The Cancer Center is conducting training, developing processes, and developing supporting tools to reduce the likelihood that award set-up and amendment transactions are entered into the financial system incorrectly.

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center will implement a validation process between the Identity Management System and PeopleSoft and will investigate and remediate discrepancy reports.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

#### Period of Performance:

The Cancer Center implemented all corrective action documented in its FY 2018 Management Response by or before June 2019. The Cancer Center requested that SAO not test prior year findings for Period of Performance because retesting would have covered all of FY 2019 (September 2018 through August 2019), including the time period before corrective action was fully implemented.

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center implemented all corrective action documented in its FY 2018 Management Response in September 2019. The Cancer Center requested that SAO not test prior year findings for Systems Access because retesting would have covered all of FY 2019 (September 2018 through August 2019), the time period before corrective action was fully implemented.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### Period of Performance:

Not applicable; all corrective action documented in the Cancer Center's FY 2018 Management Response was implemented by or before June 2019 and will be retested in FY 2020.

Implementation Date: June 2019

Responsible Person: Michael Keneker

#### Systems Access:

Not applicable; all corrective action documented in the Cancer Center's FY 2018 Management Response was implemented by or before September 2019 and will be retested in FY 2020.

Implementation Date: September 2019

Responsible Person: Craig Owen

Reference No. 2018-121 Reporting

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Financial Reporting

Recipients are required to report financial information to ensure effective monitoring of federal awards (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.327). Recipients use the Federal Financial Report Standard Form (SF-425), or alternate forms of financial reporting that report the same or similar information, to report financial activity to federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget provides specific instructions for completing the SF-425, including definitions and requirements of key reporting elements.

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially implemented

U.S. Department of Defense
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (Cancer Center) did not ensure that its financial reports were accurate and complete for 22 (58 percent) of 38 reports tested. Specifically:

- For 11 reports, the Cancer Center incorrectly reported project date information, including the project period date and the indirect cost rate period.
- For 6 reports, the Cancer Center incorrectly reported financial information, including the federal funds authorized, federal share of expenditures, federal share of unliquidated obligations, indirect cost amount, and the indirect cost base amount.
- For 5 reports, the Cancer Center incorrectly reported both project date information and financial information.

While the Cancer Center reviewed its financial reports prior to submission, that review process was not sufficient to ensure that the financial reports were accurate and complete. Inaccurate information in financial reports increases the risk that federal agencies could rely on inaccurate information to manage and monitor their awards.

The following awards were affected by the reporting issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-1-0554	September 22, 2014 to September 21, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-17-1-0611	September 1, 2017 to August 31, 2019
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0140	September 15, 2015 to September 14, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-16-1-0717	September 30, 2016 to September 29, 2019
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0482	September 30, 2015 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-1-0109	September 15, 2014 to September 14, 2019
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-1-0576	September 30, 2014 to September 29, 2017
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0662	September 21, 2015 to June 20, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-16-1-0289	September 15, 2016 to September 14, 2019
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0142	August 15, 2015 to August 14, 2018
93.279	Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	5K01DA034752-05	June 1, 2013 to June 2, 2017
93.393	Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	5R01CA109298-13	July 1, 2004 to July 31, 2017
93.393	Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	5R01CA169603-05	April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2018

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
93.393	Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	5R01CA087546-16	July 1, 2000 to March 31, 2018
93.394	Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Research	5U01CA111302-10	September 28, 2004 to June 30, 2017
93.396	Cancer Biology Research	5P01CA117969-12	December 1, 2005 to March 31, 2021
93.397	Cancer Centers Support Grants	4U54CA096300-14	August 16, 2002 to August 31, 2018
93.398	Cancer Research Manpower	5T32CA009599-29	May 25, 1994 to January 31, 2018
93.398	Cancer Research Manpower	4R25CA056452-24	July 3, 2013 to June 30, 2018
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	5R01NS081684-04	September 1, 2012 to May 31, 2017
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	4R01GM084459-14	January 1, 2003 to November 30, 2017
93.867	Vision Research	4R01EY011930-18	August 1, 1997 to April 30, 2017

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Cancer Center did not appropriately restrict access to certain systems it uses to manage its research and development programs. Specifically, the Cancer Center did not remove the account for a user who was no longer employed with the Cancer Center. In addition, the Cancer Center did not conduct an effective user access review for all system levels to verify that access was appropriately limited to current employees. Allowing users inappropriate access to systems increases the risk of inappropriate changes to those systems.

#### Recommendations:

The Cancer Center should:

- Strengthen controls to ensure that the federal financial reports it submits are complete and accurate.
- Appropriately limit user access to current employees and strengthen its user access review process for all system levels.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

#### Reportina:

The Cancer Center agrees and acknowledges that accuracy in reporting is necessary. While accurate reporting is important across the board, we see the severity and impact of financial data as being more critical than administrative/demographic data.

We also wish to highlight that no unallowable charges were incurred by applicable sponsoring agencies as a result of inaccurate financial reports.

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center has implemented controls to limit user access to current employees and strengthened its user access review process. In March 2018, the Cancer Center implemented a new Identity Management System; the system is supported by reports that identify discrepancies between the Identity Management System and its active user directory. All discrepancies are investigated and remediated.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### Reporting:

- The Cancer Center has developed training to further educate GCA staff on federal financial reporting regulations.
- The Cancer Center is developing a process to enhance its monitoring of federal financial reports by increasing the number of reports that are reviewed by Management prior to submission.

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center will implement a validation process between the Identity Management System and PeopleSoft and will investigate and remediate discrepancy reports.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

#### Reporting:

The Cancer Center implemented all corrective action documented in its FY 2018 Management Response by or before March 2019. The Cancer Center requested that SAO not test prior year findings for Reporting because retesting would have covered all of FY 2019 (September 2018 through August 2019), including the time period before corrective action was fully implemented.

#### Systems Access:

The Cancer Center implemented all corrective action documented in its FY 2018 Management Response in September 2019. The Cancer Center requested that SAO not test prior year findings for Systems Access because retesting would have covered all of FY 2019 (September 2018 through August 2019), the time period before corrective action was fully implemented.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

#### Reporting:

Not applicable; all corrective action documented in the Cancer Center's FY 2018 Management Response was implemented by or before March 2019 and will be retested in FY 2020.

Implementation Date: March 2019

Responsible Person: Michael Keneker

#### Systems Access:

Not applicable; all corrective action documented in the Cancer Center's FY 2018 Management Response was implemented by or before September 2019 and will be retested in FY 2020.

Implementation Date: September 2019

Responsible Person: Craig Owen

Reference No. 2018-122
Cash Management
Period of Performance
Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-040 and 2016-043)

Research and Development Cluster Award years – See below Award numbers – See below

#### Non-Major Program:

CFDA 97.036 – Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
Award year – 2008
Award number – 1791DRTX
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Interest on Advances

A non-federal entity must maintain advances of federal funds in interest-bearing accounts unless: (1) the non-federal entity receives less than \$120,000 in federal awards per year, (2) the best reasonably available interest-bearing account would not be expected to earn interest in excess of \$500 per year on federal cash balances, or (3) the depository would require an average or minimum balance so high that it would not be feasible within the expected federal and non-

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Defense

federal cash resources (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.305(b)(8)). Interest earned up to \$500 per year may be retained by the non-federal entity for administrative expense. Any additional interest earned on federal advance payments deposited in interest-bearing accounts must be remitted annually to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Payment Management System (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.305(b)(9)).

The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (Medical Branch) did not correctly calculate the amount of interest it was required to remit to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Specifically, the Medical Branch separately tracked and calculated the amount of interest to remit for each project that received advances of federal funds. It also separately retained an amount for administrative expense for each project. Instead, it should have calculated the total amount of interest earned for all projects and retained \$500 for administrative expense for the Medical Branch as a whole.

In addition, the Medical Branch did not correctly calculate the full amount of interest earned because when it calculated the interest earned, it netted the positive cash balances of projects for which it received advances with the negative cash balances of projects that had expenditures that preceded the federal advances. Instead, it should have calculated the interest earned only on the advances of federal funds.

As a result of the issues described above, the Medical Branch should have remitted an additional \$1,306 in interest.

The following awards were affected by the issues discussed above.

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-12-1-0429	September 27, 2012 to September 26, 2017
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-12-1-0598	September 30, 2012 to September 29, 2016
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-12-2-0086	September 14, 2012 to September 13, 2017
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-13-1-0492	September 30, 2013 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-2-0160	September 15, 2014 to September 14, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-2-0161	September 30, 2014 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-2-0162	September 30, 2014 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-2-0195	September 30, 2014 to September 29, 2018
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0143	July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2019
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-15-1-0372	September 30, 2015 to March 29, 2018

#### Other Compliance Areas and Non-Major Program

Although the general control weaknesses described below apply to period of performance and procurement and suspension and debarment, auditors identified no compliance issues regarding those compliance requirements. The general control weaknesses described below also apply to CFDA 97.036 – Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters).

#### **General Controls**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Medical Branch did not appropriately restrict access to a tool in its information technology system that allows users to modify data in the production environment. When the Medical Branch upgraded the system, it inadvertently granted inappropriate access to that tool to employees who did not need that access. The Medical Branch removed the inappropriate access after auditors brought the issue to its attention. Inappropriate access increases the risk of unauthorized or unintended modification to production data.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

### Reference No. 2018-123 Equipment and Real Property Management

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Equipment

A recipient's property records for equipment acquired with federal funds must be maintained accurately and include all of the following: a description of the equipment; serial number or other identification number; the source of funding for the equipment, including the federal award identification number; whether title vests in the recipient or the federal government; acquisition date and cost of the equipment; the percentage of federal participation in the cost of the equipment; the location, use, and condition of the equipment; and ultimate disposition data, including the date of disposal and sale price (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.313(d)(1)). A control system must be developed to ensure that adequate safeguards are in place to prevent loss, damage, or

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

National Aeronautics and Space Administration National Science Foundation U.S. Department of Health and Human Services U.S. Agency for International Development

theft of the equipment. Any loss, damage, or theft must be investigated (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.313(d)(3)).

Equipment is defined as tangible personal property, including information technology systems, having a useful life of more than one year and a per-unit acquisition cost that equals or exceeds the lesser of the capitalization level established by the recipient for financial statement purposes or \$5,000. Information technology systems are defined to include computing hardware, firmware, and software (Title 2, CFR, Sections 200.33 and 200.58).

The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (Medical Branch) did not maintain complete and accurate property records. Specifically:

The Medical Branch did not include in its property records the use and condition of the equipment and whether title vests in the Medical Branch or federal government for all equipment items tested. The Medical Branch asserted that it does not track this information in its asset management system.

- For 16 (22 percent) of 72 equipment items tested, the property record was inaccurate for one or more of the following required elements: item location, serial number or other identification number, or disposition information. Those errors occurred because the Medical Branch either (1) did not enter property records accurately and completely into its asset management system or (2) did not always follow its policies and procedures to update property records as needed.
- For 5 (42 percent) of 12 equipment disposals tested, the Medical Branch improperly removed the items from its property records. Those items were all computer software items purchased with federal awards. The Medical Branch removed those items from its asset management system because it incorrectly identified them as not having to be tracked as equipment. The Medical Branch provided a list of computer software it removed from its asset management system, and auditors identified an additional 13 items purchased with federal funds that the Medical Branch removed from its property records.
- For 4 (33 percent) of 12 equipment disposals reviewed, the Medical Branch did not maintain in its property records the funding source information, including the catalog of federal domestic assistance (CFDA) number, federal awarding agency, and federal award number. Without federal award information, auditors were unable to determine if the Medical Branch followed any applicable federal awarding agency disposition instructions.

In addition, the Medical Branch did not always adequately safeguard its equipment. For 5 (7 percent) of 72 equipment items selected for physical inspection, the Medical Branch was unable to locate the item. The Medical Branch asserted that 4 of those items were moved to other locations; however, it was unable to provide documentation supporting those relocations. Auditors were unable to confirm that those items were adequately safeguarded. The Medical Branch did not identify that 1 item was missing until auditors selected that item for testing.

Not maintaining complete and accurate property records and not adequately safeguarding equipment increases the risk that equipment may be lost, stolen, or improperly disposed.

The following awards were affected by the issues discussed above.

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
43.001	Science	Unknown	Unknown
47.000	National Science Foundation	NSFDACS119442 (the Medical Branch received funds as a pass- through from Lockheed Martin Corporation)	March 31, 2012 to March 30, 2025
93.000	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	N01-AI- 40097/HHSN266	September 30, 2004 to September 30, 2010
93.084	Prevention of Disease, Disability, and Death by Infectious Diseases	5U01CK000512-02	December 30, 2016 to December 29, 2021
93.242	Mental Health Research Grants	5U01MH083507-05	June 5, 2008 to April 30, 2013

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
93.350	National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences	5UL1TR001439-04	August 18, 2015 to March 31, 2020
93.837	Cardiovascular Diseases Research	5R01HL119869-05	August 9, 2013 to July 1, 2017
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	5P01NS39161	January 11, 2001 to December 31, 2007
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5UC7AI094660-07	May 1, 2016 to April 30, 2021
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5R01AI087643	December 15, 2010 to November 30, 2016
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5UC7AI1070083- 05	May 3, 2006 to April 20, 2012
93.866	Aging Research	5P30AG024832-05	June 15, 2005 to May 31, 2010
98.001	USAID Foreign Assistance for Programs Overseas	AIDOAAA1300084 (the Medical Branch received funds as a pass- through from the University of Texas at El Paso)	September 27, 2013 to September 26, 2017

Other awards were affected by the issues discussed above; however, because the Medical Branch did not maintain the award information, a complete list of awards affected could not be determined.

#### Recommendations:

The Medical Branch should:

- Strengthen controls to ensure that it updates and maintains accurate property records for all equipment acquired with federal funds and that its property records include all required information.
- Strengthen controls to ensure that it adequately safeguards its equipment to prevent loss or theft of equipment.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

#### Equipment and Real Property Management:

Management agrees with the auditor's recommendation.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### **Equipment and Real Property Management:**

UTMB will update its procedures and provide training to asset custodians to ensure the assets are in proper condition and adequately safeguarded. In addition, UTMB will update its procedures so that the records reflect the use and condition, title and federal award information of the assets.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

Management agrees with the auditor's recommendation.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The Medical Branch updated its procedures so that the records reflect the title and federal award information of the assets. In addition, the Medical Branch will finalize its procedures and training to ensure the assets are in proper condition, adequately safeguarded and reflected in the property records.

Implementation Date: February 2020

Responsible Person: Michael Linton

#### **General Controls:**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Medical Branch did not appropriately restrict access to a tool in its information technology system that allows users to modify data in the production environment. When the Medical Branch upgraded the system, it inadvertently granted inappropriate access to that tool to employees who did not need that access. The Medical Branch removed the inappropriate access after auditors brought the issue to its attention. Inappropriate access increases the risk of unauthorized or unintended modification to production data.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2018-124 Reporting

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Financial Reporting

Recipients are required to report financial information to ensure effective monitoring of federal awards (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.327). Recipients use the Federal Financial Report Standard Form (SF-425), or alternate forms of financial reporting that report the same or similar information, to report financial activity to federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget provides specific instructions for completing the SF-425, including definitions and requirements of key reporting elements.

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status: Partially Implemented

- U.S. Department of Defense
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- U.S. Agency for International Development

The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (Medical Branch) did not ensure that its financial reports were accurate and complete. For 7 (18 percent) of 40 reports tested, the Medical Branch incorrectly reported one or more reporting elements. Specifically:

- For 3 reports tested, the Medical Branch did not report federally authorized funds that had been incurred but not yet paid as unliquidated obligations. Those errors occurred because the Medical Branch's practice was to not report encumbrances as unliquidated obligations.
- For 3 reports tested, the Medical Branch incorrectly reported the effective period for the indirect cost rate. Also, it did not complete the reporting period end date for 1 of those 3 reports. Those errors occurred because of manual errors the Medical Branch made when preparing the financial reports.
- For 1 report tested, the Medical Branch did not correctly report the total federal funds authorized as of the reporting period end date because of a manual error the Medical Branch made when preparing that financial report.

In addition, while the Medical Branch had a process in place to review and approve financial reports prior to submission, that review and approval process was not sufficient to ensure that the financial reports were accurate and complete. Inaccurate information in financial reports increases the risk that federal agencies and pass-through entities could rely on inaccurate information to manage and monitor their awards.

The following awards were affected by the reporting issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.360	Research on Chemical and Biological Defense	HDTRA117C0009	February 1, 2017 to April 30, 2019
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-2-0195	September 30, 2014 to September 29, 2018
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5R01Al132323-02	June 20, 2017 to May 31, 2018
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5U01AI115577-03	June 15, 2016 to May 31, 2020
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5U19AI109711-04	March 1, 2014 to February 28, 2019
98.001	USAID Foreign Assistance for Programs Overseas	AIDOAAA1400010	May 1, 2017 to October 15, 2018

#### **Recommendation:**

The Medical Branch should strengthen controls to ensure that the financial reports it submits are complete and accurate.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

#### Reporting:

The Medical Branch acknowledges and agrees with the finding. Through analysis of the exceptions identified in the audit, the Medical Branch is working to develop and implement corrective actions to ensure compliance.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

#### Reporting:

The Medical Branch will strengthen controls by reviewing and revising the procedures for preparation and review of financial reports to ensure their accuracy.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The Medical Branch acknowledges and agrees with the finding and is working to develop and implement corrective actions to ensure compliance.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The Medical Branch will develop training to ensure financial reports are accurately prepared and reviewed.

Implementation Date: May 2020

Responsible Person: Claudia Delgado

#### **General Controls:**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Medical Branch did not appropriately restrict access to a tool in its information technology system that allows users to modify data in the production environment. When the Medical Branch upgraded the system, it inadvertently granted inappropriate access to that tool to employees who did not need that access. The Medical Branch removed the inappropriate access after auditors brought the issue to its attention. Inappropriate access increases the risk of unauthorized or unintended modification to production data.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2018-125
Special Tests and Provisions – Key Personnel
Activities Allowed or Unallowed
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
(Prior Audit Issues – 2017-040 and 2016-043)

Research and Development Cluster Award years – See below Award numbers – See below

#### Non-Major Program:

CFDA 97.036 – Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) Award year – 2008

Award number – 1791DRTX

Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### Key Personnel

A recipient of federal awards must obtain approval from federal awarding agencies for (1) changes to a key person specified in the application or the federal award, or (2) the disengagement from the project for more than three months or a 25 percent reduction in time devoted to the project by the approved project director or principal investigator (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.308(c)(1)).

Initial Year Written: 2016 Status: Partially Implemented

U.S. Department of Defense National Science Foundation U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (Medical Branch) did not consistently ensure that key personnel were involved in projects as required. Specifically, for 8 (13 percent) of 60 projects tested, the key personnel specified in the award agreement did not meet the identified level of involvement for fiscal year 2018. The Medical Branch did not obtain approval from the federal awarding agency for changes to the level of involvement for the key personnel for all 8 of those projects. Those errors occurred because the Medical Branch

Not obtaining prior approval for reductions in the level of involvement, or disengagement from the project, for key personnel may result in federal sponsors being unaware of changes to key personnel.

The following awards were affected by the issue discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-09-2-0194 (the Medical Branch received funds as a pass-through from the American Burn Association)	September 30, 2009 to October 29, 2018
12.800	Air Force Defense Research Sciences Program	010847-003 (the Medical Branch received funds as a pass-through from the University of Cincinnati)	March 21, 2017 to March 20, 2019
47.049	Mathematical and Physical Sciences	DMS-1361318	September 1, 2014 to August 31, 2018
93.279	Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	5R01DA040621-03	July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2021
93.350	National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences	5UL1TR001439-04	August 18, 2015 to March 31, 2020
93.853	Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	5R01NS096305-03	February 1, 2016 to January 31, 2021
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5P01AI062885-10	July 1, 2005 to August 31, 2018
93.866	Aging Research	5R01AG054025-03	July 15, 2016 to March 31, 2021

#### Recommendation:

The Medical Branch should strengthen its processes for identifying changes to key personnel requiring approval from the federal sponsor and ensure that it requests approval from the federal sponsor prior to those changes taking effect.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2018:

The UTMB agrees with the findings.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2018:

The UTMB Office of Sponsored Programs will develop and deliver training that is specific to management of commitments on sponsored projects to research faculty, department-based grant administrators and Research Services staff.

#### Views of Responsible Officials 2019:

The Medical Branch agrees with the findings.

#### Corrective Action Plan 2019:

The Medical Branch will deliver training to research faculty, department-based grant administrators and Research Services staff.

Implementation Date: December 2020

Responsible Person: Claudia Delgado

#### Other Compliance Areas and Non-Major Program:

Although the general control weaknesses described below apply to activities allowed or unallowed and allowable costs/cost principles, auditors identified no compliance issues regarding those compliance requirements. The general control weaknesses described below also apply to CFDA 97.036 – Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters).

#### **General Controls:**

Institutions must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards that provides reasonable assurance that the institution is managing federal awards in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.303).

The Medical Branch did not have appropriate segregation of duties in its change management process for its time and effort certification application. The Medical Center uses the services of a third-party contractor to host and maintain that application. All of the contractor's listed developers for the application have access to migrate changes to the application's production environment. The contractor maintains documentation of who developed and approved each change; however, it does not document the personnel who migrated the change. As a result, for all 10 changes tested, auditors were unable to confirm that the developer did not also migrate the change to the application's production environment. Not maintaining appropriate segregation of duties or having appropriate controls to track the migration of code to the production environment increases the risk of unauthorized or unintended programming changes being made to critical information systems.

The Medical Branch did not appropriately restrict access to a tool in its information technology system that allows users to modify data in the production environment. When the Medical Branch upgraded the system, it inadvertently granted inappropriate access to that tool to employees who did not need that access. The Medical Branch removed the inappropriate access after auditors brought the issue to its attention. Inappropriate access increases the risk of unauthorized or unintended modification to production data.

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2018-126 Equipment and Real Property Management (Prior Audit Issue – 2015-153)

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

#### **Equipment**

A recipient's property records for equipment acquired with federal funds must be maintained accurately and include all of the following: a description of the equipment; serial number or other identification number; the source of funding for the equipment, including the federal award identification number; whether title vests in the recipient or the federal government; acquisition date and cost of the equipment; the percentage of federal participation in the cost of the equipment; the location, use, and condition of the equipment; and ultimate disposition data,

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Implemented

National Science Foundation U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

including the date of disposal and sale price (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.313(d)(1)). A control system must be developed to ensure that adequate safeguards are in place to prevent loss, damage, or theft of the equipment. Any loss, damage, or theft must be investigated (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.313(d)(3)).

### The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (Medical Center) did not maintain accurate property records for 19 (31 percent) of 62 equipment items tested. Specifically:

- For 5 items, the property records contained an incorrect location for the item. For 2 of those items, the property records were not updated to reflect that the items were transferred to another university when the principal investigator transferred. For 2 of those items, the property records were not updated to indicate that the item was traded-in for credit toward the purchase of a new equipment item. For 1 of those items, the property record indicated that the item was located in a storage closet; however, that item was in use. Those errors occurred because the Medical Center did not always follow its process to inform the asset management department when an item was relocated or disposed. For all 5 items, the Medical Center was able to provide documentation of the item's disposition or it was able to locate the item for physical inspection.
- For 14 items, the property records contained an inaccurate serial number. Those errors occurred because the Medical Center either (1) did not enter information into its property records accurately or (2) did not always appropriately update its property records when conducting its annual inventory. For all 14 items, the Medical Center was able to identify the item through other means, such as the description of the equipment, and it was able to locate the item for physical inspection.

In addition, the Medical Center did not always adequately safeguard its equipment. For 1 (2 percent) of 56 equipment items selected for physical inspection, the Medical Center was unable to locate the item. The Medical Center did not identify that the item was missing until auditors selected that item for testing.

Not maintaining accurate property records and not adequately safeguarding equipment increases the risk that equipment may be lost, stolen, or improperly disposed.

The following awards were affected by the equipment issues discussed above:

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
47.074	Biological Sciences	1615938	August 1, 2016 to July 31, 2019
93.000	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	N01HV028185	September 30, 2002 to December 31, 2011
93.242	Mental Health Research Grants	5R01MH081060-05	April 15, 2008 to September 29, 2013
93.310	Trans-NIH Research Support	1DP2OD001886-01	September 30, 2007 to August 31, 2012
93.351	Research Infrastructure Programs	1S10OD018094-01A1	April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016
93.393	Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	5R01CA154805-05	December 1, 2012 to June 26, 2017
93.395	Cancer Treatment Research	2P01CA095471-06	September 1, 2007 to July 31, 2013
93.701	Trans-NIH Recovery Act Research Support	3R01DK0389384-S1	September 5, 2009 to August 31, 2010
93.701	Trans-NIH Recovery Act Research Support	3R01DK046993-16S1	January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2010
93.701	Trans-NIH Recovery Act Research Support	3R37DK049835-14S1	January 5, 2010 to March 31, 2010
93.701	Trans-NIH Recovery Act Research Support	1S10RR029731-01	May 20, 2010 to May 19, 2012
93.701	Trans-NIH Recovery Act Research Support	1P30EY020799-01	June 1, 2010 to August 31, 2011
93.837	Cardiovascular Diseases Research	5R01HL067256-09	April 1, 2007 to March 31, 2013
93.837	Cardiovascular Diseases Research	5P01HL020948-35	July 1, 2007 to June 26, 2017
93.838	Lung Diseases Research	5R01HL114977-02	September 1, 2012 to July 31, 2013
93.847	Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Diseases Extramural Research	5R01DK079862-05	August 6, 2007 to July 31, 2014
93.855	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	5R01Al090599-05	September 15, 2010 to August 31, 2017

CFDA No.	CFDA Title	Award Number	Award Year
93.859	Biomedical Research and Research Training	R01GM073165	May 1, 2014 to April 30, 2019

Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

Reference No. 2018-127 Period of Performance (Prior Audit Issue – 2015-154)

Research and Development Cluster
Award years – See below
Award numbers – See below
Statistically valid sample – No and not intended to be a statistically valid sample
Type of finding –Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

A recipient may charge to a federal award only allowable costs incurred during the period of performance and any costs incurred before the federal award that were authorized by the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity (Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 200.309). Unless the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity authorizes an extension, a recipient must liquidate all obligations incurred under the federal award not later than 90 calendar days after the end date of the period of performance as specified in the terms and conditions of the federal award (Title 2, CFR, Section 200.343(b)).

Initial Year Written: 2015 Status: Implemented

U.S. Department of Defense
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (Medical Center) did not ensure that all costs charged to federal awards were incurred within the period of performance and did not always liquidate its obligations within the required time frame. Specifically, for 3 (5 percent) of 61 transactions tested, the Medical Center incurred the cost after the period of performance for the federal award. Those 3 transactions totaling \$26,431 occurred between 16 days and 73 days after the end of the award's period of performance. For one of those transactions, the Medical Center also did not liquidate the obligation within the required time frame. The Medical Center subsequently transferred those costs to non-federal accounts; therefore, there are no questioned costs.

In addition, for 1 (2 percent) of 61 transactions tested, the Medical Center incurred the cost within the period of performance; however, it did not liquidate the obligation within the required time frame. The Medical Center asserted that it did not pay the invoice associated with that transaction in a timely manner due to an issue in its financial system.

Not properly closing out awards increases the risk that unallowable costs could be charged to federal awards.

The following awards were affected by the period of performance issues discussed above:


CFDA No.	CFDA Title	<b>Award Number</b>	Award Year
12.420	Military Medical Research and Development	W81XWH-14-1-0328	September 15 2014, to April 30, 2018
93.393	Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	5R00CA160640-05	August 15, 2012 to January 31, 2018
93.866	Aging Research	16091646-04 (the Medical Center received funds as a pass- through from the Joan & Sanford I. Weill Medical College of Cornell University)	May 1, 2016 to April 30, 2018

#### Corrective Action:

Corrective action was taken.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FEDERAL PORTION OF STATEWIDE SINGLE AUDIT REPORT YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019



## STATE OF TEXAS TABLE OF CONTENTS CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

#### SECTION III OF III (SECTION I AND II BOUND SEPARATELY)

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN	439
AGRICULTURE, DEPARTMENT OF	440
FAMILY AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES, DEPARTMENT OF	442
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMISSION	443
HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF	460
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	461
STATE HEALTH SERVICES, DEPARTMENT OF	462
TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	463
TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD	466
TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION	467
TRANSPORTATION, DEPARTMENT OF	468

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### STATE OF TEXAS CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2019

#### Section III - Corrective Action Plan

Federal regulations, Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Section 200.511, state, "At the completion of the audit, the auditee must prepare, in a document separate from the auditor's findings, a corrective action plan to address each audit finding included in the current year auditor's reports." As part of this responsibility, the auditees' corrective action plans are presented below.



### TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER SID MILLER

<u>Views of Responsible Officials</u>: TDA management agrees with the concept that system controls are necessary. The TDA ISO, IT and Program staff will continue to follow and adhere to all posted agency policies and procedures as they pertain to "Quarterly Security Access Reviews" for all in-scope agency applications.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> TDA will ensure quarterly security access reviews are performed, CAMPS users and F&N Pentaho administrative accounts are reviewed and performed according to the agency's posted policies. TDA Corrective Actions are detailed below:

#### Quarterly Security Access Reviews:

- 1.) The PATHS application has been upgraded and now uses AD for credentialing.
- IT and the ISO have collaborated to create tools, scripts and reports, which are now scheduled and/or automated to help ensure consistency and overall compliance.
- 3.) The ISO will be reviewing all training materials previously provided to TDA managers charged with reviewing their staff's access rights and groups. The additional training will take place to ensure all managers understand the requirements needed to effectively evaluate and approve quarterly access reviews as well as the AD groups and relationships.

#### Terminated CAMPS users:

- 1. TDA IT will continue to follow and adhere to posted agency policies and procedures as they pertain to employee separations and terminations in AD. TDA IT acted correctly and timely regarding processing the termination requests received from HR in a timely manner for these two users and will continue to work with the other impacted business areas of the agency to ensure timely ticket submission to TDA IT is performed regarding any future separations and/or terminations in AD.
- 2. The CAMPS application has been decommissioned.
- The (2) users listed with access did not have static IPs matching the range needed along with a current TDA email account, which would have had to be in place in an effort to submit or attempt to make a change to the CAMPS application.
- 4. The (2) users also lacked any access to the TEST, DEV and PROD environments and had no elevated user's rights, which could have allowed them any opportunity to upload or push any potentially requested changes into any of the agency's environments.

#### F&N Pentaho "Administrative Account".

The (1) shared administrative account(s) has been terminated, disabled and new dev/ops processes were put in place to eliminate shared administrative accounts moving forward.

Implementation Dates: All CAMPS & F&N Pentaho user access issues were resolved and completed on or around August 2019 (Items 2 & 3). All quarterly security access reviews (Item 1) were resumed on or around September 2019 and all new ISO instructions and training will be completed by August 2020.

#### Responsible Persons:

Wes Williams, Information Security Officer, William Butch Grote, Information Resources Manager / Chief Information Officer, and Melissa Dozier Gonzales, Director for F&N Program Support

P.O. BOX 12847 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

TEXASAGRICULTURE.GOV

(512) 463-7476 FAX: (888) 223-8861



### TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER SID MILLER

#### Views of Responsible Officials:

TDA management agrees with the concept that system controls are necessary. We have process and procedures in place, but we will refine those procedures to incorporate a formal review of the SOC reports and strengthen our related complementary controls.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

TDA will develop procedures and a standard template to document the review of the SOC reports, identification of the related complementary controls, testing of those controls and determine any residual risk as it relates to the services provided.

TDA will conduct the review of SOC reports at least annually.

#### Implementation Dates:

Establish procedures and a review template. April 2020 Review the SOC reports and document the review annually.

#### Responsible Persons:

We's Williams, Information Security Officer, William Butch Grote, Information Resources Manager / Chief Information Officer, and Melissa Dozier Gonzales, Director for F&N Program Support



#### **Texas Department of Family and Protective Services**

Reference No. 2019-003

#### Views of Responsible Officials:

In October 2019, DFPS observed a declining number of children in the "reasonable candidates for foster care" case count methodology. This was attributed to an issue identified with the IMPACT system. As a result reallocation for Q4 could not be completed until this issue was resolved. A correction to the system was implemented in late December 2019. The data warehouse refresh was completed in February 2020, allowing DFPS to move forward with Q4 reallocation using the new counts.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

DFPS plans to process the Q4 reallocations within the next 30 days.

Implementation Date: March 6, 2020

Responsible Person: Kristen Norris

Finding No. 2019-004

#### Views of Responsible Officials:

DFPS will continue to work in enhancing the automation with the applications within IMPACT for eligibility.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

DFPS will reassess automation between authorization of participant eligibility and the applications within IMPACT that determine eligibility, and assess, as necessary, for any other automations as applicable to the program. DFPS staff will be researching implementation approaches to determine next steps to release in the 4th quarter FY 2021. In addition, DFPS will ensure that INV/AR staff receive ongoing communication/training regarding EA and Timely Documentation.

Implementation Date: Ongoing communication - will vary, first communication by June 1, 2020; IMPACT

changes by August 31, 2021

Responsible Person: Gwen Gray

701 W. 51st Street • P. O. Box 149030 • Austin, Texas 78714-9030 • 512-438-4800 • www.dfps.state.tx.us

An Equal Opportunity Employer and Provider



#### HHSC Management Responses to CLA for the Single Audit Reported findings at the Health and Human Services Commission

Reference No. 2019-005

Views of Responsible Officials:

Agree

Corrective Action Plan:

#### Change Management:

HHSC will remove access to migrate production program changes from development staff. PeopleSoft migration training and system access will be given to non-development staff to achieve the separation of duties requirement. Program changes would then be promoted only by non-development staff.

#### User Access:

HHSC will take two actions. The first is to move all privileged access to a small number of emergency accounts. Emergency accounts are required to allow for recovery from a system outage or issue within the timelines expected by program.

Second, user accounts will be reviewed quarterly to ensure only the emergency accounts have privileged access. Any other existing privileged accounts will have their privileged access removed.

Implementation Dates: Change Management: June 30, 2020

User Access: June 30, 2020

Responsible Persons: Leatha Marr, Director of IT Applications

Reference No. 2019-006

Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC acknowledges and agrees with the finding.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

The Cost Allocation Team will add a step to the annual expenditure and budget analysis to check for old Dept IDs that get re-activated without a notification to Cost Allocation through the Dept ID setup process. (Quality Control)

The Cost Allocation Team will make the Project ID fields in Section VI and Exhibit IV-2 use the exact same naming and numbering conventions so that the files can be electronically checked to ensure that all Dept IDs in one file are in the other file and that all Project IDs are the same in both files for each Dept ID. (Quality Control)

The Cost Allocation Team will coordinate with the Budget Team to help ensure that budget analysts who are assigned to Dept IDs have procedures in place to ensure that setups or changes that they have requested have been completed in CAPPS-Fin, CAPPS-HR (when applicable), COGNOS, speed charts (or any other reference crosswalks used by Financial Services or program staff), and the PACAP (when applicable). (Quality Control)

The roll-out/implementation of the Electronic Dept-ID form along with concurrent training with all participants in the Dept ID routing/approval process will occur soon. This will help prevent future miscommunications and errors in completing the Dept-ID set up. (Prevention)

The Cost Allocation Team will add narrative to the PACAP that explains how HHSC may use state general revenue in place of federal funds in any award to prevent the agency from overcharging federal funds. The Budget Team is responsible for notifying the Fund Accounting Team when a federal fund source needs to be switched to a GR in lieu of counterpart.

HHSC will enhance communication between key stakeholders including the Budget Team, the Accounting Team, and the Cost Allocation Team to help ensure the necessary review of project ID fund source percentages.

The Accounting Team has updated its factor calculation and reallocation desk procedures to include language specific to always using the current CHIP FMAP rate in effect during the month in which a factor that contains the CHIP and GRCHIP fund sources is being calculated regardless of which month is being calculated.

Implementation Date: December 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Justin Pickens, HHSC Budget

Paula Reed, Accounting Racheal Kane, Cost Allocation

#### Reference No. 2019-007

Views of Responsible Officials:

Agree

#### Corrective Action Plan:

In accordance with CMS requirements, HHSC has secured annual assessment services with Guidehouse (formally PWC). This independent assessment team will review HHSC compliance with the Information Security Controls for password-based authentication (IA-05-01), which include the password controls for the service accounts and password policy. This review will also include user account review and service account review and include monitoring of last logon activity.

This annual CMS assessment will be completed by June 30, 2020. Upon completion of the annual report and potential corrective action plan and risk level report HHSC will perform a business impact analysis to consider business functionality. The business impact analysis will include addressing the controls in the table below.

POAM IDs	Control ID	Weakness Description
TIERS_2018_D_0381	AC-02	Service accounts are not reviewed within every 180 days
TIERS_2018_D_0360 TIERS_2018_D_0418 TIERS_2018_D_0419	IA-05	Passwords do not comply with IA-05-1 settings

The review of service accounts and password policies will change from an annual review to 180-day (bi-annual) review initially. This policy will be documented within HHSC IT policies, standards, processes, and procedures. HHS will be reviewing the expiration policy for the service accounts as part of the business impact analysis to determine that appropriate frequency.

In accordance with AC-02, all user accounts, with the exception of service accounts, are evaluated based on the last login date every night. A warning email notification is sent to the account owner if an account has not logged in to the system for last 50 days. If the owner does not login within the following 10 days, the user account is then suspended, and a final email notification is sent to the account owner and to the owner's supervisor. All user accounts are also reviewed annually as required by the annual account review. Service accounts are part of a semi-annual account review and will be suspended if there is no conformation for the continuous need of these accounts from the responsible account owner.

#### Implementation Date:

Perform a password-based authentication review (includes service account and password policy review) every 180 days: July 31, 2020

Perform and Complete a Business Impact Analysis: December 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Joe (Marty) Martinez, Director, HHS TIERS Infrastructure

and Operations

Lorie Ramirez, Director, HHS Data Center Services Walter Romanowski, Audit Team Lead - ITBO

Federal/State Coordination

#### Reference No. 2019-008

Views of Responsible Officials:

The HHSC Chief Financial Officer Area agrees with the IT system error noted and that time and effort not initial paid with a sole source are not certified in the FSS-CFO system but instead certified via the agency's expenditure transfer process as corrections.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

The HHSC CFO Area will continue to provide training related to sole source certification requirements and process.

The HHSC Chief Financial Officer Area will develop Quality Controls to validate the FSS-CFO system is identifying all appropriation information for certification.

The HHSC Chief Financial Officer Area will enhance the current certification process to better consolidate certification information, affirmations from managers and corrections.

Implementation Date:

Establish Quality Controls for FSS-CFO Sole Source Certification function - May 1, 2020.

Responsible Person: Sheila Hyde, Manager, Office of the CFO

#### Reference No. 2019-009

Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding and has fully implemented a corrective action plan to address the recommendations.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC management concurs with the recommendation to continue to provide training to employees, supervisors and management, to ensure compliance of internal procedures, and state and federal regulations. HHSC Procurement and Contracting Services (PCS) has implemented several improvements, including checklist updates to ensure compliance with internal policies and procedures, Comptroller of Public Accounts (CPA) guidelines and state law. The checklist also requires a review and management signature after the completion of the procurement to insure all steps were followed and documented correctly. The HHSC Compliance and Quality Control (CQC) team reviews various stages of the solicitation process, manages the evaluation tool and scoring process, and reviews, revises and creates processes and procedures to ensure compliance with state law and the CPA State of Texas Procurement and Contract Management Guide.

HHSC PCS has provided trainings for purchasing staff on the policies and procedures that have been reviewed and updated by CQC. HHSC PCS utilizes its CAPPS Financials automated system to process procurements which distinguishes the roles of program vs buyer-during the procurement process

The corrective action for the findings of the reviewed POs with Dates of Procurement ranging from 9/1/2017 to 11/29/2018 has been fully implemented.

PCS updated the PCS 150 and 160 Checklists for greater clarification with versions specific to each type of procurement in May 2019. PCS staff were trained on the new forms in May and June of 2019. PCS management continues to review documentation used by purchasers to ensure they comply with internal procedures, Comptroller of Public Accounts regulations and state law and trains purchasers on any required updates.

Trainings on updated policies and procedures were offered in November of 2019 and completed in December of 2019. PCS will continue to target trainings as needed.

CAPPS Financials 9.2 system provides segregation of the agency's business processes related to the requisition, purchasing, solicitation and contract creation processes. The new system ensures pre-procurement planning and initiation is correctly documented and handled by program staff before being assigned to a procurement professional for processing.

Implementation Date: Implemented December 31, 2019

Responsible Person: Linda Dominguez, PCS Audit and Review Team Lead

Glenn Garvey, Deputy Associate Commissioner, PCS

#### Reference No. 2019-010

Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC concurs that the policy needs to be updated to reflect the current review process.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC WIC management will update their review process to formally document the receipt of workpapers from HHSC-OIG.

Implementation Date: March 6, 2020

Responsible Person: LaDon Woodson, Manager, WIC

#### Reference No. 2019-011

Views of Responsible Officials:

Agreement

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Asset Management Office will coordinate with Federal Funds Office to expand on developed training. The Asset Management Office will provide training to designated asset inventory coordinators, employees, including supervisory-level employees, to ensure compliance with State policy and federal regulations related to asset management. The Federal Funds Office will provide training to HHSC staff who manage federal awards to ensure compliance with federal requirements.

Implementation Dates: August 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Monica Reyes, Deputy Director, Asset Management

Jackson Kramer, Director, Asset Management

#### Reference No. 2019-012

Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC agrees with the finding related to EBT system to TIERS client benefit issuance reconciliation. TIERS benefit issuance requests are sent to EBT via automated interface and EBT returns an acknowledgement for each record. Records that do not match generate issuance exceptions which are reconciled on a daily basis. Additionally, HHSC has processes in place to reconcile benefits issued in TIERS and EBT on a daily basis through issuance summary reports with the EBT vendor. HHSC will strengthen existing processes by adding a reconciliation of benefit issuance summaries between EBT and TIERS on a monthly basis.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> To address the finding, HHSC will develop and implement a reconciliation process and reconcile past months going back to the start of the current fiscal year. Additionally, HHSC will implement management oversight of the process to ensure compliance.

Implementation Dates: Determine data needed: April 30, 2020

Develop and document processes: May 15, 2020

Train staff: May 31, 2020

Implement monthly reconciliation: June 30, 2020 (for

May 2020)

Implement management oversight: July 31, 2020 Reconcile past months to September 2019: August 31,

2020

Responsible Persons: Todd Byrnes, Associate Commissioner, Eligibility

Operations

#### Reference No. 2019-013

Views of Responsible Officials:

CAPM agrees with the finding.

Corrective Action Plan:

CAPM will develop and implement an SOP (Standard Operating Procedure) document to include assessing the service auditor's opinion, identified control exceptions and the service provider's response, complementary user entity controls, and reliance on subservice providers.

Implementation Dates: May 1, 2020

Responsible Person: Michael Blood, Director, Contract Administration

Dana Collins, Director, Contract Administration and

Provider Monitoring

#### Reference No. 2019-014

Views of Responsible Officials:

CAPM agrees with and has as of December 2019 fully complied with the Acute Care Recommendation.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Acute Care - Accenture State Healthcare Services (the service organization) performs monthly checks on all providers per contract requirement PRV-0405. HHSC validated that Accenture is fulfilling its requirements via Functional Requirement review PRVENMNT001, which was completed in December 2019.

Implementation Dates: December 11, 2019

Responsible Persons: Michael Blood, Director, Contract Administration

Dana Collins, Director, Contract Administration and

Provider Monitoring

#### Reference No. 2019-015

Views of Responsible Officials:

Agree

#### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC will create a formal project following Project Management Office guidelines and assign a project manager from the Applications team who will report monthly through established project management processes on completion of risk assessments and track required remediation efforts.

HHSC has hired two security analysts and one business analyst dedicated to conducting risk assessments for internal Medicaid-funded systems and coordinating risk assessments by vendor-managed systems which receive Medicaid funding. The team will continue to complete risk assessments with the following charges:

 A goal of completion of eight or more assessments per quarter has been established.

- Remediation items identified during assessments will be assigned to the appropriate support team and prioritized through established change management processes.
- Development of a biannual schedule for on-going assessments by August 31, 2020.
- Completion of assessments on remaining systems is targeted for December 31, 2020.

Implementation Dates: December 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: P.J. Fritsche, HHSC IT Director, Medical and Social

Services Applications

Thuy Cao, Chief Information Security Officer

#### Reference No. 2019-016

Views of Responsible Officials:

Concurs with the finding.

Corrective Action Plan:

Will ensure that the 10% administrative cap calculation is reinstated into the process.

Implementation Date: May 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Randolph Lovejoy, Deputy Budget Director

#### Reference No. 2019-017

Views of Responsible Officials:

In accordance with The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) approved Sustainability Plan, Texas ended MFPD enrollment December 31, 2017, with the entitlement period continuing for the following 12 months.

MFPD was modeled after the Texas Promoting Independence initiative, the state's model to transition people from institutional services to community settings. Texas implemented MFPD with sustainability in mind, using its own waiver structure. Over the course of the project, functions such as informed consent and verifying eligibility for the demonstration were transferred through contract changes to the entities performing service coordination or transition planning. The contracts are to be monitored by the specific HHSC division issuing the contract.

The informed consent form was used to obtain permission to be in the national evaluation. During the evaluation, DADS/HHSC monitored those closely each month. The evaluation ended in September 2017. The consent form also identified unique demonstration services. Those services were incorporated into the service array and are now available to anyone who transitions from an institution to STAR+PLUS HCBS or HCS.

Verifying MFPD eligibility continues to be important. For people in HCS, the LIDDAs were required to determine eligibility. For members enrolled in STAR+PLUS HCBS, the MCOs check eligibility, the PSU is required to verify eligibility before entering the MFPS code.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

To improve internal controls, program staff will:

- Coordinate with the LIDDA, Program Support Unit, Managed Care Contract Compliance and Oversight, and Money Follows the Person Demonstration staff to clarify roles and responsibilities for MFP demonstration enrollment, verification of demonstration qualifications, and monitoring. September 30, 2020
- Revise the Informed Consent Form (Form 1580) to add signature of MCO and LIDDA service coordinators to sign they verified the person's qualifications and request the form be securely emailed to a program email address to reduce the reliance on a staff member or FAX machine. June 2020
- Provide training to MCO and LIDDA staff on obtaining informed consent to participate in the MFP demonstration. November 2020

Implementation Date: November 30, 2020

Responsible Persons: Michelle Erwin, Interim Deputy Associate Commissioner

for Medicaid/CHIP Program & Policy

#### Reference No. 2019-018

Views of Responsible Officials:

The prosecuting authority's payment voucher was divided between the two programs involved in the beneficiary prosecution case (Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program). However, the wrong budget code (the one assigned with TANF) was associated with the Medicaid portion of the invoice allocation.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

In order to better prevent further recurrence, OIG's Benefits Program Integrity (BPI) division will develop and implement a job aid to supplement the OIG's 'Invoice Processing and Receiving Goods' policy, which will better guide staff and managers who process and verify invoices on what to look for when confirming

appropriate billing and payment (including the definitions of each budget code, what they are for, and tips for verifying that the proper budget codes are associated with invoice payment) to ensure compliance with federal Allowable Costs/Cost Principles regulations.

Implementation Dates: April 1, 2020

Responsible Persons: Diane Salisbury, Deputy IG of Benefits Program Integrity

#### Reference No. 2019-019

Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC agrees with the finding. After a thorough review of both cases, HHSC determined the PRA was likely obtained, but not imaged for document retention. The client demonstrates knowledge of the requirements by complying with all requirements over the past three years. The case missing the school attendance verification was completed while school in the Houston Independent School District was not in session for Spring Break and the eligibility advisor followed policy in place for summer breaks.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC will send written reminders to eligibility staff on the importance of document imaging and school attendance verification policy.

Implementation Dates: August 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Todd Byrnes, Associate Commissioner, Eligibility

Operations

#### Reference No. 2019-020

Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC agrees with the finding.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC will conduct an end-to-end review of the sanctions process to identify and implement any needed changes to the business process, training, or system.

Implementation Dates: Complete Review: August 31, 2020

Implement Process Changes: January 31, 2021

Implement Training: February 28, 2021

Implement System Changes: February 28, 2021

Responsible Persons: Todd Byrnes, Associate Commissioner, Eligibility

Operations

#### Reference No. 2019-021

Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC agrees with the finding.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

HHSC will conduct an end-to-end review of the sanctions process to identify and implement any needed changes to the business process, training, or system.

Implementation Dates: Complete Review: August 31, 2020

Implement Process Changes: January 31, 2021

Implement Training: February 28, 2021

Implement System Changes: February 28, 2021

Responsible Persons: Todd Byrnes, Associate Commissioner, Eligibility

Operations

#### Reference No. 2019-022

Views of Responsible Officials:

HHSC CADS acknowledges the finding that one record had an incorrect birth date and work participation status code.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

Programming changes have been made to the report syntax to ensure that an individual's reported date of birth is based on HHSC data. The quick reference guide for Work Participation codes has been corrected to match the official ACF-199 coding scheme. Staff have been trained on the updated documents and instructed to review the updated syntax and reference document prior to each report to ensure any necessary programming edits have been made and documented.

Implementation Date: February 28, 2020

Responsible Person: Carlos Garza, Research Specialist, Strategic Decision

Support

Kathleen Yeoman, Research Specialist, Strategic Decision Support

#### Reference No. 2018-012

Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the 2019 update; reflecting partial implementation compared to last year.

The HHS Federal Funds Indirect Cost Rate (ICR) Group developed the basic infrastructure for accepting, negotiating, and acknowledging rates for the Health and Human Services Commission and the Department of State Health Services (DSHS). The approved indirect cost rate is applicable across all cost reimbursement contracts.

The HHS System Indirect Cost Rate Group is currently coordinating with program contracting areas to educate subrecipients regarding the new process and bring the subawards into compliance with federal, state, and agency requirements. Subrecipients using an unapproved method to recover indirect costs are required to obtain an approved indirect cost rate using one of the following methods:

- · Federal Negotiated ICR,
- Other State Agency Negotiated ICR,
- · 10% De Minimis, or
- Negotiate an ICR with HHS COS

Subrecipients are being outreached using a phased approach to complete an online ICR Questionnaire via the Grantee Landing Page over the next fiscal year. Once a rate is issued, the letter is sent to all associated Texas HHS program contract managers and the signed letter is sent to SCOR for uploading to the "Contractors" library. The program contracting areas are required to update contracts once a rate is established.

The following activities have been completed:

- · Updated Grantee Uniform Term and Conditions
- Update Signature Document to include guidelines for indirect costs
- Established an Indirect Cost Rate Questionnaire to identify the grantees intentions regarding indirect costs
- Developed External training to notify subrecipients of the new requirements
- Developed Internal training regarding indirect cost rates
- Held RoundTable to discuss changes related to the indirect cost rate process
- · Held internal stakeholder meeting to discuss impacts of changes
- Developed procedures for negotiating indirect cost rates

This is an ongoing project that is in the process of implementation.

HHSC IDD-Behavioral Health Services (IDD-BHS) updated and implemented policies and procedures addressing documentation of Unit Director review and approval.

Additionally, HHS fully implemented the solution approved by KPMG to address the finding related to notifying subrecipients of the CFDA number at disbursement. Effective March 2019, HHS PCS Contract Oversight and Support implemented the process for sending HHSC subrecipients an annual expenditure report by CFDA number after the grantee's fiscal year end. Annual Expenditure reports were sent to subrecipients in accordance with the Annual Expenditure Report to Grantees Business Procedure. PCS COS continues to send annual expenditure notifications.

#### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

HHS Federal Funds System Indirect Cost Rate Group will complete the Indirect Cost Rate Process of accepting, negotiating and acknowledging indirect cost rates for IDD-BHS cost reimbursement contracts. HHSC IDD-BHS will incorporate approved indirect cost rates into contracts. Additionally, IDD-BHS will complete contract closeouts for 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.

Implementation Dates: September 30, 2022

Responsible Persons: Christina Lundy, Manager, HHSC Indirect Cost Rate Group

Roderick Swan, Associate Commissioner, HHSC IDD-BH

Contract Operations

Sonja Gaines, Deputy Executive Commissioner, HHSC

IDD-BHS

#### Reference No. 2018-014

Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the finding.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

FCO coordinated with HHSC Fiscal Management to include indirect cost rates in the Aging contracts. HHSC is working to approve rates and amend contracts to incorporate rate approval letters. The first set of contracts are scheduled to be executed by April 30, 2020. This was initially scheduled to be completed before October 1, 2019. However, due to the timing of the approved rates, the information was incorporated into the amendments slated for execution by April 30, 2020.

Implementation Dates: April 30, 2020

Responsible Persons: Julie Beisert-Smith, Associate Commissioner

#### Reference No. 2017-021

Views of Responsible Officials:

Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) agrees with the 2019 update.

The HHS Federal Funds Indirect Cost Rate Group developed the basic infrastructure for accepting, negotiating, and acknowledging rates for the Health and Human Services Commission and the Department of State Health Services (DSHS). The approved indirect cost rate is applicable across all cost reimbursement contracts.

The HHS System Indirect Cost Rate (ICR) Group is currently coordinating with program contracting areas to educate subrecipients regarding the new process and bring the subawards into compliance with federal, state, and agency requirements. Subrecipients using an unapproved method to recover indirect costs are required to obtain an approved indirect cost rate using one of the following methods:

- Federal Negotiated ICR,
- · Other State Agency Negotiated ICR,
- · 10% De Minimis, or
- Negotiate an ICR with HHS COS

Subrecipients are being outreached using a phased approach to complete an online ICR Questionnaire via the Grantee Landing Page over the next fiscal year. Once a rate is issued, the letter is sent to all associated Texas HHS program contract managers and the signed letter is sent to SCOR for uploading to the "Contractors" library. The program contracting areas are required to update contracts once a rate is established.

The following activities have been completed:

- · Updated Grantee Uniform Term and Conditions
- Update Signature Document to include guidelines for indirect costs
- Established an Indirect Cost Rate Questionnaire to identify the grantees intentions regarding indirect costs
- Developed External training to notify subrecipients of the new requirements
- · Developed Internal training regarding indirect cost rates
- Held RoundTable to discuss changes related to the indirect cost rate process
- Held internal stakeholder meeting to discuss impacts of changes
- Developed procedures for negotiating indirect cost rates

This is an ongoing project that is in the process of implementation.

HHSC IDD-Behavioral Health Services (IDD-BHS) Substance Use Disorder (SUD) contracts reprocured effective 9/1/2019 and contain language regarding the indirect cost rate process. Draft documents for other SUD procurements, pending or active, contain similar language.

In January 2019, HHS consulted with KPMG regarding the practice of monitoring fee for service contracts as cost reimbursement contracts. KPMG advised that HHS assess the requirements of the program, the monitoring practices for services, and how the costs were considered when setting the rates. The discussion reiterated that by utilizing an appropriate costing method (e.g., cost reports) to set rates, it is not necessary to conduct a review of costs through the type of review where fee for service payments are treated as a billing rate and actual costs of the program are reconciled. To mitigate risk, KPMG advised that HHS can document the methods of programmatic oversight used and the work of Rate Analysis Department (RAD) to demonstrate that HHS has appropriate monitoring procedures to comply with state and federal requirements. IDD-BH Contract Operations Quality Management Unit conducts onsite and desk reviews to determine compliance with contracts, grants, laws, regulations, policies and procedures.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

HHS Federal Funds System Indirect Cost Rate Group will complete the Indirect Cost Rate Process of accepting, negotiating and acknowledging indirect cost rates for IDD-BHS cost reimbursement contracts. HHSC IDD-BHS will incorporate approved indirect cost rates into contracts.

Implementation Dates: September 30, 2022

Responsible Persons: Christina Lundy, Manager, HHSC Indirect Cost Rate Group

Roderick Swan, Associate Commissioner, HHSC IDD-BH

Contract Operations

Sonja Gaines, Deputy Executive Commissioner, HHSC

IDD-BH

#### Reference No. 02-23

Views of Responsible Officials:

FEMA and HHSC staff continues to work closely on the recovery of overpayments associated with Tropical Storm Allison. As part of this recovery process, recipients have an opportunity to appeal. If no appeal is requested or if the recipient loses their appeal, FEMA has developed a collection process.

#### Corrective Action Plan:

FEMA has developed and implemented a process with the U.S. Treasury, Internal Revenue Service to refer delinquent accounts for collection. As of November 21, 2019, a total of \$736,242.45 in principal has been collected. The remaining balance is \$393,075.40.

Implementation Dates: Ongoing

Responsible Persons: Valerie Cunningham, Operations Manager, Emergency

Management

Russell Cook, Director, Emergency and Risk Management



### **TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS**

www.tdhca.state.tx.us

Greg Abbott

BOARD MEMBERS
J.B. Goodwin, Chair
Leslie Bingham-Escareño, Vice Chair
Paul A. Braden, Member
Asusena Reséndiz, Member
Sharon Thomason, Member
Leo Vasquez, Member

CFDA 93.568 – Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Award year – October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019 Award number – G-1901TXLIEA Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency and Non-compliance

Per 2 CFR 200.303, the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statues, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

Questioned Cost: \$0

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

TDHCA must submit the LIHEAP Performance Data Form (OMB No 0970-0449) each year regarding the prior Federal fiscal year. Module 1 of the report is the Grantee Survey that covers sources and allocation of funding. Module 2 of the report is regarding performance metrics, mostly related to home energy burden targeting and reduction, as well as the continuity of home energy service.

Audit procedures included tracing and agreeing amounts from the supporting schedules generated from PeopleSoft and the Community Affairs Contract System to the 2019 LIHEAP Performance Data Form. The following line items did not agree to the supporting schedules for amounts reported in Module 2:

- Part V.B Line 4: Average Annual Main Heating Fuel Bill for Propane and Other Fuels
- Part V.B Line 5: Average Annual Electricity Bill for All Households and Electricity
- Part V.C Line 4: Average Annual Main Heating Fuel Bill for High Burden Households for Other Fuels
- . Part VI.A Line 2: Fuel Delivered to Home that Ran Out of Fuel for All Occurrences, Propane and Other Fuels
- Part VI.A Line 3: Repair/ Replacement of Inoperable Home Energy Equipment for Propane and Other Fuels
- Part VII.A Line 1: Past Due Notice or Utility Disconnect Notice for All Occurrences and Electricity

Line items that are calculations based on the amounts above were also incorrect as a result of the errors identified.

Incorrect reporting was due to transcription and calculation errors as data is manually transcribed onto the LIHEAP Performance Data Form. Management made all corrections to the report prior to submission.

### Recommendation:

TDHCA should enhance review controls over the LIHEAP Performance Data Form. Reviews should include verifying that the data is transcribed completely and accurately from supporting schedules and calculated correctly in accordance with the Performance Data Form Instructions.

Views of Responsible Officials: Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs agrees with the finding.

Corrective Action Plan: Staff will immediately request automation of the query of the data and implement a tiered review prior to the submission of next year LIHEAP Performance Data Report. Final reported figures will be verified by the Team Lead and Manager of Fiscal & Reporting prior to submission.

Implementation Date: June 1, 2020

Responsible Person: Cathy Jung, Manager of Fiscal & Reporting



Reference No. 2019-024



February 19, 2020

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP Attention: Georgie Ortiz 6501 Americas Parkway NE, Suite 500 Albuquerque, NM 87110

Re: Management Response for State of Texas Statewide Single Audit Federal Portion of the Medicaid Fraud Cluster for the year ending August 31, 2019

The Office of the Attorney General (OAG) submits the following in response to CliftonLarsonAllen LLP in connection with their examination of the Statewide Single Audit Federal Portion components of the Medicaid Fraud Cluster.

The OAG agrees with the reporting observations and recommendation identified in Attachment A related to user account access reviews. The OAG will implement quality control checks to ensure periodic performance of user account access reviews as specified in established OAG Information Security Policy (ISP). The OAG completed the required 2020 active directory and mainframe accounting system user access reviews. Future reviews will be conducted at least annually per the OAG ISP. User access reviews may be executed more frequently for privileged and system user accounts.

Implementation Date:

Continuous Process - Confirmation review complete as of February 19, 2020.

Responsible Persons:

Stephen Paxman

If you have any questions regarding this response or any other matter relating to this audit, please do not hesitate to contact me at 512/936-0737.

Sincerety

Stephan Paxman

Information Technology Services Division Chief

Office of the Attorney General

Post Office Box 12548, Austin, Texas 78711-2548 • (512) 463-2100 • www.texasattorneygeneral.gov



John Hellerstedt, M.D. Commissioner

### Reference No. 2018-029

(Prior Audit Issues - 2017-029, 2016-030 and 2015-036)

CFDA 93.917 - HIV Care Formula Grants
Award years - April 1, 2018 to March 31. 2019 and April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2018
Award numbers - X07HA00054-28 and X07HA00054-27
Statistically valid sample - No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample
Type of finding - Significant Deficiency and Non-Compliance

### Management Response

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

DSHS accepts that the finding needs to be carried forward from 2018. Four cases in 2018 were not recertified in a timely manner due to the program's HIV2000 database. The program currently is receiving a code uplift of HIV2000. This uplift will be completed by the end of April 2020. This code uplift is expected to resolve the issues with HIV2000. However, we will need to run quality assurance reports to ensure that the database is functioning properly.

Corrective Action Plan - 2019: In 2018, the program plan was to run additional outlier reports to identify individuals that the due date for recertifications may be missing. This report would identify the cases that will require additional manual review to determine appropriate timing for recertifications. The creation of this report has proven to be more time-intensive than originally expected. The TB/HIV/STD Program Informatics and Evaluation Group is working to create a Tableau report that will allow program staff to review outliers on a monthly basis. This report will be used with the existing database and will continue to be used after the code uplift for quality assurance purposes.

Implementation Date: April 30, 2020

Responsible Person: Rachel Sanor, Texas HIV Medication Program Manager



1701 North Congress Avenue • Austin, Texas 78701-1494 • 512 463-9734 • 512 463-9838 FAX • tea.texas.gov

February 14, 2020

Ms. Bhakti Patel, Principal, Assurance CLA (CliftonLarsonAllen LLP) 9901 IH-10 West, Suite 350 San Antonio, Texas 78230

Dear Ms. Patel:

Subject: 2019 single audit as related to the Career and Technical Grant (CFDA 84.048)

Below is the Texas Education Agency's formal response to Allowable Costs/Cost Principles related to finding associated with the Career and Technical Grant (CFDA 84.048.)

### **Audit Finding:**

**Texas Education Agency** 

Reference No. 2019-025

Per CFDA 84.048 – Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States (Perkins IV) Award years – July 1, 2017 to September 30, 2019 and July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2020 Award numbers – V048A170043 and V048A180043 – 18A Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Education Agency (TEA) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a direct and material effect on each of its Federal programs. Title 2 Code of Federal Regulations Section 200.430 Compensation – Personal Services sets standards for payroll documentation, which include

(1) Charges to Federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must:

 Be supported by a system of internal control which provides reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated;

(ii) Be incorporated into the official records of the non-Federal entity;

(iii) Reasonably reflect the total activity for which the employee is compensated by the non-Federal entity, not exceeding 100% of compensated activities (for IHE, this per the IHE's definition of IBS);

(iv) Encompass both federally assisted and all other activities compensated by the non-Federal entity on an integrated basis, but may include the use of subsidiary records as defined in the non-Federal entity's written policy;

(v) Comply with established accounting policies and practices of the non-Federal entity and

(vi) Reserved)

(vii) Support the distribution of the employee's salary or wages among specific activities or cost objectives if the employee works on more than one Federal award; a Federal award and non-Federal award; an indirect cost activity and a direct cost activity; two or more indirect activities which are allocated using different allocation bases; or an unallowable activity and a direct or indirect cost activity.

(viii) Budget estimates (i.e., estimates determined before the services are performed) alone do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards, but may be used for interim accounting purposes, provided that:

A) The system for establishing the estimates produces reasonable approximations of the

activity actually performed;

(B) Significant changes in the corresponding work activity (as defined by the non-Federal entity's written policies) are identified and entered into the records in a timely manner. Short term (such as one or two months) fluctuation between workload categories need not be considered as long as the distribution of salaries and wages is reasonable over the longer term; and

(C) The non-Federal entity's system of internal controls includes processes to review after-the-fact interim charges made to a Federal awards based on budget estimates. All necessary adjustment must be made such that the final amount charged to the Federal award is

accurate, allowable, and properly allocated.

TEA's payroll process ensures only allowable salaries are charged to the federal program by reallocating costs on a quarterly basis through the Cost Allocation Feeder System for employees included in its cost allocation method plan. The reallocation reflects differences between budgeted time allocations and actual time allocations reported by employees in the time and effort system. For employees not included in the cost allocation method plan, TEA's payroll process requires that employees' time be certified by their supervisor through a time and effort certification. Time and effort is entered into TEA's time and effort system by the employee and electronically approved by their supervisor.

Of the 40 payroll and payroll-related samples tested, one employee, who was not included in the cost allocation method plan, did not have a time and effort certification approved by their supervisor. The error was a result of an oversight by the supervisor to complete the certification.

### Recommendation:

TEA should strengthen controls in place to ensure time and effort certifications are reviewed and approved by each employee's supervisor.

### Agency Response:

### Views of Responsible Officials:

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) agrees with the recommendation. To address the Budget Division's need to strengthen controls, Budget management has met to discuss the need for a delinquent supervisory report to be created and generated from the existing Time & Effort System.

### Corrective Action Plan:

The Texas Education Agency is developing a process to identify Time and Effort entries that do not have supervisory certification. Review for delinquent certifications will be performed on a quarterly basis. Supervisors will be notified and required to certify within a respective due date.

# Implementation Date:

The anticipated completion date to implement the corrective action is August 31,2020.

# Responsible Person(s):

Budget Director is currently vacant In the Director's absence, the Assistant Budget Director, Patricia Molina

Please let me know f you have any further questions.

Patricia Mojira
Assistant Budget Director
Budget and Planning Division
Texas Education Agency
Patricia Mojina @tea.texas.gov

(512) 463-7115



Ray Martinez III, J.D. Deputy Commissioner Academic Affaira & Workforce Education 512.427.6520 ray, martinez@thecb.stala.bx.us FAX: 512.427.6127

Mary E, Smith, Ph.D. Assistant Deputy Commissioner Academic Affairs & Workforce Education 512 427,6213 mary smith@thecb state-blue FAX: 512-427,6327

Jerel Booker, J.D. Assistant Commissioner College Readiness and Success 512,427,6216 jerel.booker@thecb.state.bc.us FAX: 512,427,6247

Stacey Silverman, Ph.D. Assistant Commissioner Academic Quality and Wondorca 512 427,6206 stacey, silverman@inecb, state tx us FAX, 512 427,6168

# Texas Higher Education COORDINATING BOARD

## Academic Affairs and Workforce Education

P. O. Box 12788 Austin, Texas 78711 • 1200 East Anderson Lane 78752

February 19, 2020

Bhakti Patel CliftonLarsonAllen LLP 9901 IH-10 West, Ste. 350 San Antonio, TX 782300

Dear Ms. Patel:

This letter responds to the following recommendation by CliftonLarsonAllen for Career and Technical Education, CFDA 84.048, as part of the 2019 Texas Single Audit:

THECB's template NOGA does not contain fields for the grant's federal award date or whether the grant is considered research development. For five (5) out of five (5) NOGA's tested, the grant's federal award date or whether the grant was considered research and development was not included. In fiscal year 2019, THECB passed through approximately 97.6% of Career and Technical Education funds to subrecipients. Providing incomplete information to subrecipients may result in inaccurate reporting by the subrecipients and ultimately by THECB.

Views of Responsible Officials:

THECB agrees with the recommendation.

Corrective Action Plan:

THECB will integrate the federal award date and identification of whether a grant is considered research and development into the Perkins subrecipient NOGA template.

Implementation Date:

July 15, 2020

Responsible Person:

Melinda Nobles

Sincerely,

Ray Martinez III, J.D. Deputy Commissioner

Academic Affairs and Workforce Education

# **Texas Workforce Commission**

A Member of Texas Workforce Solutions

Bryan Daniel, Chairman Commissioner Representing the Public

Julian Alvarez Commissioner Representing Labor

Aaron Demerson Commissioner Representing Employers

Edward Sema Executive Director

Reference No. 2019-027

### Views of Responsible Officials:

Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) agrees with the finding. TWC will strengthen procedures to ensure WRAPS access reviews are completed, reviews will include privileged and service accounts with employee access restricted to authorized personnel in accordance with their respective job duties.

# Corrective Action Plan:

A Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) will be developed to document the process:

- to ensure that access to WRAPS by TWC employees is limited in accordance with their job duties;
- for reviewing service accounts to ensure only service accounts with a business purpose are maintained; and
- that privilege access is restricted to authorized personnel in accordance with their respective job duties.

The WRAPS security group will modify the access to the shared account, PSBATCH, to not allow that user account to migrate code to production. Separate user accounts will be created for each system administrator that will allow them to migrate code to production. That way, TWC will be able to identify which system administrator migrated code. Those accounts will be set up with passwords that expire as per the TWC policy. PSBATCH will continue to be used to schedule and run batch jobs. The system administrators will continue to share that account and access to that account will be limited to the application system administrators.

Implementation Dates: March 31, 2020

Responsible Persons: Jorge Guerra and Pat Gutierrez

Relay Texas: 800-735-2989 (TDD) 800-735-2988 (Voice) • www.texasworkforce.org Equal Opportunity Employer / Program Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities





125 EAST 11TH STREET, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-2483 | 512.463.8588 | WWW.TXDOT.GOV

February 21, 2020

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP 6501 Americas Parkway NE, Suite 500 Albuquerque, NM 87110

Subject: Management Responses - 2019 Federal Compliance Single Audit

Reference No. 2018-038
Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
Cash Management
Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
Period of Performance
Reporting
Special Tests and Provisions – Wage Rate Requirements
Special Tests and Provisions – Utilities
Special Tests and Provisions – Project Approvals
Special Tests and Provisions – Value Engineering
Special Tests and Provisions – Quality Assurance

CFDA 20.106 – Airport Improvement Program Award years – various Award numbers – various

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster Award years – various Award numbers – various

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) must establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs. TxDOT utilizes the following applications in managing activities in its Highway Planning and Construction Cluster and Airport Improvement Program, all of which were in scope for the fiscal year 2018 single audit:

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status:

- U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Highway Administration
- U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Aviation Administration
- · PeopleSoft's General Ledger (ERP) financial management application for all programs.
- Site Manager highway construction management application.
- Right of Way Information System (ROWIS) application to facilitate right of way management, mapping and tracking for highway projects.

OUR VALUES: People • Accountability • Trust • Honesty
OUR MISSION: Connecting You With Texas

An Equal Opportunity Employer

- LCPtracker (LCP) application to facilitate contractor wage rate reporting for highway projects.
- Design and Construction Information System (DCIS) application for planning, programming, and developing highway construction projects.
- EGrants AIP application to facilitate funding and assist with managing state and federal grant funding for airport maintenance and improvement projects.

TxDOT has an Informational Technology (IT) Security Manual, which establishes policies/procedures for areas such as information security roles and responsibilities, change management, access criteria for provisioning new hires and terminations, password security, etc. In managing and maintaining the various IT applications, TxDOT utilizes third parties to assist with managing their IT infrastructure. In addition, several applications are managed by the respective software vendors. During the performance of the 2018 single audit, the following matters were noted with regard to infrastructure, third party management, and access. There were no significant observations for change management.

### IT Infrastructure and Third-Party Risk Management

TxDOT outsources the maintenance and management of their IT infrastructure and certain applications to third parties. In addition, some of the third parties have further outsourced to additional parties. However, the risks associated with these applications remains the responsibility of TxDOT. TxDOT does not consistently monitor the third parties for compliance with laws and regulations and mitigations of the outsourced/third party risks. As part of monitoring third parties, TxDOT should evaluate information technology policies utilized as compared to TxDOT policies and/or the contracts. If appropriate, policy exceptions should be documented and approved in the event the third party is not able to comply with TxDOT policies. In addition, TxDOT should retain a right of audit related to these third parties and their subcontractors.

For certain applications, TxDOT was unable to readily identify the servers in use and/or the process owners with responsibility for maintaining the applications. TxDOT should maintain a current inventory of all applications, primary point of contact, related infrastructure and if applicable, the related third parties.

#### Access

There were areas of access noncompliance with TxDOT policies across all applications noted. These include:

- Passwords TxDOT has policies and procedures in place regarding passwords including password length, complexity, and/or expirations. For all of the above applications, passwords are not configured in accordance with policy for at least one of the requirements.
- User Access Reviews TxDOT did not perform periodic full user access reviews for ERP, Site Manager, LCP, DCIS and EGrants AIP. TxDOT policy requires an annual user access reviews to validate application and access levels.
- Terminations TxDOT did not remove access for terminated employees to the various applications or access was not removed in three business days. For some applications, last log in dates were able to be provided to mitigate the risk of inappropriate access. For the ERP application, authentication is linked to active directory; however, last login dates were not provided. For the DCIS application, none of the terminated employees had administrative access to DCIS. Also, TxDOT policy does not specify a timeframe to disable application user access for terminated employees or contractors after their termination date. Provisioning of new hires was found to comply with policy.

OUR VALUES. Reopin • Accountability • Trust • Henesty OUR MISSION. Connecting you with Texas

An Equal of restaunty Employee

- Administrative Access Inappropriate or excessive administrative functions on any production
  applications results in the risk of unauthorized changes to applications and data. Inappropriate
  administrative access was noted as follows:
  - ERP Five administrators with server access.
  - EGrants AIP Seven administrators with application access.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2018:

The Department concurs with the Audit recommendation

### Corrective Action Plan - 2018:

Information Technology Division (ITD) will work with TxDOT application business owners, on-site contractors and third-party contractors to ensure that processes and procedures are aligned to the recommendation of the audit. A documented plan to ensure alignment of processes and procedures will be developed to include the following considerations:

- document applications to identify:
  - infrastructure of application (access model, servers associated with environment (e.g. – development, user testing, production application owners – showing owning organization and point(s) of contact; application management point(s) of contact
  - third-party vendor(s), if applicable showing vendor information and involvement with the system
  - o users and rights associated with:
    - infrastructure access (e.g. server access)
    - database access
  - evidence that access criteria and user reviews are completed and documented, or exceptions have been noted and escalated appropriately
- · ensure coordination on application risk(s) by
  - o working with the business owner and ITD Security to:
    - document potential risk(s) in the risk register
    - identify potential resolution(s) through mitigation(s) or remediation(s) per the risk register process
    - identify resolution date(s)
- receive sign off from business owner and ITD security on any risk or risk mitigation / remediation
- coordinate with HR regarding the off-boardings and transfers of TxDOT employees by:
  - seeking agreement from HR to establish reporting to notify managers / supervisors on actions that occur after the fact
  - continuing to utilize reports from HR showing future terminations to ensure that we are performing termination actions in a timely manner
- define documents that are required for mission critical applications
  - identify documents that are required for these applications to be approved through our Change Advisory Board (CAB)
  - identify review cycles for these applications to ensure application information remains accurate
- ensure that documentation and associated artifacts are stored in a location that is accessible by appropriate, authorized personnel

OUR VALUES. Reopin • Accountability • Trust • History OUR MISSION: Connecting you with Texas

An Equal of Assistant's Employee

ITD will begin with the identified applications in this document and extend these processes and procedures to other mission critical applications. This effort will ensure that applications maintain proper controls and accesses through iterative reviews. Processes and procedures will provide for appropriate escalations to prevent tasks from going on without completion. All decisions and reviews will have documented sign offs to provide evidence of review. As part of this effort, ITD will assess opportunities to automate processes when appropriate.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

The Department concurs with the Audit recommendations.

### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

Action Item 1: Document application details to include owners, infrastructure, vendors, user rights, and user reviews of the applications noted in the finding.

Status: In Progress - Estimated completion June 15, 2020.

**Summary**: Documentation of the six applications noted in the finding is ongoing. The initial data collected has been focused on the assets the systems reside on and exchange data with. An inventory of vendors that access TxDOT's data within these applications and an assessment of the risk the vendors pose to TxDOT data is underway.

The identification and assessment of the risk is dependent on the Categorization effort being led by AT&T as part of a project currently underway.

Responsible person: Steven Pryor, Information Security Officer, Information Technology Division

Action Item 2: Document risks to the in-scope applications, the risk mitigation or remediation plans, and business owner acceptance of residual risks.

Status: In Progress - Estimated completion October 30, 2020.

Summary: A NIST-based risk management program is in development to include updating the TxDOT security requirements that the systems must comply with, to include access control requirements. Additionally, TxDOT is refining its risk register and developing a process for tracking findings, plans of action and milestones, and owner acceptance of residual risk. The in-scope applications are being assessed against the new requirements and the identified risks are being documented in the new risk register.

This will be addressed as a separate risk assessment project and is contingent on the Categorization effort currently being led by AT&T as part of a project currently underway. A project to complete risk assessments has been identified and funded.

Responsible person: Steven Pryor, Information Security Officer, Information Technology Division

Action Item 3: Develop a process for removing/changing access during employee off-boarding and transfers.

QUR VALUES. Reopin • Accountability • Trust • Honesty OUR MISSION: Connecting you with Texas

An Equal or constantly Employee

Status: Implemented - January 16, 2020

**Summary**: There is a process in place to ensure that all off-boarding and transfers are being processed appropriately. Any areas of non-compliance will be documented and tracked in the risk register until remediated.

Responsible person: George Higginbotham, IT Operations Section Manager, Information Technology Division

Action Item 4: Identify required change documents for critical applications, to include periodic reviews of application documentation to ensure it is accurate.

Status: In Progress - Estimated completion October 30, 2020.

**Summary**: Application changes are approved by a standing change board that includes a voting member from Information Security. The process is being evaluated to ensure that security documentation for critical applications is included. The change process has not been formalized; however, the process has been identified and is currently undergoing further evaluation and refinement.

Responsible person: George Higginbotham, IT Operations Section Manager, Information Technology Division

Action Item 5: Store all documents and artifacts in a repository that is accessible by appropriate authorized personnel.

Status: In Progress - Estimated completion March 31, 2021.

Summary: Documents that are associated with the security of the application are stored in the TxDOT ServiceNow instance as part of the change ticket which are associated with the application. Future state will have the system inventory in ServiceNow that will include a document repository for current system documentation. Completion is based on the contract review cycle for ServiceNow. The required module is currently not active, and we are in the process of negotiating/evaluating this tool. Security is currently building out the inventory Until TxDOTNow is complete. Security is looking at the mechanisms to use SharePoint in the interim.

Responsible person; Steven Pryor, Information Security Officer, Information Technology Division

OUR MISSION: Cornecting You with Texas

An Equal ( ) a stant's Employee

### Reference No. 2018-043 Special Tests and Provisions - Value Engineering

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster Award year – N/A Award number – N/A

Statistically valid sample – No and Not Intended to be a Statistically Valid Sample Type of finding – Significant Deficiency

State departments of transportation are required to establish a Value Engineering (VE) program and ensure that a VE analysis is performed on all applicable projects. The program should include procedures to approve or reject recommendations and for monitoring to ensure that resulting, approved recommendations are incorporated into the plans, specifications, and estimate. Applicable projects are (a) projects located on the national highway system (NHS) with an estimated total project cost of \$50 million or more that

Initial Year Written: 2018 Status:

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Highway Administration

utilize Federal-aid highway program funding. (b) bridge projects located on the NHS with an estimated total cost of \$40 million or more that utilize Federal-aid highway program funding, and (c) any other projects that the FHWA determines to be appropriate. Projects utilizing the design build method of construction do not require a VE analysis (23 USC 106(e)(5)). Critical elements of VE programs include identification of a State VE coordinator; establishment of a VE policy, and documented VE procedures, including requirements to identify applicable projects, verify required VE analyses are completed on State DOT and subrecipient projects; and monitor, assess, and report on the performance of the VE program (23 USC 106(e); 23 CFR part 627).

Per 2 CFR 200.303, Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) must also establish and maintain effective internal controls over Federal awards that provide reasonable assurance they are managing Federal awards in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

TxDOT's VE Coordinator has historically reviewed all projects that may require a VE study and informed the districts of the potential need to complete a VE study. The review was completed in 2018 but was not sent to the districts due to retirement of the VE Coordinator. Additionally, the VE Coordinator has historically tracked the progress of VE studies to completion. This control was not performed in 2018 due to the turnover noted. Also, the districts could not consistently provide documentation that VE recommendations were tracked and implemented. The districts were able to evidence changes to schematics and other evidence regarding VE recommendations, but did not have documentation that there was a review process to ensure all accepted changes were made to the project. No compliance exceptions were noted.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2018:

As the audit pointed out in the recommendation, the Design Division, together with Administration, will implement additional measures to more proactively track the status and implementation of VE recommendations. These will be in addition to the standard yearly notification of the VE program requirements, and list of projects near VE threshold that are provided to the Districts (most recently provided to Districts in Dec. 2018).

Corrective Action Plan - 2018:

OUR MISSION: Connecting ou with Texas

Art Equal Equivalently Employee

- Planned update to Form 1002 to incorporate check boxes for VE study necessity, and whether one was conducted.
- Conduct Peer Exchanges with selected Districts in order to determine the range of practices on the incorporation of VE studies, and how they track recommendations from the VE study that are incorporated into the plans.
- Provide a quarterly follow-up communication to Districts emphasizing the VE project thresholds, and program reporting requirements.
- Coordinate with the future planned update of TxDOT Connect to incorporate flagging/tracking of VE study needs into the future system.

<u>2019 Update</u>: During FY 2019, the VE coordinator position was filled and the yearly review of projects that are at or near the VE threshold were sent. However, the corrective actions regarding the tracking of the implementation of the recommendations that were a result of the VE analysis of the project was not implemented before year end.

### Views of Responsible Officials - 2019:

TxDOT's Design Division continued the implementation of measures to proactively track the status and implementation of VE recommendations. These were in addition to the standard yearly notification of the VE program requirements and list of projects near VE threshold that are provided to the Districts (most recently provided to Districts in Nov. 2019).

### Corrective Action Plan - 2019:

Action plan was implemented by November 2019. The annual notification memo, quarterly communications and the monitoring and tracking activities will be ongoing.

Implementation Date: November 2019

Responsible Person: Jane C. Lundquist, Transportation Engineer, Design Division

OUR MISSION. Connecting you with Texas

Arr Equal or constraintly Erfections